

Analysis of Nederlof's Algorithm for Subset Sum

Zhengjun Cao¹, Zhen Chen¹, Lihua Liu^{2,*}

Abstract. We show that Nederlof's algorithm [*Information Processing Letters*, 118 (2017), 15-16] for constructing a proof that the number of subsets summing to a particular integer equals a claimed quantity is flawed because: 1) its consistence is not kept; 2) the proposed recurrence formula is incorrect.

Keywords: subset sum problem, recurrence formula, dynamic programming.

1 Introduction

In computer science the subset sum problem is that: given a set (or multiset) of integers, is there a non-empty subset whose sum is equal to a given integer? In 1955, Gupta ever proved that [4]:

Theorem 1 *Let $P(A, t)$ denote the number of partitions of t into members of the set $A = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ (a_i distinct positive integers), $a_0 = 1$. Then*

$$\binom{t+n}{n} \leq P(A, t) \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \leq \binom{t + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j}{n}$$

In 1956, Bateman and Erdős proved that [3]

Theorem 2 *If A is any non-empty set of positive integers, then the number of partitions of n into members of the set A , $P(A, n)$, is a non-decreasing function of n for large n , if and only if A either: (i) contains the element 1 or (ii) A contains more than one element and, if we remove any single element from A , the remaining elements have greatest common divisor 1.*

In complexity theory, a proof system for subset sum problem is referred to as a Merlin-Arthur protocol. Babai and Moran [2] ever discussed the Arthur-Merlin games and a hierarchy of complexity classes. In 2016, Williams [6] pointed out the relation between strong ETH and Merlin-Arthur proof system. Austrin et al. [1] pointed out that a special case of sub-set sum may be the hardest. In 2017, Nederlof [5] proposed an algorithm for subset sum problem. In this note, we show that Nederlof algorithm is flawed.

¹Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China.

²Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai, China. * liulh@shmtu.edu.cn

2 Review of Nederlof's algorithm

The subset sum problem discussed by Nederlof [5] is that: given positive integers w_1, \dots, w_n along with a target integer t , the task is to determine whether there exists a subset $X \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$w(X) := \sum_{i \in X} w_i = t. \quad (1)$$

Such an X is referred to as a solution. The Nederlof's algorithm aims to construct a proof that the number of solutions of $(w_1, \dots, w_n; t)$ is c_t . To do so, the prover and the verifier execute the following algorithms, respectively.

Algorithm P($w_1, \dots, w_n; t$). Prove that the number of solutions is c_t .

Output: Prime $p = \Theta(\sqrt{nt})$, $c_i : |\{X \subset [n] : w(X) = i\}|$ for $i \leq nt : i \equiv_p t$.

- 1: Initiate $T[0, 0] = 1$ and $T[0, i] = 0$ for $0 < i \leq nt$.
- 2: **for** $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ **do**
- 3: **for** $i = 1 \rightarrow nt$ **do**
- 4: **if** $i < w_j$ **then**
- 5: $T[j, i] \leftarrow T[j - 1, i]$
- 6: **else**
- 7: $T[j, i] \leftarrow T[j - 1, i] + T[j - 1, i - w_j]$
- 8: Pick the smallest prime p such that $2\sqrt{nt} < p < 4\sqrt{nt}$.
- 9: **for** $i \leq nt$ such that $i \equiv_p t$ **do**
- 10: $c_i \leftarrow T[n, i]$.
- 11: **return** $(p, \{c_i\})$.

Algorithm V($w_1, \dots, w_n; t; p, \{c_i\}$). Verify the proof for number of solutions.

Output: c_t , if the proof is as output by P, NO with 1/2 probability otherwise.

- 12: Pick a prime q satisfying $2^{nt} < q < 2^{n+1}t$ and a random $r \in \mathbb{Z}_q$.
- 13: Initiate $T'[0, 0] = 1$ and $T'[0, i] = 0$ for $0 < i < p$.
- 14: **for** $j = 1 \rightarrow n$ **do**
- 15: **for** $i = 1 \rightarrow p$ **do**
- 16: $T'[j, i] \leftarrow (T'[j - 1, i] + r^{w_j} \cdot T'[j - 1, (i - w_j) \% p]) \% q$.
 $x \% p$ denotes remainder of x divided by p
- 17: Compute $\sum_i c_i r^i \% q$.
- 18: **if** $\sum_i c_i r^i \equiv_q T'[n, t \% p]$ **then return** c_t **else return** NO.

3 Analysis of Nederlof's algorithm

3.1 Inconsistency

The correctness of Nederlof's algorithm was not explained explicitly. For example, the choice of the prime p and the correctness of the recurrence formula

$$T'[j, i] = (T'[j - 1, i] + r^{w_j} \cdot T'[j - 1, (i - w_j) \% p]) \% q$$

are not explained. Besides, the initial values $T[1, 0], T[2, 0], \dots, T'[1, 0], T'[2, 0], \dots$, are not specified. We find its consistency is not kept. To see this flaw, it suffices to investigate the following example.

Example 1. Suppose that $w_1 = 1, w_2 = 2, w_3 = 3, w_4 = 4; t = 17$. Then $n = 4, nt = 68, c_{17} = 0, 2\sqrt{nt} = 2\sqrt{68} \approx 16.492$ and $p = 17$. By Nederlof's algorithm, we have

$$T[j, i] = \begin{cases} T[j - 1, i], & i < w_j \\ T[j - 1, i] + T[j - 1, i - w_j], & i \geq w_j \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 68; j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} T[0, 0] &= 1, T[1, 1] = T[0, 1] + T[0, 0] = 1, \\ T[2, 2] &= T[1, 2] + T[1, 0] = T[0, 2] + T[0, 1] + T[1, 0] = T[1, 0], \dots, \\ c_{17} &= T[4, 17] = T[3, 17] + T[3, 13] = \dots = 0, \quad c_{34} = c_{51} = c_{68} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

The prover's output is $p = 17, c_{17} = 0, c_{34} = 0, c_{51} = 0, c_{68} = 0$.

Suppose that the verifier picks $q = 277, r = 7$. Then $\sum_i c_i r^i = 7$.

By the recurrence formula

$$T'[j, i] = (T'[j - 1, i] + r^{w_j} \cdot T'[j - 1, (i - w_j) \% p]) \% q \quad (3)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} T'[n, t \% p] &= T'[4, 20 \% 19] = T'[4, 1] \\ &= (T'[3, 1] + 7^4 T'[3, (1 - 4) \% 19]) \% 331 = (T'[3, 1] + 7^4 T'[3, 16]) \% 331 \\ &= (T'[2, 1] + 7^3 T'[2, 17] + 7^4 T'[2, 16] + 7^7 T'[2, 13]) \% 331 \\ &= \dots = 7 T'[0, 0] \% 331. \end{aligned}$$

To ensure $\sum_i c_i r^i \equiv_q T'[n, t \% p]$, it has to specify $T'[0, 0] = 0$. This leads to a contradiction.

That means the consistence of the algorithm is not kept.

3.2 The correct recurrence formula

Suppose that $A = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$, all members are positive integers and $w_i \neq w_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $A_j = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_j\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Denote the number of partitions of i into members of the set A_j by $T[A_j, i]$. Then the following recurrence formula

$$T[A_j, i] = \begin{cases} T[A_{j-1}, i], & i < w_j \\ T[A_{j-1}, i] + T[A_{j-1}, i - w_j], & i \geq w_j \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

holds, where $T[A_{j-1}, i]$ is the number of solutions which do not contain w_j and $T[A_{j-1}, i - w_j]$ is the number of solutions which do contain w_j exactly once. Apparently, Nederlof [5] confused Eq.(2) with Eq.(4).

4 Conclusion

We analyze the Nederlof's algorithm for constructing a proof that the number of subsets summing to an integer is a claimed quantity. We also remark that it is somewhat difficult to theoretically compute the number of partitions of an positive integer into members of a finite set.

References

- [1] P. Austrin, et al., Dense sub-set sum may be the hardest, 33rd Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, STACS'16, pp. 13:1-13:14, 2016.
- [2] L. Babai, S. Moran, Arthur-Merlin games: a randomized proof system, and a hierarchy of complexity classes, J. Comput. Syst. Sci., 36 (2) (1988), 254-276.
- [3] P. Bateman and P. Erdős, Monotonicity of partition functions, Mathematika, 3 (1956), 1-14.
- [4] H. Gupta, Partitions in general, Research Bull. Panjab Univ., 67 (1955), 31-38.
- [5] J. Nederlof, A short note on Merlin-Arthur protocols for subset sum, Information Processing Letters, 118 (2017), 15-16.
- [6] R. Williams, Strong ETH breaks with Merlin and Arthur: short non-interactive proofs of batch evaluation, 31st Conference on Computational Complexity, CCC'016, pp. 2:1-2:17, 2016.