

Applications of Data Mining Techniques for Vehicular Ad hoc Networks

Mohammed AL Zamil and Samer Samarah
Department of Computer Information Systems
Yarmouk University
Mohammedz@yu.edu.jo, Samers@yu.edu.jo

Abstract

Due to the recent advances in vehicular ad hoc networks (VANETs), smart applications have been incorporating the data generated from these networks to provide quality of life services. In this paper, we have proposed taxonomy of data mining techniques that have been applied in this domain in addition to a classification of these techniques. Our contribution is to highlight the research methodologies in the literature and allow for comparing among them using different characteristics. The proposed taxonomy covers elementary data mining techniques such as: preprocessing, outlier detection, clustering, and classification of data. In addition, it covers centralized, distributed, offline, and online techniques from the literature.

Keywords: vehicular ad hoc networks; data mining; smart applications; smart cities.

1. Introduction

Recent advances in hardware technologies and wireless communication have led to the development of powerful generations of sensors that is able to read and transport environmental variables and observations. However, such generations play a significant role in designing smart applications, which, in turns, serves the development of high quality and safety services. Because of its pervasive surveillance capabilities, wireless sensor networks have been implemented in many application domains such as Medical Assistant Systems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Recently, vehicular ad hoc networks have been introduced and implemented as a special type of wireless sensor networks to provide smart applications for managing traffic in modern societies.

Vehicular Ad-hoc Network (VANET) is a smart technology that integrates the capabilities of mobile ad hoc networks (MANET) with smart vehicles [6, 7, 8]. VANETs are designed to provide means of communication among vehicles, where communication entities (vehicles) changing their location continuously. Thus, VANETs raise the spatiotemporal issues that directly affect its performance in terms of accuracy and quality of life services in traffic managements systems.

VANETs systems process a huge (non-decreasing) amount of changing, geographically dispersed, homogeneous and heterogeneous data. Analyzing such elementary information will facilitate automatic prediction, control, and decision making. Therefore, mining VANETs raw data for recognizing patterns of action, outliers, clusters, and classifications is an emergent research application to develop intelligent traffic management systems.

Recent advances in data mining have considered the tremendous amount of that have been generated by vehicular networks. Previous researches in data mining have proposed many techniques, which suggested different data analysis tasks to handle the explosion of data generated by VANETs [9, 10, 11]. Although VANETs are special type of wireless sensor networks, the ad hoc nature of distributed sensors and the spatiotemporal aspects of its constituent nodes make it difficult to adapt data analysis solutions from wireless sensor networks. In addition, modern vehicular networks force emergent challenges as compared to existing sensory networks. These challenges are summarized into two aspects: 1) VANETs are not affected by energy consumption, 2) sensors are moving over time; not stable.

However, the architectural setup of VANET generates new research challenges because of its dynamicity, random distribution of sensors, and the effect of the environmental variables on the accuracy of the resulted analysis. For instance, road specifications have a direct effect on concluding behavioral patterns. These challenges make traditional mining techniques, even these techniques for wireless sensor networks, inapplicable because traditional techniques are in appropriate in terms of accuracy, performance, and communication overhead due to the dynamic and spatiotemporal nature of VANETs environments. Therefore, many techniques have been proposed to handle the unique aspects of VANETs

For example, outlier detection, clustering, and classification techniques for wireless sensor networks that have been introduced in [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17] are not applicable in a dynamic and ad hoc networks such as VANETs as these techniques assumed that wireless sensors are non-moving nodes. For instance, VANETs nodes may change their cluster from time to time as nodes moving from one location to another.

Several surveys and reviews have been examined data mining techniques on different domains of knowledge such as medical domain [18], data stream analysis for extracting frequent patterns [19, 20], clustering algorithms for wireless sensor networks [21, 22], data stream classification techniques [23], improving software interfaces [24], design and searching for patterns [25, 26, 27], verification of sensory networks [28, 29, 30], human activity recognition [31, 32], energy consumption [33], and data mining techniques for wireless sensor networks [34]. However, none of the above reviews and surveys examined data mining techniques that concentrate on a taxonomy for mining techniques applied on Vehicular Ad hoc Networks systems.

This review paper introduces taxonomy of data mining techniques that can be applied for VANETs data mining and analysis. The paper summarizes state-of-the-art algorithms and techniques that have been designed for vehicular ad hoc networks and similar technologies. Furthermore, an evaluation, applicability, and limitations of every technique are presented. Finally, the paper briefly describes plenty of research problems that could be solved by applying data mining techniques for VANETs.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: section 2 highlights the challenges of traditional data mining tasks on data generated from wireless networks. Section 3 presents the proposed taxonomy of existing data mining techniques with respect to its applicability on wireless networks. Section 4 provides an analysis of existing methodologies against the proposed taxonomy. Section 5 compares among existing data mining techniques on WSN in terms of different aspect. Section 6 illustrates the limitations of the proposed taxonomy. Finally, sections 7 and 8 discuss the future research and conclude the importance of the proposed taxonomy.

2. Background Knowledge

2.1 Data Mining Tasks

Data mining is defined as the process of analyzing large amount of data for the purpose of extracting new knowledge [35]. In general, there are seven essential steps to perform knowledge discovery using data mining techniques. Figure 1 shows these tasks. Data cleaning is the process of dealing with noisy and inconsistent data by removing or reproducing dirty ones. Once the data is clean, integration becomes an essential task in situations where multiple sources of data are participating to form huge repository. However, huge repositories might contain large amount of attributes. These attributes represent the properties of entities as the basic constituent to the data source. In data mining, it is necessary to select some attributes, according to the application

requirement, for the analysis process. For instance, information-gain theory is one of the common algorithms to select representative features.

In order to make the selected data in an appropriate form that is accepted by some mining techniques, data transformation could be applied such as summarization or aggregation. Next, intelligent algorithms are applied for the purpose of extracting patterns of data. The sixth task focusing on evaluating resulted patterns using usefulness measurements. Such evaluation represents the performance of a data mining technique. Finally, knowledge presentation techniques are applied in order to visualize or represent knowledge to intended users.




Figure 1 Common Data Mining Tasks

2.2 Traditional Vs Domain-Specific Tasks for VANETs

Traditional data mining techniques have built to serve centralized processing, in which data is processed at a central unit and, then, the conclusions are distributed to connecting nodes. This architecture allows fast processing as, usually; the central unit has powerful computational power. In addition, these techniques rely on constructing models based on static (non-changing) features. Recent research on big data analysis [36] showed that traditional techniques requires more and more computational time, which could make it useless in smart and modern applications.

Specialized domain data mining techniques for VANETs have focused on models patterns of data to serve specific application with acceptable performance from non-decreasing data streams from VANETs nodes. Such techniques should consider the nature of collected data; including:

- Spatiotemporal aspects : nodes are changing their location continuously
- Ad hoc nature: nodes movements are not predictable
- Distribution: distributed solutions enhance computational time and storage requirements
- Dynamicity: features are not static; data values are dynamic
- High Response time: un timely decisions lose their value

Traditional data mining techniques have not designed to handle such issues for mining and analyzing data. Therefore, there is a need for specialized techniques that are able to provide smart and intelligent solutions for mining and analyzing data collected from vehicular ad hoc networks. Table 1 summarizes the differences between traditional and VANETs data mining techniques.

Table 1 Differences between Traditional and Specialized Techniques for VANETs

Characteristic	Traditional Techniques	VANET-Specialized Techniques
Centralization	Centralized	Distributed
Nature of Data	Static	Dynamic
Features	Fixed	Changing
Node Location	Fixed	Dynamic
Response Time	Asynchronous	Synchronous
Time Complexity	High	Low

2.3 Research Challenges

Section 2.2 lists the differences between the characteristics of traditional data mining techniques and specialized techniques for VANETs. The comparison has been made based on the required aspects of domain applications. In this section, we illuminate the new research challenges resulted from such differences.

1. High performance and accurate algorithms are required while preserving or minimizing the required computation. VANETs applications require timely and accurate information as late information loss their value (Time Complexity).
2. Fully or semi Distribution of data processing so that nodes might take the decision by their own. Pure centralized data processing is useless for reasons such as: bottle-neck, single-point-of-failure, and performance degradation.
3. Algorithms should integrate static and dynamic data; static data to preserve the environmental characteristics (such as roads) and dynamic data to deal with node movements.
4. The data mining feature extraction task should take into account that some features might leave and some might come as objects are moving over time from environment to another.
5. The response time challenge requires developing online mining and analysis of data; such as: online outlier detection and online clustering and classification of moving data objects.
6. Because environmental variables and mining features are subject to change, the mining results might change from time to time. This implies that the mining model should adaptable so that it could be changed frequently. Capturing the changing results over time is a key challenge in this domain.
7. As the number of nodes in VANETs is not predictable (ad hoc) and they might be subject to similar circumstances, data aggregation and transformation techniques play a significant role for reducing network traffics.

8. In VANETs, the nature of data is not fixed; the components of the network might generate homogenous and heterogeneous data. Integrating, analyzing, and mining patterns from both source of dynamic data is a key challenge.

During the last decade, researchers have developed new techniques by modifying the traditional ones to cope with VANETs data characteristics. In this paper, we organized these techniques according to a proposed taxonomy that classify the data mining techniques for VANETs into set of categories based on the data mining task.

3. Taxonomy of Surveyed Techniques

This section introduces our taxonomy for current techniques that have been proposed for mining data generated by VANETs. Our approach relies on top-down taxonomy, in which abstract levels are representing general and state-of-the-art techniques. However, lower levels are dedicated for vehicular networks to handle its own aspects and specifications such as its dynamicity and topology stability. Figure 2 shows our proposed taxonomy.




Figure 2 Taxonomy of Data Mining Techniques for VANETs

Our taxonomy refers to four data mining tasks: preprocessing, outlier detection, clustering, and classification techniques. First, we considered the preprocessing task since it is highly significant especially when the sources of data are heterogeneous. In this case, data need to be integrated, cleaned, normalized, aggregated (in some cases), and transformed into a unified form. On the other hand, homogenous sources also need to be cleaned, normalized and transformed in order to come up with unified services.

Next, outlier detection plays a significant role during the development of VANETs services. It is applied to filter out intruders and separate operational regions. Two types of outlier detection are investigated: online and offline techniques. While online techniques are prone to errors and performance degradation, they are important in alarming emergent situations. On the other hand, offline outlier detection techniques are important to analyze histories and report intrusions or patterns of penetrations.

Clustering of vehicles is a key service during the system lifetime. Within the same road, vehicles are subject to be categorized for customized services or creating an instance topology. In this taxonomy, we found that clustering techniques are of two types: centralized with a cluster head or dynamically distribute the decision power to all vehicles (nodes). Both techniques are well-known in the computer science. However, customizing such techniques in VANETs requires careful design of online and offline techniques.

Finally, predicting a class label for vehicles is a key service. It is the process of assigning a label to one or group of vehicles. There many classification techniques that have been proposed to handle such task. Most of these techniques are centralized due to the difficulty to distribute historical data, which is required to supervise the learning process. Furthermore, online classification remains a challenge in this domain, with noticeable difficulty in achieving acceptable performance.

4. Data Mining Techniques for VANETs

4.1 Data Pre-Processing

In this section, a set of data mining techniques are illuminated to identify how preprocessing tasks have solved several problems in VANETs. However, content sharing schemes have been studied [37] to overcome unreliable connections, spatiotemporal aspects, and interoperability among vehicles in VANETs.

This section investigates different preprocessing techniques that have developed for cleaning, transforming, or normalizing data collected from VANETs. Because VANETs might run among different manufacturing vehicles with different standards and platforms, researchers differentiate among homogenous and heterogeneous preprocessing tasks. This taxonomy makes it easier to understand the complexity of applying techniques.

In [38], authors proposed a novel technique, called RD: Role-Differentiated, to detect false data in VANETs. This technique assumes that every sensor is able to attach a confidence score for every reporting event; this depends on rules defined by the standard operator of the VANETs (i.e. traffic department). According to the confidence scores reported by sensors in a VANET, the proposed algorithm computes the plausibility of a given event based on an empirical mathematical model.

Detecting of missing values is a key task since wireless communications are prone to noise. Many solutions have been proposed such as: predicting missing values using statistical dispersion measure [39], applying association rules induced from known patterns based on closed-frequent item sets [40], and applying precision estimations using physical models (DEPM) or statistical models (DESM) to examine specification of sensed features [41].

Another interested technique for handling noisy data is the one proposed by [42], which handled the estimation of data according to the spatial characteristics of planted sensors using graph mappings. Rather, researchers in [43] proposed a methodology that is based on the connectivity among sensors to develop association rules for predicting missing values.

In [44] researchers applied multiple-regression model for predicting missing values. First, they applied linear regression model to predict spatiotemporal dimensions. Then, they assign the weighted coefficients to the two spatiotemporal estimated values computed according to the goodness-of-fit, and then uses the weighted average of the two values as the final predicted value

In [45], researchers studied traffic information queries in VANETs. They proposed an efficient querying algorithm with restricted conditions to broadcast querying information to vehicles around. Their algorithm reduces the redundant data and control the communication congestion effectively.

In [46], researchers proposed a method for building dynamic data for solving data aggregation problem and minimizing the number data sources. In [47], researchers proposed Caas to structure the network into a set of clusters according to some clustering features. The purpose is to provide content based routing for communication among clusters and delay routing for communication among external clusters.

In [48], researchers provided an extensive study to the authentication problem in VANETs, which is required to validation vehicle-to-vehicle, vehicle-to-infrastructure, and infrastructure-to-vehicle communications. The paper show different authentication algorithms and cryptographic schemes.

In [49], researchers studied data aggregation in wireless sensor networks to reduce redundant data and improve communication. The paper introduced an adaptive forwarding delay control scheme, namely Catch-Up, which dynamically changes the forwarding speed of nearby reports so that they have a better chance to meet each other and be aggregated together. In [50], researchers proposed MCNC (Multicast Network Coding) for aggregating and disseminating data in VANETs.

In [51], researchers proposed an aggregation model implemented in road side units using time converge globally and space converge locally. This method reduces data redundancy and transmission delay. In [52], researchers studied the security models for a set data aggregation models in VANETs.

4.2 Outlier Detection

Work on outlier detection including misbehavior, intruder, and anomaly detection has produced very rich literature in VANETs and mobile ad hoc networks. This section summarizes and discusses the state-of-the-art techniques developed for VANETs. To facilitate understanding the outlier problem in VANETs, the reviewed techniques have been divided into offline and online detection techniques. The former represents these techniques that build to respond in an asynchronous manner, while the later respond on time (synchronous).

In the literature, the outlier detection techniques have been classified into four categories [53]: failed node behavior, badly failed node behavior, selfish attack, and malicious attack. The previous classification based on the node's intent and action during communication. Selfish attack is defined as intentional passive misbehavior in which nodes do not fully participate in forwarding messages to conserve their resources. On the other hand, malicious attack is defined as an intentional active misbehavior in which nodes aims to interrupt network operations.

Many intrusion detection systems (IDS) have been built to handle different node misbehaviors. Several techniques have been proposed to develop such systems on individual peers due to the lack of standardize infrastructure [54, 55, 56, 57]. Most of these techniques rely on embedding nodes with IDS sensor that is considered always an active sensor. Such assumption raises the problem of energy consumption and its important effect on various wireless sensor networks technologies. However, research in Huang et al. [58] propose a an IDS framework, in which detecting misbehavior nodes according to their clusters that are formed in ad hoc networks, which lead to reduce the power consumption for each node.

On the common malicious activities in ad hoc networks is routing misbehavior, where attacker aims to pollute the ad hoc network in order to plant some nodes. Then, the attacker uses these nodes to disturb the routing services. An interesting research to handle this kind of attack has been introduced by Marti et al. [59], which proposed two techniques, namely *watchdog* and *pathrater*, to detect and isolate misbehaving nodes, which are nodes that do not forward packets. Furthermore, many other solutions have been proposed to handle routing attacks such as [60, 61, 62].

Raya et al. [63] proposed a trust management scheme for VANET, in which a distributed spanning tree (DST) is used to combine multiple evidences for trust. In their paper, DST is not applied to combine evidences in real-time. On the other hand, DST has been used to integrate the direct observations from each IDS sensor in [64]. In [65], researchers proposed a weighted voting technique for detecting misbehavior nodes.

In [66] researchers proposed a multi-model technique that provide the ability to detect outliers and model inconsistencies. In [67], researchers proposed RaBTM; a beacon-based trust management system that prorogate opinions and block internal attackers from sending or forwarding forged packets in VANETs. A similar but self-organized trust management system for VANETs has been proposed in [68].

4.3 Data Clustering

Data clustering is defined as the process of categorizing related data into a set of clusters. It is an unsupervised learning; in which the clustering algorithm has no clue about the relationships among data objects. Two directions have been considered: data clustering and node clustering [69]. There many proposed approaches in the literature that handle the problem using data or node clustering, each of them has its advantages and disadvantages. In this section, we highlight these techniques according to our proposed taxonomy.

H-Cluster has been proposed by [70], which proposes a node based clustering by promoting the idea of local and global clusters. The local clusters combine sensors in which their features can be combined. A similar algorithm (Energy efficient dynamic clustering EEDC) proposed in [71] that focusing on clustering sensor nodes, but for the purpose of minimizing power consumption. EEDC is functioning on grouping homogeneous sensors in order to find alternatives.

LEACH [72], HEED [73], and MRECA[74] are well-known techniques in clustering sensor nodes for the purpose of minimizing the power consumption of the sensory network. While these algorithms are focusing on scalability as well as energy, all of them require dynamic topology schemes when applying to VANETs. They all designed to organize unmoving sensors, rather than moving ones.

Another interested approach that has been proposed in [75] relies on measuring the distance between the cluster head and its candidate members. It is relying on the spatio-temporal features of the leader node by collecting such features into vectors and then measures the distance or the statistical correlation among them. The nearest group is the highly candidate one. The only limitation of this algorithm is the characteristics of the cluster head and the way the algorithm voting for it. In VANETs, the dynamicity enforces changing the cluster head frequently. DCC (data correlation-based clustering) [76] is a similar approach, but based on data clustering; rather than nodes.

Data-based clustering techniques were relying on aggregation [77], and queries generated from nodes (similarity) [78]. The performance of applying such techniques on VANETs data are not acceptable since all these techniques missed the fact that objects are moving overtime; thus the space and time features should be incorporated.

A distributed, hierarchical clustering and Summarization algorithm (DHCS) [79] has been proposed for clustering online data generated from cordless devices such as VANETs. The proposed technique clusters vehicles by combining data with their geographical approximate.

4.4 Data Classification

Data classification is a supervised learning task in data mining in which a predefined set of data (training) is used to build a model then tested (testing data) against classification accuracy. It is supervised since the training and testing data sets are labeled, and assumed to be correct. Many applications can be extracted from classifying VANET data, such as: detecting emergent situations, road status, and predicting future events.

Rule-based classification techniques are the simplest classifiers that are easily modeled and applied. In [23] a simple lazy classifier has been proposed in a framework that can be applied to VANET data. Another approach to facilitate the generation of rules is the application of decision trees. In [23], researchers proposed the development of a decision tree using frequent-pattern generation. On the other hand, a similar approach in [19] applies Apriori algorithm to extract the frequent-patterns.

Trajectory classification shows a significant performance in classifying moving objects. In [80], authors proposed the application of nearest neighbor trajectory classification (NNTC) using frequent detected labels. A major limitation of this technique is the ignorance of the environmental variables. Such limitation has been handled by [81] using a prediction model that incorporates environmental variables that are subject to change overtime. However, in many cases, data granularity plays a significant role in degrading the performance of the classifier. Researchers in [82] proposed a lightweight technique to minimize the effect of granularity using a one-pass algorithm.

Implementing classifiers in a distributed environment has also attracted researchers in this domain. A voting-based distributed classifier has been proposed in [83] for the purpose of incorporating and aggregating information from all sensors in the network. While this approach seems heavy and hard to implement in a changing environment, it provides high performance in terms of accuracy and detection of emergent situations.

In [84], authors suggest the segmentation of vectors according to fixed and weighted segments. The proposed incremental learning process contributes to enhancing the performance while minimizing error rates. The limitation of this work is the implementation complexity, since the algorithm spans all possible combinations of vectored features in a sequential order.

5. Comparison of Some Existing Clustering and Classification Techniques

In this section, we provide a comparison among different clustering and classification techniques. These comparisons have been conducted on data generated by VANET simulator (NS2). Table 2 shows different algorithms and their performance in terms of the average accuracy, intra-cluster balancing, and time complexity

Table 2 A comparison among different clustering techniques against accuracy and time complexity

Cluster Algorithm	Average of Correctly Clustered Instances	Average of Within-Cluster Sum of Squared Error	Time Complexity
HEED	54.9%	21.4%	$O(N^2)$
MRECA	49.1%	28.6%	$O(N)$
EEDC	56.4%	19.5%	$O(N \cdot \log_n K)$
LEACH	63.2%	15.7%	$O(N \cdot \log_n K)$
Dynamic Rough-based Cluster	68.1%	11.6%	$O(N \cdot K)$

Table 3 shows state-of-the-art classification techniques that have been widely applied to VANET datasets. The comparison is based on reporting the accuracy measurements of TP, FP, and TN.

Table 3 A comparison of state-of-the-art classifiers against accuracy

Classifier	True-Positive	False-Positive	True-Negative
C 4.5 (J48 based)	68.3%	28.6%	21.4%
SVM (Support Vector Machine)	68.6%	28.2%	20.8%
K-NN (K-Nearest Neighbor)	60.7%	31.4%	22.2%
MLP (A Multilayer Perceptron)	69.1%	26.3%	21.9%
LPM (Linear Programming Machine)	59.2%	38.6%	28.1%
RDA (Regularized Discriminant Analysis)	57.5%	39.9%	29.8%
FD (Feature-Deselective)	51.4%	42.0%	33.5%
DEC	79.9%	20.1%	10.0%

(Dynamic Event)			
-----------------	--	--	--

6. Conclusion

This paper provided a survey on key techniques and algorithms that have been proposed in the literature and covered the data mining techniques that have been applied to wireless sensor networks and vehicular ad hoc networks. The contribution of this paper is to propose a taxonomy that is able to classify such techniques according to their applicability and requirements.

It also provides researchers with brief information and literature that would help in advancing the research in analyzing VANET data. As a result, a comparison of different algorithmic characteristics has been provided, which would facilitate choosing algorithms according to the problems under investigation.

References

1. Al Zamil, Mohammed GH, and Aysu Betin Can. "A model based on multi-features to enhance healthcare and medical document retrieval." *Informatics for Health and Social Care* 36.2 (2011): 100-115.
2. Zamil, Mohammed AL, and Aysu Betin Can. "Toward effective medical search engines." *Health Informatics and Bioinformatics (HIBIT)*, 2010 5th International Symposium on. IEEE, 2010.
3. Al Zamil, Mohammed GH, and Aysu Betin Can. "ROLEX-SP: Rules of lexical syntactic patterns for free text categorization." *Knowledge-Based Systems* 24.1 (2011): 58-65.
4. Rawashdeh, M., Zamil, M. G. A., Hossain, M. S., Samarah, S., Amin, S. U., & Muhammad, G. (2018). Reliable service delivery in Tele-health care systems. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 115, 86-93.
5. Samarah, Samer, et al. "An Efficient Activity Recognition Framework: Toward Privacy-Sensitive Health Data Sensing." *IEEE Access* 5 (2017): 3848-3859.
6. Wang, Y. Review of Vehicular Networks, from theory to practice, edited by Stephan Olariu and Michele C. Weigle. *ACM SIGACT News*, 2012, 43(4), pp. 25-29.
7. Zamil, Mohammed GH AL, and Samer Samarah. "Dynamic rough-based clustering for vehicular ad-hoc networks." *International Journal of Information and Decision Sciences* 7.3 (2015): 265-285.
8. Zamil, Mohammed GH AL, and Samer Samarah. "Dynamic event classification for intrusion and false alarm detection in vehicular ad hoc networks." *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology* 8.2-3 (2016): 140-164.
9. Al Zamil, Mohammed GH, et al. "An ODT-based abstraction for mining closed sequential temporal patterns in IoT-cloud smart homes." *Cluster Computing* 20.2 (2017): 1815-1829.
10. Al Zamil, Mohammed GH, and Samer Samarah. "The application of semantic-based classification on big data." *Information and Communication Systems (ICICS)*, 2014 5th International Conference on. IEEE, 2014.

11. Samarah, Samer, Mohammed Al Zamil, and Ahmad Saifan. "Model checking based classification technique for wireless sensor networks." *New Review of Information Networking* 17.2 (2012): 93-107.
12. M. Xie, S. Han, B. Tian, and S. Parvin, "Anomaly detection in wireless sensor networks: a survey," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1302–1325, 2011. View at Publisher · View at Google Scholar · View at Scopus
13. Y. Zhang, N. Meratnia, and P. Havinga, "Outlier detection techniques for wireless sensor networks: a survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 159–170, 2010. View at Publisher · View at Google Scholar · View at Scopus
14. V. Chandola, A. Banerjee, and V. Kumar, "Anomaly detection: a survey," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 41, no. 3, article 15, 2009
15. Yang, Hang, et al. "Optimizing Classification Decision Trees by Using Weighted Naive Bayes Predictors to Reduce the Imbalanced Class Problem in Wireless Sensor Network." *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks* 2013 (2013).
16. B. Malhotra, I. Nikolaidis, and J. Harms, "Distributed classification of acoustic targets in wireless audio-sensor networks," *Computer Networks*, vol. 52, no. 13, pp. 2582–2593, 2008
17. Swamy, Tata Jagannadha, Thaskani Sandhya, and Garimella Ramamurthy. "Link evaluation of uniform grid based wireless sensor networks to base station with leveling and clustering." *Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), 2013 International Conference on. IEEE, 2013.*
18. B. Chikhaoui, S. Wang, and H. Pigot, "A new algorithm based on sequential pattern mining for person identification in ubiquitous environments," in *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Knowledge Discovery from Sensor Data (ACM SensorKDD '10)*, pp. 20–28, Washington, DC, USA, 2010.
19. R. Agrawal and R. Srikant, "Mining sequential patterns," in *Proceedings of the IEEE 11th International Conference on Data Engineering*, pp. 3–14, March 1995
20. J. R. M. Bauchet, S. Giroux, H. Pigot, et al., "Pervasive assistance in smart homes for people with intellectual disabilities: a case study on meal preparation," *International Journal of Assistive Robotics and Mechatronics*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 42–54, 2008.
21. D. J. Cook and M. Schmitter-Edgecombe, "Assessing the quality of activities in a smart environment," *Methods of Information in Medicine*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 480–485, 2009
22. I. H. Witten and E. Frank, *Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques With Java Implementation*, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000.
23. K. Sharma, M. Rajpoot, and L. K. Sharma, "Nearest neighbour classification for wireless sensor network data," *International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology*, no. 2, 2011.
24. Alsmadi, Izzat, et al. "Automatic model based methods to improve test effectiveness." *Universal Journal of Computer Science and Engineering Technology* 1.1 (2010): 41-49.
25. Al-Shawakfa, Emad, and Mohammed Al Zamil. "Optimizing STRIPS Algorithm using AI Planning." *ABHATH AL-YARMOUK* (2008): 333.
26. Kanaan, Ghassan, et al. "Comparison between ad-hoc retrieval and filtering retrieval using arabic documents." *International Journal of Computer Processing of Oriental Languages* 17.03 (2004): 181-199.

27. Zamil, Mohammed GH I. AL, and Eman AL Momani. "The Impact of Rate of Change on Object Oriented Paradigm." *International Journal of Software Engineering and Its Applications* 7.5: 25-38.
28. Zamil, Mohammed GH Al. "A verifiable framework for smart sensory systems." *International Journal of Embedded Systems* 9.5 (2017): 413-425.
29. Zamil, Mohammed GH AL. "Verifying smart sensory systems on cloud computing frameworks." *Procedia Computer Science* 52 (2015): 1126-1132.
30. Gh Al Zamil, Mohammed, and Samer Samarah. "Application of design for verification to smart sensory systems." *Qatar Foundation Annual Research Conference*. No. 1. 2014.
31. Al Zamil, Mohammed Gh, et al. "An Annotation Technique for In-Home Smart Monitoring Environments." *IEEE Access* 6 (2018): 1471-1479.
32. Rawashdeh, Majdi, et al. "A knowledge-driven approach for activity recognition in smart homes based on activity profiling." *Future Generation Computer Systems* (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.10.031>.
33. Zuhairy, Ruwaida M., and Mohammed GH Al Zamil. "Energy-efficient load balancing in wireless sensor network: An application of multinomial regression analysis." *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks* 14.3 (2018): 1550147718764641.
34. Mahmood, Azhar, et al. "Data Mining Techniques for Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey." *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks* 2013 (2013).
35. Han, Jiawei, Micheline Kamber, and Jian Pei. *Data mining: concepts and techniques*. Morgan kaufmann, 2006.
36. Riley, Marshall, Kemal Akkaya, and Kenny Fong. "Group-based hybrid authentication scheme for cooperative collision warnings in VANETs." *Security and Communication Networks* 4.12 (2011): 1469-1482.
37. Zhang, Yang, Jing Zhao, and Guohong Cao. "Roadcast: a popularity aware content sharing scheme in vanets." *ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review* 13.4 (2010): 1-14.
38. Sha, Kewei, Shinan Wang, and Weisong Shi. "RD4: role-differentiated cooperative deceptive data detection and filtering in VANETs." *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology* 59.3 (2010): 1183.
39. Zamil, M. Al, et al. "Dispersion-based prediction framework for estimating missing values in wireless sensor networks." *International Journal of Sensor Networks* 12.3 (2012): 149-159.
40. Jiang, Nan, and Le Gruenwald. "Estimating missing data in data streams." *Advances in Databases: Concepts, Systems and Applications*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007. 981-987.
41. Li, Yingshu, et al. "Data estimation in sensor networks using physical and statistical methodologies." *Distributed Computing Systems, 2008. ICDCS'08. The 28th International Conference on*. IEEE, 2008.
42. Zhang, Haotian, José MF Moura, and Bruce Krogh. "Estimation in sensor networks: A graph approach." *Proceedings of the 4th international symposium on Information processing in sensor networks*. IEEE Press, 2005.
43. Othman, Leila Ben, and Sadok Ben Yahia. "Yet another approach for completing missing values." *Concept Lattices and Their Applications*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008. 155-169.

44. Liqiang, Pan, and Li Jianzhong. "A Multiple-Regression-Model-Based Missing Values Imputation Algorithm in Wireless Sensor Network." *Journal of Computer Research and Development* 46.12 (2009): 2101-2110.
45. Wang, Xinjing, et al. "A novel data broadcast strategy for traffic information query in the VANETs." *Web-Age Information Management*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013. 453-464.
46. Wu, Jun, and Luo Zhong. "A New Data Aggregation Model for Intelligent Transportation System." *Advanced Materials Research* 671 (2013): 2855-2859.
47. Mousannif, Hajar, Ismail Khalil, and Stephan Olariu. "Cooperation as a service in VANET: implementation and simulation results." *Mobile Information Systems* 8.2 (2012): 153-172.
48. Song, Lu, Qingtong Han, and Jianwei Liu. "Investigate key management and authentication models in VANETs." *Electronics, Communications and Control (ICECC), 2011 International Conference on*. IEEE, 2011.
49. Yu, Bo, Cheng-Zhong Xu, and Minyi Guo. "Adaptive forwarding delay control for VANET data aggregation." *Parallel and Distributed Systems, IEEE Transactions on* 23.1 (2012): 11-18.
50. Li, Lingzhi, et al. "MCNC: Data Aggregation and Dissemination in Vehicular Ad hoc Networks Using Multicast Network Coding." *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks* 2013 (2013).
51. Sun, Lin, et al. "Data Aggregation and Information Type in Road Probing." *Internet of Things*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012. 529-534.
52. Mohanty, Sagarika, and Debasish Jena. "Secure Data Aggregation in Vehicular-Adhoc Networks: A Survey." *Procedia Technology* 6 (2012): 922-929.
53. P. W. Yau and C. J. Mitchell, "Security Vulnerabilities in Ad hoc Networks", *Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Communication Theory and Applications*, pp. 99–104, 2003.
54. Y. Zhang and W. Lee, "Intrusion Detection in Wireless Ad-hoc Networks", in *Proceedings of the 6th Annual international Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MOBICOM00)*, Boston, MA, USA, pp. 275-283, 2000.
55. H. Deng, Q. Zeng, and D. P. Agrawal, "SVM-based Intrusion Detection System for Wireless Ad Hoc Networks", in *Proceedings of the IEEE VTC03*, vol. 3, pp. 2147–2151, Orlando, FL, USA, 2003.
56. O.Kachirski and R. Guha, "Intrusion Detection Using Mobile Agents in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks", in *Proceedings of the IEEE Workshop on Knowledge Media Networking*, pp. 153–158, Kyoto, Japan, 2002.
57. C. Tseng, P. Balasubramanyam, C. Ko, R. Limprasittiporn, J. Rowe, and K. Levitt, "A Specification-based Intrusion Detection System for AODV", in *Proceedings of the 1st ACM workshop on Security of ad hoc and sensor networks(SASN03)*, Fairfax, VA, USA, pp. 125–134, 2003.
58. Huang, Yi-an, and Wenke Lee. "A cooperative intrusion detection system for ad hoc networks." *Proceedings of the 1st ACM workshop on Security of ad hoc and sensor networks*. ACM, 2003.
59. S. Marti, T. J. Giuli, K. Lai, and M. Baker, "Mitigating Routing Misbehavior in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks", in *Proceedings of the 6th Annual international Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MOBICOM00)*, Boston, MA, USA, pp. 255-265, 2000.

60. M. Kefayati, H. R. Rabiee, S. G. Miremadi, and A. Khonsari, "Misbehavior Resilient Multi-path Data Transmission in Mobile Ad-hoc Networks", in Proceedings of the Fourth ACM Workshop on Security of Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks (SASN06), Alexandria, VA, USA, pp. 91-100, 2006.
61. Y. Xue and K. Nahrstedt, "Providing Fault-Tolerant Ad hoc Routing Service in Adversarial Environments", *Wireless Personal Communication*, vol. 29, issue 3-4, pp. 367-388, 2004.
62. L. Anderegg and S. Eidenbenz, "Ad hoc-VCG: A Truthful and Cost-efficient Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks with Selfish Agents", in Proceedings of the 9th Annual international Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MOBICOM03), San Diego, CA, USA, pp. 245-259, 2003.
63. M. Raya, P. Papadimitratos, V.D. Gligor, J.-P. Hubaux, "On data-centric trust establishment in ephemeral ad hoc networks", in Proceedings of 27th IEEE Conference on Computer Communication (INFOCOM2008), Phoenix, AZ, USA, pp. 1238-1246, 2008.
64. T. M. Chen and V. Venkataramanan, "Dempster-Shafer theory for intrusion detection in ad hoc networks", *IEEE Internet Computing*, 9(6):35–41, Nov.– Dec. 2005.
65. W. Li, J. Parker and A. Joshi, "Security through Collaboration in MANETs", in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Collaborative Computing: Networking, Applications and Worksharing (CollaborateCom 2008), Orlando, FL, USA, Nov 2008
66. D. Tulone, S. Madden, "PAQ: time series forecasting for approximate query answering in sensor networks", 3rd European Conference on Wireless Sensor Networks, 2008
67. Wei, Yu-Chih, and Yi-Ming Chen. "An Efficient Trust Management System for Balancing the Safety and Location Privacy in VANETs." *Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications (TrustCom)*, 2012 IEEE 11th International Conference on. IEEE, 2012.
68. Wei, Yu-Chih, and Yi-Ming Chen. "Efficient Self-organized Trust Management in Location Privacy Enhanced VANETs." *Information Security Applications*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012. 328-344.
69. A. Taherkordi, R. Mohammadi, and F. Eliassen, "A communication-efficient distributed clustering algorithm for sensor networks," in Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops/Symposia (AINA '08), pp. 634–638, March 2008
70. L. Guo, C. Ai, X. Wang, Z. Cai, and Y. Li, "Real time clustering of sensory data in wireless sensor networks," in Proceedings of the IEEE 28th International Performance Computing and Communications Conference (IPCCC '09), pp. 33–40, December 2009
71. C. Liu, K. Wu, and J. Pei, "A dynamic clustering and scheduling approach to energy saving in data collection from wireless sensor networks," in Proceedings of the 2nd Annual IEEE Communications Society Conference on Sensor and AdHoc Communications and Networks (SECON '05), pp. 374–385, September 2005.-
72. W. R. Heinzelman, A. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, "Energy-efficient communication protocol for wireless microsensor networks," in Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS '00), vol. 2, p. 223, January 2000
73. O. Younis and S. Fahmy, "HEED: a hybrid, energy-efficient, distributed clustering approach for ad hoc sensor networks," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 366–379, 2004

74. Li, Jason H., Renato Levy, and Anna Teittinen. "A mobility-resistant efficient clustering approach for ad hoc and sensor networks." *ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review* 10.2 (2006): 1-12.
75. P. Beyens, A. Nowé, and K. Steenhaut, "High-density wireless sensor networks: a new clustering approach for prediction-based monitoring," in *Proceedings of the 2nd European Workshop on Wireless Sensor Networks (EWSN '05)*, pp. 188–196, February 2005.
76. M. H. Yeo, M. S. Lee, S. J. Lee, and J. S. Yoo, "Data correlation-based clustering in sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Computer Science and its Applications (CSA '08)*, pp. 332–337, October 2008
77. S. Yoon and C. Shahabi, "The Clustered AGgregation (CAG) technique leveraging spatial and temporal correlations in wireless sensor networks," *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, vol. 3, no. 1, Article ID 1210672, 2007
78. K. Wang, S. A. Ayyash, T. D. C. Little, and P. Basu, "Attribute-based clustering for information dissemination in wireless sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 2nd Annual IEEE Communications Society Conference on Sensor and AdHoc Communications and Networks (SECON '05)*, pp. 498–509, Santa Clara, Calif, USA, September 2005.
79. X. Ma, S. Li, Q. Luo, et al., "Distributed, hierarchical clustering and summarization in sensor networks," in *Advances in Data and Web Management*, pp. 168–175, 2007
80. L. K. Sharma, O. P. Vyas, S. Schieder, et al., "Nearest neighbour classification for trajectory data," *Information and Communication Technologies*, vol. 101, pp. 180–185, 2010
81. M. J. Akhlaghinia, A. Lotfi, C. Langensiepen, and N. Sherkat, "A fuzzy predictor model for the occupancy prediction of an intelligent inhabited environment," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ '08)*, pp. 939–946, June 2008. View at Publisher · View at Google Scholar · View at Scopus
82. M. Gaber, S. Krishnaswamy, and A. Zaslavsky, "On-board mining of data streams in sensor networks," in *Advanced Methods for Knowledge Discovery from Complex Data*, pp. 307–335, 2005
83. S. M. McConnell and D. B. Skillicorn, "A distributed approach for prediction in sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Data Mining in Sensor Networks*, Newport Beach, Calif, USA, 2005.
84. K. Flouri, B. Bekerfull-Lozano, and T. Tsakalides, "Distributed consensus algorithms for SVM training in wireless sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the 16th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO \09)*, 2008.