

## PARAMETRIZING ARF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, B. A. HEREDIA, H. İ. KARAKAŞ, AND J. C. ROSALES

ABSTRACT. We present procedures to calculate the set of Arf numerical semigroups with given genus, given conductor and given genus and conductor. We characterize the Kunz coordinates of an Arf numerical semigroup. We also describe Arf numerical semigroups with fixed Frobenius number and multiplicity up to six.

### INTRODUCTION

Du Val showed in [12] how multiplicity sequences of the successive blow-ups of a curve can be used to classify singularities. His approach was geometric in nature and asked, while he was presenting his results in the University of Istanbul, if there were an algebraic counterpart of his findings. Arf, who was attending Du Val's lecture said that the computation of Du Val's characters could be calculated by algebraic means, and after a week he showed how to do this. The results were published in [1] and later these characters were called Arf Characters of a curve.

Arf's idea was to calculate what Limpan called later in [16] the Arf ring closure of the coordinate ring of the curve, and then its value semigroup (which is an Arf numerical semigroup). The minimal generators of this semigroup are the Arf characters.

The idea of using valuations and numerical semigroups to study curves was not new (this was already carried out by Zariski for plane algebraic curves [26]), nor the idea of producing successive blowing ups of the curve (in three dimensions, this was done already by Semple in [24]). Sertöz in [25] presents a historic overview and motivation of the problem (see also his appendix to [2]).

Arf numerical semigroups are always of maximal embedding dimension (see [4] for this and other maximal properties on numerical semigroups and one dimensional local rings). This family of numerical semigroups has several nice properties; we summarize some of them. They are closed under finite intersections and if we adjoin to an Arf numerical semigroup its Frobenius number, then the resulting semigroup is again an Arf semigroup ([23]; in other words, the set of Arf numerical semigroups is a Frobenius variety, see [19]). Arf numerical semigroups can be also defined by the patterns [7, 8]  $x + y - z$  or  $2x - y$ : for all  $x, y$  and  $z$  nonnegative integers in the semigroup, if  $x \geq y \geq z$ , then  $x + y - z$  is again in the semigroup. Moreover quotients (or fractions) by positive integers of Arf numerical semigroup are again Arf, see [11].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M14, 11D07, 05A17, 14E15.

*Key words and phrases.* numerical semigroup, Arf, genus, Frobenius number, integer partition.

The second author is supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology) through the project UID/MAT/00297/2013 (Centro de Matemática e Aplicações).

The authors are supported by the projects MTM2014-55367-P, FQM-343, FQM-5849, and FEDER funds.

Also parameters of algebro-geometric codes associated to these semigroups are well understood [9]. Arf semigroups are acute semigroups, that is, the last interval of gaps before the conductor is smaller than the previous interval of gaps [6].

In this manuscript we describe a way to calculate all Arf numerical semigroups with a prescribed genus and/or conductor. This is accomplished by means of Arf sequences, associating to each of these sequences an Arf numerical semigroup. We also characterize the Kunz coordinates of an Arf numerical semigroup.

In the last section, with the use of Apéry sets, we show how to parametrically describe all Arf numerical semigroups with fixed Frobenius number and multiplicity up to six.

The algorithms presented have been implemented in GAP [13] and will appear in a forthcoming version of the accepted GAP package `numericalsgps` [10]. The development version of `numericalsgps` is freely available at <https://bitbucket.org/gap-system/numericalsgps>. The reader interested in the implementation may have a look at the manual and the file `arf-med.gi` in the `gap` folder of the package.

## 1. NOTATION

We will follow the notation of [21]. The reader interested in plane curves and numerical semigroups can have a look at [3, Chapter 4]. A nice description of one dimensional analytically irreducible local rings and their value semigroups can be found in [4] (we also recommend this manuscript a good explanation on how the terminology used in numerical semigroups comes from Algebraic Geometry).

A *numerical semigroup*  $S$  is a submonoid of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of nonnegative integers, under addition and with finite complement in  $\mathbb{N}$ . A nonnegative integer  $g$  not in  $S$  is known as a *gap* of  $S$ , and the cardinality of the set of gaps of  $S$ ,  $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ , is the *genus* of  $S$  (or degree of singularity of  $S$ , [4]), denoted  $g(S)$ .

As  $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$  has finitely many elements, the set  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus S$  (with  $\mathbb{Z}$  the set of integers) has a maximum, which is known as the *Frobenius number* of  $S$ ,  $F(S)$ . In fact, the *conductor* of  $S$ , denoted here by  $c(S)$ , is the Frobenius number of  $S$  plus one ([4] explains the relationship with the conductor of the semigroup ring associated to  $S$ ).

For a nonempty set of nonnegative integers  $A$  we denote by

$$\langle A \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{a \in A} \lambda_a a \mid \lambda_a \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } a \in A \right\},$$

the submonoid of  $\mathbb{N}$  generated by  $A$ , where the sums have all but finitely many  $\lambda_a$  equal to zero. We say that  $A$  *generates*  $S$  if  $\langle A \rangle = S$ , and that  $A$  is a *minimal generating system* of  $S$  if  $A$  generates  $S$  and no proper subset of  $A$  has this property. Every numerical semigroup  $S$  has a unique minimal generating system:  $S^* \setminus (S^* + S^*)$ , where  $S^* = S \setminus \{0\}$  [21, Chapter 1]. This minimal generating system must contain the *multiplicity* of  $S$ , denoted  $m(S)$ , which is the least positive integer in  $S$ . The cardinality of  $S^* \setminus (S^* + S^*)$  is the *embedding dimension* of  $S$ .

Since two minimal generators cannot be congruent modulo the multiplicity of  $S$ , it follows that the embedding dimension of  $S$  is less than or equal to its multiplicity. Numerical semigroups attaining this upper bound are called *maximal embedding dimension numerical semigroups*. There are many characterizations of the maximal embedding dimension property. One of them is the following (see for instance [4])

or [21, Chapter 2]): a numerical semigroup has maximal embedding dimension if and only if for every  $x, y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ , the integer  $x + y - m(S)$  is in  $S$ .

We are interested in this manuscript in a subfamily of maximal embedding numerical semigroups, which is the set of Arf numerical semigroups. A numerical semigroup has the *Arf property* if for every  $x, y, z \in S$  with  $x \geq y \geq z$ , we have  $x + y - z \in S$  (from this definition it follows easily that Arf numerical semigroups have maximal embedding dimension). The Arf property on  $S$  is equivalent to:  $2x - y \in S$  for every  $x, y \in S$  with  $x \geq y$ .

Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that  $I$  is a relative *ideal* of  $S$  if  $I + S \subseteq I$  and there exists an integer  $i$  such that  $i + I \subseteq S$ . Given  $I$  and  $J$  ideals of  $S$ , the set

$$I -_{\mathbb{Z}} J = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z + J \subseteq I\}$$

is again an ideal of  $S$ , as it is  $nI = \{i_1 + \cdots + i_n \mid i_1, \dots, i_n \in I\}$  [4]. The *Lipman semigroup* of  $S$  with respect to  $I$  is defined as

$$L(S, I) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (nI -_{\mathbb{Z}} nI),$$

and it is also called the *semigroup obtained from  $S$  by blowing-up  $I$*  [4, Section I.2].

An ideal  $I$  is *proper* if  $I \subseteq S$ . There is only a maximal proper ideal of  $S$  with respect to set inclusion, and this ideal is precisely  $M(S) = S^*$  (so numerical semigroups are “local”). We will refer to  $L(S) = L(S, S^*)$  as the *Lipman semigroup* of  $S$ . It can be shown (see for instance [4, I.2.4]) that if  $\{n_1, \dots, n_e\} = S^* \setminus (S^* + S^*)$  is the minimal generating set of  $S$  with  $n_1 < \cdots < n_e$ , then

$$L(S) = \langle n_1, n_2 - n_1, \dots, n_e - n_1 \rangle.$$

**Example 1.** Let  $S = \langle 3, 5, 7 \rangle = \{0, 3, 5, \rightarrow\}$  (here  $\rightarrow$  denotes that all integers larger than 5 are in the semigroup; we are denoting in this way that the conductor of  $S$  is 5). Then  $L(S) = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$  and  $L(L(S)) = \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain in this way a multiplicity sequence 3, 2, 1 of the successive blowing-ups with respect to the maximal ideal.

Observe that in this setting  $S = \{0, 3, 3 + 2, 3 + 2 + 1, \rightarrow\}$ .

If we repeat this calculations with  $T = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$ , then we have again  $L(S) = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$  and  $L(L(S)) = \mathbb{N}$ ; whence the multiplicity sequence here is the same. However  $T$  is not the semigroup “spanned” by this multiplicity sequence, which in this case is  $S$ .

The property that pops up in the above example is not accidental. Indeed by [4, Theorem I.3.4], a numerical semigroup  $S$  has the Arf property if and only if

$$(1) \quad S = \left\{ 0, m(S), m(S) + m(L(S)), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n m(L^i(S)), \rightarrow \right\},$$

where  $L^i(S)$  is defined recursively as follows:  $L^0(S) = S$  and for every positive integer  $i$ ,  $L^i(S) = L(L^{i-1}(S))$ . The integer  $n$  can be taken to be the minimum such that  $L^{n+1}(S) = \mathbb{N}$ ; and so  $m(L^n(S)) \geq 2$ .

## 2. ARF SEQUENCES

We say that a sequence of integers  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an *Arf sequence* provided that

- $x_n \geq \cdots \geq x_1 \geq 2$  and
- $x_{i+1} \in \{x_i, x_i + x_{i-1}, \dots, x_i + \cdots + x_1, \rightarrow\}$ .

The following result (rephrased to our needs) supports this notation.

**Proposition 1** ([17, Corollary 39]). *Let  $S$  be a nonempty proper subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $S$  is an Arf numerical semigroup if and only if there exists an Arf sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $S = \{0, x_n, x_n + x_{n-1}, \dots, x_n + \dots + x_1, \rightarrow\}$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that in [17, Corollary 39] the condition on  $x_1$  is  $x_1 \geq 1$ . Notice that we can omit all  $x_i = 1$  in the sequence since in this way the resulting semigroup is the same, and we are considering the multiplicity sequence up to  $L^n(S) \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $L^{n+1}(S) = \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

Given an Arf sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we will denote by  $S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  the associated Arf numerical semigroup given in Proposition 1, and we will say that it is the *Arf numerical semigroup associated to  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$* .

Hence for every Arf sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a numerical semigroup not equal to  $\mathbb{N}$  and with the Arf property. And given an Arf numerical semigroup  $S \neq \mathbb{N}$ , according to (1) and Proposition 1, if  $n$  is a positive integer such that  $L^n(S) \subsetneq L^{n+1}(S) = \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $(m(L^n(S)), m(L^{n-1}(S)), \dots, m(S))$  is an Arf sequence. This proves the following.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of Arf sequences, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all Arf numerical semigroups. The mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} S &: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \setminus \{\mathbb{N}\}, \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto S(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

*is a bijection, and its inverse is the map  $S \mapsto (m(L^n(S)), m(L^{n-1}(S)), \dots, m(S))$ .*

It is then clear that counting Arf numerical semigroups is tightly related to counting Arf sequences. Moreover, if we are looking for numerical semigroups with a prescribed genus or Frobenius number, the following result will be of great help.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be an Arf sequence. Then*

- (i)  $f(S(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = x_1 + \dots + x_n - 1$  (and thus  $c(S(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ ),
- (ii)  $g(S(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = x_1 + \dots + x_n - n$ .

*Proof.* In order to ease the notation, set  $S = S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , which by Proposition 1 we know it is an Arf numerical semigroup. From the very construction of  $S$ , we have that the conductor of  $S$  is at most  $x_1 + \dots + x_n$ .

- (i) From the above paragraph, it suffices to show that  $x_1 + \dots + x_n - 1 \notin S$ . But this follows easily from the fact that  $x_1 \geq 2$ .
- (ii) We can explicitly write the set of gaps of  $S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N} \setminus S = \{1, \dots, x_n - 1, x_n + 1, \dots, x_n + x_{n-1} - 1, \dots, x_n + \dots + x_2 - 1, \\ x_n + \dots + x_2 + 1, \dots, x_n + \dots + x_1 - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $g(S) = (x_n - 1) + (x_{n-1} - 1) + \dots + (x_1 - 1) = x_1 + \dots + x_n - n$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE SET OF ARF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS WITH GIVEN CONDUCTOR

Let  $c$  be a positive integer. In light of Corollary 2 and Proposition 3, in order to calculate the set of Arf numerical semigroups with conductor  $c$  we only have to calculate some specific integer partitions of  $c$ , and then their images via the map  $S$ . We can compute the set of integer partitions of  $c$  with the help of [14] or the built-in GAP command `partitions`, and either filter those having 1's or while constructing them avoid 1's in the partition. However, the number of partitions

grows exponentially (for instance `NrPartitions(100)` in `GAP` yields 190569292), and then we must choose which partitions are Arf sequences. We do not have, as in the case of saturated numerical semigroups a “next” function that, given an Arf sequence, computes the next in a prescribed ordering [20].

In this section we present an alternative to the approach of computing all partitions and filter those that are Arf sequence. The procedure dynamically calculates the set of all Arf numerical semigroups with conductor less than or equal to  $C$ . The main idea is based on the following result, which allows to construct all Arf sequences of length  $k+1$  from the set of Arf sequences of length  $k$ . Its proof follows directly from the definition of Arf sequence.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all Arf sequences and let  $k$  be a positive integer.*

- (i) *If  $(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{S}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{S}(x_1, \dots, x_k)^*$ .*

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{S}_k$  the set of all Arf sequences of length  $k$ , and for a positive integer  $n$ , set

$$\mathcal{S}_k(n) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{S}_k \mid x_1 + \dots + x_k \leq n\}.$$

As a consequence of the last result and that  $x_1 \geq 2$  we obtain the following. We use  $(x, y]$ , with  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ , to denote the interval of real numbers  $r$  such that  $x < r \leq y$ .

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $0 \leq b_{i+1} - b_i \leq 2$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

- (i)  $\mathcal{S}_1(b_1) = \{(x_1) \mid x_1 \in \{2, \dots, b_1\}\}$ .
- (ii) For  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{S}_{k+1}(b_{k+1}) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \left| \begin{array}{l} (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{S}_k(b_k) \\ x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{S}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \cap (0, b_{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i] \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

In light of Proposition 3 (i) and Corollary 5, for the calculation of set of Arf numerical semigroups with conductor less than or equal to  $c$  it is enough to calculate  $\mathcal{S}_k(c)$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor c/2 \rfloor\}$  (notice that the elements in an Arf sequence are greater than or equal to 2). This is described in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1:** ArfNumericalSemigroupsWithConductorUpTo

**Data:** A positive integer  $c$   
**Result:** The set of all Arf numerical semigroups with conductor less than or equal to  $c$   
**for**  $k \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor c/2 \rfloor\}$  **do**  
  Compute  $\mathcal{S}_k(c)$ ; /\* use Corollary 5 \*/  
 $L = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\lfloor c/2 \rfloor} \mathcal{S}_k(c)$ ;  
**return**  $\{\mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\mathbb{S}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L\}$

Figure 1 shows the number of Arf numerical semigroups of Frobenius number up to 100. We already have many functions in the package `numericalsgps` computing families of numerical semigroups with a given Frobenius number, and thus we decided in our implementation of Arf numerical semigroups with given conductor to use the Frobenius number instead. The calculation of the table took 36 seconds on a laptop. However, we still do not know how many numerical semigroups there

$F$	$\text{na}(F)$	$F$	$\text{na}(F)$	$F$	$\text{na}(F)$	$F$	$\text{na}(F)$
1	1	26	111	51	1643	76	5494
2	1	27	176	52	1196	77	9215
3	2	28	138	53	2043	78	5707
4	2	29	239	54	1289	79	10469
5	4	30	150	55	2339	80	6709
6	3	31	298	56	1563	81	10822
7	7	32	211	57	2513	82	7698
8	6	33	341	58	1854	83	12951
9	10	34	268	59	3134	84	7705
10	9	35	440	60	1852	85	14028
11	17	36	279	61	3542	86	9399
12	12	37	535	62	2414	87	15011
13	25	38	389	63	3823	88	10395
14	20	39	616	64	2726	89	17538
15	32	40	448	65	4499	90	10381
16	27	41	778	66	2809	91	19147
17	49	42	490	67	5184	92	12425
18	34	43	936	68	3501	93	20048
19	68	44	642	69	5542	94	13988
20	49	45	1001	70	3866	95	23263
21	80	46	759	71	6645	96	13876
22	66	47	1300	72	3936	97	25560
23	118	48	808	73	7413	98	16839
24	77	49	1496	74	4992	99	26734
25	145	50	1028	75	7829	100	17903

FIGURE 1. Number of Arf numerical semigroups with Frobenius number up to 100

are with Frobenius number 100; so the approach of considering them all and filtering those that are Arf was rejected from the very beginning. For instance, for  $F = 35$ , there are 292081 numerical semigroups; among these, 8959 have maximal embedding dimension and only 440 have the Arf property. Figure 2 compares the number of numerical semigroups with given Frobenius number that are saturated (as calculated in [20]) with those that are Arf.

**Example 2.** Let us compute the set of numerical semigroups with conductor less than or equal to six and with the Arf property. By Figure 1 we already know that we have ten of them (eleven counting  $\mathbb{N}$ :  $1+1+1+2+2+4$ ; we have to go up to Frobenius number 5). As we have pointed above we must calculate  $\mathcal{S}_k(6)$  for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

- $\mathcal{S}_1(6) = \{(2), (3), (4), (5), (6)\}$ ,
- $\mathcal{S}_2(6) = \{(2, 2), (3, 3), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}$ ,
- $\mathcal{S}_3(6) = \{(2, 2, 2)\}$ .

Now we have to translate these sequences to numerical semigroups via the map  $S$ . For instance  $S(2, 3) = \{0, 3, 5, \rightarrow\} = \langle 3, 5, 7 \rangle$ . We then obtain

- $\langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 3, 4, 5 \rangle, \langle 4, 5, 6, 7 \rangle, \langle 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 \rangle, \langle 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 \rangle,$




FIGURE 2. Comparison with the number of saturated numerical semigroups

- $\langle 2, 5 \rangle, \langle 3, 7, 8 \rangle, \langle 3, 5, 7 \rangle, \langle 4, 6, 7, 9 \rangle,$
- $\langle 2, 7 \rangle.$

Finally, we have to add  $\mathbb{N}$ . In a GAP session with the package `numericalsgps` we would proceed as follows:

```
gap> la5:=ArfNumericalSemigroupsWithFrobeniusNumberUpTo(5);;
gap> List(la5,MinimalGeneratingSystem);
[ [1], [ 2, 3 ], [ 3 .. 5 ], [ 4 .. 7 ], [ 5 .. 9 ], [ 6 .. 11 ],
  [ 2, 5 ], [ 3, 5, 7 ], [ 4, 6, 7, 9 ], [ 3, 7, 8 ], [ 2, 7 ] ]
```

As we mentioned in the introduction, adjoining the Frobenius number to an Arf numerical semigroup yields another Arf numerical semigroup. Figure 3 represents the Hasse diagram of all numerical semigroups conductor less than or equal to 6 and with the Arf property.

#### 4. ARF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS WITH GIVEN GENUS

As in the previous section we are again interested in Arf sequences with particular characteristics. In this case, by Proposition 3 (ii), the length of the sequence is also relevant. If we fix the genus  $g$ , we need to calculate, for every suitable  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_k(g+k)$ , and then take the union of all of them. Also, in contrast to the conductor case,  $k$  can range up to  $g$ , since  $g(\mathcal{S}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = x_1 + \dots + x_n - n \leq g$  and  $x_i \geq 2$ , forces  $2n - n \leq g$ , that is,  $n \leq g$ .

In order to use recursion we must be able to construct  $\mathcal{S}_{k+1}(g+k+1)$  from  $\mathcal{S}_k(g+k)$ . We can do this by using Corollary 5 with  $b_i = g+i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Algorithm 2 gathers the procedure to calculate all Arf numerical semigroups with genus up to  $g$ .




FIGURE 3. Hasse diagram of Arf numerical semigroups with conductor up to six.

**Algorithm 2:** ArfNumericalSemigroupsWithGenusUpTo

**Data:** A positive integer  $g$

**Result:** The set of all Arf numerical semigroups with genus less than or equal to  $g$

**for**  $k \in \{1, \dots, g\}$  **do**

  Compute  $\mathcal{S}_k(g+k)$  ;

/\* use Corollary 5 \*/

$L = \bigcup_{k=1}^g \mathcal{S}_k(g+k)$ ;

**return**  $\{\mathbb{N}\} \cup \{S(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L\}$

**Example 3.** Let us apply the procedure in this section to calculate all Arf numerical semigroups with genus less than or equal to 5. We have to compute  $\bigcup_{k=1}^g \mathcal{S}_k(g+k)$ .

- $\mathcal{S}_1(5+1) = \{(2), (3), (4), (5), (6)\}$ ,
- $\mathcal{S}_2(5+2) = \{(2,2), (2,3), (2,4), (2,5), (3,3), (3,4)\}$ ,
- $\mathcal{S}_3(5+3) = \{(2,2,2), (2,2,4), (2,3,4)\}$ ,
- $\mathcal{S}_4(5+4) = \{(2,2,2,2)\}$ ,
- $\mathcal{S}_5(5+5) = \{(2,2,2,2,2)\}$ .

Next, we have to compute the image of each of them under  $S$ , and finally add  $\mathbb{N}$ . In GAP, we can do this with the package `numericalsgps` as follows.

```

gap> lag5:=ArfNumericalSemigroupsWithGenusUpTo(5);;
gap> List(lag5,MinimalGeneratingSystem);
[ [ 1 ], [ 2, 3 ], [ 2, 5 ], [ 2, 7 ], [ 2, 9 ], [ 2, 11 ],
  [ 4, 6, 9, 11 ], [ 3, 5, 7 ], [ 3, 8, 10 ], [ 4, 6, 7, 9 ],
  [ 5, 7, 8, 9, 11 ], [ 3 .. 5 ], [ 3, 7, 8 ], [ 4, 7, 9, 10 ],
  [ 4 .. 7 ], [ 5 .. 9 ], [ 6 .. 11 ] ]

```



$g$	$na(g)$	$g$	$na(g)$	$g$	$na(g)$	$g$	$na(g)$
1	1	26	251	51	2504	76	12275
2	2	27	284	52	2694	77	12979
3	3	28	317	53	2904	78	13701
4	4	29	355	54	3131	79	14468
5	6	30	393	55	3358	80	15295
6	8	31	433	56	3605	81	16114
7	10	32	487	57	3851	82	16959
8	13	33	538	58	4112	83	17840
9	17	34	594	59	4391	84	18765
10	21	35	658	60	4699	85	19738
11	26	36	721	61	5022	86	20781
12	31	37	793	62	5365	87	21864
13	36	38	866	63	5705	88	22993
14	47	39	946	64	6074	89	24163
15	55	40	1037	65	6472	90	25351
16	62	41	1138	66	6881	91	26581
17	74	42	1234	67	7307	92	27899
18	87	43	1338	68	7767	93	29246
19	101	44	1452	69	8240	94	30664
20	116	45	1584	70	8740	95	32139
21	133	46	1720	71	9265	96	33657
22	152	47	1861	72	9813	97	35228
23	174	48	2008	73	10386	98	36882
24	196	49	2164	74	10999	99	38602
25	222	50	2332	75	11620	100	40412

FIGURE 4. Number of Arf numerical semigroups with genus less than or equal to 100.

Notice that in contrast to the sequence of the number of Arf numerical semigroups with given Frobenius number (Figure 1), we see in Figure 4, that in the case of counting with respect to the genus, the resulting sequence is increasing.

From [23, Section 2], we know that the tree of Arf numerical semigroups is a binary tree. This tree is constructed as follows.

Let  $A$  be a nonempty set of positive integers with greatest common divisor one. The intersection of all Arf numerical semigroups containing  $A$  is an Arf semigroup (every numerical semigroup containing  $A$  must also contain  $\langle A \rangle$ ); whence there are only finitely many containing  $A$ ). We denote by  $\text{Arf}(A)$  this numerical semigroup.

Given an Arf numerical semigroup  $S$ , we say that  $A$  is an Arf system of generators of  $S$  if  $\text{Arf}(A) = S$ ; and it is a minimal Arf system of generators if no proper subset of  $A$  has this property. The elements of  $A$  are called minimal Arf generators of  $S$ .

The tree of Arf numerical semigroups is constructed recursively by removing minimal Arf generators greater than the Frobenius number for each semigroup in the tree. Lemma 12 in [23] states that at most two minimal Arf generators are greater than the Frobenius number of an Arf semigroup, and according to its proof these are the Frobenius number plus one and plus two. This is why the tree is




FIGURE 5. The binary tree of Arf numerical semigroups of genus up to 6.

binary. Also, a leaf in this tree is an Arf numerical semigroup with no minimal Arf generators above its Frobenius number.

The absence of leafs would explain the increasing of the sequence in Figure 4. However this is not the case, there are plenty of leaves in this tree. For instance,  $F(\text{Arf}(5, 7)) = 8$ , and consequently  $\text{Arf}(5, 7)$  is a leaf in the binary tree of Arf numerical semigroups (this example has genus 6, all the semigroups appearing in Example 3 have descendants in the tree). Figure 5 depicts the binary tree of Arf numerical semigroups up to genus 6; the shaded node corresponds to the unique leaf in the tree of all Arf numerical semigroups. Each layer corresponds to a different genus.

## 5. FIXING THE GENUS AND THE CONDUCTOR

In this section we are interested in calculating the set of all Arf numerical semigroups with fixed genus  $g$  and conductor  $c$ . It is well known, and easy to prove, that if  $S$  is a numerical semigroup, then  $2g(S) \geq c(S)$  (see for instance [21, Lemma 2.14]). From the definition of genus and Frobenius number it also follows easily that  $g(S) \leq F(S)$ . The only numerical semigroup with genus equal to zero is  $\mathbb{N}$ ; so we may assume that

$$1 \leq g \leq c - 1 < 2g.$$

As a consequence of Proposition 3, we have the following restriction on the lengths of Arf sequences yielding semigroups with prescribed genus  $g$  and conductor  $c$ .

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be an Arf sequence and let  $S = S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then  $n = c(S) - g(S)$ .*

The correctness of Algorithm 3 follows from the next two observations. If  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an Arf sequence and  $c(S(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = c$ , then by Proposition 3,  $c = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ .

- (i) As  $x_n \geq \dots \geq x_1$ , we deduce  $nx_1 \leq c$ . This implies  $x_1 \leq \lfloor c/n \rfloor$ .
- (ii) Also from  $x_n \geq \dots \geq x_k$ , we deduce  $x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1} + (n - (k - 1))x_k \leq c$ .

**Algorithm 3:** ArfNumericalSemigroupsWithGenusAndFrobeniusNumber

**Data:** Positive integers  $g$  and  $c$  with  $1 \leq g \leq c - 1 < 2g$   
**Result:** The set of all Arf numerical semigroups with genus  $g$  and conductor  $c$   
 $n = c - g$ ;  
 $L_1 = \{(x_1) \mid x_1 \in \{2, \dots, \lfloor c/n \rfloor\}\}$ ;  
**for**  $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$  **do**  

$$L_k = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid \begin{array}{l} (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in L_{k-1}, x_k \in S(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})^* \\ x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1} + (n - (k - 1))x_k \leq c \end{array} \right\}$$
;  
 $L = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L_n \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n = c\}$ ;  
**return**  $\{S(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L\}$

Figure 6 depicts Arf numerical semigroups with genus  $g$  ranging from 1 to 20 and conductor from  $g + 1$  to  $2g$ .

## 6. KUNZ COORDINATES OF ARF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

Let  $S$  be a numerical semigroup and  $s \in S^*$ . Recall that the *Apéry set* of  $s$  in  $S$  is defined as

$$\text{Ap}(S, s) = \{n \in S \mid n - s \notin S\}.$$

It is well known (see for instance [21, Chapter 1]) that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ap}(S, s) &= \{w(0) = 0, w(1), \dots, w(s - 1)\}, \\ w(i) &= \min\{n \in S \mid n \bmod s = i\}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, s - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, s - 1\}$  such that  $z = ks + w(i)$ . Moreover,  $z \in S$  if and only if  $k \geq 0$  (see [21, Chapter 1]). We will use this well known fact implicitly in this section.

If in addition  $S$  is an Arf numerical semigroup and  $m$  is its multiplicity, then we know that  $S$  has maximal embedding dimension and thus  $(\text{Ap}(S, m) \setminus \{0\}) \cup \{m\} = \{m, w(1), \dots, w(m - 1)\}$  is the minimal generating system of  $S$ .

For every  $k \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$ ,  $w(k) = x_k m + k$  for some positive integer  $x_k$ . We say that  $(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$  are the *Kunz coordinates* of  $S$  [15]. Notice that in this definition we can take  $k = 0$  and obtain  $x_0 = 0$ . We are not including  $x_0$  in the sequence of Kunz coordinates because it is always 0. We will use this implicitly in what follows.

We will fix the multiplicity,  $m$ , and for an integer  $i$ , we will write

$$\bar{i} = i \bmod m$$

(the remainder of the division of  $i$  by  $m$ ).

Every Arf numerical semigroup has maximal embedding dimension, and thus its Kunz coordinates fulfill the following system of inequalities [22].

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} x_i &\geq 1 && \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}, \\ x_i + x_j - x_{i+j} &\geq 1 && \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m - 1, i + j \leq m - 1, \\ x_i + x_j - x_{\bar{i}+\bar{j}} &\geq 0 && \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m - 1, i + j > m. \end{aligned}$$

Notice also that for every  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ ,  $w(i) + w(j) \in S$  and  $w(i) + w(j) \equiv i + j \pmod{m}$ . Hence  $w(j) + w(j) = km + w(\bar{i} + \bar{j})$ . This implies that

$g$																					
1	1																				
2	1	1																			
3	1	1	1																		
4	1	2	0	1																	
5	1	2	2	0	1																
6	1	3	2	1	0	1															
7	1	3	3	1	1	0	1														
8	1	4	3	3	0	1	0	1													
9	1	4	6	1	3	0	1	0	1												
10	1	5	5	5	1	2	0	1	0	1											
11	1	5	8	4	3	1	2	0	1	0	1										
12	1	6	8	6	2	4	0	2	0	1	0	1									
13	1	6	11	5	5	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	1								
14	1	7	11	12	3	5	1	3	0	2	0	1	0	1							
15	1	7	15	8	10	2	4	1	3	0	2	0	1	0	1						
16	1	8	14	16	4	6	1	5	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1					
17	1	8	19	13	10	4	7	0	5	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1				
18	1	9	19	19	8	11	1	7	1	4	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1			
19	1	9	23	18	18	3	10	1	6	1	4	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1		
20	1	10	23	29	9	13	4	8	1	7	0	4	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1	

conductor from  $g + 1$  to  $2g$




FIGURE 6. Number of Arf numerical semigroups with genus  $g$  and conductor  $c$

$(w(i) + w(j) - w(\overline{i+j}))/m \in \mathbb{N}$ . So we define the *cocycle* of  $S$  with respect to  $m$  as

$$h(i, j) = \frac{w(i) + w(j) - w(\overline{i+j})}{m}.$$

Next we see how the Arf condition is written in terms of cocycles.

Given a rational number  $q$ , denote by

$$\lceil q \rceil = \min(\mathbb{Z} \cap [q, \infty)), \lfloor q \rfloor = \max(\mathbb{Z} \cap (-\infty, q]).$$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $S$  be a numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m$ . Then  $S$  is an Arf numerical semigroup if and only if for every  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ ,*

(i) if  $\lceil (w(i) - w(j))/m \rceil \geq 0$ ,

$$(4) \quad h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + 2 \left\lceil \frac{w(i) - w(j)}{m} \right\rceil \geq 0;$$

(ii) if  $\lceil (w(i) - w(j))/m \rceil < 0$ ,

$$(5) \quad h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + \left\lceil \frac{w(i) - w(j)}{m} \right\rceil \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $S$  is an Arf numerical semigroup. For any  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ , define  $t = \lceil \frac{w(i) - w(j)}{m} \rceil$ . Then  $w(j) + mt \geq w(i)$ .

If  $w(i) \geq w(j)$ , then  $w(j) + mt, w(i) \in S$ . By the Arf property  $2w(j) + 2mt - w(i) \in S$ . This element can be expressed as

$$2w(j) - w(i) + 2tm = w(\overline{2j-i}) + (h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + 2t)m,$$

and so it belongs to  $S$  if and only if  $h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + 2t \geq 0$ .

Now if  $w(i) < w(j)$ , then  $w(j) \geq w(i) - mt$ , and  $w(j), w(i) - mt \in S$ . By the Arf property we deduce in this case that  $2w(j) + tm - w(i) \in S$ . Arguing as above we deduce that this occurs if and only if  $h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + t \geq 0$ .

For the converse, let  $x \geq y \in S$ . We can write  $x = w(j) + am, y = w(i) + bm$  for some  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put again  $t = \lceil \frac{w(i) - w(j)}{m} \rceil$ . Then

$$2x - y = 2w(j) + 2am - w(i) - bm = w(\overline{2j-i}) + (h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + 2a - b)m.$$

By the condition  $x \geq y$ , we have  $w(j) + am \geq w(i) + bm$ , and consequently  $a - b \geq t$ .

If  $t \geq 0$ , as  $2a - b \geq 2(a - b) \geq 2t$ , we deduce  $h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + 2a - b \geq h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + 2t$ , which by (4) is nonnegative. This forces  $2x - y \in S$ .

If  $t < 0$ , then  $2a - b = a + a - b \geq a - b \geq t$ . Arguing as in the preceding case, (4) ensures that  $2x - y \in S$ .  $\square$

Let us now translate cocycles to the language of Kunz coordinates.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $S$  be a numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m$  and Kunz coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$ .*

$$h(i, j) = x_i + x_j - x_{\overline{i+j}} + \left\lceil \frac{i+j}{m} \right\rceil.$$

*Proof.* By definition  $h(i, j) = (w(i) + w(j) - w(\overline{i+j}))/m$ . This can be expressed in terms of Kunz coordinates as  $h(i, j) = (x_i m + i + x_j m + j - x_{\overline{i+j}} m - \overline{i+j})/m = x_i + x_j - x_{\overline{i+j}} + (i + j - \overline{i+j})/m$ . The proof now follows from the equality  $(i + j - \overline{i+j})/m = \lceil (i + j)/m \rceil$ .  $\square$

Notice also that

$$(6) \quad \lceil (w(i) - w(j))/m \rceil = x_i - x_j + \lceil (i - j)/m \rceil$$

With this and Lemma 8 we can translate Lemma 7 to Kunz coordinates.

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $S$  be a numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m$  and Kunz coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$ . Then  $S$  has the Arf property if and only if for any  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ ,*

(i) if  $x_i + \lceil (i-j)/m \rceil \geq x_j$ ,

$$(7) \quad x_i - x_{\overline{2j-i}} + 2 \left\lceil \frac{i-j}{m} \right\rceil + \left\lfloor \frac{2j}{m} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{\overline{2j-i} + i}{m} \right\rfloor \geq 0;$$

(ii) if  $x_i + \lceil (i-j)/m \rceil < x_j$ ,

$$(8) \quad x_j - x_{\overline{2j-i}} + \left\lceil \frac{i-j}{m} \right\rceil + \left\lfloor \frac{2j}{m} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{\overline{2j-i} + i}{m} \right\rfloor \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 8 and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + 2 \left\lceil \frac{w(i) - w(j)}{m} \right\rceil &= 2x_j - x_{\overline{2j}} + \lfloor 2j/m \rfloor \\ &\quad - x_{\overline{2j-i}} - x_i + x_{\overline{2j}} - \lfloor (\overline{2j-i} + i)/m \rfloor \\ &\quad + 2(x_i - x_j) + 2\lceil (i-j)/m \rceil \\ &= x_i - x_{\overline{2j-i}} + 2\lceil (i-j)/m \rceil \\ &\quad + \lfloor 2j/m \rfloor - \lfloor (\overline{2j-i} + i)/m \rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(j, j) - h(\overline{2j-i}, i) + \left\lceil \frac{w(i) - w(j)}{m} \right\rceil &= 2x_j - x_{\overline{2j}} + \lfloor 2j/m \rfloor \\ &\quad - x_{\overline{2j-i}} - x_i + x_{\overline{2j}} - \lfloor (\overline{2j-i} + i)/m \rfloor \\ &\quad + (x_i - x_j) + \lceil (i-j)/m \rceil \\ &= x_j - x_{\overline{2j-i}} + \lceil (i-j)/m \rceil \\ &\quad + \lfloor 2j/m \rfloor - \lfloor (\overline{2j-i} + i)/m \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Lemma 7 and we are done.  $\square$

## 7. ARF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS WITH LOW MULTIPLICITY

In this section we focus on the parametrization of Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity up to six and given conductor. To this end, we need some preliminary results.

The following well known result will be used to provide upper bounds for the conductor of a numerical semigroup.

**Lemma 10** ([23]). *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with conductor  $c$ , and let  $s$  be any element of  $S$ . If  $s+1 \in S$ , then  $s+k \in S$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and thus  $c \leq s$ .*

By Selmer's formulas (see for instance [21, Chapter 1]), we know that the Frobenius number of  $S$  is  $\max \text{Ap}(S, m) - m$ .

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m$  and conductor  $c$ . For each  $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, m-1\}$ , we have*

- (i) if  $w(j-1) < w(j)$ , then  $c \leq w(j) - 1$ ,
- (ii) if  $w(j) < w(j-1)$ , then  $c \leq w(j-1)$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $w(j-1) < w(j)$ , then  $w(j) - w(j-1) - 1$  is a nonnegative multiple of  $m$  and therefore it is an element of  $S$ . Thus  $w(j-1) + (w(j) - w(j-1) - 1) = w(j) - 1$  and  $w(j)$  are both elements of  $S$ . Lemma 10 forces  $c \leq w(j) - 1$ .

(ii) If  $w(j) < w(j-1)$ , then, as above,  $w(j-1) - w(j) + 1 \in S$ . Thus  $w(j) + (w(j-1) - w(j) + 1) = w(j-1) + 1$  and  $w(j)$  are both elements of  $S$ . So,  $c \leq w(j-1)$  by Lemma 10.  $\square$

This has the corresponding consequence on Kunz coordinates.

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m$ , conductor  $c$  and Kunz coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$ . For every  $i \in \{2, \dots, m-1\}$ ,*

- (i) if  $x_{i-1} \leq x_i$ , then  $\frac{c-i+1}{m} \leq x_i$ ;
- (ii) if  $x_i \leq x_{i-1}$ , then  $\frac{c-i+1}{m} \leq x_{i-1}$ .

*Proof.* If  $x_{i-1} \leq x_i$ , then  $x_{i-1}m + i - 1 \leq x_im + i - 1$ , whence  $w(i-1) < w(i)$ . Therefore,  $c \leq w(i) - 1$  by Lemma 11. Hence  $c \leq x_im + i - 1$  and  $\frac{c-i+1}{m} \leq x_i$ . The proof of (ii) is similar.  $\square$

Let  $S$  be a numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m$  and conductor  $c$ . As every nonnegative multiple of  $m$  is in  $S$  and  $c-1 \notin S$ , it follows that  $c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

The following lemma shows that  $w(1)$  and  $w(m-1)$  are completely determined by the conductor and  $m$  in an Arf numerical semigroup.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m$  and conductor  $c$ .*

- (i)  $w(1) = \begin{cases} c+1 & \text{if } c \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \\ c - \bar{c} + m + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (ii)  $w(m-1) = c - \bar{c} + m - 1$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\bar{c} \in \{0, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ .

Let us first consider the case  $\bar{c} = 0$ . Since  $ms \in S$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $ms+1 \notin S$  and  $ms+m-1 \notin S$  for  $s < \frac{c}{m}$  by Lemma 10. Hence  $w(1) = m \cdot \frac{c}{m} + 1 = c+1$  and  $w(m-1) = m \cdot \frac{c}{m} + m - 1 = c+m-1$ . This proves (i) and (ii) when  $\bar{c} = 0$ .

It remains to prove (i) and (ii) for the case  $\bar{c} \neq 0$ . Again since  $ms \in S$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , Lemma 10 implies that  $ms+1 \notin S$  for  $s \leq \frac{c-\bar{c}}{m}$  and  $ms+m-1 \notin S$  for  $s < \frac{c-\bar{c}}{m}$ . Therefore,  $w(1) = m \cdot (\frac{c-\bar{c}}{m} + 1) + 1 = c - \bar{c} + m + 1$  and  $w(m-1) = m \cdot \frac{c-\bar{c}}{m} + m - 1 = c - \bar{c} + m - 1$  when  $\bar{c} \neq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let us translate Lemma 13 to the language of Kunz coordinates.

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m$  and conductor  $c$ . Then,*

$$(9) \quad x_1 = \left\lceil \frac{c}{m} \right\rceil, \quad x_{m-1} = \left\lfloor \frac{c}{m} \right\rfloor.$$

*Proof.* If  $\bar{c} = 0$ , then we know that  $w(1) = x_1m + 1 = c+1$ , whence  $x_1 = c/m$ . Also,  $w(m-1) = x_{m-1}m + m - 1 = c+m-1$ . Hence  $x_{m-1} = c/m$ .

If  $\bar{c} \neq 0$ , then  $w(1) = x_1m + 1 = c - \bar{c} + m + 1$ . Thus,  $x_1 = (c - \bar{c})/m + 1$ . In this setting,  $w(m-1) = x_{m-1}m + m - 1 = c - \bar{c} + m - 1$ . Hence  $x_{m-1} = (c - \bar{c})/m$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m > 2$ . For any integer  $k$  with  $0 < k < \frac{m}{2}$ , we have*

$$w(2k) \leq w(k) + k \text{ and } w(m-2k) \leq w(m-k) + m - k.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m > 2$  and let  $0 < k < \frac{m}{2}$ . Note that  $w(k) - k$  is a (non negative) multiple of  $m$  and thus it is an element of  $S$ . Therefore  $2w(k) - (w(k) - k) = w(k) + k \in S$  by the Arf property. This implies  $w(2k) \leq w(k) + k$  since  $w(k) + k \equiv 2k \pmod{m}$ . Similarly,  $2w(m - k) - (w(m - k) - (m - k)) = w(m - k) + (m - k) \in S$  which implies  $w(m - 2k) \leq w(m - k) + (m - k)$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 15, in the Arf setting, we can add more inequalities to the above system of inequalities.

**Corollary 16.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with Kunz coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then for every integer  $k$  with  $0 < k < \frac{m}{2}$ ,*

$$(10) \quad x_{2k} \leq x_k \text{ and } x_{m-2k} \leq x_{m-k} + 1.$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $w(2k) = x_{2k}m + 2k \leq w(k) + k = (x_k m + k) + k$ , and so  $x_{2k} \leq x_k$ .

For the other inequality, observe that  $w(m - 2k) = x_{m-2k}m + m - 2k \leq w(m - k) + m - k = (x_{m-k}m + m - k) + m - k = (x_{m-k} + 1)m + m - 2k$ , and consequently  $x_{m-2k} \leq x_{m-k} + 1$ .  $\square$

**7.1. Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity one.** The only numerical semigroup with multiplicity one is  $\mathbb{N}$ , which is trivially Arf.

**7.2. Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity two.** Numerical semigroups with multiplicity 2 are completely determined by their conductor. In fact, if  $S$  is a numerical semigroup with multiplicity 2 and conductor  $c$ , then  $c$  is an even number and  $S = \langle 2, c + 1 \rangle$ . It is easily seen by directly applying the Arf pattern that every numerical semigroup with multiplicity 2 is an Arf numerical semigroup.

**7.3. Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity three.** Numerical semigroups with multiplicity 3 or more are not completely determined by their conductor alone. The genus is needed to completely determine them [18]. In that paper, formulas for the number of numerical semigroups with multiplicity 3 having a prescribed Frobenius number or genus are given.

As we see next, if the Arf property is assumed, then the semigroup is fully determined by the multiplicity and the conductor.

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $c$  be an integer such that  $c \geq 3$  and  $c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Then there is exactly one Arf numerical semigroup  $S$  with multiplicity 3 and conductor  $c$  given by*

- (i)  $S = \langle 3, c + 1, c + 2 \rangle$  if  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,
- (ii)  $S = \langle 3, c, c + 2 \rangle$  if  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 1$  and  $w(2) = c + 2$  by Lemma 13. Thus  $S = \langle 3, c + 1, c + 2 \rangle$ .

(ii) If  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 2$  and  $w(2) = c$  by Lemma 13. Hence  $S = \langle 3, c, c + 2 \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.** The only Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 3 and Frobenius number 10 (conductor 11) is  $\langle 3, 11, 13 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9, 11, \rightarrow\}$ . The only Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 3 and Frobenius number 11 (conductor 12) is  $\langle 3, 13, 14 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12, \rightarrow\}$ .



**7.4. Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity four.** In [18], it is shown that numerical semigroups with multiplicity 4 are completely determined by their genus, Frobenius number and ratio (the least minimal generator greater than the multiplicity). Formulas for the number of numerical semigroups with multiplicity 4 and given genus and/or Frobenius number are also presented in that paper. Of course all those formulas can be expressed by using the conductor instead of the Frobenius number. Also in [5] formulas for the number of numerical semigroups with multiplicity 4 and fixed Frobenius number are given; these are obtained by means of short generating functions (also if we fix the genus and the Frobenius number).

Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 4 and conductor  $c$ . Then  $c \equiv 0, 2$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$ . The following proposition describes all Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 4 and conductor  $c$ .

**Proposition 18.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 4 and conductor  $c$ .*

- (i) *If  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $S = \langle 4, 4t + 2, c + 1, c + 3 \rangle$  for some  $t \in \{1, \dots, \frac{c}{4}\}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $S = \langle 4, 4t + 2, c + 1, c + 3 \rangle$  for some  $t \in \{1, \dots, \frac{c-2}{4}\}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $S = \langle 4, c, c + 2, c + 3 \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that all the semigroups given in the proposition are Arf numerical semigroups.

Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 4 and conductor  $c$ . As we have already noted,  $c \equiv k \pmod{m}$  where  $k \in \{0, 2, 3\}$ . We have  $w(3) = c - k + 3$  and

$$w(1) = \begin{cases} c + 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ c - k + 5 & \text{if } k \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

by Lemma 13.

(i) If  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 1$  and  $w(3) = c + 3$ , which by Selmer's formulas is the largest element of  $\text{Ap}(S, 4)$ . Since  $w(2) < c + 3$ , we conclude that  $w(2) = 4t + 2$  with  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c}{4}$ . Thus we have  $S = \langle 4, 4t + 2, c + 1, c + 3 \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c}{4}$ .

(ii) If  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $w(3) = c + 1$  and  $w(1) = c + 3$ . In this setting,  $w(1) = c + 3$  is the largest element of  $\text{Ap}(S, 4)$ . Therefore  $w(2) < c + 3$ , which implies that  $w(2) = 4t + 2$  with  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-2}{4}$ . Thus we have  $S = \langle 4, 4t + 2, c + 1, c + 3 \rangle$ , for some integer  $t$  with  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-2}{4}$ .

(iii) If  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $w(3) = c$  and  $w(1) = c + 2$ . In this case  $c + 3 = w(2)$  is the largest element of  $\text{Ap}(S, 4)$ . Thus  $S = \langle 4, c, c + 2, c + 3 \rangle$ .  $\square$

Proposition 18 can be used to count Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 4 and conductor  $c$ . Compare this result with the formula obtained for maximal embedding dimension numerical semigroups with fixed Frobenius number and genus, and multiplicity 4 given in [5].

Let  $n_A(c, m)$  denote the number of Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity  $m$  and conductor  $c$ .

**Corollary 19.** *Let  $c$  be an integer such that  $c \geq 4$  and  $c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then*

$$n_A(c, 4) = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{4} & \text{if } c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{c-2}{4} & \text{if } c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 1 & \text{if } c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

**Example 5.** The five Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 4 and conductor 20 (Frobenius number 19) are

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 4, 6, 21, 23 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 4, 10, 21, 23 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 4, 14, 21, 23 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 8, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 4, 18, 21, 23 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 18, 20, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 4, 21, 22, 23 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, \rightarrow\}.\end{aligned}$$

The five Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 4 and conductor 22 (Frobenius number 21) are

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 4, 6, 23, 25 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 4, 10, 23, 25 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 4, 14, 23, 25 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 8, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 4, 18, 23, 25 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 18, 20, 22, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 4, 22, 23, 25 \rangle &= \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 22, \rightarrow\}.\end{aligned}$$

The only Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 4 and conductor 23 (Frobenius number 22) is

$$\langle 4, 23, 25, 26 \rangle = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 23, \rightarrow\}.$$

**7.5. Arf Numerical Semigroups with multiplicity five.** Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 5 and conductor  $c$ . Then  $c \equiv 0, 2, 3$  or  $4 \pmod{5}$ ) and the following proposition describes all Arf numerical semigroups  $S$  with multiplicity 5 and conductor  $c$ .

**Proposition 20.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup  $S$  with multiplicity 5 and conductor  $c$ .*

- (i) *If  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then either  $S = \langle 5, c - 2, c + 1, c + 2, c + 4 \rangle$  or  $S = \langle 5, c + 1, c + 2, c + 3, c + 4 \rangle$ .*
- (ii) *If  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , then  $S = \langle 5, c, c + 1, c + 2, c + 4 \rangle$ .*
- (iii) *If  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ , then  $S = \langle 5, c, c + 1, c + 3, c + 4 \rangle$ .*
- (iv) *If  $c \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ , then either  $S = \langle 5, c - 2, c, c + 2, c + 4 \rangle$  or  $S = \langle 5, c, c + 2, c + 3, c + 4 \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that all the semigroups given in the proposition are Arf numerical semigroups.

Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 5 and conductor  $c$ . As we have already noted,  $c - 1$  cannot be a multiple of 5. So,  $c \equiv k \pmod{5}$  for some  $k \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Lemma 13 asserts that  $w(4) = c - k + 4$  and

$$w(1) = \begin{cases} c + 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ c - k + 6 & \text{if } k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, applying Lemma 15

$$(11) \quad w(4) \leq w(2) + 2,$$

and from  $w(1) = w(5 - 4) \leq w(5 - 2) + (5 - 2) = w(3) + 3$  we get

$$(12) \quad w(1) \leq w(3) + 3.$$

Let us also note that  $w(i) \leq c + 4$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

(i) If  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 1$  and  $w(4) = c + 4$ . In light of inequality (11), we get  $c + 4 = w(4) \leq w(2) + 2 \leq c + 6$  which implies  $w(2) = c + 2$ . Similarly, using (12), we get  $c + 1 = w(1) \leq w(3) + 3 \leq c + 7$ , which yields  $c - 2 \leq w(3) \leq c + 4$ . This implies  $w(3) = c - 2$  or  $w(3) = c + 3$ . It follows that

$$S = \langle 5, c - 2, c + 1, c + 2, c + 4 \rangle \text{ or } S = \langle 5, c + 1, c + 2, c + 3, c + 4 \rangle.$$

(ii) If  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 4$  and  $w(4) = c + 2$ . Using inequality (11), we get  $c + 2 = w(4) \leq w(2) + 2 \leq c + 6$ , which gives  $c \leq w(2) \leq c + 4$ . Consequently,  $w(2) = c$ . Analogously, from (12), we get  $c + 4 = w(1) \leq w(3) + 3 \leq c + 7$ , which yields  $c + 1 \leq w(3) \leq c + 4$  and this implies  $w(3) = c + 1$ . It follows that

$$S = \langle 5, c, c + 1, c + 2, c + 4 \rangle.$$

(iii) If  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 3$  and  $w(4) = c + 1$ . In this case,  $c + 4 = w(2)$  is the largest element of  $\text{Ap}(S, 5)$ . As before, (12) yields  $c + 3 = w(1) \leq w(3) + 3 \leq c + 7$ , and thus  $c \leq w(3) \leq c + 4$ . Hence  $w(3) = c$ , and

$$S = \langle 5, c, c + 1, c + 3, c + 4 \rangle.$$

(iv) If  $c \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 2$  and  $w(4) = c$ . In this case,  $c + 4 = w(3)$  is the largest element of  $\text{Ap}(S, 5)$ . Applying (11), we get  $c = w(4) \leq w(2) + 2 \leq c + 6$ , whence  $c - 2 \leq w(2) \leq c + 4$ . Thus  $w(2) = c - 2$  or  $w(2) = c + 3$ . It follows that

$$S = \langle 5, c - 2, c, c + 2, c + 4 \rangle \text{ or } S = \langle 5, c, c + 2, c + 3, c + 4 \rangle. \quad \square$$

As a consequence of Proposition 20, we can count the number of Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 5 and conductor  $c$ , with  $c$  an integer greater than or equal to five and  $c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .

**Corollary 21.** *Let  $c$  be an integer such that  $c \geq 5$  and  $c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ . Then*

$$n_A(c, 5) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } c \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \text{ or } c \equiv 4 \pmod{5}, \\ 1 & \text{if } c \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \text{ or } c \equiv 3 \pmod{5}. \end{cases}$$

**Example 6.** The two Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 5 and conductor 30 (Frobenius number 29) are  $\langle 5, 28, 31, 32, 34 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}$  and  $\langle 5, 31, 32, 33, 34 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, \rightarrow\}$ .

```
gap> l:=NumericalSemigroupsWithFrobeniusNumber(29);;
gap> l5:=Filtered(l,s->MultiplicityOfNumericalSemigroup(s)=5);;
gap> Filtered(l5,IsArfNumericalSemigroup);
[ <Numerical semigroup with 5 generators>,
  <Numerical semigroup with 5 generators> ]
gap> List(last,MinimalGenerators);
[ [ 5, 28, 31, 32, 34 ], [ 5, 31, 32, 33, 34 ] ]
```

The only Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 5 and conductor 32 (Frobenius number 31) is  $\langle 5, 32, 33, 34, 36 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 32, \rightarrow\}$ .

The only Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 5 and conductor 33 (Frobenius number 32) is  $\langle 5, 33, 34, 36, 37 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 33, \rightarrow\}$ .

The two Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 5 and conductor 34 (Frobenius number 33) are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 5, 32, 34, 36, 38 \rangle &= \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 32, 34, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 5, 34, 36, 37, 38 \rangle &= \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 34, \rightarrow\}. \end{aligned}$$

**7.6. Arf Numerical Semigroups with multiplicity six.** To determine all Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 6 and a given conductor  $c$ , we will make use of the ratio of a numerical semigroup. Recall that for a given numerical semigroup  $S$ , the *ratio* is the smallest integer in  $S$  that is not a multiple of its multiplicity, or in other words, the smallest minimal generator greater than the multiplicity [18]. We will use  $r$  to denote the ratio of  $S$ .

Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 6 and conductor  $c$ . Then  $c \equiv 0, 2, 3, 4$  or  $5 \pmod{6}$ .

Since  $c + 5$  is the largest element of the minimal set of generators of  $S$ , as the second least element of the minimal set of generators for  $S$ , the ratio of  $S$  satisfies

$$r \leq c + 1.$$

The following proposition describes all Arf numerical semigroups  $S$  with multiplicity 6 and conductor  $c$ .

**Proposition 22.** *Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 6 and conductor  $c$ .*

(i) *If  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , then  $S$  equals one of the following numerical semigroups*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 6, c + 1, c + 2, c + 3, c + 4, c + 5 \rangle, \\ &\langle 6, 6u + 2, 6u + 4, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle, \\ &\langle 6, 6u + 3, c + 1, c + 2, c + 4, c + 5 \rangle, \\ &\langle 6, 6u + 4, 6u + 8, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

*for some integer  $u$  such that  $1 \leq u \leq \frac{c}{6} - 1$ .*

(ii) *If  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ , then  $S$  is of one of the following forms*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 6, 6t + 2, 6t + 4, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle, \\ &\langle 6, 6u + 3, c, c + 2, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle, \\ &\langle 6, 6u + 4, 6u + 8, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

*for some integers  $t$  and  $u$  with  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-2}{6}$  and  $1 \leq u \leq \frac{c-2}{6} - 1$ .*

(iii) *If  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , then*

$$S = \langle 6, 6t + 3, c + 1, c + 2, c + 4, c + 5 \rangle,$$

*for some integer  $t$  such that  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-3}{6}$ .*

(iv) *If  $c \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , then  $S$  is equal to one of the following numerical semigroups*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 6, 6t + 2, 6t + 4, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle, \\ &\langle 6, 6t + 4, 6t + 8, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

*for some integer  $t$  with  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-4}{6}$ .*

(v) *If  $c \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , then  $S$  is of one of the following forms*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 6, c, c + 2, c + 3, c + 4, c + 5 \rangle, \\ &\langle 6, 6t + 3, c, c + 2, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

*for some integer  $t$  with  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-5}{6}$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that all the semigroups given in the proposition are Arf numerical semigroups.

Let  $S$  be an Arf numerical semigroup with multiplicity 6 and conductor  $c$ . As we have already mentioned,  $c \equiv k \pmod{6}$  for some  $k \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . By Lemma

13, we have  $w(5) = c - k + 5$  and

$$(13) \quad w(1) = \begin{cases} c + 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ c - k + 7 & \text{if } k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Also,  $w(4) \leq w(2) + 2$  and  $w(2) = w(6 - 4) \leq w(6 - 2) + (6 - 2) = w(4) + 4$  by Lemma 15. Combining these two inequalities we get

$$(14) \quad w(4) - 2 \leq w(2) \leq w(4) + 4.$$

Let us also note that  $w(i) \leq c + 5$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ .

(i) If  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 1$  and  $w(5) = c + 5$  by (13). The ratio  $r$  of  $S$  is one of  $w(1) = c + 1$ ,  $w(2)$ ,  $w(3)$  or  $w(4)$ .

– If  $r = c + 1$ , then  $w(2) = c + 2$ ,  $w(3) = c + 3$ ,  $w(4) = c + 4$ , and consequently

$$S = \langle 6, c + 1, c + 2, c + 3, c + 4, c + 5 \rangle.$$

– If  $r = w(2)$ , then  $w(2) < c$ ,  $w(2) < w(3)$  and  $w(2) < w(4)$  by the definition of ratio. Hence  $w(3) = c + 3$  by Lemma 11 and  $w(2) = w(4) - 2$  or equivalently,  $w(4) = w(2) + 2$  by (14). Write  $w(2) = 6u + 2$ . Then  $w(4) = 6u + 4$  and

$$S = \langle 6, 6u + 2, 6u + 4, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle,$$

with  $1 \leq u \leq \frac{c}{6} - 1$ .

– If  $r = w(3)$ , then  $w(3) < c$ . Also  $w(3) < w(2)$  and  $w(3) < w(4)$  by the definition of ratio. Hence  $w(2) = c + 2$  and  $w(4) = c + 4$  by Lemma 11. By setting  $w(3) = 6u + 3$ , we get

$$S = \langle 6, 6u + 3, c + 1, c + 2, c + 4, c + 5 \rangle,$$

with  $1 \leq u \leq \frac{c}{6} - 1$ .

– If  $r = w(4)$ , then the definition of ratio forces  $w(4) < c$ ,  $w(4) < w(3)$  and  $w(4) < w(2)$ . Hence  $w(3) = c + 3$  by Lemma 11 and  $w(2) = w(4) + 4$  by (14). Put  $w(4) = 6u + 4$ . Then  $w(2) = 6u + 8$  and we have

$$S = \langle 6, 6u + 4, 6u + 8, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle,$$

with  $1 \leq u \leq \frac{c}{6} - 1$ .

(ii) If  $c \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 5$  and  $w(5) = c + 3$  by (13). Also we have in this setting that  $r \in \{w(2), w(3), w(4)\}$ .

– If  $r = w(2)$ , the definition of ratio yields  $w(2) \leq c$ ,  $w(2) < w(3)$  and  $w(2) < w(4)$ . Hence  $w(3) = c + 1$  by Lemma 11, and  $w(2) = w(4) - 2$ , or equivalently,  $w(4) = w(2) + 2$  by (14). Write  $w(2) = 6t + 2$ . Then  $w(4) = 6t + 4$  and we obtain

$$S = \langle 6, 6t + 2, 6t + 4, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle,$$

with  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-2}{6}$ .

– If  $r = w(3)$ , then  $w(3) < w(2)$  and  $w(3) < w(4)$  by the definition of the ratio. Hence  $w(4) = c + 2$  and  $w(2) = c$  by Lemma 11. Note also that  $w(3) \leq c - 5$ , since  $w(3) < c$  and  $w(3) \equiv c + 1 \pmod{6}$ . By denoting  $w(3) = 6u + 3$ , we get

$$S = \langle 6, 6u + 3, c, c + 2, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle,$$

where  $1 \leq u \leq \frac{c-2}{6} - 1$ .

- If  $r = w(4)$ , then  $w(4) < c$ ,  $w(4) < w(2)$  and  $w(4) < w(3)$  by the definition of ratio. Hence  $w(3) = c + 1$  by Lemma 11, and  $w(2) = w(4) + 4$  by (14). Put  $w(4) = 6u + 4$ . Then  $w(2) = 6u + 8$ , and we have

$$S = \langle 6, 6u + 4, 6u + 8, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle,$$

with  $1 \leq u \leq \frac{c-2}{6} - 1$ .

- (iii) If  $c \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 4$  and  $w(5) = c + 2$  by (13). In this case,  $c + 5 = w(2)$  is the largest element in  $\text{Ap}(S, 6)$ . Using (14),  $c + 5 = w(2) \leq w(4) + 4$  which implies  $c + 1 \leq w(4)$  and thus  $w(4) = c + 1$ . Therefore, the only possibility for the ratio is  $r = w(3)$  and if we express  $w(3) = 6t + 3$ , we get

$$S = \langle 6, 6t + 3, c + 1, c + 2, c + 4, c + 5 \rangle,$$

where  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-3}{6}$ .

- (iv) If  $c \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 3$  and  $w(5) = c + 1$  by (13). In this case,  $c + 5 = w(3)$  is the largest element of  $\text{Ap}(S, 6)$ . Since  $w(4) \leq c$ , the ratio  $r$  of  $S$  is either  $w(2)$  or  $w(4)$ .

- If  $r = w(2)$ , then  $w(2) < w(4)$  and thus  $w(4) = w(2) + 2$  by (14). Write  $w(2)$  as  $w(2) = 6t + 2$ . Then  $w(4) = 6t + 4$  and

$$S = \langle 6, 6t + 2, 6t + 4, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle,$$

with  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-4}{6}$ .

- If  $r = w(4)$ , then  $w(4) < w(2)$  and thus  $w(2) = w(4) + 4$  by (6.2). Put  $w(4) = 6t + 4$ . Then  $w(2) = 6t + 8$  and

$$S = \langle 6, 6t + 4, 6t + 8, c + 1, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle$$

where  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-4}{6}$ .

- (v) If  $c \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , then  $w(1) = c + 2$  and  $w(5) = c$  by (13). In this case,  $c + 5 = w(4)$  is the largest element of  $\text{Ap}(S, 6)$ . Using (14), we obtain  $c + 5 = w(4) \leq w(2) + 2$  and then  $c + 3 \leq w(2)$ . This implies  $w(2) = c + 3$ . Therefore, either  $r = w(5) = c$  or  $r = w(3)$ .

- If  $r = c$ , then

$$S = \langle 6, c, c + 2, c + 3, c + 4, c + 5 \rangle.$$

- If  $r = w(3)$  and if we put  $w(3) = 6t + 3$ , then

$$S = \langle 6, 6t + 3, c, c + 2, c + 3, c + 5 \rangle,$$

where  $1 \leq t \leq \frac{c-5}{6}$ . □

If  $c$  is an integer such that  $c \geq 6$  and  $c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , then Proposition 22 can be used to count Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 6 and conductor  $c$ .

**Corollary 23.** *Let  $c$  be an integer such that  $c \geq 6$  and  $c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . Then*

$$n_A(c, 6) = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{2} - 2 & \text{if } c \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{c-2}{2} - 2 & \text{if } c \equiv 2 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{c-3}{6} & \text{if } c \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{c-4}{3} & \text{if } c \equiv 4 \pmod{6}, \\ \frac{c+1}{6} & \text{if } c \equiv 5 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

**Example 7.** The 13 Arf numerical semigroups with multiplicity 6 and conductor 30 (Frobenius number 29) are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 6, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 8, 10, 31, 33, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 14, 16, 31, 33, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 20, 22, 31, 33, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 26, 28, 31, 33, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 26, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 9, 31, 32, 34, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 15, 31, 32, 34, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 21, 31, 32, 34, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 27, 31, 32, 34, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 27, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 10, 14, 31, 33, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 16, 20, 31, 33, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 22, 26, 31, 33, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 18, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}, \\ \langle 6, 28, 32, 31, 33, 35 \rangle &= \{0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 28, 30, \rightarrow\}. \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Arf, Une interprétation algébrique de la suite des ordres de multiplicité d'une branche algébrique, Proc. London Math. Soc., Series 2, **50** (1949), 256 - 287.
- [2] The Collected Papers of Cahit Arf, Turkish Mathematical Society, 1990.
- [3] A. Assi, P. A. García-Sánchez, Numerical semigroups and applications, arXiv:1411.6093.
- [4] V. Barucci, D. E. Dobbs, M. Fontana, Maximality Properties in Numerical Semigroups and Applications to One-Dimensional Analytically Irreducible Local Domains, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **598** (1997).
- [5] V. Blanco, P. A. García-Sánchez and J. Puerto, Counting numerical semigroups with short generating functions, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **21** (2011), 1217-1235.
- [6] Bras-Amors, Maria Acute semigroups, the order bound on the minimum distance, and the Feng-Rao improvements. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **50** (2004), 1282-1289.
- [7] Maria, Bras-Amors, Improvements to evaluation codes and new characterizations of Arf semigroups. Applied algebra, algebraic algorithms and error-correcting codes (Toulouse, 2003), 204-215, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., **2643**, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [8] M. Bras-Amorós, P. A. García-Sánchez, Patterns on numerical semigroups, Linear Algebra Appl. **414** (2006), 652 - 669.
- [9] A. Campillo, J. I. Farrán, C. Munuera, On the parameters of algebraic-geometry codes related to Arf semigroups, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **46** (2000), no. 7, 2634 - 2638.
- [10] M. Delgado, P. A. García-Sánchez, P. A. and J. Morais, NumericalSgps, A package for numerical semigroups, Version 1.0.1 (2015), (Refereed GAP package), <http://www.fc.up.pt/cmup/mdelgado/numericalsgps>.
- [11] D. E. Dobbs, H. J. Smith, Numerical semigroups whose fractions are of maximal embedding dimension, Semigroup Forum **82** (2011), no. 3, 412 - 422.
- [12] P. Du Val, The Jacobian algorithm and the multiplicity sequence of an algebraic branch, Revue de la faculté des sciences de l'université d'Istanbul, série A, tome VII, (1942), 107 - 112.
- [13] GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.8, The GAP Group (2014), <http://www.gap-system.org>.
- [14] J. Kelleher, B. O'Sullivan, Generating All Partitions: A Comparison Of Two Encodings, arXiv:0909.2331.
- [15] E. Kunz, Über die Klassifikation numerischer Halbgruppen. Regensburger mathematische Schriften **11** (1987).
- [16] J. Lipman, Stable ideals and Arf rings, Amer. J. Math., **93** (1971) 649 - 685.

- [17] J. C. Rosales, Principal ideals of numerical semigroups, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **10** (2003), 329 - 343.
- [18] J. C. Rosales, Numerical semigroups with multiplicity three and four, *Semigroup Forum* **71** (2005), 323-331.
- [19] J. C. Rosales, Families of numerical semigroups closed under finite intersections and for the Frobenius number, *Houston J. Math.* **34** (2008), 339 - 348.
- [20] J. C. Rosales, M. B. Branco, D. Torrão, On the enumeration of the set of saturated numerical semigroups with fixed Frobenius number, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **236** (2014), 471 - 479.
- [21] J. C. Rosales y P. A. García-Sánchez, Numerical semigroups, *Developments in Mathematics*, **20**, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [22] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García and M. B. Branco, Systems of inequalities and numerical semigroups, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **65** (2002), 611-623.
- [23] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García, and M. B. Branco, Arf numerical semigroups, *J. Algebra* **276** (2004), 3 - 12.
- [24] J. G. Semple, Singularities of space algebraic curves, *Proc. London Math. Soc., Series 2*, **44** (1938) 149-174.
- [25] S. Sertöz, Arf Rings and Characters, *Note Mat.* **14** (1994), 251-261 (1997).
- [26] O. Zariski, *Le problème des modules pour les courbes planes*, Hermann, 1986.

IEMATH-GR AND DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, E-18071 GRANADA, ESPAÑA

*E-mail address:* `pedro@ugr.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA E CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES (CMA), FCT, UNIVERSIDADE NOVA DE LISBOA

*E-mail address:* `b.heredia@fct.unl.pt`

FACULTY OF COMMERCIAL SCIENCES, BAŞKENT UNIVERSITY, ANKARA, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* `karakas@baskent.edu.tr`

DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, E-18071 GRANADA, ESPAÑA

*E-mail address:* `jrosales@ugr.es`