

Canonical binary matrices related to bipartite graphs

Krasimir Yordzhev

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences
South-West University "Neofit Rilski"
2700 Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria
E-mail: yordzhev@swu.bg

Abstract

The current paper is dedicated to the problem of finding the number of mutually non isomorphic bipartite graphs of the type $g = \langle R_g, C_g, E_g \rangle$ at given $n = |R_g|$ and $m = |C_g|$, where R_g and C_g are the two disjoint parts of the vertices of the graphs g , and E_g is the set of edges, $E_g \subseteq R_g \times C_g$. For this purpose, the concept of canonical binary matrix is introduced. The different canonical matrices unambiguously describe the different with exactness up to isomorphism bipartite graphs. We have found a necessary and sufficient condition an arbitrary matrix to be canonical. This condition could be the base for realizing recursive algorithm for finding all $n \times m$ canonical binary matrices and consequently for finding all with exactness up to isomorphism binary matrices with cardinality of each part equal to n and m .

Keyword: Bipartite graph; Canonical binary matrix; Semi-canonical binary matrix

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15B34; 05B20; 05C30

1 Introduction and notation

Let k and n be positive integers, $k \leq n$. By $[n]$ we denote the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and by $[k, n]$ the set $[k, n] = \{k, k+1, \dots, n\}$.

Bipartite graph is the ordered triplet

$$g = \langle R_g, C_g, E_g \rangle,$$

where R_g and C_g are non-empty sets such that $R_g \cap C_g = \emptyset$, the elements of which will be called *vertices*. $E_g \subseteq R_g \times C_g = \{\langle r, c \rangle \mid r \in R_g, c \in C_g\}$ - the set of *edges*. Multiple edges are not allowed in our considerations.

By \mathcal{S}_n we denote the symmetric group of order n , i.e. the group of all one-to-one mappings of the set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in itself. If $x \in [n]$, $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then the image of the element x in the mapping ρ we denote by $\rho(x)$.

Definition 1 Let $g' = \langle R_{g'}, C_{g'}, E_{g'} \rangle$ and $g'' = \langle R_{g''}, C_{g''}, E_{g''} \rangle$ are two bipartite graphs. We will say that the graphs g' and g'' are isomorphic and we will write

$$g' \cong g'',$$

if $|R_{g'}| = |R_{g''}| = n$, $|C_{g'}| = |C_{g''}| = m$ and there exist $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$ such that $\langle r, c \rangle \in E_{g'} \Leftrightarrow \langle \rho(r), \sigma(c) \rangle \in E_{g''}$.

In this paper we consider only bipartite graphs up to isomorphism.

For more details on graph theory and its applications see [1, 2].

The connection between the bipartite graphs and the popular puzzle Sudoku is described in details in [5]. There it is shown that if we want to find the number of all $n^2 \times n^2$ Sudoku grids, it is necessary to obtain all bipartite graphs of the type $g = \langle R_g, C_g, E_g \rangle$ with exactness up to isomorphism and also some of their numerical characteristics, where $|R_g| = |C_g| = n$.

We dedicate this paper on the problem to obtain all bipartite graphs of the type $g = \langle R_g, C_g, E_g \rangle$ with exactness up to isomorphism at given n and m , where $n = |R_g|$, $m = |C_g|$. The set of all these graphs we will denote with $\mathfrak{G}_{n \times m}$. For this purpose we will represent the set $\mathfrak{G}_{n \times m}$ with the help of $n \times m$ binary matrices.

Let us recall that *binary* (or *boolean*, or *(0,1)-matrix*) is called a matrix whose elements belong to the set $\mathfrak{B} = \{0, 1\}$. With $\mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ we will denote the set of all $n \times m$ binary matrices.

A square binary matrix is called a *permutation matrix*, if there is just one 1 in every row and every column. Let us denote by \mathcal{P}_n the group of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices. In effect is the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_n \cong \mathcal{S}_n$.

As it is well known (see [3, 4]) that the multiplication of an arbitrary real or complex matrix A from the left with a permutation matrix (if the multiplication is possible) leads to dislocation of the rows of the matrix A , while the multiplication of A from the right with a permutation matrix leads to the dislocation of the columns of A .

With $\mathcal{T}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_n$ we denote the set of all *transpositions* in \mathcal{P}_n , i.e. the set of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices, which multiplying from the left an arbitrary $n \times m$ matrix swaps the places of exactly two rows, while multiplying from the right an arbitrary $k \times n$ matrix swaps the places of exactly two columns.

Definition 2 Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$. We will say that the matrices A and B are equivalent and we will write

$$A \sim B,$$

if there exist permutation matrices $X \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}_m$, such that

$$A = XBY.$$

In other words $A \sim B$ if A is received from B after dislocation of some of the rows and the columns of B . Obviously, the introduced relation is an equivalence relation.

Let $g = \langle R_g, C_g, E_g \rangle$ be a bipartite graph, where $R_g = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ and $C_g = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$. Then we build the matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, such that $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if $\langle r_i, c_j \rangle \in E_g$. Inversely, let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$. We denote the i -th row of A with r_i , while the j -th column of A with c_j . Then we build the bipartite graph $g = \langle R_g, C_g, E_g \rangle$, where $R_g = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$, $C_g = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$ and there exists an edge from the vertex r_i to the vertex c_j if and only if $a_{ij} = 1$. It is easy to see that if g and h are two isomorphic graphs and A and B are the corresponding matrices, then A is obtained from B by a permutation of columns and/or rows. Thus we showed the following obvious relation between the bipartite graphs and the binary matrices:

Proposition 1 *There exists one-to-one mapping*

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{G}_{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$$

between the elements of $\mathfrak{G}_{n \times m}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, such that if $g, h \in \mathfrak{G}_n$, then

$$g \cong h \iff \varphi(g) \sim \varphi(h).$$

□

Thus, the combinatorial problem to obtain and enumerate all of $n \times m$ binary matrices up to permutation of columns or rows naturally arises.

2 Semi-canonical and canonical binary matrices

Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$. With $r(A)$ we will denote the ordered n -tuple

$$r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle,$$

where $0 \leq x_i \leq 2^m - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and x_i is a natural number written in binary notation with the help of the i -th row of A .

Similarly with $c(A)$ we will denote the ordered m -tuple

$$c(A) = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle,$$

where $0 \leq y_j \leq 2^n - 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and y_j is a natural number written in binary notation with the help of the j -th column of A .

We consider the sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{n \times m} &= \{ \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid 0 \leq x_i \leq 2^m - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \} \\ &= \{ r(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m} \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{n \times m} &= \{ \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle \mid 0 \leq y_j \leq 2^n - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \} \\ &= \{ c(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m} \} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we define the following two mappings:

$$r : \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n \times m}$$

and

$$c : \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n \times m},$$

which are bijective and therefore

$$\mathcal{R}_{n \times m} \cong \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m} \cong \mathcal{C}_{n \times m}.$$

The above described bijections r and c leads to the following statement, which is an analog of Proposition 1:




Figure 1:

Proposition 2 *There exist one-to-one mappings between the elements of $\mathfrak{G}_{n \times m}$ and the sets*

$$\mathcal{R}_{n \times m} = \{\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \mid 0 \leq x_i \leq 2^m - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{n \times m} = \{\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle \mid 0 \leq y_j \leq 2^n - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

□

Example 1 *The shown in Figure 1 graph is unambiguously coded with the help of the matrix*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the help of the ordered set of nonnegative integers.

$$r(A) = \langle 12, 14, 1 \rangle$$

and

$$c(A) = \langle 6, 6, 2, 1 \rangle.$$

The lexicographic orders in $\mathcal{R}_{n \times m}$ and in $\mathcal{C}_{n \times m}$ we will denote with $<$.

Definition 3 Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and $c(A) = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$. We will call the matrix A *semi-canonical*, if

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$$

and

$$y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_m.$$

Proposition 3 *Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ be a semi-canonical matrix. Then there exist integers i, j , such that $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ and*

$$a_{1 \ 1} = a_{1 \ 2} = \dots = a_{1 \ j} = 0, \quad a_{1 \ j+1} = a_{1 \ j+2} = \dots = a_{1 \ m} = 1, \quad (1)$$

$$a_{1 \ 1} = a_{2 \ 1} = \dots = a_{i \ 1} = 0, \quad a_{i+1 \ 1} = a_{i+2 \ 1} = \dots = a_{n \ 1} = 1. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and $c(A) = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$. We assume that there exist integers p and q , such that $1 \leq p < q \leq m$, $a_{1p} = 1$ and $a_{1q} = 0$. In this case $y_p > y_q$, which contradicts the condition for semi-canonicity of the matrix A . We have proven (1). Similarly, we prove (2) as well. \square

Corollary 1 Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ be a semi-canonical matrix. Then there exist integers s, t , such that $0 \leq s \leq m$, $0 \leq t \leq n$, $x_1 = 2^s - 1$ and $y_1 = 2^t - 1$ \square

Definition 4 We will call the matrix $A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ *canonical matrix*, if $r(A)$ is a minimal element about the lexicographic order in the set $\overline{A} = \{r(B) \mid B \sim A\}$.

If the matrix $A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ is canonical and $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$, then obviously

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n. \quad (3)$$

From Definition 4 immediately follows that in every equivalence class about the relation " \sim " (see Definition 2) there exists only one canonical matrix. Therefore, to find all bipartite graphs of type $g = \langle R_g, C_g, E_g \rangle$, $|R_g| = n$, $|C_g| = m$ up to isomorphism, it suffices to find all canonical matrices from the set $\mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$.

Theorem 1 Let A be an arbitrary matrix from $\mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$. Then:

a) If $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s \in \mathcal{T}_n$ are such that

$$r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_s A) < r(X_2 X_3 \dots X_s A) < \dots < r(X_s A) < r(A),$$

then

$$c(X_1 X_2 \dots X_s A) < c(A).$$

b) If $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t \in \mathcal{T}_m$ are such that

$$c(A Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_t) < c(A Y_2 Y_3 \dots Y_t) < \dots < c(A Y_t) < r(A),$$

then

$$r(A Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_t) < r(A).$$

Proof. a) Induction by s .

Let $s = 1$ and let $X \in \mathcal{T}_n$ be a transposition which multiplying an arbitrary matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ from the left swaps the places of the rows of A with numbers u and v ($1 \leq u < v \leq n$), while the remaining rows stay in their places. In other words if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1r} & \dots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2r} & \dots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{u1} & a_{u2} & \dots & a_{ur} & \dots & a_{um} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{v1} & a_{v2} & \dots & a_{vr} & \dots & a_{vm} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nr} & \dots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$X_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1r} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2r} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{v1} & a_{v2} & \cdots & a_{vr} & \cdots & a_{vm} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{u1} & a_{u2} & \cdots & a_{ur} & \cdots & a_{um} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nr} & \cdots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Let

$$r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_u, \dots, x_v, \dots, x_n \rangle.$$

Then

$$r(XA) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v, \dots, x_u, \dots, x_n \rangle.$$

Since $r(XA) < r(A)$, then according to the properties of the lexicographic order $x_v < x_u$. Let the representation of x_u and x_v in binary notation with an eventual addition if necessary with unessential zeros in the beginning be respectively as follows:

$$x_u = a_{u1}a_{u2} \cdots a_{um},$$

$$x_v = a_{v1}a_{v2} \cdots a_{vm}.$$

Since $x_v < x_u$, then there exists an integer $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, such that $a_{uj} = a_{vj}$ when $j < r$, $a_{ur} = 1$ and $a_{vr} = 0$. Hence if $c(A) = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$, $c(XA) = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \rangle$, then $y_j = z_j$ when $j < r$, while the representation of y_r and z_r in binary notation with an eventual addition if necessary with unessential zeroes in the beginning is respectively as follows:

$$y_r = a_{1r}a_{2r} \cdots a_{u-1r}a_{ur} \cdots a_{vr} \cdots a_{nr},$$

$$z_r = a_{1r}a_{2r} \cdots a_{u-1r}a_{vr} \cdots a_{ur} \cdots a_{nr}.$$

Since $a_{ur} = 1$, $a_{vr} = 0$, then $z_r < y_r$, whence it follows that $c(XA) < c(A)$.

We assume that for every s -tuple of transpositions $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and for every matrix $A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ from

$$r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_s A) < r(X_2 \dots X_s A) < \cdots < r(X_s A) < r(A)$$

it follows that

$$c(X_1 X_2 \dots X_s A) < c(A)$$

and let $X_{s+1} \in \mathcal{T}_n$ be such that

$$r(X_1 X_2 \dots X_s X_{s+1} A) < r(X_2 \dots X_{s+1} A) < \cdots < r(X_{s+1} A) < r(A).$$

According to the induction assumption $c(X_{s+1} A) < c(A)$.

We put

$$A_1 = X_{s+1} A.$$

According to the induction assumption from

$$r(X_1X_2 \dots X_sA_1) < r(X_2 \dots X_sA_1) < \dots < r(X_sA_1) < r(A_1)$$

it follows that

$$c(X_1X_2 \dots X_sX_{s+1}A) = c(X_1X_2 \dots X_sA_1) < c(A_1) = c(X_{s+1}A) < c(A),$$

with which we have proven a).

b) is proven similarly to a). □

Obviously in effect is also the dual to Theorem 1 statement, in which everywhere instead of the sign $<$ we put the sign $>$.

Corollary 2 *If the matrix $A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ is a canonical matrix, then A is a semi-canonical matrix.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ be a canonical matrix and $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$. Then from (3) it follows that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$. Let $c(A) = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$. We assume that there are s and t such that $s \leq t$ and $y_s > y_t$. Then we swap the columns of numbers s and t . Thus we obtain the matrix $A' \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, $A' \neq A$. Obviously $c(A') < c(A)$. From Theorem 1 it follows that $r(A') < r(A)$, which contradicts the minimality of $r(A)$. □

In the next example, we will see that the opposite statement of Corollary 2 is not always true.

Example 2 We consider the matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

After immediate verification, we find that $A \sim B$. Furthermore $r(A) = \langle 3, 3, 4, 8 \rangle$, $c(A) = \langle 1, 2, 12, 12 \rangle$, $r(B) = \langle 1, 6, 6, 8 \rangle$, $c(B) = \langle 1, 6, 6, 8 \rangle$. So A and B are two equivalent to each other semi-canonical matrices, but they are not canonical. Canonical matrix in this equivalence class is the matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$r(C) = \langle 1, 2, 12, 12 \rangle, \quad c(C) = \langle 3, 3, 4, 8 \rangle.$$

□

From example 2 immediately follows that in a given equivalence class it is possible to exist more than one semi-canonical element.

In [6] we described and we implemented with help of C++ programming language an algorithm for finding all $n \times n$ semi-canonical binary matrices taking into account the number of 1 in each of them. In the described algorithm, the bitwise operations are substantially used.

Let us denote with $\beta(n, k)$ the number of all $n \times n$ semi-canonical binary matrices with exactly k 1's, where $0 \leq k \leq n^2$. In [6], we received the following integer sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\beta(2, k)\}_{k=0}^4 &= \{1, 1, 3, 1, 1\} \\ \{\beta(3, k)\}_{k=0}^9 &= \{1, 1, 3, 8, 10, 9, 8, 3, 1, 1\} \\ \{\beta(4, k)\}_{k=0}^{16} &= \{1, 1, 3, 8, 25, 49, 84, 107, 121, 101, 72, 41, 24, 8, 3, 1, 1\} \\ \{\beta(5, k)\}_{k=0}^{25} &= \{1, 1, 3, 8, 25, 80, 220, 524, 1057, 1806, 2671, 3365, 3680, 3468, \\ &\quad 2865, 2072, 1314, 723, 362, 166, 72, 24, 8, 3, 1, 1\} \\ \{\beta(6, k)\}_{k=0}^{36} &= \{1, 1, 3, 8, 25, 80, 283, 925, 2839, 7721, 18590, 39522, 74677, \\ &\quad 125449, 188290, 252954, 305561, 332402, 326650, 290171, 233656, 170704, \\ &\quad 113448, 68677, 37996, 19188, 8910, 3847, 1588, 613, 299, 72, 24, 8, 3, 1, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

3 A necessary and sufficient condition for a binary matrix to be canonical

Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$. We denote the following notations:

$$\varepsilon_i(A) = \varepsilon(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} - \text{the number of 1 in the } i\text{-th row of } A, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

$Z_i(A) = Z(x_i) = \{x_k \in r(A) \mid x_k = x_i\}$ – the set of all rows, equal to i -th row of A . By definition $x_i \in Z(x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$\zeta_i(A) = \zeta(x_i) = |Z_i(A)|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The next four statements are obvious and their proof is trivial.

Proposition 4 *Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and let $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$. Let s, t are integers so that $0 \leq s < n$, $0 \leq t < m$ and let the matrix $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{(n-t) \times (m-s)}$ be obtained from A removing the first t rows and the last s columns and let $r(B) = \langle x'_{t+1}, x'_{t+2}, \dots, x'_n \rangle$. Then $x'_{t+1} \leq x'_{t+2} \leq \dots \leq x'_n$.*

□

Proposition 5 *Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and let $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$. Then for each $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, for which $x_{i-1} < x_i$, or $i = 1$ the condition*

$$Z(x_i) = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+\zeta(x_i)}\}$$

is fulfilled.

□

Proposition 6 Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$, $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ and let $\varepsilon_1(A) = m$, i.e. $x_1 = 2^m - 1$. Then A is canonical. \square

Proposition 7 Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$, $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$, $x_1 = 2^s - 1$ for some integer s , $0 \leq s \leq m$ and let $\zeta_1(A) = n$. Then A is canonical. \square

Theorem 2 Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$,

$$r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle,$$

$$c(A) = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle.$$

Then A is canonical if and only if the next condition are true:

1. $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$;
2. $x_1 = 2^s - 1$, where $s = \varepsilon_1(A)$;
3. For each $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ is fulfilled $\varepsilon_1(A) \leq \varepsilon_i(A)$;
4. If for some integer i such that $\zeta_1(A) < i \leq n$ is fulfilled $\varepsilon_i(A) = \varepsilon_1(A)$, then $\zeta_1(A) \geq \zeta_i(A)$;
5. $y_{m-s+1} \leq y_{m-s+2} \leq \dots \leq y_m$, where $s = \varepsilon_1(A)$;
6. Let for some integer i such that $\zeta_1(A) < i \leq n$ are fulfilled $\varepsilon_i(A) = \varepsilon_1(A) = s$ and $\zeta_1(A) = \zeta_i(A) = t$. Let $\Upsilon(x_i) = \{y_i \in c(A) \mid a_{ij} = 1\} = \{y_{u_1}, y_{u_2}, \dots, y_{u_s}\}$. Let A' is the matrix which is obtained from A replacing the places of the rows from the set $Z(x_1)$ with the rows of the set $Z(x_i)$, the place of the column y_{u_1} with the place of the column y_{m-s} , the place of the column y_{u_2} with the place of the column y_{m-s+1} and so on, the place of the column y_{u_s} with the place of the column y_m .¹ Then $r(A) \leq r(A')$;
7. If $s = \varepsilon_1(A) < m$ and $t = \zeta_1(A) < n$ then the matrix $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{(n-s) \times (m-t)}$, which is obtained from A removing the first s rows and the last t columns is canonical.

Proof.

Necessity. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ be a canonical matrix and let $r(A) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$, $c(A) = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$.

Conditions 1 and 5 are due to the fact that every canonical matrix is semi-canonical (Corollary 2), so $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_m$.

Condition 2 comes from Corollary 1.

3. We assume that an integer i , $2 \leq i \leq n$ exists, such that $\varepsilon_i(A) < \varepsilon_1(A) = s$ and let $\varepsilon_i(A) = u < s$. Then a matrix $A' = [a'_{ij}] \sim A$ exists such that $a'_{i1} = a'_{i2} = \dots = a'_{im-u} = 0$ and $a'_{im-u+1} = a'_{im-u+2} = \dots = a'_{im} = 1$. We move the i -th row of A' at first place and we obtain a matrix A'' . Obviously $A'' \sim A$. Let $r(A'') = \langle x''_1, x''_2, \dots, x''_n \rangle$. Then $x''_1 = 2^u - 1 < 2^s - 1 = x_1$. Therefore $r(A'') < r(A)$, which is impossible, due to the fact that A is canonical.

¹If for some j is satisfied $u_j = m - s + j$, then y_{u_j} remains at its place.

4. Let $s = \varepsilon_1(A)$ and $t = \zeta_1(A)$. According to the proved above condition 2 and Proposition 5 we have $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_t = 2^s - 1 < x_{t+1}$. We assume that an integer i , $t < i \leq n$ exists, such that $\varepsilon_i(A) = \varepsilon_1(A) = s$ and $\zeta_i(A) > \zeta_1(A)$. Let $\zeta_i(A) = v$, $v > t$. Then a matrix $A' \sim A$ exist, such that $r(A') = \langle x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n \rangle$, where $x'_1 = x'_2 = \dots = x'_v = 2^s - 1 < x'_{v+1}$. Due to the fact that $t + 1 \leq v$, consequently $x'_{t+1} = x'_v = 2^s - 1 = x_t < x_{t+1}$. We obtained that $x_k = x'_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and $x'_{t+1} < x_{t+1}$. From here it follows that $r(A') < r(A)$, which is contrary to the canonicity of A .

Condition 6 comes directly from the fact that A is canonical and $r(A) \leq r(A')$ for each matrix $A' \sim A$.

7. From the already proved condition 1 \div 4 and Proposition 5 it follows that A is presented in this type:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} O & E \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where O is $t \times (m - s)$ matrix, all element of which are equal to 0, E is $t \times s$ matrix, all element of which are equal to 1, $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{(n-t) \times (m-s)}$, as the first rows of B are not entirely null, $C \in \mathfrak{B}_{(n-t) \times s}$, $s = \varepsilon_1(A)$ and $t = \zeta_1(A)$.

Let $B' \sim B$ and B' is $(n - t) \times (m - s)$ canonical binary matrix. Then the following matrices $A' \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times n}$ and $C' \in \mathfrak{B}_{(n-t) \times s}$ exist, such that $A' \sim A$, $C' \sim C$, $A' = \begin{bmatrix} O & E \\ B' & C' \end{bmatrix}$, and C' is obtained from C after eventual change some of the rows. Let $r(B) = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-s} \rangle$, $r(B') = \langle b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_{m-s} \rangle$, $r(C) = \langle c_{m-s+1}, c_{m-s+2}, \dots, c_m \rangle$, $r(C') = \langle c'_{m-s+1}, c'_{m-s+2}, \dots, c'_m \rangle$, $r(A') = \langle x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n \rangle$. Obviously $x'_i = x_i = 2^s - 1$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Because B' is canonical, and $0 \leq c_k, c'_k < 2^s$ for each $k \in [m - s + 1, m]$ there exist $i \in \{t + 1, t + 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $b'_1 = b_1, b'_2 = b_2, \dots, b'_{i-1} = b_{i-1}$ and $b'_i < b_i$. Then $x'_1 = x_1, x'_2 = x_2, \dots, x'_{i-1} = x_{i-1}$ and $x'_i = b'_i 2^s + c'_i \leq b_i 2^s + c_i = x_i$. Consequently $r(A') \leq r(A)$. But A is canonical, i.e. $r(A) \leq r(A')$. Therefore $A' = A$, from where $B' = B$ and B is canonical.

Sufficiency. Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ satisfy the conditions 1 \div 7 and let $A' \in \mathfrak{B}_{n \times m}$ be a canonical matrix, $A' \sim A$. Since the conditions 1 \div 7 are necessary for the canonicity of a matrix, consequently A' also satisfies these conditions.

For $A' \sim A$ and having in mind conditions 2 \div 4 it is easy to see that

$$\varepsilon_1(A') = \varepsilon_1(A) = s \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_1(A') = \zeta_1(A) = t. \quad (5)$$

If $s = m$, according to Proposition 6 the matrix A is canonical. If $t = n$, according to Proposition 7 the matrix A is canonical.

Let $1 \leq s < m$ $1 \leq t < n$. In this case conditions 1 \div 4, Proposition 5 and equations (5) guarantee that A and A' are presented in the type

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} O & E \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A' = \begin{bmatrix} O & E \\ B' & C' \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where O is $t \times (m - s)$ matrix, all elements of which are equal to 0, E is $t \times s$ matrix, all elements of which are equal to 1, $B, B' \in \mathfrak{B}_{(n-t) \times (m-s)}$ as the first rows of B and B' are not entirely null and $C, C' \in \mathfrak{B}_{(n-t) \times s}$.

According to conditions 5 and 7 and the equations (6), it is easy to see that if $c(A) = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$ and $c(A') = \langle y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m \rangle$, then $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_m$ and $y'_1 \leq y'_2 \leq \dots \leq y'_m$. Therefore the matrices A and A' are semi-canonical.

We assume that $A' \neq A$ and A' we obtain that the change of A of some of the columns. Let us change the places of column with numbers k and l , $1 \leq k < l \leq m$. The inequality $m - s < k < l \leq m$, where $s = \varepsilon_1(A) = \varepsilon_1(A')$ is impossible due the condition 5. The inequality $1 \leq k < l \leq m - s$ is impossible due the condition 7. Consequently $1 \leq k \leq m - s < l \leq m$. But then having in mind (6), it is easy to see that that in this case it is necessary also to change the places of some of the rows of A .

Let A' be obtained after changing the places of some of the rows and afterwards possibly of some of the columns of A . Let us change the places of the rows with the number i and j of the matrix A , where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. If $1 \leq i < j \leq t = \zeta_1(A) = \zeta_1(A')$, the change of these rows does not lead to alteration of the matrix. If $t < i < j \leq n$, then the condition 7 will be broken. So $1 \leq i \leq t < j \leq n$. According to conditions 2 ÷ 4, $\varepsilon_j(A) = \varepsilon_j(A') = s$ and $\zeta_j(A) = \zeta_j(A') = t$. Consequently we have changed the place of the first equal to each other $t = \zeta_1(A)$ rows with another equal to each rows of the set $Z_j(A)$. After that in order to obtain a matrix of kind (4) it is necessary to change the places of some columns of the matrix A . But this contrary to condition 6 and to the assumption that $A' \neq A$ and A' is canonical.

Therefore $A = A'$, i.e. A is canonical.

□

4 Conclusions and future work

The formulation of Theorem 2 is a good basis for the creation of an algorithm receiving all $n \times m$ canonical binary matrices, which on the other hand describe all bipartite graphs (Proposition 1) of the type $g = \langle R_g, C_g, E_g \rangle$ up to isomorphism, where $|R_g| = n$, $C_g = m$. We will have to settle this problem in the near futures. This paper will be very useful for its solving.

References

- [1] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Springer-Verlag Heidelberg, New York, 1997, 2000, 2006.
- [2] F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1998.
- [3] V. N. Sachkov and V. E. Tarakanov. *Combinatorics of Nonnegative Matrices*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [4] V. E. Tarakanov. *Combinatorial Problems and (0,1)-matrices*. Nauka, Moscow, 1985. in Russian.
- [5] K. Yordzhev. Calculation of the number of all pairs of disjoint s-permutation matrices. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 268:1–11, 2015.
- [6] K. Yordzhev. Semi-canonical binary matrices. In *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference of FMNS (FMNS-2015)*, volume 1, pages 113–124, Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria, 2015. SWU "N. Pilsky".