

A Short Proof of the Toughness of Delaunay Triangulations

Ahmad Biniaz*

School of Computer Science
University of Windsor
ahmad.biniaz@gmail.com

Abstract

We present a self-contained short proof of the seminal result of Dillencourt (SoCG 1987 and DCG 1990) that Delaunay triangulations, of planar point sets in general position, are 1-tough. An important implication of this result is that Delaunay triangulations have perfect matchings. Another implication of our result is a proof of the conjecture of Aichholzer et al. (2010) that at least n points are required to block any n -vertex Delaunay triangulation.

1 Introduction

Let P be a set of points in the plane that is in general position, i.e., no three points on a line and no four points on a circle. The *Delaunay triangulation* of P is an embedded planar graph with vertex set P that has a straight-line edge between two points $p, q \in P$ if and only if there exists a closed disk that has only p and q on its boundary and does not contain any other point of P . A graph is *1-tough* if for any k , the removal of k vertices splits the graph into at most k connected components. In 1987, Dillencourt proved the toughness of Delaunay triangulations.

Theorem 1 (Dillencourt [4]). *Let T be the Delaunay triangulation of a set of points in the plane in general position, and let $S \subseteq V(T)$. Then $T \setminus S$ has at most $|S|$ components.*

Dillencourt's proof of Theorem 1 is nontrivial and employs a large set of combinatorial and structural properties of (Delaunay) triangulations. Using the same proof idea, he showed that if T is a Delaunay triangulation of an arbitrary point set in the plane (not necessarily in general position) then $T \setminus S$ has at most $|S| + 1$ components. Combining this with Tutte's classical theorem that characterizes graphs with perfect matchings [5], implies the following well-known result.

Theorem 2 (Dillencourt [4]). *Every Delaunay triangulation has a perfect matching.*

In this note we present a self-contained short proof of Theorem 1. To that end, we first present an upper bound on the maximum size of an independent set of T . To facilitate comparisons we use the same definitions and notations as in [4]. The number of elements of a set S is denoted by $|S|$. For a graph G , the vertex set of G is denoted by $V(G)$, and $|G| = |V(G)|$.

Every interior face of T is a triangle, and the boundary of T is a convex polygon; see Figure 1(a). An edge is called a *boundary edge* if it is on the boundary of T , and is called an *interior edge* otherwise. For any interior edge $(p, q) \in T$ between two faces pqr and pqs it holds that

$$\angle prq + \angle psq < 180. \tag{1}$$

*Part of this work has been done while the author was an NSERC postdoctoral fellow at University of Waterloo.




Figure 1: (a) The Delaunay triangulation T ; bold segments are boundary edges. (b) The Delaunay triangulation \mathcal{T} ; white vertices belong to I , solid edges belong to $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$, and marked angles are distinguished angles. (c) Illustration of the proof of Theorem 4.

2 A combinatorial and a structural property

A direct implication of Theorem 1 gives the upper bound $\lfloor (|T|+1)/2 \rfloor$ on the size of any independent set of T ; see e.g. [1]. We present a different self-contained proof for a slightly better bound.

Theorem 3. *Let T be the Delaunay triangulation of a set of points in the plane in general position, and let I be an independent set of T . Then $|I| \leq \lfloor |T|/2 \rfloor$, and this bound is tight.*

Proof. This upper bound is tight as any maximum independent set in the n -vertex Delaunay triangulation of Figure 2(b) has exactly $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ vertices (regardless of the parity of n).

Now we prove the upper bound. Set $S := V(T) \setminus I$, and let u be a vertex of S that is on the boundary of T (observe that such a vertex exists). Let $v, w \notin V(T)$ be two points in the plane such that (i) T lies in the triangle (u, v, w) and (ii) neither of v and w lies in the disks that introduce edges of T ; see Figure 1(b). Let \mathcal{T} be the Delaunay triangulation of $V(T) \cup \{v, w\}$. Our choice of v and w ensures that any edge of T is also an edge of \mathcal{T} , and thus $T \subset \mathcal{T}$. Set $\mathcal{S} := S \cup \{v, w\}$. In the rest of the proof we show that $|I| \leq |\mathcal{S}| - 2$. This implies that $|I| \leq |S|$ (because $|\mathcal{S}| = |S| + 2$) which in turn implies that $|I| \leq \lfloor |T|/2 \rfloor$ (because $|T| = |S| + |I|$, and $|I|$ and $|T|$ are integers).

To show that $|I| \leq |S| - 2$ we use a counting argument similar to that of [4, Lemma 3.8]. Let $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$ be the subgraph of \mathcal{T} that is induced by \mathcal{S} . In other words, $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$ is the resulting graph after removing vertices of I and their incident edges from \mathcal{T} . Since \mathcal{T} is a triangulation and I does not contain boundary vertices of \mathcal{T} , the removal of every vertex of I creates a hole (a new face which is the union of original faces) whose boundary is a simple polygon. All edges of this polygon belong to $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$ because I is an independent set. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$ is a connected plane graph, the boundaries of its interior faces are simple polygons, and the boundary of its outer face is the triangle (u, v, w) ; see Figure 1(b). Each interior face of $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$ contains either no point of I or exactly one point of I . Interior faces that do not contain any point of I are called *good faces*, and interior faces that contain a point of I are called *bad faces*. Each good face is a triangle. Let g and b denote the number of good and bad faces, respectively. Thus the number of interior faces is $g + b$.

Since $|I| = b$, it suffices to show that $b \leq |\mathcal{S}| - 2$. To do so, we assign to each edge $(p, q) \in \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$ certain *distinguished angles*. If (p, q) is an interior edge then we distinguish the two angles of \mathcal{T} that are opposite to (p, q) , and if (p, q) is a boundary edge then we distinguish the unique angle of \mathcal{T} that is opposite to (p, q) , as in Figure 1(b). Let d be the total measure of all distinguished angles. We compute d in two different ways: once with respect to the number of faces of $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$ and once with respect to the number of edges of $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$. Each good face contains three distinguished angles, their sum is 180° . The sum of the distinguished angles in each bad face is 360° because

these angles are anchored at the removed vertex in the face. Therefore

$$d = 180 \cdot g + 360 \cdot b. \quad (2)$$

Now we compute d with respect to the number of edges of $\mathcal{T}[\mathcal{S}]$ which we denote by e . By Euler's formula, we have $e = |\mathcal{S}| + b + g - 1$. By Inequality (1), the sum of (at most two) distinguished angles assigned to each edge is less than 180° . Therefore

$$d < 180 \cdot e = 180 \cdot (|\mathcal{S}| + b + g - 1). \quad (3)$$

Combining (2) and (3), we have

$$180 \cdot g + 360 \cdot b < 180 \cdot (|\mathcal{S}| + b + g - 1),$$

which simplifies to $b < |\mathcal{S}| - 1$. Since b and $|\mathcal{S}|$ are integers, $b \leq |\mathcal{S}| - 2$. \square

Our proof of Theorem 1 employs Theorem 3 and the following structural property of Delaunay triangulations presented by the author [3]. For the sake of completeness we repeat its proof.

Theorem 4. *Let T be the Delaunay triangulation of a set of points in the plane in general position. Let p and q be two vertices of T and let D be any closed disk that has on its boundary only vertices p and q . Then there exists a path, between p and q in T , that lies in D .*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the number of vertices in D . If there is no vertex of $V(T) \setminus \{p, q\}$ in the interior of D , then (p, q) is an edge of T , and so is a desired path. Assume that there exists a vertex $r \in V(T) \setminus \{p, q\}$ in the interior of D . Let c be the center of D . Consider the ray \vec{pc} emanating from p and passing through c . Fix D at p and then shrink it along \vec{pc} until r lies on its boundary; see Figure 1(c). Denote the resulting disk D_{pr} , and notice that it lies fully in D . Compute the disk D_{qr} in a similar fashion by shrinking D along \vec{qc} . The disk D_{pr} does not contain q and the disk D_{qr} does not contain p . By induction hypothesis there exists a path, between p and r in T , that lies in D_{pr} , and similarly there exists a path, between q and r in T , that lies in D_{qr} . The union of these two paths contains a path, between p and q in T , that lies in D . \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Recall T and S . Pick an arbitrary representative vertex from each component of $T \setminus S$, and let C be the set of these vertices. The number of components is $|C|$. Consider the Delaunay triangulation T' of $S \cup C$. Observe that C is an independent set of T . We prove by contradiction that C is also an independent set of T' . Assume that there exists an edge $(c_1, c_2) \in T'$ such that $c_1, c_2 \in C$. Since T' is a Delaunay triangulation, by definition there exists a closed disk D that has only c_1 and c_2 on its boundary and does not contain any other point of $S \cup C$. Now consider T and D . By Theorem 4 there exists a path between c_1 and c_2 in T , that lies in D . Since D does not contain any point of S , all edges of this path belong to $T \setminus S$. This contradicts the fact that c_1 and c_2 belong to different components of $T \setminus S$. Therefore C is an independent set of T' . By Theorem 3, we have $|C| \leq |T'|/2$. This and the fact that $|T'| = |S| + |C|$ imply that $|C| \leq |S|$.

4 Blocking Delaunay triangulations

In this section, we use Theorem 3 and prove the conjecture of Aichholzer et al. [1] that at least n points are required to block any n -vertex Delaunay triangulation. Let P be a set of points in

the plane and let T be the Delaunay triangulation of P . A point set B *blocks* or *stabs* T if in the Delaunay triangulation of $P \cup B$ there is no edge between two points of P . In other words, every disk that introduces an edge in T contains a point of B . Throughout this section we assume that $P \cup B$ is in general position.

In 2010, Aronov et al. [2] showed that $2n$ points are sufficient to block any n -vertex Delaunay triangulation, and if the vertices are in convex position then $4n/3$ points suffice. These bounds have been improved by Aichholzer et al. [1] (2010) to $3n/2$ and $5n/4$, respectively.

For the lower bound, Aronov et al. [2] showed the existence of n -vertex Delaunay triangulations that require n points to be blocked, for example see Figure 2(a) in which every disk (representing a Delaunay edge) requires a unique point to be blocked as the disks are interior disjoint. Aichholzer et al. [1] proved that at least $n - 1$ points are necessary to block any n -vertex Delaunay triangulations, and stated the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *For any point set P in the plane in convex position, $|P|$ points are necessary and sufficient to block the Delaunay triangulation of P .*

An implication of Theorem 3 proves the necessity of $|P|$ blocking points in Conjecture 1 (even if P is in general position); the sufficiency remains open.




Figure 2: (a) At least n points are required to block this n -vertex Delaunay triangulation. (b) This n -vertex Delaunay triangulation can be blocked by n points.

Theorem 5. *Let $P \cup B$ be any set of points in the plane in general position such that B blocks the Delaunay triangulation of P . Then $|B| \geq |P|$, and this bound is tight.*

Proof. Consider the Delaunay triangulation T of $P \cup B$. Since B blocks the Delaunay triangulation of P , the removal of B from T leaves exactly $|P|$ components each consisting of a single point of P . Thus P is an independent set of T . By Theorem 3, we have $|P| \leq \lfloor |T|/2 \rfloor \leq |T|/2$ which implies that $|B| \geq |P|$ (because $|T| = |P| + |B|$).

To verify the tightness of this bound, consider a set of n points in convex position where $n - 1$ points are at distances approximately 1 from one point, say p , so that no four points lie on a circle. In the Delaunay triangulation of this point set, p is connected to all other points, as depicted in Figure 2(b). This Delaunay triangulation can be blocked by n points that are placed outside the convex hull: two points are placed very close to p and $n - 2$ points are placed very close to the $n - 2$ convex hull edges that are not incident to p . A similar placement has also been used in [1] and [2]. \square

References

- [1] O. Aichholzer, R. F. Monroy, T. Hackl, M. J. van Kreveld, A. Pilz, P. Ramos, and B. Vogtenhuber. Blocking Delaunay triangulations. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 46(2):154–159, 2013. Also in *CCCG'10*.
- [2] B. Aronov, M. Dulieu, and F. Hurtado. Witness (Delaunay) graphs. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 44(6-7):329–344, 2011. Also in arXiv:1008.1053, 2010.
- [3] A. Biniarz. Plane hop spanners for unit disk graphs: Simpler and better. In *Algorithms and Data Structures Symposium (WADS)*, 2019. Full version in arXiv:1902.10051.
- [4] M. B. Dillencourt. Toughness and Delaunay triangulations. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 5:575–601, 1990. Also in *SoCG'87*.
- [5] W. T. Tutte. The factorization of linear graphs. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 22:107–111, 1947.