

A Robust Blind 3-D Mesh Watermarking based on Wavelet Transform for Copyright Protection

Mohamed HAMIDI*, Mohamed EL HAZITI §, Hocine CHERIFI **, Driss ABOUTAJDINE*

* Associated Unit to the CNRST-URAC N 29,
Faculty of Sciences, University of Mohammed V,
BP 1014 Rabat, Morocco

hamidi.medinfo@gmail.com, aboutaj@ieee.org

§ Higher School of Technology, Sale, Morocco
elhazitim@gmail.com

** Laboratoire Electronique, Informatique et Image (Le2i) UMR 6306 CNRS,
University of Burgundy, Dijon, France
hocine.cherifi@u-bourgogne.fr

Abstract—Nowadays, three-dimensional meshes have been extensively used in several applications such as, industrial, medical, computer-aided design (CAD) and entertainment due to the processing capability improvement of computers and the development of the network infrastructure. Unfortunately, like digital images and videos, 3-D meshes can be easily modified, duplicated and redistributed by unauthorized users. Digital watermarking came up while trying to solve this problem.

In this paper, we propose a blind robust watermarking scheme for three-dimensional semiregular meshes for Copyright protection. The watermark is embedded by modifying the norm of the wavelet coefficient vectors associated with the lowest resolution level using the edge normal norms as synchronizing primitives. The experimental results show that in comparison with alternative 3-D mesh watermarking approaches, the proposed method can resist to a wide range of common attacks, such as similarity transformations including translation, rotation, uniform scaling and their combination, noise addition, Laplacian smoothing, quantization, while preserving high imperceptibility.

Keywords—Three-dimensional meshes, digital watermarking, wavelet coefficient vectors, Copyright protection, synchronizing primitives.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE majority of previous watermarking techniques have focused on audio, image and video. Nowadays, 3-D meshes [1] are widely used in different fields such as virtual reality, computer aided design, medical imaging, video games and 3D movies, due to the high computational performance of actual computers and the increasing needs of precision and realism. Therefore, the necessity to protect their copyright becomes more crucial. Digital watermarking [2] has been considered as an efficient solution that overcome this problem. Its underlying concept is to embed an information called watermark within a digital content. Three requirements must be satisfied in each watermarking system : imperceptibility, robustness and capacity [3]. The imperceptibility refers to the perceptual similarity between the original 3-D model and the watermarked one while the robustness is the ability to resist against common signal processing attacks, such as spatial filtering, lossy compression, and geometric distortions. The capacity refers to the number of bits that can be embedded in the models. In image watermarking, pixels have an intrinsic

order in the image such as the order established by column or row scanning. The watermark bits synchronization is performed using this order. Nevertheless, there is no obvious robust intrinsic ordering for mesh elements. Especially for irregular 3-D meshes, we can't perform an effective spectral analysis. Consequently, the existing successful spectral analysis watermarking techniques such as [4] can't be applied on 3-D meshes. Another issue is that the majority of intuitive orders, (like the order of vertices obtained by ranking their projections on an axis of the objective Cartesian coordinate system), are very easy to be altered. Attacks on 3-D mesh watermarking can be divided into two types. Geometric attacks including similarity transformations, signal processing, and local deformation operations. Connectivity attacks which include cropping, remeshing, subdivision and simplification. Generally, few watermarking schemes have been proposed for 3D meshes in contrast with the maturity of image, video and audio watermarking techniques. This situation is due to the difficulties encountered while handling the arbitrary topology and irregular representation of 3-D meshes, as well as the complexity of the existing possible attacks on watermarked meshes.

Several robust watermarking techniques have been proposed for 3-D meshes using spatial primitives [5] [6], statistical mesh descriptors [7] [8], content based [9] [10] and multiresolution analysis [11] [12]. In the case of semiregular meshes, the robust watermarking was first discussed by Kanai *et al.* [13], that proposed a nonblind method based on lazy wavelet transform (see Fig. 1). Their scheme is robust against similarity transformations. Uccheddu *et al.* [15] extended [13] to achieve a blind one-bit watermarking method for semiregular meshes. The method is relatively robust against geometric attacks. Similarly to [15], Kim *et al.* [11] proposed a robust watermarking correlation-based scheme to embed watermark bits in groups of WCVs using irregular wavelet transform [16]. Their technique shows good robustness against geometric attacks and affine transformation, but their scheme is low robustness against connectivity attacks. Later, Kai Wang *et al.* proposed a hierarchical watermarking framework based on wavelet transform for semiregular meshes [17].

The authors embed robust, fragile and high capacity water-




Fig. 1: One illustration of the lazy wavelet process applied to triangular semiregular mesh [13].

marks in different resolution levels for Copyright protection, content authentication and content enrichment respectively. The robust watermark is able to resist common geometric attacks. In [18], Yesmine *et al.* proposed a blind robust watermarking method for Copyright protection where the watermark bits are embedded by quantizing the Euclidean distance between the mass center of the mesh and the selected vertices. Recently, a robust blind 3-D watermarking method based on multiresolution adaptive parametrization of surface has been proposed [19]. This parametrization is used to select the vertices of the coarsest level in order to establish an invariant space and some other vertices of the fine level used as feature to embed the watermark.

In this paper, we propose a blind robust 3-D watermarking scheme for semiregular meshes. Our method is based on the quantization of the the norm of the wavelet coefficient vectors. The watermarking primitive is the ratio between the norm of a wavelet coefficient vector and the norm of edge normals in the coarsest-level. In addition, the edges in the coarsest-level mesh obtained after wavelet decomposition are sorted according to the norms of normals on vertices which represent the synchronizing primitives. This order is found to be robust to a wide range of attacks, including similarity transformations, quantization, Laplacian smoothing, etc.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the background. Section III develops the proposed watermarking scheme. Section IV shows the experimental results and section V concludes the paper.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Multiresolution Wavelet Decomposition

Multiresolution analysis is a very useful tool which aims to represent a signal at different levels of detail. It has been applied on different kinds of data, such as signals, images, 3-D models, etc. In this work, our interest is on 3D wavelets based on subdivision surface of Lounsbery *et al.* [14]. One iteration of the lazy wavelet process, in which a group of four triangles (t_1^j, t_2^j, t_3^j and t_4^j) is merged in one triangle t_1^{j+1} at low-resolution level $j+1$, is illustrated in Fig. 1. The positions of the vertices v_1^j, v_2^j and v_3^j are kept unchanged

even at the low-resolution. Three of six initial vertices v_1^j, v_1^j and v_3^j are conserved at the low-resolution. The wavelet coefficients W_1^{j+1}, W_2^{j+1} and W_3^{j+1} are considered as the prediction errors for the deleted vertices v_4^j, v_5^j and v_6^j . Thus the multiresolution representation of $(v_1^j, v_2^j, v_3^j, v_4^j, v_5^j, v_6^j)$ can be expressed as follows :

$$V^{j+1} = A^{j+1}V^j \quad (1)$$

$$W^{j+1} = B^{j+1}v^j \quad (2)$$

Where $V^j = [v_1^j, v_2^j, \dots, v_k^j]^T$ represents the vertex coordinates at resolution level j , k^j is the number of vertices at level j and $W^{j+1} = [w_1^{j+1}, w_2^{j+1}, \dots, w_t^{j+1}]^T$ refers to wavelet coefficient vector at resolution level $j+1$. t^{j+1} is the number of wavelet coefficient vectors at resolution level $j+1$ where $t^{j+1} = k^j - k^{j+1}$. A^{j+1} is a non-square matrix which illustrates the triangle reduction by merging four triangles into one. B^{j+1} is a non square matrix which produces the wavelet coefficient vectors which start from the midpoint of the edge in the lower resolution $j+1$ and ends at the vertices which are lost at the same level $j+1$.

III. PROPOSED SCHEME

In this paper, we propose a blind robust 3-D mesh watermarking scheme for Copyright protection. The chosen watermarking primitive are the WCV norms. In fact, the watermark is embedded by quantifying the WCVs norms associated with the coarsest-level mesh after performing a thorough wavelet decomposition. The reason behind inserting the watermark in the low frequency is that they are supposed to be robust against several attacks, especially geometry attacks. For the synchronization primitives, the edge normal norms are chosen to synchronize the watermark bits. We find experimentally that this order is robust against various attacks. The watermark embedding and extracting are described in detail in the following sections.

A. Watermark embedding

Firstly, the wavelet decomposition applied to the original semiregular mesh M_0 is carried out until we get a coarsest-level mesh M_J and a set of WCVs associated to each edge in this level. We note that the number of WCVs in the coarsest-level is equal to the number of edges in this level. Afterwards, the edges are sorted in the descending order according to the norm of edge normals. We define the normal $n_{1,2}^j$ of an edge e_1 as the average of the two vertices normals (\vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2) composing this edge. The first edge denoted by e_1^j is the edge which has the biggest normal norm. The second is denoted by e_2^j , etc. The wavelet coefficient vector associated with e_1^j is denoted by WCV_1^j , the wavelet coefficient vector associated with e_2^j is denoted by WCV_2^j , etc. The watermark bits are embedded by quantifying the WCVs norms. The quantization step Q_S is fixed to N_{av}/λ , where λ is a parameter that controls the trade-off between imperceptibility and robustness. This parameter is chosen in such a way that gives good robustness while maintaining the imperceptibility of the proposed watermarking system. The quantization of the WCV norms is performed using the 2-symbol scalar Costa scheme (SCS) [20]. First, a

random code is established for each WCV norm using equation 3.

$$\beta_{x_i, t_{x_i}} = \bigcup_{l=0}^1 \left\{ u = zQ_S + l \frac{Q_S}{2} + t_{x_i} \right\} \quad (3)$$

Where $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $l \in \{0, 1\}$ denotes the watermark bit, Q_S is the quantization step, t_{x_i} is an additive pseudo-random dither signal generated using a secret key K . We look for the nearest codeword $\beta_{WCV_i}^J$ to WCV_i^J in the codebook which implies the correct watermark bit. The quantized value $WCV_i^{J'}$ is calculated according to (4). As detailed in [21], the perfect security is achieved when $\gamma = 1/2$.

$$WCV_i^{J'} = \|WCV_i^J\| + \gamma(\beta_{WCV_i^J} - \|WCV_i^J\|) \quad (4)$$

After the quantization process, we reconstruct the dense mesh using the modified WCVs after performing the wavelet synthesis. It is well known that the ratio between the norm of a WCV and the average length of all edges in the coarsest-level mesh is invariant to similarity transformations [17]. However, the edges in the coarsest-level have often the same length which can be a real limitation. To avoid this problem, we choose the average edge normals norms of all edges in the coarsest-level as synchronizing primitives. The embedding steps are further described in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: Watermark embedding

- 1-Do the wavelet analysis of the original mesh until the coarsest-level.
 - 2-Sort in descending order the edges in the coarsest level according to their normal norms in this level.
 - 3- Calculate the average norm N_{Av} of edge normals in this level and set the WCV norm quantization step as N_{av}/λ .
 - 4- Calculate the norms of the WCVs and quantize them according to (3) using the 2-symbol scalar Costa quantization scheme, keeping the same order of edges.
 - 5- Do mesh reconstruction starting from the modified WCV norms in order to obtain the watermarked dense semi-regular mesh.
-

B. Watermark extracting

The exacting process is blind so we don't need the original mesh. Only the secret key K is needed. First of all, we apply the wavelet analysis to the watermarked mesh until we get the coarsest-level. Then, we reestablish the edge order (the norm of normal edges sorted in the descending order). After, we recalculate the quantization step and reconstruct the codebook. Finally, we search the nearest codeword to the wavelet coefficient vector norm in the reconstructed codebook in order to find out the watermark bits. The extracting steps are further described in Algorithm 2.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Experimental setup

Experiments were carried out on three 3-D semiregular mesh models: Bunny (34835 vertices), Horse (112642 vertices), Venus (100759 vertices) as depicted in Fig. 2 ((a), (b) and (c)). In the embedding process, 64 bits of watermark are

Algorithm 2: Watermark extracting

- 1-Do the wavelet analysis of the watermarked mesh until the coarsest-level.
 - 2-Reestablish the edge order according to the norm of normals of edges in this level.
 - 3- Calculate the quantization step and reconstruct the codebook.
 - 4- Extract the watermark bits by looking for the nearest codeword an of the codebook to the value of WCV norms.
-




Fig. 2: Original 3-D models (Top) Venus, Rabbit, Horse and Feline and watermarked ones : (Bottom) Venus, Rabbit, Horse and Feline.




Fig. 3: Several attacks on Bunny: (a) Mesh simplification, (b) Quantization 7-bits, (c) Laplacian smoothing relaxation= 0.3, (d) Noise addition intensity = 0.005.

embedded into the mesh models. The capacity of the proposed scheme is one bit per WCV. We tested several values of λ and we retained the value which ensures a good trade-off between robustness and imperceptibility.

B. Imperceptibility

TABLE I: Watermark imperceptibility measured in terms of MRMS, HD and MSDM.

Model	MRMS (10^{-3})	HD (10^{-3})	MSDM
Horse	0.26	0.5060	0.0708
Venus	0.38	0.56	0.0105
Bunny	0.05	0.67	0.0775

TABLE II: Comparison of watermark invisibility in terms of MSDM.

Method	Horse
[17]	0.098
[19]	0.21
Proposed scheme	0.0708

TABLE III: Comparison of watermark invisibility in terms of MRMS (10^{-3}).

Method	Bunny	Horse
[17]	0.20	0.64
[18]	0.043	–
[19]	0.28	0.32
Proposed scheme	0.021	0.26

Several experiments was conducted before applying attacks on the 3-D meshes using to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed scheme in terms of imperceptibility. The distortion introduced by the proposed technique is compared objectively and visually. The objective distortion between the original and watermarked meshes is measured using the maximum root mean square error (MRMS) proposed in [22] as the numerical objective comparison measurement. The MRMS is the maximum between the two root mean square error (RMS) distances calculated by:

$$d_{MRMS} = \max(d_{RMS}(M, Mw), d_{RMS}(Mw, M)) \quad (5)$$

$$d_{RMS}(M, Mw) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{|M|} \int \int_{p \in M} d(p, Mw)^2 dM} \quad (6)$$

Where p is a point on surface M , $|M|$ denotes the area of M , and $d(p, Mw)$ is the point-to-surface distance between p and Mw . It is well known that MRMS does not correctly reflect the visual difference between two meshes [23]. Thus, another perceptual metric is needed to evaluate the visual distortion. The mesh structural distortion measure (MSDM) proposed in [23] is chosen to measure the visual degradation that the watermarked mesh has undergone. When the original and watermarked meshes are identical the MSDM value is equal 0. Otherwise, the MSDM value is equal to 1 when the measured objects are visually different. The global MSDM distance between the original mesh M and watermarked mesh Mw having n vertices respectively is defined by :

$$d_{MSDM}(M, Mw) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_{LMSDM}(a_i, b_i)^3 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \in [0, 1] \quad (7)$$

d_{LMSDM} is the local MSDM distance between two mesh local windows a and b (in mesh M and Mw respectively) which is defined by :

$$d_{LMSDM}(a, b) = (0.4 \times Curv(a, b)^3 + 0.4 \times Cont(a, b)^3 + 0.2 \times Surf(a, b)^3)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (8)$$

Where $Curv$, $Cont$ and $Surf$ are respectively curvature, contrast and structure comparison functions.

Figure 2 shows the 3-D tested objects along with the corresponding watermarked meshes. It can be observed from the same Figure that, for the same watermark capacity, there are no perceptible distortions introduced by the watermark embedding for all the three models. This observation is also confirmed by the objective metrics. Thus, according to Table I, all the MSDM values are above 0.1 which illustrates the good imperceptibility of the proposed method. Moreover, the MRMS values illustrate the better quality of the watermarked models and the imperceptibility of the embedded watermark. In order to further evaluate the imperceptibility of our method, we compare it with the scheme in [18]. The obtained results prove the high imperceptibility of the proposed technique compared with [17], [18] and [19]. Table II sketches the comparison of imperceptibility in terms of MSDM for Horse. It can be seen that the proposed method outperforms schemes in [17] and [19]. In addition, the obtained results depicted in Table III show the superiority of the proposed technique compared with [17], [18] and [19].

C. Robustness

The robustness of the proposed method has been tested under different types of attacks including similarity transformations, noise addition, Laplacian smoothing, quantization, and subdivision in terms of correlation coefficient between the extracted watermark bit sting w_m and the original embedded one. A benchmarking system is used to evaluate the proposed method [24]. In order to further evaluate the robustness against several attacks, we compare our proposed method with the performance of the methods in [17] and [19].

$$Corr(X, Y) = \frac{\sum_n (X_0 - \bar{X})(Y_e - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{(\sum_n (X_0 - \bar{X})^2)(\sum_n (Y_e - \bar{Y})^2)}} \quad (9)$$

Where X and Y are the averages of the watermark bit sequence of X_0 and Y_0 respectively, and n is the watermark size. We note that the proposed technique is specific for semi-regular meshes. So we aren't obliged to take into account those attacks that alter connectivity of the mesh such as simplifications, re-meshing, etc. The watermark can be fully extracted from unattacked 3-D meshes ($Corr = 1.0$) with the proposed technique for all the 3-D test models.

The watermarked 3-D meshes are exposed to similarity transformations. The obtained results, as depicted in Table VII, show that the proposed approach ensures high resistance to similarity transformations including (translation, rotation, scaling and their combination). To further demonstrate the robustness of the proposed method, we compare it with the scheme in [19]. From table VIII, it can be seen that the proposed method show relatively good robustness against rotation attack and outperforms the scheme in [19]. Furthermore, the robustness against uniform scaling has been carried out. Table IX illustrates the robustness of the proposed technique using several scaling factors in terms of correlation. The obtained results sketch the relatively good resistance to this attack. It can also be observed that, compared with scheme IX the proposed approach is more robust.

Table X shows the robustness obtained in terms of correlation, MRMS, hausdorff distance and MSDM after carrying out the addition noise using several amplitudes. It can be observed

that the proposed method has a good robustness against noise addition. It can be concluded from Table IV that our method show high robustness and slightly outperforms the method in [17].

For the smoothing attack, the Laplacian smoothing method proposed in [25] is used. Table XI shows the performance of the watermarking method after smoothing attacks using 5,10, 30 and 50 iterations while fixing the deformation factor as 0.10. From the above table, it can be seen that the proposed approach shows high robustness against Laplacian smoothing for the three test models. Moreover, it can be observed from Table VI that the robustness of the proposed method slightly outperforms the scheme in [17].

Our method is tested also under quantization attack using 7, 8, 9 and 10 bits. Table XII sketches the obtained results in terms of correlation, MRMS, HD, and MSDM. According to these results, it is clear that the proposed method is robust against this attack regardless of the used 3-D mesh. Table V illustrates the superiority of our scheme compared with [17].

In addition, the proposed technique is tested under subdivision attacks, especially for two typical subdivision schemes, with one iteration: the simple midpoint scheme and the Loop scheme [26]. As depicted in Table XIII, the obtained results in terms of correlation and MRMS are encouraging.

To summarize, the proposed method shows good robustness against Laplacian smoothing, quantization and similarity transformations, but it appears less robust under noise addition and subdivision attacks.

TABLE IV: Robustness and quality comparison with scheme in [17] against noise attack measured in terms of correlation and MRMS, HD and MSDM.

Model	Amplitude (%)	Corr	MRMS (10^{-3})	HD (10^{-3})	MSDM
Venus	0.05	0.89/0.85	0.16/0.17	0.283/0.62	0.0114/0.28
	0.25	0.71/0.59	0.54/0.84	1.57/3.15	0.0177/0.70
	0.50	0.58/0.31	1.06/1.67	2.815/6.25	0.0338/0.83
Horse	0.05	0.97/0.96	0.08/0.11	0.512/0.41	0.0796/0.23
	0.25	0.7526/0.50	0.21/0.55	1.296/2.03	0.0707/0.64
	0.5	0.7606/0.08	1.09/1.10	2.188/4.07	0.0749/0.78

TABLE V: Robustness and quality comparison with scheme in [17] against quantization measured in terms of correlation and MRMS, HD and MSDM.

Model	Quantization	Corr	MRMS (10^{-3})	HD (10^{-3})	MSDM
Venus	9-bit	0.9503/0.93	0.09/0.17	0.25/0.62	0.1076/0.28
	8-bit	0.7108/0.59	0.80/0.84	2.144/3.15	0.1069/0.70
	7-bit	0.68/0.63	1.357/1.67	4.322/6.25	0.1164/0.83
	9-bit	0.8758/0.61	0.591/0.11	1.131/0.41	0.0713/0.23
Horse	8-bit	0.7526/0.50	1.310/0.55	1.899/2.03	0.0744/0.64
	7-bit	0.75/0.08	2.70/3.144	4.202/4.07	0.1038/0.78

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

A blind robust 3-D semiregular meshes watermarking technique for Copyright protection has been presented in this paper. The Wavelet coefficient vector is used as watermarking primitive, whereas the norm of edge normal is used as synchronizing primitive. The experimental results show the

TABLE VI: Robustness and quality comparison with scheme in [17] against Laplacian smoothing ($\gamma = 0.1$) measured in terms of correlation and MRMS, HD and MSDM.

Model	Iterations	Corr	MRMS (10^{-3})	HD (10^{-3})	MSDM
Venus	10	0.8360/0.74	0.264/0.27	5.632/5.65	0.25/0.15
	30	0.7841/0.71	0.675/0.68	7.75/9.75	0.17/0.27
	50	0.7321/0.62	0.978/1.01	10.38/12.20	0.23/0.34
Horse	10	0.7538/0.95	0.208/0.21	5.654/5.67	0.24/0.15
	30	0.6854/0.50	0.527/0.54	7.48/9.97	0.149/0.23
	50	0.6534/0.35	0.785/0.80	10.63/12.95	0.21/0.28

TABLE VII: Robustness evaluation of 3-D watermarked models after similarity transformations and their combination in terms of correlation

Model	Translation	Rotation (10°)	Uniform scaling (0.8)	Trans+Rot+Scal
Venus	1.0	0.9875	0.9731	0.9831
Horse	0.9975	0.9943	0.9581	0.9758
Bunny	0.9981	0.9897	0.9873	0.9856

TABLE VIII: Robustness comparison against rotation in terms of correlation

Model	Rotation angle	Scheme in [19]	Proposed method
Bunny	10°	0.88	0.9897
	20°	0.74	0.7924
	40°	0.44	0.7606
Horse	10°	0.86	0.93
	20°	0.71	0.7924
	40°	0.39	0.7139

TABLE IX: Robustness comparison against uniform scaling measured in terms of correlation

Model	Uniform scaling	Scheme in [19]	Proposed method
Bunny	0.8	0.70	0.9897
	1.1	0.83	0.8642
	1.3	0.48	0.7021
Horse	0.8	0.59	0.9581
	1.1	0.72	0.7883
	1.3	0.42	0.7108

TABLE X: Robustness and quality against noise addition measured in terms of correlation and MRMS, HD and MSDM.

Model	Amplitude (%)	Corr	MRMS (10^{-3})	HD (10^{-3})	MSDM
Venus	0.05	0.89	0.265	0.283	0.0114
	0.1	0.73	0.468	0.517	0.0106
	0.3	0.63	1.50	1.785	0.0187
	0.5	0.58	3.066	2.815	0.0338
Horse	0.05	0.8362	0.308	0.512	0.0796
	0.1	0.8452	0.424	0.433	0.0708
	0.3	0.7526	1.214	1.296	0.0707
	0.5	0.7606	2.129	2.188	0.0749
Bunny	0.05	0.92	0.513	0.593	0.0773
	0.1	0.8819	0.566	0.672	0.0779
	0.3	0.8758	1.591	1.689	0.0792
	0.5	0.71	2.615	2.708	0.0815

high imperceptibility of the proposed scheme. Furthermore, the robustness evaluation shows its good resistance against a wide range of attacks including, similarity transformations, additive

TABLE XI: Robustness and quality against Laplacian smoothing ($\gamma = 0.1$) measured in terms of correlation and MRMS, HD and MSDM.

Model	Iteration (%)	Corr	MRMS (10^{-3})	HD (10^{-3})	MSDM
Venus	5	0.9133	0.153	0.3741	0.19
	10	0.8360	0.264	5.632	0.25
	30	0.7841	0.675	7.75	0.17
	50	0.7321	0.978	10.38	0.23
Horse	5	0.7891	0.135	1.78	0.20
	10	0.7538	0.208	5.654	0.24
	30	0.6854	0.527	7.48	0.149
	50	0.6534	0.785	10.63	0.21
Bunny	5	0.9341	0.135	1.984	0.12
	10	0.8362	0.242	1.829	0.14
	30	0.7890	0.655	4.84	0.22
	50	0.6299	1.012	8.722	0.29

TABLE XII: Robustness and quality against quantization attack measured in terms of correlation and MRMS, HD and MSDM.

Model	Quantization (%)	Corr	MRMS (10^{-3})	HD (10^{-3})	MSDM
Venus	10-bits	0.92	0.549	0.57	0.1065
	9-bits	0.9103	0.927	1.255	0.1076
	8-bits	0.7108	1.280	2.144	0.1069
	7-bits	0.5854	2.357	4.322	0.1164
Horse	10-bits	0.9436	0.481	0.715	0.0731
	9-bits	0.8758	0.591	1.131	0.0713
	8-bits	0.7526	1.310	1.899	0.0744
	7-bits	0.7500	3.144	4.202	0.1038
Bunny	10-bits	0.90	0.792	0.840	0.0118
	9-bits	0.8362	0.980	1.146	0.0170
	8-bits	0.8094	2.130	2.293	0.0365
	7-bits	0.7139	3.874	4.289	0.0642

TABLE XIII: Robustness and quality against subdivision

Model	Scheme	Corr	MRMS (10^{-3})
Venus	Midpoint Loop	0.7526	0.97
		0.7746	1.25
Horse	Midpoint Loop	0.8452	0.86
		0.7139	1.88
Bunny	Midpoint Loop	0.5916	3.78
		0.5421	4.03

noise, Laplacian smoothing, quantization. One limitation is that the proposed method is very sensitive to remeshing techniques. As solution, the future work will be focused on designing a watermarking system which is based on a remeshing technique that is insensitive to connectivity changes.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Botsch, M. Pauly, L. Kobbelt, P. Alliez, B. Lévy, S. Bischoff, and C. Rössl, "Geometric modeling based on polygonal meshes," 2007.
- [2] S. Katzenbeisser and F. Petitcolas, *Information hiding techniques for steganography and digital watermarking*. Artech house, 2000.
- [3] I. Cox, M. Miller, J. Bloom, J. Fridrich, and T. Kalker, *Digital watermarking and steganography*. Morgan Kaufmann, 2007.
- [4] I. J. Cox, J. Kilian, F. Leighton, and T. Shamon, "Secure spread spectrum watermarking for multimedia," *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 1673–1687, Dec 1997.
- [5] R. Ohbuchi, H. Masuda, and M. Aono, "Data embedding algorithms for geometrical and non-geometrical targets in three-dimensional polygonal

- models," *Computer Communications*, vol. 21, no. 15, pp. 1344–1354, 1998.
- [6] A. G. Bors, "Watermarking mesh-based representations of 3-d objects using local moments," *IEEE Transactions on Image processing*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 687–701, 2006.
- [7] J.-W. Cho, R. Prost, and H.-Y. Jung, "An oblivious watermarking for 3-d polygonal meshes using distribution of vertex norms," *Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 142–155, 2007.
- [8] S. Zafeiriou, A. Tefas, and I. Pitas, "Blind robust watermarking schemes for copyright protection of 3d mesh objects," *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 596–607, 2005.
- [9] P. R. Alface and B. Macq, "Blind watermarking of 3d meshes using robust feature points detection," in *IEEE International Conference on Image Processing 2005*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2005, pp. I–693.
- [10] P. R. Alface, B. Macq, and F. Cayre, "Blind and robust watermarking of 3d models: How to withstand the cropping attack?" in *2007 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, vol. 5. IEEE, 2007, pp. V–465.
- [11] M.-S. Kim, S. Valette, H.-Y. Jung, and R. Prost, "Watermarking of 3d irregular meshes based on wavelet multiresolution analysis," in *Digital Watermarking*. Springer, 2005, pp. 313–324.
- [12] E. Praun, H. Hoppe, and A. Finkelstein, "Robust mesh watermarking," in *Proceedings of the 26th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques*. ACM Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1999, pp. 49–56.
- [13] S. Kanai, H. Date, T. Kishinami *et al.*, "Digital watermarking for 3d polygons using multiresolution wavelet decomposition," in *Proc. Sixth IFIP WG*, vol. 5. Citeseer, 1998, pp. 296–307.
- [14] M. Lounsbury, T. D. DeRose, and J. Warren, "Multiresolution analysis for surfaces of arbitrary topological type," *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 34–73, 1997.
- [15] F. Uccheddu, M. Corsini, and M. Barni, "Wavelet-based blind watermarking of 3d models," in *Proceedings of the 2004 workshop on Multimedia and security*. ACM, 2004, pp. 143–154.
- [16] S. Valette and R. Prost, "Wavelet-based multiresolution analysis of irregular surface meshes," *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 113–122, 2004.
- [17] K. Wang, G. Lavoué, F. Denis, and A. Baskurt, "Hierarchical watermarking of semiregular meshes based on wavelet transform," *Information Forensics and Security, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 620–634, 2008.
- [18] Y. B. Amar, I. Trabelsi, N. Dey, and M. S. Bouhleb, "Euclidean distance distortion based robust and blind mesh watermarking," *International Journal of Interactive Multimedia and Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 4, no. Regular Issue, 2016.
- [19] J. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Li, R. Liu, and J. Chen, "A robust and blind 3d watermarking algorithm using multiresolution adaptive parameterization of surface," *Neurocomputing*, 2017.
- [20] J. J. Eggers, R. Bauml, R. Tzschoppe, and B. Girod, "Scalar cost scheme for information embedding," *IEEE Transactions on signal processing*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1003–1019, 2003.
- [21] L. Pérez-Freire, P. Comesana, and F. Pérez-González, "Information-theoretic analysis of security in side-informed data hiding," in *International Workshop on Information Hiding*. Springer, 2005, pp. 131–145.
- [22] P. Cignoni, C. Rocchini, and R. Scopigno, "Metro: measuring error on simplified surfaces," in *Computer Graphics Forum*, vol. 17, no. 2. Wiley Online Library, 1998, pp. 167–174.
- [23] G. Lavoué, E. D. Gelasca, F. Dupont, A. Baskurt, and T. Ebrahimi, "Perceptually driven 3d distance metrics with application to watermarking," in *SPIE Optics+ Photonics*. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2006, pp. 63 120L–63 120L.
- [24] K. Wang, G. Lavoué, F. Denis, A. Baskurt, and X. He, "A benchmark for 3d mesh watermarking," in *Shape Modeling International Conference (SMI)*, 2010. IEEE, 2010, pp. 231–235.
- [25] G. Taubin *et al.*, "Geometric signal processing on polygonal meshes," *Eurographics State of the Art Reports*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 81–96, 2000.
- [26] D. Zorin, "Subdivision zoo," *Subdivision for modeling and animation, Schröder, Peter and Zorin, Denis*, pp. 65–104, 2000.