# Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages of bounded measurable functions for amenable groups

## Xiongping Dai

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

## **Abstract**

Given any amenable group G (with a left Haar measure  $|\cdot|$  or dg), we can select out a  $F \phi lner$  subnet  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  from any left F $\phi$ lner net in G, which is  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible for G, namely, for any Borel G-space  $(X, \mathcal{X})$  and any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ , there exists some function  $\varphi^*$  on X such that

$$\textit{Moore-Smith-} \lim_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} \varphi(gx) dg = \varphi^*(x) \ \forall x \in X \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^* = (g\varphi)^* \ \forall g \in G.$$

Moreover, if G is  $\sigma$ -compact such as a locally compact second countable Hausdorff amenable group, then  $\varphi^* \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{X})$ ,  $\varphi^*(gx) = \varphi^*(x)$  a.e., and  $\varphi^*$  is a.e. independent of the choice of the  $L^\infty$ -admissible Følner net  $\{F_\theta; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G.

Consequently, we may easily obtain: (1) a Universal Ergodic Disintegration Theorem; (2) the Khintchine Recurrence Theorem for  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group; (3) the existence of  $\sigma$ -finite invariant Radon measures for any Borel action of an amenable group on a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact, metric space X by continuous maps of X; and (4) an  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise multiple ergodic theorem.

 $\textit{Keywords: } L^{\infty}\text{-pointwise (multi-) ergodic theorem} \cdot \text{Ergodic decomposition} \cdot \text{Recurrence theorem}$ 

2010 MSC: Primary 37A30, 37A05; Secondary 37A15, 28A50, 22F50.

## 0. Introduction

Følner sequences permit ergodic averages to be formed, and then the  $L^p$ -mean and  $L^1$ -pointwise ergodic theorems hold under "suitable conditions" for measure-preserving actions of  $\sigma$ -compact amenable groups; see [3, 43, 16, 11, 12, 15, 41, 35, 27, 10, 33, 6] and so on. Although the  $L^1$ -pointwise ergodic theorem has been well known over tempered Følner sequences since E. Lindenstrauss 2001 [27], yet there has been no one over Følner net for general amenable group that does not have any Følner sequence at all. On the other hand, is there any other kind of admissible Følner sequences for pointwise convergence but the tempered one?

In this paper, we prove the  $L^p$ -mean ergodic theorem,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , over any Følner net (cf. Theorem 0.4); and moreover, for any amenable group G, we can select out some Følner

subnet from any Følner net in G, which is *admissible* for the  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise ergodic theorem for any Borel G-space X (cf. Theorem 0.5). An important setting that is beyond the ergodic theorems available in the literature is the topological action on a compact metric space X:

•  $G \cap X$ , where G is a discrete uncountable abelian group.

But our results are valid for this case.

Consequently, as applications, we will present a new ergodic decomposition theorem for  $\sigma$ -compact amenable groups by only a concise one-page proof (cf. Theorem 0.8), the Khintchine recurrence theorem for any continuous action of  $\sigma$ -compact amenable groups (cf. Theorem 0.9), a existence of  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure (cf. Proposition 0.10), and a simple  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise convergence theorem of multiple ergodic averages for any topological module action on a measurable space (cf. Theorem 0.11).

## 0.1. Amenability

By an *amenable* group, in one of its equivalent characterizations, it always refers to a locally compact Hausdorff (lcH) group G, with a *left* Haar measure  $m_G$  (sometimes write  $|\cdot|$  and dg if no confusion) on the Borel  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}_G$  of G, for which it holds the left  $F \phi lner condition$  [36]: for any compacta K of G and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compacta F of G such that F is  $(K, \varepsilon)$ -invariant; i.e.,  $|KF \triangle F| < \varepsilon |F|$ , where  $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$  is the symmetric difference.

Recall from [36, Definition 4.15]) that a net  $\{K_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  (where  $(\Theta, \ge)$  is a directed set) of compacta of positive Haar measure of G is called a *summing net* in G if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $K_{\theta} \subseteq K_{\vartheta}$  if  $\theta \leq \vartheta$ ;
- (2)  $G = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} K_{\theta}^{\circ}$  where  $K^{\circ}$  is the interior of the set K;
- (3)  $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} |gK_{\theta} \triangle K_{\theta}| \cdot |K_{\theta}|^{-1} = 0$  uniformly for g on compacta of G.

Here  $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is in the sense of Moore-Smith limit; cf. [23]. Clearly, if *G* has a summing sequence it is  $\sigma$ -compact.

From now on let G be an lcH group, then there holds the following very important lemma for our later arguments.

**Lemma 0.1** ([36, Theorem 4.16]). *G* is amenable if and only if there exists a summing net in G. G is a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group if and only if there exists a summing sequence in G.

We will need the following two basic concepts.

**Definition 0.2** ([36]). Let  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a net of compacta of positive Haar measure of G.

- (1)  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  is called a **Følner net** in G if and only if
  - $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{|gF_{\theta} \triangle F_{\theta}|}{|F_{\theta}|} = 0 \ \forall g \in G.$
- (2)  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  is called a  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner net (or uniform Følner net) in G if and only if
  - $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{|KF_{\theta} \triangle F_{\theta}|}{|F_{\theta}|} = 0$  for every compacta K of G.

One can analogously define  $F \emptyset lner sequence$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  - $F \emptyset lner sequence$  in a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group G.

A  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner sequence is simply called a Følner sequence in many literature like [27, 10]. Clearly, every  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner net/sequence in G must be a Følner net/sequence; but the converse is never true if G is not a discrete amenable group. In fact, one can easily construct a Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , with the usual Euclidean topology, that is not a  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner sequence (cf. [36, Problem 4.7]).

We can then obtain the following fact from Lemma 0.1.

**Lemma 0.3.** Every amenable group G has a  $(\mathcal{K}$ -)Følner net in it. Moreover, if G is  $\sigma$ -compact, then it has a  $(\mathcal{K}$ -)Følner sequence.

Note that every subnet of a Følner net in G is also a Følner net in G. A non- $\sigma$ -compact amenable group does not have any Følner sequence in it. For example,  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , as a discrete abelian group, it is amenable but has no Følner sequence at all.

## 0.2. Ergodic theorems for amenable groups

Let  $(X, \mathscr{X})$  be a measurable space. By  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X})$ , it denotes the Banach space composed of all the bounded  $\mathscr{X}$ -measurable functions  $\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$  with the uniform convergence topology induced by the sup-norm  $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} |\varphi(x)|$ .

Let  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  be a **Borel** action of an amenable group G on X, by  $\mathscr{X}$ -measurable maps of X into itself, where  $T: (g, x) \mapsto T_g x$  or gx is the jointly measurable G-action map. In this case, X is called a **Borel** G-space. See §3.2

By  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$  we mean the set of all the G-invariant probability measures on  $(X, \mathcal{X})$ . It is well known that if X is compact metrizable with  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{B}_X$  and T is continuous, then  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$  is non-void compact convex (cf. [14, 50]). In addition, independently of the Haar measure of G we can define, for  $1 \le p < \infty$ , two linear closed subspaces of  $L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ :

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu}^{p} = \{ \phi \in L^{p}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \mid T_{g}\phi = \phi \ \forall g \in G \}$$
 (0.1a)

and

$$N_{\mu}^{p} = \overline{\{\phi - T_{g}\phi \mid \phi \in L^{p}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu), g \in G\}},\tag{0.1b}$$

where, for any  $g \in G$ , the *Koopman operator* 

$$T_g: L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \to L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$$

associated to  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  is defined by  $T_g \phi \colon X \to X$ ;  $x \mapsto \phi(T_g x)$  for  $x \in X$ .

We can then obtain the following  $L^p$ -mean ergodic theorem, which generalizes the  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group case.

**Theorem 0.4.** Let  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  be a Borel action of an amenable group G on X and let  $\mu$  belong to  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ ; then, for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,

$$L^{p}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) = \mathbf{F}_{\mu}^{p} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{\mu}^{p}. \tag{0.2a}$$

Moreover, for any Følner net  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G and any  $\phi \in L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ ,

$$L^{p}(\mu) - \lim_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_{g} \phi dg = P(\phi). \tag{0.2b}$$

Here

$$P \colon F_u^p \oplus N_u^p \to F_u^p \tag{0.2c}$$

is the projection with  $||P|| \le 1$ . The statement also holds for  $\sigma$ -finite  $\mu$  with  $\mu(X) = \infty$  when 1 .

- **Remarks of Theorem 0.4.** (1) For any Bore action of  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group G, the  $L^p$ -mean ergodic theorem, particularly  $L^2$ -case, over any summing sequence or  $\mathscr{K}$ -Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}$  in G, has been showed since Bewley 1971 [3] and Greenleaf 1973 [16]. Also see [12, 15, 25, 26, 41, 27, 10] etc. However, Theorem 0.4 has no other restriction on the Følner sequence/net  $\{F_\theta; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G.
  - (2) One step in the recipe for proving  $L^1$ -pointwise ergodic theorem (cf. [33, §2.4]) is based on the following "fact":

• 
$$\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_g \phi(x) dg \to \phi(x) \ a.e. \ \forall \phi \in \mathbf{F}_{\mu}^p$$
.

This is because if G is  $\sigma$ -compact, then for  $\phi \in F^p_\mu$ , one can find by Fubini's theorem some  $\mu$ -conull  $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $T_g\phi(x) = \phi(x)$   $m_G$ -a.e.  $g \in G$  for any  $x \in X_0$  and thus

$$\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_g \phi(x) dg = \phi(x) \quad \forall x \in X_0.$$

However, if G is not  $\sigma$ -compact, then  $m_G$  is not  $\sigma$ -finite and  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  is not countable. Thus the above "fact" is never a true fact.

Since the action map T(g, x) is  $\mathscr{B}_G$ -measurable with respect to g for any fixed x in X, then for any compacta K of G and any observation  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X})$ , by Fubini's theorem we can well define the *ergodic average of*  $\varphi$  *over* K as follows:

$$A(K,\varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K \varphi(T_g x) dg \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Unlike the mean ergodic theorem, we can only obtain the  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise convergence over Følner subnet in G here.

In this paper, we will only pay our attention to the following left-Haar-measure version which is more convenient for us to make use later on.

**Theorem 0.5.** Let  $\{F'_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  be any  $F \emptyset$  lner net in any amenable group G. Then we can select out a  $F \emptyset$  lner subnet  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  of  $\{F'_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$ , which is  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible for G; namely, if  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  is a Borel action of G on any X, then there is a continuous linear function

$$A(\bullet): (L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \to (\mathbb{R}^{X}, \mathfrak{T}_{\text{p-c}}); \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^{*}$$
 (0.3a)

such that:  $\forall \varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ ,

$$\varphi^* = (T_g \varphi)^* \quad \forall g \in G \tag{0.3b}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} A(F_{\theta}, \varphi)(x) = \varphi^{*}(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$
 (0.3c)

If G is abelian, then  $T_g \varphi^* = \varphi^*$  for any  $g \in G$ .

Here  $\mathbb{R}^X$  is equipped with the product topology, i.e., the topology  $\mathfrak{T}_{p-c}$  of the usual pointwise convergence.

- **Remarks of Theorem 0.5.** (1) Here we cannot obtain that  $\varphi^*(x)$  is  $\mathscr{X}$ -measurable, since  $\varphi^*$  is only a Moore-Smith limit of a net of measurable functions  $A(F_{\theta}, \varphi)$ ; if  $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta}$  is sequential, then the limit  $\varphi^*$  is automatically  $\mathscr{X}$ -measurable for any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X})$  by the classical measure theory. Moreover, we cannot assert that  $\varphi^*(x)$  is invariant too. However, this theorem is already useful for some questions; see, e.g., Theorem 0.6, Theorem 0.8 and Proposition 0.10 below for ergodic theory.
  - (2) It should be noted here that it is illegal to arbitrarily take  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  in place of  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$  in general, for  $m_G$  does not need to be  $\sigma$ -finite and so we cannot employ Fubini's theorem on  $G \times X$  here.
  - (3) The  $L^p$ -mean ergodic theorem will play no role for proving Theorem 0.5 because of (2) in Remarks of Theorem 0.4.

The following is an easy consequence of Theorems 0.4 and 0.5, in which we will not impose any additional restriction, like the Tempelman condition and the Shulman condition (cf. Remark (2) of Theorem 0.6 below), on the Følner sequences we will consider here.

**Theorem 0.6.** Let G be an amenable group; then we can select out a Følner subnet  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  from any Følner net  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  in G, which is  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible for G; namely, if  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  is a Borel action of G on any X, then one can find a linear operator  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  from  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$  to  $\mathbb{R}^X$  (to  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$  if G is  $\sigma$ -compact by taking  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible Følner sequence for G) such that for all  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ ,

- $\varphi^* = (T_g \varphi)^* \ \forall g \in G$ ,
- $\lim_{n\to\infty} A(F_n, \varphi)(x) = \varphi^*(x) \ \forall x \in X;$
- moreover, if  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X) \neq \emptyset$ , then for each  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ 
  - $-T_g\varphi^*(x)=\varphi^*(x), \mu\text{-}a.e., \forall g\in G,$
  - $-\varphi^* = P(\varphi) \mu$ -a.e., and
  - if  $\mu$  is ergodic, then  $\varphi^*(x) \equiv \int_X \varphi d\mu$  for  $\mu$ -a.e. x in X.

Here  $P(\cdot)$  is the projection for p = 1 as in Theorem 0.4.

**Remarks of Theorem 0.6.** (1) The pointwise convergence at *everywhere* in Theorem 0.6 cannot be extended to  $L^1(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  in stead of  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ . Let us consider the action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on the circle  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$T_n \colon x \mapsto x + n\alpha \pmod{1}$$

where  $\alpha$  is any fixed irrational number, and let  $\varphi(x) = x^{-1/2} \in L^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}}, \mu)$  where  $\mu = dx$ . Then there is a summing sequence  $\{S_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  so that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{i\in S} \varphi(T_i x) = +\infty \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T};$$

see [11, Theorem 1]. Now for any point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  we can find a Følner sequence  $\{F'_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n'|}\sum_{i\in F_n'}\varphi(T_ix_0)=+\infty;$$

and then for any Følner subsequence  $\{F_n\}$  of  $\{F'_n\}$ , there is no a desired limit  $\varphi^*$  as in Theorem 0.6. In fact, Theorem 0.6 does not hold for  $L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  instead of  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ ,  $p < \infty$ , by [11, Proposition 5].

- (2) The question of *pointwise* convergence of ergodic averages is much more delicate than *mean* convergence. When G is a *second countable* amenable group, in 2001 [27] Lindenstrauss proved the most general  $L^1$ -pointwise ergodic theorem that holds for G acting on Lebesgue spaces, over any  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner sequence  $\{F_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  satisfying the so-called **Shulman/tempered Condition** [41, 27]:  $\left|\bigcup_{k < n} F_k^{-1} F_n\right| \le C|F_n|$  for some C > 0 and all  $n \ge 1$ . This condition is weaker than the so-called **Tempelman Condition** for summing sequence:  $\left|F_n^{-1} F_n\right| \le C|F_n|$  for some C > 0 and all  $n \ge 1$ , needed in [3, 43, 11, 35] and so on.
  - The first point of our Theorem 0.6 is over Følner sequence neither  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner nor summing sequences. Although one can select out a tempered  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner subsequence from any  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner sequence (cf. [27, Proposition 1.4]), yet we cannot select out a tempered  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner subsequence from any Følner sequence that is not of  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner.
  - The other point is that our amenable group G we consider here is not necessarily second countable. The second countability is an important condition for Lindenstrauss's basic covering result [27, Lemma 2.1] which is used in his proof of the  $L^1$ -pointwise ergodic theorem.

So our Theorem 0.6 is not a consequence of Lindenstrauss's  $L^1$ -pointwise ergodic theorem nor of his proving. In other words, there are other non-tempered Følner sequences which are admissible for  $L^\infty$ -pointwise convergence.

(3) A. del Junco and J. Rosenblatt showed in [21] that on every nontrivial Lebesgue space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  one can find an ergodic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action and some  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $A(F'_n, \varphi)(x)$  does not have a limit almost everywhere over the Følner sequence  $\{F'_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $F'_n = \{n^2, n^2 + 1, \dots, n^2 + n\}$  that satisfies Tempelman's condition but is not increasing. However, by our Theorem 0.6, it follows that we can always find some Følner subsequence  $\{F_n\}$  of  $\{F'_n\}$  such that

$$A(F_n, \varphi)(x) \to \varphi^*(x)$$
 a.e.  $(\mu)$ .

This shows that the pointwise convergence is very sensitive to the choice of the Følner sequence in G.

(4) The continuity of the Moore-Smith limit  $A(.): L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X}) \to \mathbb{R}^X$ ;  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  in Theorem 0.5 is a new ingredient for non-ergodic systems; and it is another important point that  $\varphi^*(x)$  is defined at everywhere x in X (not only a.e.) for any bounded observation  $\varphi$  (This point will be needed in Lemma 0.7 below); moreover,  $\varphi^*$  does not depend on any invariant measure  $\mu$ . These points are new observations in ergodic theory, since here  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  is not assumed to be unique ergodic and even not topological.

We will provide a little later some applications of Theorem 0.5 and its proof idea in, respectively, §0.3.1 for probability theory, §§0.3.2, 0.3.3 and 0.3.4 for ergodic theory, and §0.3.5 for the  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise convergence of multiple ergodic averages of amenable module actions. Some further applications such as for product of amenable groups and quasi-weakly almost periodic points will be presented later in §3.5 and §4.

Outline of the proof of Theorems 0.5 and 0.6 Since K. Yosida and S. Kakutani 1939 [48] the maximal ergodic theorem (or maximal inequality) has played an essential role in the proofs of the  $L^p$ -pointwise ergodic theorems (cf. e.g., [43, 41, 27, 33, 5] etc.). In our situation, however, relative to a left Følner net  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G, yet there is no such a maximal inequality and even though  $M^*\varphi(x) := \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |A(F_{\theta}, \varphi)(x)|$ , for  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ , is not  $\mathcal{X}$ -measurable (cf. [33,  $\S 2.3.1$ ]). Thus we here cannot expect to use the standard recipe for proving  $L^p$ -pointwise ergodic theorems for  $1 \le p < \infty$  (cf. [33, §2.4]).

In §3.2 not involving any ergodic theory, we will first prove the everywhere  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise convergence, by only using the classical Arzelá-Ascoli theorem,

$$A(F_{\theta}, \varphi)(x) \to \varphi^*(x) \ \forall x \in X, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X}),$$

for some Følner subnet  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  that relies on  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  but not on the observation  $\varphi(x)$ . To obtain a  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible Følner subnet in G that is independent of an explicit Borel G-space X, we need to consider a "big" Borel G-space  $X = \prod_{\lambda} X_{\lambda}$  which is just the product space of all Borel *G*-spaces  $X_{\lambda}$ . See §3.3.

But, since  $T_h T_g \varphi = T_{gh} \varphi \neq T_{hg} \varphi$  and  $m_G$  is only a left Haar measure of G, we cannot deduce the G-invariance, and specially the measurability, of the Moore-Smith limit  $\varphi^*$ . To obtain the invariance and measurability, we will need to utilize in §3.3 the mean ergodic theorem (i.e. Theorem 0.4) which will be proved in §2 using classical functional analysis (cf. §1). In other words, the  $L^p$ -mean ergodic theorem will play a role in proving Theorem 0.6.

Note that the mean ergodic theorem early played a role for a.e.  $L^1$ -pointwise convergence in [46, 47, 11] in a different way based on Banach's convergence theorem. However, the latter is invalid in our situation because of having no the " $L^{\infty}$ -mean ergodic theorem" condition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||A(F_n,\varphi) - \varphi^*||_{\infty,\mu} = 0$$

as the condition (4) of [47, Theorem XIII.2.1] and of  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$  is never a subset of second category of  $(L^1(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu), \|\cdot\|_1)$ . This means that even for Theorem 0.6, the standard recipe for proving  $L^p$ -pointwise ergodic theorems for  $1 \le p < \infty$  is not valid here.

## 0.3. Applications

We will present four applications of Theorem 0.5 and its proof methods here. Our  $L^{\infty}$ pointwise convergence at everywhere is an important point for our arguments.

## 0.3.1. Universal conditional expectations

We now present our first application of Theorems 0.5 and 0.6 in probability theory and ergodic theory. As in Theorem 0.6, let  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  be a Borel action of an amenable group G on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{X})$ . Set

$$\mathcal{X}_G = \left\{ B \in \mathcal{X} \mid T_g^{-1}[B] = B \ \forall g \in G \right\}$$

and

$$\mathscr{X}_{G,\mu} = \left\{ B \in \mathscr{X} \mid \mu \left( T_g^{-1}[B] \triangle B \right) = 0 \ \forall g \in G \right\} \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$$

which both form  $\sigma$ -subfields of  $\mathscr{X}$ . Then  $P(\phi) = E_{\mu}(\phi|\mathscr{X}_{G,\mu})$  for  $\phi \in L^1(X,\mathscr{X},\mu)$  by Theorem 0.4; moreover, function  $\phi$  is  $\mathscr{X}_G$ -measurable if and only if  $T_g\phi = \phi \ \forall g \in G$ .

Now by Theorems 0.5 and 0.6, we can obtain the following, which says that  $\mathcal{X}_G$  is sufficient to the family  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ .

**Lemma 0.7.** Let G be  $\sigma$ -compact amenable and X a Borel G-space with  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X) \neq \varnothing$ . Then there exists a bounded linear operator  $\mathcal{E} \colon L^\infty(X, \mathscr{X}) \to L^\infty(X, \mathscr{X})$  such that

- (1)  $\|\mathcal{E}(.)\| \le 1$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{E}(\phi) = \phi \text{ if } \phi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X}_G), \text{ and }$
- (3) for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(\phi)$  is a version of  $E_{\mu}(\phi | \mathscr{X}_{G,\mu})$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{E}(\phi) = E_{\mu}(\phi | \mathscr{X}_{G,\mu}) \mu$ -a.e.) for any  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X})$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\mathcal{E}: \varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  for each  $\varphi \in L^\infty(X, \mathscr{X})$  where  $\varphi^*$  is given as in Theorem 0.6 based on some  $L^\infty$ -admissible Følner sequence, say  $\{F_n; n=1,2,\ldots\}$  for G. Then (1) and (2) of Lemma 0.7 both hold automatically.

To check (3), let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$  and  $B \in \mathscr{X}_{G,\mu}$  be arbitrary. Then by Theorem 0.6 and Fubini's theorem follows that for  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X})$ ,

$$\int_{B} \mathcal{E}(\phi) d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n}|} \int_{F_{n}} \int_{X} 1_{B}(x) T_{g} \phi(x) d\mu(x) dg$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n}|} \int_{F_{n}} \int_{X} 1_{B}(T_{g}x) \phi(T_{g}x) d\mu(x) dg$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n}|} \int_{F_{n}} \int_{B} \phi d\mu dg$$

$$= \int_{B} \phi d\mu$$

which proves (3) since  $\phi^* = P(\phi)$  a.e. is  $\mathcal{X}_{G,\mu}$ -measurable by Theorem 0.6.

In 1963 V. S. Varadarajan showed a universal conditional expectation theorem for any locally compact *second countable* Hausdorff (abbreviated to lcscH) group G by using harmonic analysis combining with the martingale theory [44, Theorem 4.1]. Here our ergodic-theoretic proof is very concise by using sufficiently the  $\sigma$ -compact amenability without assuming the second countability axiom.

On the other hand, since the  $L^1$ -pointwise ergodic theorem of Lindenstrauss is for lcscH group and is for *a.e.* convergence, not for *everywhere* convergence as in our Theorem 0.6, hence the classical  $L^p$ -pointwise ergodic theorems in the literature cannot play a role in the proof of Lemma 0.7 in place of Theorem 0.6.

## 0.3.2. Universal ergodic disintegration of invariant measures

We now turn to the important decomposition of an invariant probability measure into ergodic components. Let's consider a Borel G-space X, where G is an lcH group and X a compact Hausdorff space with  $\mathscr{X} = \mathscr{B}_X$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field. As usual,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright X)$  is said to be *ergodic* if and only if the 0-1 law holds:

• 
$$\mu(B) = 0$$
 or  $1 \ \forall B \in \mathscr{X}_{G,\mu}$ .

The classical Ergodic Decomposition Theorem of Farrell-Varadarajan [13, 44] claims that if *X* is (*G*-isomorphically) compact metrizable and *G* is lcscH, then every  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright X)$  has a.s. uniquely an ergodic disintegration  $\beta$ :  $x \mapsto \mu_x$ . See also [10, Theorem 8.20].

However, if G is not second countable, then the methods developed in [13, 44, 10] are all invalid. Moreover, if  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  is not (isomorphically) a compact metrizable probability space, then there exists no a disintegration of  $\mu$  in general; see, e.g., [8] for counterexamples. Our Theorem 0.8 below shows that a universal ergodic disintegration (good for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \cap X)$ ) is always existent if X is G-isomorphically a compact metric space.

Let  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  consist of all the Borel probability measures on X endowed with the customary topology as follows:

• the function  $\mu \mapsto \mu(f) = \int_X f d\mu$  is continuous, for any fixed  $f \in C(X)$ .

Now based on Theorem 0.6 and Lemma 0.7, we can then concisely obtain a universal ergodic disintegration in a very general situation.

**Theorem 0.8.** Let  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  be a Borel action of a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group G by continuous transformations of a compact metric space X to itself such that  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X) \neq \varnothing$ . Then there exists an  $\mathcal{X}$ -measurable mapping

$$\beta: X \to \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X); x \mapsto \beta_x$$

such that for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ ,

- (1) for any  $\phi \in L^1(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ , it holds that

  - (a)  $\phi \in L^1(X, \mathcal{X}, \beta_x)$  and  $\int_X \phi d\beta_x = E_\mu \left( \phi | \mathcal{X}_{G,\mu} \right) (x)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ ; (b)  $\mu = \int_X \beta_x d\mu(x)$ , i.e.  $\int_X \varphi d\mu = \int_X \int_X \varphi d\beta_x d\mu(x) \ \forall \varphi \in L^1(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ ;
- (2) moreover,  $\beta_x$  satisfies the 0-1 law (i.e.  $\beta_x$  is ergodic to  $G \curvearrowright_T X$ ) for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ .

Namely  $\{\beta_x; x \in X\}$ , characterized by (1), is a "universal ergodic disintegration" of  $G \curvearrowright_T X$ .

*Proof.* For the convenience of our later arguments in §0.3.4 we will illustrate our proof by dividing into three steps.

Step 1. A universal construction. Let  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  from  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$  to  $\mathbb{R}^X$  be as in Theorem 0.6 associated to some  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_{1}^{\infty}$  for G. Then for any  $x \in X$ , we can define a positive linear functional  $L_x$ :  $C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*(x)$  such that  $L_x(1) = 1$ . By the Riesz representation theorem, it follows that for any  $x \in X$ , there exists a (unique) probability measure, write  $\beta_x$ , on  $\mathscr{X}$  (=  $\mathscr{B}_X$ ) such that

$$L_{x}(\varphi) = \int_{X} \varphi d\beta_{x} \quad \forall \varphi \in C(X),$$

and  $\beta_x$  is independent of any  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ . Given any  $\varphi \in C(X)$ , clearly  $x \mapsto \beta_x(\varphi) = \varphi^*(x)$ is  $\mathscr{X}$ -measurable by Theorem 0.6. Hence  $X \ni x \mapsto \beta_x \in \mathscr{M}(X)$  is  $\mathscr{X}$ -measurable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It should be noted here that sometimes  $\mu$  is called *ergodic* if weakly  $\mu(B) = 0$  or  $1 \forall B \in \mathcal{X}_G$ . If G is lcscH, then the two cases are equivalent to each other; see, e.g., [44, 13, 37]. However, in general, the latter is weaker than the former for  $\mathscr{X}_G \subsetneq \mathscr{X}_{G,\mu}$  in general; see [13] and [37, §12] for a counterexample.

In order to check the *G*-invariance of  $\beta_x$ , for any  $h \in G$  and  $\varphi \in C(X)$ , we can see  $T_h \varphi \in C(X)$  and that

$$\int_{X} \varphi d\beta_{x} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n}|} \int_{hF_{n}} T_{g} \varphi(x) dg = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n}|} \int_{F_{n}} T_{hg} \varphi(x) dg$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n}|} \int_{F_{n}} T_{g} T_{h} \varphi(x) dg$$

$$= \int_{Y} T_{h} \varphi d\beta_{x}$$

which implies that  $T_h\beta_x = \beta_x$ . Thus,  $\beta_x \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ . (This also proves the classical result of M. Day:  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X) \neq \emptyset$ .)

Step 2. Checking property (1). First the property (b) is valid whenever (a) is true. Noting the property (a) holds, for any  $\phi \in C(X)$ , by Lemma 0.7. The general  $L^1$ -case follows from the standard approximation theorem and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem of conditional expectation (cf., e.g., [15, pp. 109]). Indeed, for  $\phi \in L^1(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  note that  $\phi = \sum_n |\phi_n| \mu$ -a.e.,  $\phi_n \in C(X)$  where  $\sum_n ||\phi_n||_1 < \infty$ .

 $\phi_n \in C(X)$  where  $\sum_n ||\phi_n||_1 < \infty$ . Set  $A = \{x : \phi(x) \neq \sum_n \phi_n(x)\}$ . Then  $\mu(A) = 0$  and let  $A_n$  be open neighborhoods of A with  $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$  and  $\mu(A_n) \to 0$ . Let  $\chi_n \in C(X)$  such that  $\chi_n = 0$  outside  $A_n$  and  $0 < \chi_n \le 1$  on  $A_n$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the power  $\chi_n^{\varepsilon}$  is continuous and

$$\int_X \left( \int \chi_n^{\varepsilon} d\beta_x \right) d\mu(x) = \int_X \chi_n^{\varepsilon} d\mu \le \mu(A_n).$$

Letting first  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and then  $n \to \infty$ , we find

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_X \left( \int \chi_n^{\varepsilon} d\beta_x \right) d\mu(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X \beta_x(A_n) d\mu(x) = \int_X \beta_x(\cap_n A_n) d\mu(x).$$

Hence  $\beta_x(A) = 0$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ . This implies that  $\phi = \sum_n \phi_n, \beta_x$ -a.e., for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ . Next,  $\sum_n \|E(|\phi_n||\mathscr{X}_{G,\mu})\|_1 < \infty$ , so that  $X_0 := \left\{x \colon \sum_n E(|\phi_n||\mathscr{X}_{G,\mu})(x) \ \left(= \sum_n \beta_x(|\phi_n|)\right) < \infty\right\}$  is of  $\mu$ -measure one. Now for  $x \in X_0$ ,  $\sum_n |\phi_n| \in L^1(X, \mathscr{X}, \beta_x)$ , and so

$$\int_{X} \left( \sum_{n} \phi_{n} \right) d\beta_{x} = \sum_{n} \int_{X} \phi_{n} d\beta_{x}.$$

We also have  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ ,  $\int_X \phi_n d\beta_x = E(\phi_n | \mathscr{X}_{G,\mu})(x)$ , so that

$$\int_{X} \left( \sum_{n} \phi_{n} \right) d\beta_{x} = \sum_{n} E(\phi_{n} | \mathscr{X}_{G,\mu})(x) \quad (\mu\text{-}a.e. \ x \in X).$$

Since  $\phi = \sum_{n} \phi_n (\beta_x - a.e.)$ , we find

$$\int_{X} \phi d\beta_{x} = \sum_{n} E(\phi_{n} | \mathcal{X}_{G,\mu})(x) = E\left(\sum_{n} \phi_{n} | \mathcal{X}_{G,\mu}\right)(x) = E(\phi | \mathcal{X}_{G,\mu})(x) \quad (\mu\text{-}a.e. \ x \in X)$$

This proves the property (b).

Step 3. Checking property (2). Finally, we will proceed to prove the property (2). For this, let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$  be any given. By the property (1), it follows that for any  $B \in \mathcal{X}_{G,\mu}$ ,

$$\beta_x(B) = E_\mu \left( 1_B | \mathcal{X}_{G,\mu} \right)(x) = 1_B(x) \quad (\mu\text{-}a.e. \ x \in X).$$

That is to say, for  $B \in \mathcal{X}_{G,\mu}$ , we have  $1_B \equiv \beta_x(B) \beta_x$ -a.e., for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ . Thus, if  $\varphi \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{X})$  is  $\mathcal{X}_{G,\mu}$ -measurable, then

$$\varphi \equiv \int_{X} \varphi d\beta_{x}, \quad \beta_{x}\text{-}a.e., \quad (\mu\text{-}a.e. \ x \in X).$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$  be a countable algebra of  $\mathcal{X}$ -subsets of X with  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  be as in Lemma 0.7. Then one can find some  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu} \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $\mu(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}) = 1$  such that for all  $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(1_B) \stackrel{\beta_x \text{-}a.e.}{\equiv} \int_X \mathcal{E}(1_B) d\beta_x = \int_X E_{\beta_x} \left( 1_B \middle| \mathscr{X}_{G,\beta_x} \right) d\beta_x = \beta_x(B).$$

Now for any  $x \in \mathscr{E}_{\mu}$  and any  $I \in \mathscr{X}_{G,\beta_x}$ , choose a sequence  $B_{n_k} \in \mathscr{B}$  with  $1_{B_{n_k}} \to 1_I$  a.e.  $(\beta_x)$ ; and then

$$\beta_{x}(I) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \beta_{x}(B_{n_{k}})^{\beta_{x}\text{-}a.e.} \lim_{k \to \infty} E_{\beta_{x}} \left( 1_{B_{n_{k}}} | \mathscr{X}_{G,\beta_{x}} \right)^{\beta_{x}\text{-}a.e.} E_{\beta_{x}} \left( \lim_{k \to \infty} 1_{B_{n_{k}}} | \mathscr{X}_{G,\beta_{x}} \right)$$

$$= E_{\beta_{x}} \left( 1_{I} | \mathscr{X}_{G,\beta_{x}} \right) \quad \beta_{x}\text{-}a.e.$$

$$= 1_{I} \quad \beta_{x}\text{-}a.e.$$

by Lemma 0.7 and  $\beta_x \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ . So  $\beta_x(I) = 0$  or 1 and thus  $\beta_x$  is ergodic for any  $x \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$ . This therefore proves Theorem 0.8.

In Theorem 0.8 G is not assumed commutative. The  $\sigma$ -compactness is needed in Theorem 0.8 to guarantee the measurability of  $\beta_x$  and Lemma 0.7. We now conclude §0.3.2 with some remarks on Theorem 0.8.

**Remarks of Theorem 0.8.** (1) In Theorem 0.8, our disintegration  $\{\beta_x, x \in X\}$  is independent of  $\mu$  and it is in fact a.s. unique.

- (2) Each invariant component  $\beta_x$  is constructed over a same  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_1^{\infty}$  for G. It should be noted that disintegration  $\{\beta_x; x \in X\}$  is important in many aspects of ergodic theory; for example, for defining fiber product  $\int_Y \mu_y \otimes \mu_y dv$  of a G-factor  $\pi: (X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \to (Y, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$  with  $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}] = \mathcal{X}_{G,\mu}$  in Furstenberg's theory [15].
- (3) If G acts by continuous maps on a compact metric space X, then one can obtain an ergodic integral representation for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright X)$  by Choquet's theorem (cf. [37, §12]); but no the disintegration  $\{\beta_x; x \in X\}$ .
- (4) Let G be a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group, which is *not* second countable. Then the Farrell-Varadarajan theorem plays no role in this case. Moreover, since G is not metrizable (otherwise it is second countable), hence [10, Theorem 8.20] cannot play a role too.
- (5) If G is not amenable, then Theorem 0.8 does not need to be true. For example, for G we take the discrete group of *all* permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$  and we naturally act it on the compact metric space  $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (cf. [14, 44]).

## 0.3.3. A Khintchine-type recurrence theorem

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be equipped with the standard Euclidean topology. Then A. Y. Khintchine's recurrence theorem says that (cf. [24, 32]):

• If  $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright_T X$  is a continuous action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on a compact metric space X preserving a Borel probability measure  $\mu$ , then for any  $E \in \mathscr{B}_X$  with  $\mu(E) > 0$ , the set

$$\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mu(E \cap T_{-t}E) > \mu(E)^2 - \varepsilon\}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ 

V. Bergelson, B. Host and B. Kra have recently derived in 2005 [2] the following multiple version of Khintchine's theorem, only valid in *ergodic*  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action  $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright_T X$ :

•  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mu(E \cap T_{-n}E \cap T_{-2n}E) > \mu(E)^3 - \varepsilon\}$  and  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mu(E \cap T_{-n}E \cap T_{-2n}E \cap T_{-3n}E) > \mu(E)^4 - \varepsilon\}$ , each of the above two sets is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Using Theorems 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 and differently with [24, 32], we may now generalize Khint-chine's theorem from  $\mathbb{R}$ -actions to amenable-group actions as follows:

**Theorem 0.9.** Let  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  be a  $\mu$ -preserving Borel action of a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group G, by continuous transformations on a compact metric space X, not necessarily ergodic. Then for any  $\varphi \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu)$  with  $\varphi \geq 0$  a.e. and  $\int_X \varphi d\mu > 0$ , the set

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi,\varepsilon) = \left\{ g \in G \, \middle| \, \int_X \varphi(x) \varphi(T_g x) d\mu(x) > \left( \int_X \varphi d\mu \right)^2 - \varepsilon \right\}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

is of positive lower Banach density; i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}(\varphi, \varepsilon)$  has positive lower density over any Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_1^{\infty}$  in G. This implies that  $\mathcal{H}(\varphi, \varepsilon)$  is syndetic in G.

*Proof.* Let  $\{F_n\}_1^{\infty}$  be any Følner sequence in G. Let  $\{\beta_x, x \in X\}$  be the universal ergodic disintegration of  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  by Theorem 0.8. Then for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x_0 \in X$ , by using Theorem 0.6 for  $\beta_{x_0}$  in place of  $\mu$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \int_{F_n} T_g \varphi(x) dg = \varphi^*(x) = \int_X \varphi d\beta_{x_0} \quad \left( \text{in } (L^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \beta_{x_0}), \|\cdot\|_2) \right).$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \int_{F_n} \left( \int_X \varphi(x) \varphi(T_g x) d\beta_{x_0}(x) \right) dg = \left( \int_X \varphi d\beta_{x_0} \right)^2.$$

Therefore by Theorem 0.8, Fatou lemma, Fubini's theorem and Jensen's inequality, we can obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \frac{1}{|F_n|} \int_{F_n} \left( \int_X \varphi(x) \varphi(T_g x) d\mu(x) \right) dg \ge \int_X \left( \int_X \varphi d\beta_{x_0} \right)^2 d\mu(x_0) \\
\ge \left( \int_X \int_X \varphi d\beta_{x_0} d\mu(x_0) \right)^2 \\
= \left( \int_X \varphi d\mu \right)^2.$$

This means that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\mathcal{H}(\varphi, \varepsilon)$  has positive lower density over  $\{F_n\}_1^{\infty}$  in G. The proof of Theorem 0.9 is thus completed, for  $\{F_n\}_1^{\infty}$  is arbitrary.

A special important case is that  $\varphi(x) = 1_B(x)$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}_X$  with  $\mu(B) > 0$ . Moreover, since here G is not necessarily an abelian group and so there is no an applicable analogue of Herglotz-Bochner spectral theorem (cf. [28, Theorem 36A] for locally compact abelian group) for the correlation function  $g \mapsto \langle \varphi, T_g \varphi \rangle$  from G to  $\mathbb{C}$ , our proof of Theorem 0.9 is of somewhat interest itself.

We will consider the recurrence theorem in the case that G is not  $\sigma$ -compact in §4.2.

#### 0.3.4. Existence of $\sigma$ -finite invariant measures

Replacing C(X) by  $C_c(X) = \{\phi \colon X \to \mathbb{R} \mid \phi \text{ continuous with supp}(f) \text{ compact} \}$  in the above proof of Theorem 0.8, we in fact have proved the following byproduct, which generalizes the classical theorems of Kryloff-Bogoliouboff and of Day.

**Proposition 0.10** ( $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure). Let  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  be a Borel action of an amenable group G by continuous maps of a "locally compact Hausdorff space" X to itself such that

•  $\varphi^*(x) \not\equiv 0$  for some  $\varphi \in C_c(X)$  over some  $F \emptyset$  lner net  $\{F_\theta; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G.

Then there exists a nontrivial Borel measure  $\mu$  on X with the following properties:

- (i)  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for every compact set  $K \subseteq X$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) : E \subseteq V, V \text{ open}\} \text{ for every } E \in \mathscr{B}_X;$
- (iii)  $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K): K \subseteq E, K \text{ compact}\}\$  for every open set E and for each  $E \in \mathcal{B}_X$  with  $\mu(E) < \infty$ ; and
- (iv) for every  $g \in G$ ,  $\int_X \phi d\mu = \int_X T_g \phi d\mu$  for each  $\phi \in C_c(X)$ .

In particular, if X is a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact metric space, then  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure for  $G \curvearrowright_T X$ .

*Proof.* As in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 0.8, it is easy to see that there exists a nontrivial Borel measure  $\mu$  on X with the properties (i)–(iv).

Now let X be an lc,  $\sigma$ -compact metric space. We first need to prove that  $\mu$  is quasi-invariant for  $G \curvearrowright_T X$ :

•  $\forall E \in \mathcal{B}_X \text{ and } g \in G, \mu(E) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu\left(T_g^{-1}E\right) > 0.$ 

If  $\mu(E) > 0$ ; then one can find some compact set  $K \subseteq E, \mu(K) > 0$  and  $\phi_n \in C_c(X)$  with  $\phi_n = 1$  on K,  $0 \le \phi_n \le 1$  and  $\phi_n(x) \setminus 1_K(x)$ . By  $\phi_n(T_g x) \to 1_K(T_g x)$  and (iv), it follows that

$$\mu\left(T_g^{-1}E\right) \geq \int_X 1_K(T_g x) d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X \phi_n(T_g x) d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X \phi_n d\mu \geq \mu(K) > 0. \tag{0.4}$$

Conversely, if  $\mu\left(T_g^{-1}E\right)>0$ , then by the above argument with  $E':=T_g^{-1}E$  in place of E and  $h:=g^{-1}$  in place of g, we can see that  $0<\mu\left(T_h^{-1}E'\right)=\mu(E)$ . Thus  $\mu$  is quasi-invariant for  $G\curvearrowright_T X$ .

In fact, (0.4) also implies that  $\mu\left(T_g^{-1}E\right) \ge \mu(E)$  for any  $g \in G$ . Thus,  $\mu$  is invariant. This proves Proposition 0.10.

Clearly, Day's fixed-point theorem and Kryloff-Bogoliouboff's construction proofs, for any amenable group G acting continuously on a compact metric space X, both does not work for proving the existence of a  $\sigma$ -finite  $\infty$ -invariant measure here; this is because the convex set of probability measures on X is not compact for X is not compact.

In fact, we may read Proposition 0.10 as follows:

• Let  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  be a Borel action of an amenable group G, by continuous maps, on a locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact metric space X. If  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  does not have any  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure, then the Moore-Smith limit  $\varphi^* \equiv 0$  for any  $\varphi \in C_c(X)$  over any  $L^\infty$ -admissible F  $\emptyset$  in G; i.e.,  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  is dissipative.

Clearly, this proposition has some interests of its own.

0.3.5.  $L^{\infty}$ -Pointwise multi-ergodic theorem for topological modules

In what follows,  $\mathbb{G}$  is called a *topological R-module* if and only if  $\mathbb{G}$  is a module over a ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  such that

•  $\mathbb{G}$  is a topological group,  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is such that (R, +) is an lcH abelian group with a fixed Haar measure  $|\cdot|$  or dt, and the scalar multiplication  $(t, g) \mapsto tg$  from  $R \times \mathbb{G}$  to  $\mathbb{G}$  is continuous.

We now consider a Borel action of a topological R-module  $\mathbb{G}$  on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{X})$ ,  $T: \mathbb{G} \times X \to X$  or write  $\mathbb{G} \curvearrowright_T X$ . Given any  $g \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $t \in R$ , we customarily write

$$T_g^t \colon X \to X; \quad x \mapsto T_g^t x = T_{tg} x \ \forall x \in X.$$

Now for any  $g_1, \ldots, g_l \in \mathbb{G}$  and any compacta K of R with |K| > 0, for any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ , we may define the *multiple ergodic average* of  $\varphi$  over  $(g_1, \ldots, g_l; K)$  as follows:

$$A_{g_1,\dots,g_l}(K,\varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K T_{g_1}^t \varphi(x) \cdots T_{g_l}^t \varphi(x) dt \quad \forall x \in X$$
$$= \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K \varphi(T_{tg_1} x) \cdots \varphi(T_{tg_l} x) dt.$$

Our methods developed for Theorem 0.5 is also valid for the following multiple ergodic theorem.

**Theorem 0.11.** Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a topological R-module; then we can select out a subnet  $\{K_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  from any net  $\{K'_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  of positive Haar-measure compacta of (R, +) such that, if  $\mathbb{G} \curvearrowright_T X$  is a Borel action of  $\mathbb{G}$  on X, then for any  $g_1, \ldots, g_l \in \mathbb{G}$  and any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ ,

$$\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} A_{g_1,\dots,g_l}(K_\theta,\varphi)(x) = A_{g_1,\dots,g_l}(\varphi)(x) \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where  $A_{g_1,\ldots,g_l}(\cdot)$ :  $(L^{\infty}(X,\mathcal{X}),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \to (\mathbb{R}^X,\mathfrak{T}_{p-c})$  is continuous. Moreover, if (R,+) is  $\sigma$ -compact and  $(X,\mathcal{X})$  is countably generated, then for any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X,\mathcal{X})$ ,

$$A_{g_1,\dots,g_l}(K_{\theta},\varphi) \xrightarrow{weakly} A_{g_1,\dots,g_l}(\varphi) \quad in \ L^2(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$$

for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G} \curvearrowright_T X)$  if  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G} \curvearrowright_T X) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* This follows easily from a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 3.3 presented in §3.2 by noting

$$\left| A_{g_{1},\dots,g_{l}}(K,\varphi)(x) - A_{g_{1},\dots,g_{l}}(K,\psi)(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \left| \varphi(T_{g_{1}}^{t}x) \cdots \varphi(T_{g_{l}}^{t}x) - \psi(T_{g_{1}}^{t}x) \cdots \psi(T_{g_{l}}^{t}x) \right| dt \\
\leq \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{\infty} \|\varphi\|_{\infty}^{l-1-k} \|\psi\|_{\infty}^{k}$$

in place of (3.2). So we omit the details.

The interesting point of Theorem 0.11 lies in that  $\{K_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  is independent of any observations  $\varphi$  in  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ .

However, we have lost the independence (with subnets) and invariance of  $A_{g_1,\dots,g_l}(\varphi)$  in general. Of course, if we are only concerned with the classical case that  $R=\mathbb{Z}$  and

$$T_{g_1}^n = T^n, T_{g_2}^n = T^{2n}, \dots, T_{g_l}^n = T^{ln}$$

for a  $\mu$ -preserving map T or

$$T_{g_1}^n = T_1^n, T_{g_2}^n = T_2^{2n}, \dots, T_{g_l}^n = T_l^{ln}$$

for  $\mu$ -preserving commuting maps  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_l$ , then combining with the multiple  $L^2$ -mean ergodic theorems of Host-Kra [19] (also Ziegler [49]) or of Tao [42] (also Austin [1]) we can see  $A_{T,T^2,\ldots,T^l}(\varphi)$  or  $A_{T_1,T_2,\ldots,T_l}(\varphi)$  is  $\mathscr X$ -measurable and independent of the choice of Følner subsequence.

**Corollary 0.12.** Let  $T_1, ..., T_l$  be l commuting  $\mu$ -preserving automorphism of X. Then one can select out a Følner subsequence  $\{F_n\}$  from any Følner sequence  $\{F'_{n'}\}$  in  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  such that for any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_n|}\sum_{i\in F}\varphi(T_1^ix)\cdots\varphi(T_l^ix)=A_{T_1,\dots,T_l}(\varphi)(x)\quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

with  $A_{T_1,...,T_l}(\varphi) \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  is independent of the choice of the subsequence  $\{F_n\}$ .

We note that if the  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise convergence is required for the previously given Følner net itself, not over its Følner subnet, then the question is much harder and more delicate; see, e.g., [4] for 2-tuple commuting case and [20] for ergodic distal systems.

**Question 0.13.** In Theorem 0.11, if we consider the sequential limit over a Følner sequence in a  $\sigma$ -compact (R, +), then it is possible that  $A_{g_1, \dots, g_l}(\varphi)$  is a.e. independent of the choice of the  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible Følner subsequences.

# 1. The adjoint operators, null spaces and range spaces

Let E be a Banach space and let  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  denote the space of all continuous linear operators of E into itself. By  $E^*$  we denote the dual Banach space of E, which consists of all the bounded linear functionals of E. For  $x \in E$  and  $\phi \in E^*$ , write  $\phi(x) = \langle x, \phi \rangle$  if no confusion. Recall that E is called *reflexive* if and only if  $E = E^{**}$ . In this section we will prove a preliminary theorem (Theorem 1.2 below).

## 1.1. Orthogonal complements, projection and adjoint operators

Let H be a subset of E. Then we define

$$H^{\perp} = \{ \phi \in E^* \mid \langle x, \phi \rangle = 0 \ \forall x \in H \}.$$

Clearly,  $H^{\perp} = \overline{H}^{\perp}$  is a closed linear subspace of  $E^*$ . A continuous linear operator P of E into itself satisfying  $P^2 = P$  is called a *projection* of E to itself (cf. [30, Definition 6.1]).

Given any  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ , we define a continuous linear operator of  $E^*$ ,  $T^* \colon E^* \to E^*$ , by  $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ T$ , which is called the *adjoint* to T, such that

$$||T^*|| = ||T||$$
 and  $(TL)^* = L^*T^* \quad \forall T, L \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ .

Moreover it holds that

$$\langle Tx, \phi \rangle = \langle x, T^*\phi \rangle \quad \forall x \in E, \phi \in E^* \text{ and } T \in \mathcal{L}(E).$$

See, for example, [30, II.2] and [39, VI.2].

The following simple lemma will be useful in our proof of the mean ergodic theorem in §2.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let G be an lcH group with a left Haar measure  $m_G$  and  $\{T_g; g \in G\}$  a family of continuous linear operators of a reflexive Banach space E to itself satisfying conditions:

- (I)  $T_h(T_g x) = T_{gh} x \forall g, h \in G, x \in E;$
- (II) for  $x \in E$  and  $y^* \in E^*$ ,  $g \mapsto \langle T_g x, y^* \rangle$  is a Borel function on G;
- (III) for  $x \in E$  and  $y^* \in E^*$ ,  $g \mapsto \langle T_g x, y^* \rangle$  is  $m_G$ -integrable restricted to any compacta of G.

Then  $\{T_{\sigma^{-1}}^*, g \in G\}$  is also such that (I), (II) and (III) with  $E^*$  in place of E.

*Proof.* Let  $g, h \in G$  be arbitrary. Then

$$T_{g^{-1}}^* T_{h^{-1}}^* = (T_{h^{-1}} T_{g^{-1}})^* = (T_{g^{-1}h^{-1}})^* = T_{(hg)^{-1}}^*.$$

Thus  $T^*_{g^{-1}}$  is contravariant in g. Next for any  $y^* \in E^*$  and any  $x \in E^{**} = E$ , the function

$$g \mapsto \langle T_{g^{-1}}^* y^*, x \rangle = \langle y^*, T_{g^{-1}} x \rangle$$

is obviously Borel measurable on G by (II). Thus  $g \mapsto T^*_{\sigma^{-1}}$  is weakly measurable. Finally by

$$\left(\int_{K} T_{g^{-1}} dg\right)^{*} = \int_{K} T_{g^{-1}}^{*} dg$$

for any compact set K of G, we can conclude that  $\left\{T_{g^{-1}}^*\right\}_{g\in G}$  satisfies condition (III) on  $E^*$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.1.

## 1.2. Vanishing space and range space

Let  $\mathcal{T} = (T_{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta}$  be a family of continuous linear operators of E to itself, where  $\Theta$  is not necessarily a directed set. The *vanishing space* of  $\mathcal{T}$ , written  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$ , is the set of vectors  $x \in E$  such that  $T_{\theta}x = 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . It is clear that

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcap_{\theta \in \mathbf{\Theta}} \ker(T_{\theta})$$

is a closed linear subspace of E.

By the range of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ , write R(T), is meant the set of vectors y of the form y = Tx. Clearly R(T) is a linear subspace. However, it need not be closed. Let  $\mathbf{R}(T)$  represent the minimal closed linear manifold that contains the ranges of all  $T_{\theta}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}) = \overline{\operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{R}(T_{\theta}), \theta \in \Theta\}},$$

which is referred to as the *closure of the range* of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

## 1.3. Preliminary theorem

The following result is very important for proving our Theorem 0.4 in the next section, which is an extension of a theorem of E. Lorch [29, Theorem 1] or [30, Theorem 8.1] for a single operator of the reflexive Banach space E.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let E be a reflexive Banach space and  $\mathcal{T} = (T_{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta}$  a family of continuous linear operators of E to itself. Let  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$  and  $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})$  denote the vanishing space and the closure of the range of  $\mathcal{T}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{T}^* = (T_{\theta}^*)_{\theta \in \Theta}$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  and  $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  be the corresponding structures defined in the dual space  $E^*$ . Then

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} = \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*), \quad \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^*);$$
 (1.1)

and

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^*)^{\perp} = \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}), \quad \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)^{\perp} = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}). \tag{1.2}$$

*Proof.* First of all we note that  $T^{**} = T$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  and  $E^{**} = E$ . We have therefore that  $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^{**}) = \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})$  and  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^{**}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$  and that (1.1) implies (1.2) by applying (1.1) with  $\mathcal{T}^{*}$  in place of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Hence to prove the theorem, we need only prove (1.1).

To start off, let  $\phi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  be arbitrarily given. Then  $T^*_{\theta}(\phi) = 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Now if  $x \in E$ , then  $\langle T_{\theta} x, \phi \rangle = \langle x, T^*_{\theta}(\phi) \rangle = 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Hence  $\phi$  is orthogonal to the union of the ranges of all  $T_{\theta}$  and (by linearity and continuity) to  $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} \supset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^*)$ . Now for the opposite inclusion, suppose that  $\phi \in \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp}$ . Then  $\langle T_{\theta} x, \phi \rangle = 0$  for any  $x \in E$  and any  $\theta \in \Theta$ . But by  $\langle T_{\theta} x, \phi \rangle = \langle x, T^*_{\theta}(\phi) \rangle$ , we see that  $T^*_{\theta}(\phi) = 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  and so  $\phi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^*)$ . Thus it follows that  $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  and in consequence we have  $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}^*)$ .

Next we let  $\phi \in E^*$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$  be arbitrarily given and set  $\psi = T_{\theta}^*(\phi)$ . If  $x \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$  is arbitrary, then  $\langle x, \psi \rangle = \langle x, T_{\theta}^*(\phi) \rangle = \langle T_{\theta}x, \phi \rangle = 0$ . Thus  $\psi \perp \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$ . This means that  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} \supset \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  since  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp}$  is a closed linear manifold. To get  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} \subset \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$ , we now assume  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} \not\subset \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  and then we can choose a functional  $\phi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp}$  in  $E^*$  satisfying  $\phi \notin \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$ . Then there exists a functional in  $E^{**}$  which is 0 restricted to  $\mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  and is 1 on  $\phi$  by the Hahn-Banach theorem. Therefore by the reflexivity of E, we have the existence of a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in E$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  and  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ . Since  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$ , hence  $\langle \mathbf{x}, T_{\theta}^*(\psi) \rangle = 0$  for each  $\psi \in E^*$  and any  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Hence

 $\langle T_{\theta}x, \psi \rangle = 0$  for any  $\psi \in E^*$  and any  $\theta \in \Theta$ . This means that  $x \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$  and since  $\phi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp}$ , we get  $\phi(x) = 0$ . This contradicts  $\phi(x) = 1$ . Whence the hypothesis that  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} \not\subset \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  is false. Thus  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} \subset \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$  and hence  $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})^{\perp} = \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T}^*)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is therefore completed.

**Remark 1.3.** The spaces  $V(\mathcal{T})$ ,  $R(\mathcal{T})$ ,  $V(\mathcal{T}^*)$ , and  $R(\mathcal{T}^*)$  all are defined by  $\mathcal{T}$  independently of any Følner net in G. This is a crucial point in the proof of Theorem 0.4 in §2.

We note that the weak compactness of a bounded set of E plays no a role in the above proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 1.4. Topologies for linear transformations

To better understand our mean ergodic theorems, it is necessary to know the various topologies on the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ .

- 1. The *uniform topology* in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  is the topology defined by the usual operator norm.
- 2. Let  $T, T_1, T_2, ...$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ . Suppose that for every  $x \in E$ ,  $||Tx T_n x||_E \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $\{T_n\}$  is said to converge *strongly* to T.
- 3. Let  $T, T_1, T_2, \ldots$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ . Suppose for every vector  $x \in E$  and for any functional  $\phi \in E^*, \langle Tx T_nx, \phi \rangle \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then we say  $\{T_n\}$  converges *weakly* to T.

Clearly, uniform convergence implies strong convergence; and strong convergence implies weak convergence. Our mean ergodic theorems all are in the sense of the strong topology on  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ .

## 2. The mean ergodic theorems of continuous operators

In this section, we will first prove an abstract mean ergodic theorem (Theorem 2.1 which implies Theorem 0.4 except p=1) using Theorem 1.2. Secondly we shall prove the case p=1 of Theorem 0.4 provided  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

Before proving, we first point out that our approaches introduced here are only valid for groups, for we need to involve the adjoint operators  $T_{g^{-1}}^*$  in our procedure.

#### 2.1. Abstract mean ergodic theorem

Let E be a Banach space, and let G be an amenable group with any fixed *left* Følner net  $\{F_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$  in it as in §0.1. We can now generalize Lorch's mean ergodic theorem ([30, Theorem 9.1]) as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\{T_g \colon E \to E\}_{g \in G}$  be a family of continuous linear operators of a reflexive Banach space E to itself, which is such that:

- (1)  $T_gT_h = T_{hg} \ \forall g, h \in G$ ,
- (2) for  $x \in E$  and  $y^* \in E^*$ ,  $g \mapsto \langle T_g x, y^* \rangle$  is Borel measurable on G, and
- (3)  $\{T_g\}_{g\in G}$  is  $m_G$ -almost surely uniformly bounded; i.e.,  $\|T_g\|\leq \beta$  for  $m_G$ -a.e.  $g\in G$ .

Set

$$F = \{x \in E \mid T_g x = x \ \forall g \in G\}$$
 and  $N = \overline{\{x - T_g x \mid x \in E, g \in G\}}$ .

Then

$$E = \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{N}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_g x dg \to P(x) \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Here

$$P \colon \boldsymbol{F} \oplus \boldsymbol{N} \to \boldsymbol{F}$$

is the projection,  $||P|| \leq \beta$ ; i.e.,  $A(F_{\theta}, \bullet)$  converges strongly to  $P(\bullet)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ .

This type of mean ergodic theorem was first introduced by J. von Neumann in 1932 [34] for the case that  $\{T_g \colon \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{H}\}$  is generated by a single unitary operator T of a Hilbert space  $\mathscr{H}$  to itself, and then it was extended to more general spaces than Hilbert space. See, e.g., F. Riesz in 1938 [40] for the case  $||T|| \le 1$ ,  $E = L^p$  where 1 ; E. Lorch in 1939 [29] for the case where <math>E is a reflexive Banach space and T is power bounded; and K. Yosida and S. Kakutani for weakly completely continuous T of a Banach space E to itself, respectively, in 1938 [45] and [22]; also see [9, Theorem 9.13.1].

We notice here that condition (3) ensures that for any  $x \in E$  and any compact subset K of G with |K| > 0, the *Pettis integral* of the vector-valued function  $T_*x: g \mapsto T_gx$  over K

$$A(K,x) := \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K T_g x dg,$$

is a well-defined vector in E by

$$\langle A(K, x), y^* \rangle = \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K \langle T_g x, y^* \rangle dg \quad \forall y^* \in E^*.$$

See, e.g., [9, Proposition 8.14.11] and [18, Chap. III]. Thus  $A(K, \bullet) \colon E \to E$  makes sense for any compacta K of G, which is linear with respect to  $x \in E$  with  $||A(K, \bullet)|| \le \sup_{g \in K} ||T_g||$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{I - T_g, g \in G\}$  and then  $F = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$  and  $N = \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{T})$  which are independent of  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$ , where I is the identity operator of E. Suppose first that  $x \in E$  is in F; thus  $T_g x = x$  for all  $g \in G$  and then

$$\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_g x dg = x \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

Thus restricted to F, we have

$$\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_g x dg = x.$$

Now let  $y \in N$  be arbitrary. This means that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are finite number of elements, say  $g_1, \ldots, g_\ell \in G$ , and vectors  $z_1, \ldots, z_\ell, z \in E$  such that

$$y = (z_1 - T_{g_1} z_1) + \dots + (z_{\ell} - T_{g_{\ell}} z_{\ell}) + z, \quad ||z||_E < \epsilon.$$

By the asymptotical invariance of the Følner net  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  and the a.s. uniform boundedness of  $\{T_g\}$ , an easy calculation shows that

$$\limsup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_{g} y dg \right\|_{E} \leq \beta \epsilon.$$

It is now clear that  $y \in N$  implies that

$$\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_g y dg \to 0$$

since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary.

Meanwhile the above argument shows that  $F \cap N = \{0\}$  and so  $F + N = F \oplus N$ . Suppose that  $x \in F$  and  $y \in N$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_g(x+y) dg \to x \quad \text{and} \quad ||x|| \le \beta ||x+y||.$$

We have therefore shown that  $A(F_{\theta}, .)$ , restricted to vectors in  $F \oplus N$ , converges to the projection P of  $F \oplus N$  onto F for which  $||P|| \le \beta$ .

To prove Theorem 2.1, it remains to show that  $E = F \oplus N$ . By similar arguments, according to Lemma 1.1 we see that for the adjoint operator group

$$\left\{T_{g^{-1}}^*\colon E^*\to E^*\right\}_{g\in G},$$

which is such that

$$T_{g^{-1}}^* T_{h^{-1}}^* = T_{(hg)^{-1}}^*$$
 and  $(T_g^*)^{-1} = T_{g^{-1}}^* \quad \forall g, h \in G$ ,

if we restrict to the manifold  $F(\mathcal{T}^*) \oplus N(\mathcal{T}^*)$  that is associated to

$$\mathcal{T}^* = \left\{ I^* - T_{g^{-1}}^* \right\}_{g \in G} = \left\{ I^* - T_g^{*-1} \right\}_{g \in G},$$

there follows that  $\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_{g^{-1}}^*(\bullet) dg$  converges to the projection of  $F(\mathcal{T}^*) \oplus N(\mathcal{T}^*)$  onto  $F(\mathcal{T}^*)$ . In particular,  $F(\mathcal{T}^*) \cap N(\mathcal{T}^*) = \{0\}$ .

Now to the contrary suppose that there is some  $x \neq 0$  in E such that  $x \notin F(\mathcal{T}) \oplus N(\mathcal{T})$ . Then there exists some functional  $\phi \in E^*$  such that  $\phi \perp F \oplus N$  and  $\phi(x) = 1$  by the Hahn-Banach theorem; hence  $\phi \neq 0$ . But  $\phi \in F^{\perp} = N(\mathcal{T}^*)$  and  $\phi \in N^{\perp} = F(\mathcal{T}^*)$  by virtue of Theorem 1.2 and Remark 1.3. Hence  $\phi = 0$ . This contradiction shows that  $E = F \oplus N$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is thus completed.

We note here that in Theorem 2.1 the projection P is independent of the Følner net  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G. This thus completes the proof of our Theorem 0.4 in the case  $1 since <math>L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ , for 1 , are reflexive Banach spaces.

## 2.2. Proof of Theorem 0.4

We need only say some words for the case p=1 under the condition  $\mu(X)<\infty$ . In fact, let

$$\textbf{\textit{F}}_{\mu}^{1} = \{\phi \in L^{1}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \mid \phi = T_{g}\phi \ \forall g \in G\} \quad \text{and} \quad \textbf{\textit{N}}_{\mu}^{1} = \overline{\{\psi - T_{g}\psi \mid \psi \in L^{1}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu), g \in G\}}.$$

Then we can easily see that  $F_{\mu}^{1} \cap N_{\mu}^{1} = \{0\}$  and that for any  $\phi = \phi_{1} + \phi_{2} \in F_{\mu}^{1} \oplus N_{\mu}^{1}$  with  $\phi_{1} \in F_{\mu}^{1}$  and  $\phi_{2} \in N_{\mu}^{1}$  there follows  $A(F_{\theta}, \phi) \to \phi_{1}$  with  $\|\phi_{1}\|_{1} \leq \|\phi\|_{1}$  and  $A(F_{\theta}, \phi_{2}) \to 0$  in  $L^{1}$ -norm. Now let  $\phi \in L^{1}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  be arbitrary; and then  $\exists \psi_{n} \in L^{2}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  with  $\psi_{n} \to \phi$  in  $L^{1}$ -norm. Since  $\psi_{n} = P(\psi_{n}) + (\psi_{n} - P(\psi_{n}))$  where  $\psi_{n} - P(\psi_{n}) \in N_{\mu}^{1}$  and  $\|P(\psi_{m}) - P(\psi_{n})\|_{1} \leq \|\psi_{m} - \psi_{n}\|_{1}$  for all  $m, n \geq 1$ , it follows that  $\varphi := L^{1}$ -  $\lim_{n \to \infty} P(\psi_{n}) \in F_{\mu}^{1}$  and  $\varphi - \varphi \in N_{\mu}^{1}$ . Thus,

$$L^1(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)=\boldsymbol{F}_{\mu}^1\oplus N_{\mu}^1.$$

This hence completes the proof of the case p = 1 of Theorem 0.4 whenever  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

## 2.3. A mean ergodic theorem for product amenable groups

Let  $G_1, \ldots, G_l$  be l  $\sigma$ -compact amenable groups which respectively have fixed left Haar measures  $m_1, \ldots, m_l$ , where  $l \geq 2$  is an integer. By m we denote the usual l-fold product measure  $m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_l$  on the l-fold product space  $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_l$ . Let  $\{T_{g_i}\}_{g_i \in G_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , be families of continuous linear operators of a same reflexive Banach space E to itself satisfying conditions (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.1.

Then by Theorem 2.1, for any Følner sequences  $(F_n^{(i)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $G_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le l$ , we can obtain in the sense of the strong operator topology that

$$P_i(\bullet) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{m_i \left(F_n^{(i)}\right)} \int_{F_n^{(i)}} T_{g_i}(\bullet) dg_i.$$

Under the above situation, the following result generalizes [31].

Theorem 2.2. There follows that

$$P_1 \cdots P_l = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{m \left( F_n^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_n^{(l)} \right)} \int_{F_n^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_n^{(l)}} T_{g_1} \cdots T_{g_l} dg_1 \cdots dg_l.$$

*Proof.* First of all, we note that for any bounded linear operator  $L: E \to E$ ,

$$\int_{F_n^{(i)}} LT_{g_i} dg_i = L \int_{F_n^{(i)}} T_{g_i} dg_i$$

and

$$\int_{F_n^{(i)}} T_{g_i} L dg_i = \left( \int_{F_n^{(i)}} T_{g_i} dg_i \right) L$$

for all  $1 \le i \le l$ ; and  $m\left(F_n^{(1)} \times \cdots \times F_n^{(l)}\right) = m_1\left(F_n^{(1)}\right) \cdots m_l\left(F_n^{(1)}\right)$ . Let l = 2. Then by Fubini's theorem.

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{m(F_n^{(1)}\times F_n^{(2)})} \int_{F_n^{(1)}\times F_n^{(2)}} T_{g_1} T_{g_2} dg_1 dg_2 \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_1(F_n^{(1)})} \int_{F_n^{(1)}} \left[ \frac{1}{m_2(F_n^{(2)})} \int_{F_n^{(2)}} T_{g_1} T_{g_2} dg_2 \right] dg_1 \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{m_1(F_n^{(1)})} \int_{F_n^{(1)}} T_{g_1} \left[ \frac{1}{m_2(F_n^{(2)})} \int_{F_n^{(2)}} T_{g_2} dg_2 \right] dg_1 \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{m_1(F_n^{(1)})} \int_{F_n^{(1)}} T_{g_1} dg_1 \right] \left[ \frac{1}{m_2(F_n^{(2)})} \int_{F_n^{(2)}} T_{g_2} dg_2 \right] \\ &= P_1 P_2. \end{split}$$

By induction on l, we can easily prove the statement for any  $2 \le l < \infty$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Whenever  $G_1 = \cdots = G_l = \mathbb{Z}$ , then this result reduces to an analogous case of [31, Theorem 1].

For a single continuous linear operator T of E, Cox [7] showed that if  $\sup_n ||I - T^n|| < 1$ , then T = I. Similar to [31, Theorem 4], using Theorem 2.2 we can generalize and strengthen Cox's theorem as follows.

**Corollary 2.3.** Under the same situation of Theorem 2.2, let  $||I - P_1 \cdots P_l|| < 1$ ; then  $T_g = I$  for all  $g \in G_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le l$ .

*Proof.* Let  $||I - P_1 \cdots P_l|| = \alpha < 1$ . Then  $P_1 \cdots P_l$  is invertible and  $||P_1 \cdots P_l|| \le (1 - \alpha)^{-1}$ . Since for any  $h \in G_l$  and any vector  $x \in E$  we have

$$||x - T_h(x)|| = ||(I - T_h)(x)|| = ||(P_1 \cdots P_l)^{-1}(P_1 \cdots P_l)(I - T_h)(x)||$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} ||P_1 \cdots P_l(I - T_h)(x)||,$$

the last term is equal to 0 by Theorem 2.2. So  $T_h = I$  for each  $h \in G_l$ . Similarly, it follows  $T_h = I$  for all  $h \in G_i$  for  $1 \le i \le l - 1$ . This proves the corollary.

## 3. Pointwise ergodic theorem for amenable groups

This section will be devoted to proving our  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise ergodic theorems (Theorems 0.5 and 0.6 stated in §0.2) by using classical analysis and our  $L^{p}$ -mean ergodic theorem (Theorem 0.4).

## 3.1. Arzelá-Ascoli theorem

Recall that a topological space *X* is call a *k-space*, provided that if a subset *A* of *X* intersects each closed compact set in a closed set then *A* is closed. The two most important examples of *k*-spaces are given in the following.

**Lemma 3.1** ([23, Theorem 7.13]). If X is a Hausdorff space which is either locally compact or satisfies the first axiom of countability, then X is a k-space.

Thus each metric space is a k-space like  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$  with the uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  over any measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{X})$ .

A *uniformity* for a set X is a non-void family  $\mathscr U$  of subsets of  $X \times X$  such that: (a) each member of  $\mathscr U$  contains the diagonal  $\Delta(X)$ ; (b) if  $U \in \mathscr U$ , then  $U^{-1} = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in U\} \in \mathscr U$ ; (c) if  $U \in \mathscr U$ , then  $V \circ V \subseteq U$  for some V in  $\mathscr U$ ; (d) if U and V are members of  $\mathscr U$ , then  $U \cap V \in \mathscr U$ ; and (e) if  $U \in \mathscr U$  and  $U \subset V \subset X \times X$ , then  $V \in \mathscr U$ . The pair  $(X, \mathscr U)$  is called a *uniform space*.

If  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is a uniform space, then the *uniform topology*  $\mathfrak{T}$  of X associated to  $\mathcal{U}$  is the family of all subsets T of X such that for each  $x \in T$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $U[x] \subset T$ . In this case, X is called a *uniform topological space*.

**Lemma 3.2** ([23, Theorem 6.10]). Let  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , be a family of uniform topological space; then  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$ , with the product topology (in other words, the pointwise topology), is a uniform topological space.

Thus, the product space  $\mathbb{R}^X$  with the topology  $\mathfrak{T}_{p-c}$  of pointwise convergence is a uniform topological space.

Now it is time to formulate our important tool—the classical Arzelá-Ascoli theorem (cf., e.g., [23, Theorem 7.18]).

**Arzelá-Ascoli Theorem.** Let C(X, Y) be the family of all continuous functions on a k-space X which is either Hausdorff or regular to a Hausdorff uniform topological space Y, and let C(X, Y) be equipped with the compact-open topology. Then a subfamily F of C(X, Y) is compact if and only if

- (a) F is closed in C(X, Y),
- (b) F is equicontinuous on every compact subset of X, and
- (c)  $F[x] = \{f(x) | f \in F\}$  has compact closure in Y for each  $x \in X$ .

## 3.2. $L^{\infty}$ -Pointwise convergence everywhere

Let  $(X, \mathcal{X})$  be a measurable space and G an amenable group with the identity e. We now consider a Borel dynamical system, i.e., G acts Borel measurably on X,

$$T: G \times X \to X$$
 or write  $G \curvearrowright_T X$ .

That is to say, the action map T satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $T: (g, x) \mapsto T(g, x)$  is jointly measurable; and
- (2)  $T_e x = x$  and  $T_{g_1} T_{g_2} x = T_{g_1 g_2} x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ .

In such case,  $(X, \mathcal{X})$  is called a **Borel G-space**. If X is a topological space with  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{B}_X$  and T(g, x) is jointly continuous, then X is called a **topological G-space**.

By  $\mathcal{K}_G$  we denote the family of all compacta of G. For any  $K \in \mathcal{K}_G$ , define the continuous linear operator

$$A(K, .): L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X}) \to L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X}),$$

which is called the *ergodic average* over K, by

$$A(K,\varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{V} T_{g}\varphi(x)dg \quad \forall \varphi \in L^{\infty}(X,\mathcal{X}).$$

Clearly, the operator norms  $||A(K, \cdot)|| \le 1$  and  $||T_g|| = 1$ .

Next we will simply write  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E) = (L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ , which is a Banach space, and let  $Y = \mathbb{R}^X$  endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence (i.e. the product topology). Then E is a k-space by Lemma 3.1 and Y is a Hausdorff uniform topological space by Lemma 3.2. In fact, Y is a vector space by  $\gamma(r_x)_{x \in X} + (r'_x)_{x \in X} = (\gamma r_x + r'_x)_{x \in X}$  for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma(r, r') \in Y$ .

Now, for any  $K \in \mathcal{K}_G$ , we can define the function  $\mathcal{A}(K, \cdot)$ :  $E \to Y$  by the following way:

$$E \ni \varphi \mapsto \mathcal{A}(K,\varphi) = (A(K,\varphi)(x))_{x \in X} \in Y.$$
 (3.1)

Since for any  $\varphi, \psi \in E$  and  $x \in X$  there follows

$$|A(K,\varphi)(x) - A(K,\psi)(x)| \le ||\varphi - \psi||_E, \tag{3.2}$$

we can see that  $\mathcal{A}(K, \bullet)$  is continuous on E valued in Y and  $\{\mathcal{A}(K, \bullet), K \in \mathcal{K}_G\}$  is an equicontinuous subfamily of C(X, Y), noting that here C(X, Y) is equipped with the compact-open topology.

Under these facts we can obtain the following  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise convergence theorem, which is completely independent of ergodic theory of  $G \curvearrowright_T X$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Given any Følner net  $\{F'_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  in G and any Borel G-space X, one can find a Følner subnet  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  of  $\{F'_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  in G and a continuous linear function  $\mathcal{A}(.): E \to Y$  such that in the sense of Moore-Smith limit

$$\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{A}(F_{\theta}, \bullet) = \mathcal{A}(\bullet) \quad in \ C(E, Y)$$

with the property: for each  $\varphi \in E$ ,

$$\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} A(F_{\theta}, \varphi)(x) = \mathcal{A}(\varphi)(x) \ \forall x \in X \quad and \quad \mathcal{A}(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}(T_{g}\varphi) \ \forall g \in G.$$

Moreover, if  $\varphi_n \to \psi$  as  $n \to \infty$  in  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi_n)(x) \to \mathcal{A}(\psi)(x)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F = \text{Cl}_{\text{cpt-op}}(\{\mathcal{A}(F'_{\theta'}, \bullet); \theta' \in \Theta'\})$  be the closure of the family  $\{\mathcal{A}(F'_{\theta'}, \bullet); \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  in C(E, Y) under the compact-open topology. To employ the Arzelá-Ascoli Theorem, in light of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, it is sufficient to check conditions (b) and (c).

For that, let  $L: E \to Y$  be any cluster point of  $\{\mathcal{A}(F'_{\theta'}, \bullet); \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  in  $C(E, Y), \varphi \in E, x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define an open neighborhood of  $L(\varphi)$  in Y

$$V_{\varphi} := V\left(L(\varphi); x, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^X \mid |\xi(x) - L(\varphi)(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right\},\,$$

and for any  $\psi \in E$  with  $||\varphi - \psi||_E < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  define another open neighborhood of  $L(\psi)$  in Y

$$V_{\psi} := V\left(L(\psi); x, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) = \left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^X \mid |\xi(x) - L(\psi)(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right\}.$$

Since

$$\mathscr{U}(L;\varphi,V_{\varphi}) = \left\{ S \in C(E,Y) \, | \, S(\varphi) \in V_{\varphi} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{U}(L;\psi,V_{\psi}) = \left\{ S \in C(E,Y) \, | \, S(\psi) \in V_{\psi} \right\}$$

both are open neighborhoods of the point L in C(E, Y), then

$$\mathscr{V}_L := (\mathscr{U}(L; \varphi, V_{\omega}) \cap \mathscr{U}(L; \psi, V_{\omega})) \cap \{\mathscr{F}(F'_{\omega}, \bullet), \theta' \in \Theta'\} \neq \varnothing.$$

Now take any S from the above non-void intersection  $\mathcal{V}_L$ . So

$$|L(\varphi)(x) - L(\psi)(x)| \le |L(\varphi)(x) - S(\varphi)(x)| + |S(\varphi)(x) - S(\psi)(x)| + |S(\psi)(x) - L(\psi)(x)|$$

$$< \varepsilon.$$

which implies that F is equicontinuous; that is to say, condition (b) holds.

In addition, to check condition (c), for any  $\varphi \in E$  with  $\|\varphi\|_E \leq N - \varepsilon$  and any  $x \in X$ , we have

$$|L(\varphi)(x)| \leq |L(\varphi)(x) - S(\varphi)(x)| + |S(\varphi)(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + ||\varphi||_E.$$

Therefore

$$F[\varphi] := \left\{ \mathcal{A}(F'_{\theta'}, \varphi) \,|\, \theta' \in \Theta' \right\} \subseteq [-N, N]^X.$$

Because  $[-N, N]^X$  is a closed compact subset of Y,  $F[\varphi]$  has a compact closure in Y and so condition (c) holds.

If the Moore-Smith limit  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi)$  exists, then it is easy to see  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}(T_g\varphi)$  for  $g \in G$  by the asymptotical invariance of Følner nets. Therefore, the statement follows immediately from the Arzelá-Ascoli Theorem.

It should be noted that although the Moore-Smith limit  $\mathcal{A}(\varphi)$  is a function on X bounded by  $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}$ , yet it does not need to be  $\mathscr{X}$ -measurable in general for  $Y = \mathbb{R}^X$  is only with the pointwise topology.

## 3.3. Pointwise ergodic theorem

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 0.5 and Theorem 0.6.

## 3.3.1. Proof of Theorem 0.5

Let G be any given amenable group and  $\{F'_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  a Følner net in G. Theorem 3.3 implies that for any Borel action  $G \curvearrowright_T (X, \mathscr{X})$ , one always can find some Følner subnet  $\{F_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$ , which is  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible for  $G \curvearrowright_T (X, \mathscr{X})$ ; namely,

$$A_T(F_{\theta}, \varphi)(x) := \frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} \varphi(T_g x) dg \xrightarrow{Moore-Smith} \varphi^*(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

for any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ . In view of this, we can prove Theorem 0.5 as follows.

*Proof.* In contrast to Theorem 0.5, for *arbitrary* Følner subnet  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  of  $\{F'_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  in G, there are two disjoint families of Borel G-actions

$$F_1 := \left\{ G \curvearrowright_{T_{\gamma}} (X_{\gamma}, \mathscr{X}_{\gamma}); \gamma \in \Gamma \right\}$$

and

$$F_2 := \left\{ G \curvearrowright_{T_\lambda} (X_\lambda, \mathscr{X}_\lambda); \lambda \in \Lambda \right\},\,$$

where  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  is  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible for  $G \curvearrowright_{T_{\gamma}} (X_{\gamma}, \mathscr{X}_{\gamma})$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  is not  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible for  $G \curvearrowright_{T_{\lambda}} (X_{\lambda}, \mathscr{X}_{\lambda})$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , such that every Borel G-action  $G \curvearrowright_{T} (X, \mathscr{X})$  belongs either to  $F_{1}$  or to  $F_{2}$  and with  $F_{2} \neq \emptyset$  (and in fact  $F_{1} \neq \emptyset$ ).

In order to arrive at a contradiction, noting that  $F_1 \neq \emptyset$  and so  $F_2$  is not the class of all Borel G-actions, we can set

$$X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}, \quad \mathcal{X} = \bigotimes_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{X}_{\lambda}$$

and then define the Borel action of G on X as follows:

$$T \colon G \times X \to X \text{ or } G \curvearrowright_T X; \quad (g,(x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) \mapsto T_g((x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) = (T_{\lambda,g}x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

Now every  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X_{\lambda_0}, \mathscr{X}_{\lambda_0})$ , for any  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ , may be thought of as an element  $\varphi$  in  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X})$  by the following way:

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_{\lambda_0}) \quad \forall x = (x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in X.$$

Clearly,

$$A_T(K, \varphi)(x) = A_{T_{\lambda_0}}(K, \varphi)(x_{\lambda_0}), \quad \forall x = (x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in X,$$

over any compacta K of G.

Then by Theorem 3.3 with  $G \curvearrowright_T (X, \mathcal{X})$  in place of  $G \curvearrowright_T (X, \mathcal{X})$ , we can further select out a Følner subnet  $\{F''_{\theta''}; \theta'' \in \Theta''\}$  from the Følner subnet  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  of  $\{F'_{\theta'}; \theta' \in \Theta'\}$  in G, which is  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible for  $G \curvearrowright_T X$ . Clearly,  $\{F''_{\theta''}; \theta'' \in \Theta''\}$  in G is  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible for  $G \curvearrowright_{T_{\lambda}} X_{\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  be arbitrarily given. Let A(.):  $L^{\infty}(X_{\lambda_0}, \mathscr{X}_{\lambda_0}) \to \mathbb{R}^{X_{\lambda_0}}$  be given by  $A(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}(\varphi)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}(.)$  is defined by Theorem 3.3 for  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  over  $\{F''_{\theta''}; \theta'' \in \Theta''\}$ . Then  $A(\varphi)$  is linear continuous in the sense:

$$A(a\varphi + \psi) = aA(\varphi) + A(\psi) \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \varphi, \psi \in L^{\infty}(X_{\lambda_0}, \mathscr{X}_{\lambda_0})$$

and

$$A(\varphi_n)(x) \to A(\psi)(x) \ \forall x \in X_{\lambda_0} \quad \text{as } \varphi_n \to \psi \text{ in } (L^{\infty}(X_{\lambda_0}, \mathscr{X}_{\lambda_0}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}).$$

Since  $\{F_{\theta''}''; \theta'' \in \Theta''\}$  is itself a left Følner net in G, hence  $A(\varphi) = A(T_g \varphi)$  for any g in G and all  $\varphi$  in  $L^{\infty}(X_{\lambda_0}, \mathscr{X}_{\lambda_0})$ .

Finally, by the same manner as defining  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  above, we now define two new families of Borel G-actions  $F_1''$  and  $F_2''$  over  $\{F_{\theta''}''; \theta'' \in \Theta''\}$  instead of  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$ . Since  $\{F_{\theta''}''; \theta'' \in \Theta''\}$  is a subnet of  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$ , we have  $F_1 \subseteq F_1''$ . But  $F_2 \subseteq F_1''$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2$  contains all Borel G-actions, this implies that  $F_1'' = \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

This proves Theorem 0.5.

## 3.3.2. Proof of Theorem 0.6

Let G be a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group. We now assume  $\mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X) \neq \emptyset$  where X is a Borel G-space with a Borel structure, i.e.,  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathscr{X}$  on X. Then  $L^{\infty}(X, \mathscr{X}) \subset L^p(X, \mathscr{X}, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$ .

The following statement is clearly contained in the standard proof of Completeness of  $L^p$ , which also holds in the case  $\mu(X) = \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $1 \le p \le \infty$  and if  $\varphi_n \to \varphi$  in  $L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then  $\{\varphi_n\}_1^{\infty}$  has a subsequence which converges pointwise  $\mu$ -almost everywhere to  $\varphi$ .

There is no a net version of Lemma 3.4 in the literature yet. Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 0.6 combining Theorem 0.4 and Theorem 0.5.

*Proof of Theorem 0.6.* Let  $A: \varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  from  $L^\infty(X, \mathscr{X})$  to  $\mathbb{R}^X$  over some  $L^\infty$ -admissible Følner net  $\{F_\theta; \theta \in \Theta\}$  for G by Theorem 0.5. Next let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X)$  and  $\varphi \in L^\infty(X, \mathscr{X})$  be arbitrarily given. Then from Theorem 0.4, it follows that under the  $L^1$ -norm,

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\theta \in \Theta} A(F_{\theta}, \varphi) &= P(\varphi) \in \pmb{F}_{\mu}^{1} = \left\{ \phi \in L^{1}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) \, | \, T_{g} \phi = \phi \, \forall g \in G \right\}; \\ & \left( = \int_{X} \varphi d\mu \quad if \, \mu \, ergodic \right). \end{split}$$

Since  $(L^1(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu), \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space, we can choose a sequence  $\{F_{\theta_n}; n = 1, 2, ...\}$  from  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} A(F_{\theta_n},\varphi) = P(\varphi).$$

So by Lemma 3.4, we can obtain that  $\varphi^*(x) = P(\varphi)(x)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ .

The proof of Theorem 0.6 is thus completed.

**Remark 3.5.** Let G be a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group with an  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible Følner sequence  $\{F_n; n=1,2,\ldots\}$ . We note that in Theorem 0.6, for any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$ , we may take  $\varphi'$  a version of  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi' \in L^{\infty}(X,\mathcal{X})$  such that

$$\|\varphi'\|_{\infty} = \|\varphi\|_{\infty,\mu}$$
 and  $\psi := |\varphi' - \varphi| = 0$  a.e.  $(\mu)$ .

Then  $T_g\psi=\psi$  a.e. for each  $g\in G$ . By Fubini's theorem, we can take some  $\mu$ -conull  $X_0\in \mathscr{X}$  such that for any  $x_0\in X_0$  and for  $m_G$ -a.e.  $g\in G$ ,  $\psi(T_gx_0)=\psi(x_0)$  (= 0). Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} A(F_n,\psi) = \psi = 0 \ a.e.$$

This means that

$$\varphi'^*(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} A(F_n, \varphi')(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} A(F_n, \varphi)(x) = \varphi^*(x) \quad \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X.$$

Hence the *a.e.* pointwise convergence holds for  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  for any  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group.

## 3.4. Stability under perturbation of Følner nets

The pointwise convergence we consider in Theorem 0.5 is stable under perturbation of Følner net in the following sense, which makes it is impossible to find a necessary condition of pointwise convergence for Følner net in G.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  be a Borel action of an amenable group G on X,  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mathcal{X})$ , and let  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a  $F \phi$ lner net in G satisfying that

$$\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} A(F_{\theta}, \varphi)(x) = \varphi^*(x) \quad \forall x \in X \ (or \ a.e. \ x \in X).$$

Assume  $\{D_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  is a net of compacta of G with  $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} |D_{\theta}|/|F_{\theta}| = 0$ , and set  $C_{\theta} = F_{\theta} \triangle D_{\theta}$ . Then

$$\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} A(C_{\theta}, \varphi)(x) = \varphi^{*}(x) \quad \forall x \in X \ (or \ a.e. \ x \in X).$$

*Proof.* Set  $\varphi_{\theta}(x) = A(F_{\theta}, \varphi)(x) - A(C_{\theta}, \varphi)(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Then,

$$\varphi_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \left( \int_{F_{\theta}} T_{g} \varphi(x) dg - \int_{C_{\theta}} T_{g} \varphi(x) dg \right) + \left( \frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} - \frac{1}{|C_{\theta}|} \right) \int_{C_{\theta}} T_{g} \varphi(x) dg,$$

consequently, since  $F_{\theta} \triangle C_{\theta} = D_{\theta}$  and  $||C_{\theta}| - |F_{\theta}|| \le |D_{\theta}|$ ,

$$\begin{split} |\varphi_{\theta}(x)| &\leq \frac{|D_{\theta}|}{|F_{\theta}|} \left( \frac{1}{|D_{\theta}|} \int_{D_{\theta}} |T_{g}\varphi(x)| dg + \frac{1}{|C_{\theta}|} \int_{C_{\theta}} |T_{g}\varphi(x)| dg \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2||\varphi||_{\infty} |D_{\theta}|}{|F_{\theta}|}. \end{split}$$

Thus, by our hypothesis,  $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} \varphi_{\theta}(x) = 0$ . This proves the proposition.

Thus we may read Proposition 3.6 backwards to obtain that if  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  and  $\{S_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  are two Følner nets in G satisfying  $\{S_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  is admissible for pointwise convergence of  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  and  $\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} |F_{\theta} \triangle S_{\theta}|/|S_{\theta}| = 0$ , then  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  is also admissible for pointwise convergence of  $G \curvearrowright_T X$ .

## 3.5. The Dunford-Zygmund theorem

Using Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 0.6, we can easily obtain the following  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise ergodic theorem for product of amenable groups.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let G, H be any two  $\sigma$ -compact amenable groups; and let  $\{F_n\}_1^{\infty}, \{K_n\}_1^{\infty}$  be two  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible Følner sequences in G and H, respectively. Then for any Borel actions  $G \curvearrowright_T X$  and  $G \curvearrowright_S X$  and any  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(X)$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{|F_n||K_n|} \int_{F_n} \int_{K_n} T_g S_h \varphi(x) dg dh = A_T(A_S(\varphi))(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

*Moreover, for any*  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G \curvearrowright_T X) \cap \mathcal{M}(H \curvearrowright_S X)$ ,

$$A_T(A_S(\varphi)) = E_u \left( E_u(\varphi | \mathscr{X}_{S,u}) | \mathscr{X}_{G,u} \right) \quad a.e.$$

Here  $A_T(\bullet) = \lim_n A_T(F_n, \bullet)$  and  $A_S(\bullet) = \lim_n A_S(K_n, \bullet)$  as in Theorem 0.5.

This idea may be useful for  $L^{\infty}$ -pointwise ergodic theorem for connected Lie groups. See [12] and [33, §7] for other generalizations of the Dunford-Zygmund theorem.

## 4. Further applications

In this section we shall present some simple and standard applications of our mean and pointwise ergodic theorems. Please keeping in mind that we have only convergence in the mean, which is not even convergence almost everywhere, in all of our  $L^p$ -mean ergodic theorems below.

## 4.1. $L^p$ -mean ergodic theorems

Another interesting application is to extend [15, Proposition 6.6]. Let  $\sigma: G \to X$  be a Borel homomorphism from the amenable group G to an lcH group X. Let  $\mu$  be a fixed left-invariant  $\sigma$ -finite Haar measure of X. We then consider the dynamical system:

$$T: G \times X \to X; \quad (g, x) \mapsto g(x) = l_{\sigma(g)}(x),$$
 (4.1)

where  $l_y: x \mapsto yx$  is the left translation of X by y for any  $y \in X$ . Then,  $T_g: \phi(x) \mapsto \phi(l_{\sigma(g)}x)$  is a unitary operator of  $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu)$  for any  $g \in G$ . Next by [28, Theorem 30C], for  $1 \le p < \infty$  and fixed  $\phi \in L^p(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu), g \mapsto T_g(\phi)$  is Borel measurable from G into  $(L^p(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu), \|\cdot\|_p)$ .

Therefore Theorem 2.1 follows the following  $L^p$ -mean ergodic theorem, even though  $\mu$  is not finite when X is not compact.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let  $\sigma: G \to X$  be a Borel homomorphism from the amenable group G to an lcH group X. Then under (4.1), for  $1 , over any Følner net <math>(F_{\theta})_{\theta \in \Theta}$  in G,

$$L^{p}\text{-}\lim_{\theta\in\Theta}\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|}\int_{F_{\theta}}T_{g}\phi dg=P(\phi)\quad\forall\phi\in L^{p}(X,\mathcal{B}_{X},\mu)$$

Here  $P: L^p(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu) = \mathbf{F}^p_{\mu} \oplus \mathbf{N}^p_{\mu} \to \mathbf{F}^p_{\mu}$  is the projection.

Proposition 6.6 of [15] only asserts the weak convergence under the much more restricted conditions:  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ , p = 2 and X is a compact metrizable abelian group as an illuminated case of the weak mean ergodic theorem of  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  [15, Proposition 6.11]. If G is not abelian or compact, then

$$\bar{\phi} := \int_G T_g(\phi) dg \Rightarrow T_g(\bar{\phi}) = \bar{\phi} \ \forall g \in G \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\phi} \text{ is not well defined.}$$

Whence Furstenberg's proof idea of [15, Proposition 6.6] is not valid for our Corollary 4.1 above.

The above application is for a measure-preserving system. It turns out that we can do something for non-singular systems. Let  $(X, \mathscr{X})$  be a Borel G-space. A probability measure  $\mu$  on X is said to be *quasi-invariant* if  $\mu \sim g(\mu)$ , that is to say,  $\mu(B) = 0$  if and only if  $\mu(g^{-1}[B]) = 0 \ \forall B \in \mathscr{X}$ , for all  $g \in G$ . Let  $\rho(g, x) > 0$  be the *Radon-Nikodym derivative* defined by  $\rho(g, x) = \frac{dg(\mu)}{d\mu}(x)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ , for any  $g \in G$ . Then  $\rho(g, x)$  is a Borel function of the variable  $(g, x) \in G \times X$  whenever  $(X, \mathscr{X})$  is a standard Borel space (cf. [50, Example 4.2.4]). If  $\sup_{g \in G, x \in X} \rho(g, x) < \infty$ , then  $T_g \colon \phi \mapsto \phi \circ g$  is uniformly bounded from  $L^p(X, \mathscr{X}, \mu)$  to itself for  $g \in G$  and hence there follows the following result:

**Corollary 4.2.** Let G be a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group and let  $(X, \mathcal{X})$  be a standard Borel G-space with a quasi-invariant probability measure  $\mu$ . If Radon-Nikodym derivative satisfies  $\sup_{g \in G, x \in X} \rho(g, x) < \infty$ , then, for  $1 \le p < \infty$ , any  $F \emptyset$  lner sequence  $\{F_n\}_1^\infty$  in G,

$$L^{p}-\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F_{n}|}\int_{F_{n}}T_{g}\phi dg=P(\phi)\quad\forall\phi\in L^{p}(X,\mathcal{X},\mu)$$

and moreover

$$\lim_{n'\to\infty}\frac{1}{|F'_{n'}|}\int_{F'_{n'}}\phi(T_gx)dg=P(\phi)(x)\quad\forall x\in X\ and\ \phi\in L^\infty(X,\mathcal{X})$$

over some Følner subsequence  $\{F'_{n'}\}$  of  $\{F_n\}$ . Here  $P: L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) = F^p_{\mu} \oplus N^p_{\mu} \to F^p_{\mu}$  is the projection.

In addition, we note that in Corollary 4.1 the case p = 1 cannot be obtained from the case of p = 2 since  $\mu(X) = \infty$  whenever X is not a compact group.

#### 4.2. Recurrence theorems for amenable groups

We now indicate briefly how our ergodic theorems apply to "phenomena of physics" as follows. Let G be an amenable group not necessarily  $\sigma$ -compact and let  $(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  a probability space. We now consider that G acts Borel on X by  $\mathcal{X}$ -transformations

$$T_g: X \to X; \quad x \mapsto g(x),$$

which preserve  $\mu$ . We note that in many cases such an G-invariant measure always exists (cf. [9, 14, 44] and Theorem 0.8 and Proposition 0.10). Then we may apply Theorem 0.4 to show that for each  $\phi \in L^p(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} T_g \phi dg \xrightarrow{L^p(\mu)} P(\phi) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$
 (4.2)

over any Følner net  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G.

There holds

•  $P: L^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu) = F_{\mu}^2 \oplus N_{\mu}^2 \to F_{\mu}^2$  is an orthogonal, self-adjoint and positive definite

so that  $\langle P(\phi), \phi \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $\phi \in L^2(X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$ . Also P(1) = 1. Setting  $\phi = 1_{\Sigma} - \mu(\Sigma)$  for any  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{X}$ we deduce that  $\langle P(1_{\Sigma}), 1_{\Sigma} \rangle \geq \mu(\Sigma)^2$ . Hence for any  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{X}$  there follows

$$P(1_{\Sigma})(x) > 0$$
  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \Sigma$ .

For if  $B = \{x \in \Sigma \mid P(1_{\Sigma})(x) = 0\}$  we will have

$$\mu(B)^2 \le \langle P(1_B), 1_B \rangle \le \langle P(1_B), 1_{\Sigma} \rangle = \langle 1_B, P(1_{\Sigma}) \rangle = 0.$$

We then can obtain by Theorem 0.4 the following pointwise recurrence theorem, which generalizes the classical Poincaré recurrence theorem [38] from  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  to amenable groups:

**Theorem 4.3** (Positive recurrence). Let  $(X, \mathcal{X})$  be a Borel G-space and  $\mu$  an invariant probability measure on it. Then for any  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{X}$  and any  $F \emptyset$  lner net  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G,

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{F}}^*(x,\Sigma) := \limsup_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{|\{g \in G \colon g(x) \in \Sigma\} \cap F_{\theta}|}{|F_{\theta}|} > 0$$

for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu(\Sigma) > 0$ . Given any point  $x \in \Sigma$  with  $1_{\Sigma}^*(x) = P(1_{\Sigma})(x) > 0$ , if  $D_{\mathcal{T}}^*(x, \Sigma) = 0$  then

$$\lim_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{|F_{\theta}|} \int_{F_{\theta}} 1_{\Sigma}(T_g x) dg = 0.$$

Further by Theorem 0.5, it follows that one can find a  $L^{\infty}$ -admissible Følner subnet over which  $1_{\Sigma}^{*}(x) = 0$ , a contradiction. This proves Theorem 4.3. 

It should be noted that since the classical  $L^2$ -mean ergodic theorem and Lindenstrauss's pointwise ergodic theorem is relative to a tempered  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner/summing sequence [16, 27], the above result cannot be directly concluded from those; since our Følner net  $\{F_{\theta}; \theta \in \Theta\}$  in G as in §0.1 is essentially weaker than a  $\mathcal{K}$ -Følner/summing sequence.

## 4.3. Quasi-weakly almost periodic points of metric G-space

Based on the foregoing Theorem 4.3, we next shall consider another generalized version of Poincaré's recurrence theorem associated to a measurable function.

**Theorem 4.4** (Quasi-weakly almost periodic points). Let X be a separable metric and  $G \cap X$  a Borel action of an amenable group G on X preserving a Borel probability measure  $\mu$ . If (Y,d) is a separable metric space and  $\varphi$  is a measurable map of X to Y, then  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  is such that to any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{T} = \{g \in G \mid d(\varphi(x), \varphi(gx)) < \epsilon\}$  has positive upper density relative to any Følner net  $\{F_n; n \in \Theta\}$  in G.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu_{\varphi}$  be the probability distribution of the random variable  $\varphi$  valued in Y, that is to say,  $\mu_{\varphi} = \mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , and write its support  $Y_1 = \text{supp}(\mu_{\varphi})$ . Set  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n; n \in \Theta\}$ .

Then for any r > 0,  $\mu(\varphi^{-1}[B(y, r)]) > 0$  for any  $y \in Y_1$ , where B(y, r) is the open ball of radius r centered at y in Y. By Theorem 4.3, it follows that for any  $y \in Y_1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$D_{\mathcal{F}}^*(x,\Sigma)>0, \quad \mu\text{-}a.e. \ x\in\Sigma:=\varphi^{-1}[B(y,\epsilon)],$$
 30

therefore

$$D_{\mathcal{F}}^*(\{g \in G \mid d(\varphi(x), \varphi(gx)) < \epsilon\}) > 0, \quad \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \Sigma.$$

$$\tag{4.3}$$

By the separability of Y, one can find a sequence of points  $y_k \in Y_1$  such that  $Y_1 \subseteq \bigcup_k B(y_k, \epsilon)$ . Combined with (4.3) this yields that there exists a set  $X_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{B}_X$  with  $\mu(X_{\epsilon}) = 1$  such that

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{F}}^*(\{g\in G\,|\,d(\varphi(x),\varphi(gx))<\epsilon\})>0\quad\forall x\in X_\epsilon.$$

Letting  $\epsilon_{\ell} \downarrow 0$  as  $\ell \to \infty$  and  $Q = \bigcap_{\ell} X_{\epsilon_{\ell}}$ ; then  $\mu(Q) = 1$  and every point  $x \in Q$  has the desired property. This thus completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Let G be a  $\sigma$ -compact amenable group. A very interesting case of the above theorem is that  $\varphi$  is the identity mapping of X onto itself for a separable metric Borel G-space X. Given any Følner sequence  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in G, write

$$D_{\mathcal{F}}^{*}(x,r) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|F_{n}|} \int_{F_{n}} 1_{B(x,r)}(gx) dg, \quad x \in X \text{ and } r > 0,$$
(4.4)

and

$$Q_{wap}(G \curvearrowright X) = \left\{ x \in X \mid D_{\mathcal{T}}^*(x, \epsilon) > 0 \ \forall \epsilon > 0 \right\}. \tag{4.5}$$

The latter is called the set of *quasi-weakly almost periodic points* of the Borel G-space X relative to the Følner sequence  $\{F_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in G (cf., e.g., [17] for the special case of  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $F_n = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  a Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Clearly,  $Q_{wap}(G \curvearrowright X)$  is G-invariant if G is abelian. A new ingredient of Theorem 4.4, however, is that our dynamical system  $T: G \times X \to X$  is only Borel measurable and so  $T_g: X \to X$  is not necessarily continuous for each  $g \in G$ .

Since  $D_{\mathcal{F}}^*(x,\epsilon)$  is a measurable function of x,  $Q_{wap}(G\curvearrowright X)$  rel.  $\{F_n;n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  is  $\mu$ -measurable and further by Theorem 4.4 we easily see that  $\mu(Q_{wap}(G\curvearrowright X))=1$  for any  $\mu\in\mathcal{M}(G\curvearrowright_T X)$ . Therefore, if X is a compact metric G-space, then  $Q_{wap}(G\curvearrowright X)$  rel.  $\{F_n;n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  is of full measure 1. So the phenomenon of quasi-weakly almost periodic motion is by no means rare. This generalizes and meanwhile strengthens the classical Birkhoff recurrence theorem.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $T:(X,\mathcal{B}_X,\mu)\to (X,\mathcal{B}_X,\mu)$  be a measure-preserving, not necessarily continuous, transformation of a separable metric space X. Then for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x\in X$ , one can find  $n_k\to\infty$  with  $T^{n_k}x\to x$ .

Why do we need to introduce the concept q.w.a.p. point for amenable group actions? Let's recall that for any cyclic topological dynamical system  $T: X \to X$ , a point x is called **recurrent** iff  $\exists n_k \to \infty$  such that  $T^{n_k}x \to x$  as  $k \to \infty$  (cf. [15]). For a group action dynamical system,  $G \curvearrowright_S X$ , in many literature a point x is said to be recurrent if  $\exists t_n \in G$  such that  $S_{t_n}x \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ . However, this cannot actually capture the recurrence of the orbit G(x); for example, to a one-parameter flow  $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright_S X$ ,  $S_{t_n}x \to x$  for any  $t_n \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In view of this, we need to introduce the upper density  $D^*(x, \epsilon) > 0$  over a Følner net in G instead of  $n_k \to \infty$ .

#### Acknowledgments

This work was partly supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant #11271183 and PAPD of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

#### References

- [1] T. Austin, On the norm convergence of non-conventional ergodic averages, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 30 (2010), 321–338
- [2] V. Bergelson, B. Host and B. Kra, Multiple recurrence and nilsequences, Invent. Math., 160 (2005), 261–303.
- [3] T. Bewley, Extension of the Birkhoff and von Neumann ergodic theorems to semigroup actions, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, 7 (1971), 283–291.
- [4] J. Bourgain, Double recurrence and almost sure convergence, J. Reine Angew. Math., 404 (1990), 140-161.
- [5] L. Bowen and A. Nevo, Pointwise ergodic theorems beyond amenable groups, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 33 (2013), 777–820.
- [6] Q. Chu and N. Frantzikinakis, *Pointwise convergence for cubic and polynomial ergodic averages of non-commuting transformations*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., **32** (2012), 877-897.
- [7] R. H. Cox, Matrices all whose powers lie close to the identity, Amer. Math. Monthly, 73 (1966), 183.
- [8] J. Dieudonné, Sur le théorème de Lebesgue-Nikodym (III), Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) Ser. 2, 23 (1948), 25–53.
- [9] R. E. Edwards, Fuctional Analysis: Theory and Applications, Dover Publications, INC. New York, 1965.
- [10] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward, Ergodic Theory with a view towards Number Theory, GTM 259, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [11] W. R. Emerson, The pointwise ergodic theorem for amenable groups, Amer. J. Math., 96 (1974), 472-487.
- [12] W. R. Emerson and F. P. Greenleaf, *Group structure and the pointwise ergodic theorem for connected amenable groups*, Adv. Math., **14** (1974), 153–172.
- [13] R. H. Farrell, Representation of invariant measures, Illinois J. Math., 6 (1962), 447–467.
- [14] S. V. Fomin, On measures invariant under certain groups of transformations, Ivz. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 14 (1950), 261–274.
- [15] H. Furstenberg, Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1981.
- [16] F. P. Greenleaf, Ergodic theorems and the construction of summing sequences in amenable locally compact groups, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 26 (1973), 29–46.
- [17] W.-H. He, J.-D. Yin and Z.-L. Zhou, On quasi-weakly almost periodic points, Sci. China Ser. A, 56 (2013), 597–606.
- [18] E. Hille and P. S. Phillips, Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1957.
- [19] B. Host and B. Kra, Nonconventional ergodic averages and nilmanifolds, Ann. of Math., 161 (2005), 397-488.
- [20] W. Huang, S. Shao and X. Ye, *Pointwise convergence of multiple ergodic averages and strictly ergodic models*, arXiv:1406.5930v1 [math.DS].
- [21] A. del Junco and J. Rosenblatt, Counterexamples in ergodic theory and number theory, Math. Ann., 245 (1979), 185–197
- [22] S. Kakutani, Iteration of linear operators in complex Banach spaces, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 14 (1938), 295–300.
- [23] J. L. Kelley, General Topology, GTM 27, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg Yokyo, 1955.
- [24] A. Khintchine, Eine Verschärfung des Poincáreschen "Wiederkehrsatzes", Compositio Math., 1 (1934), 177–179.
- [25] K. Kido and W. Takahashi, Mean ergodic theorems for semigroups of linear operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 103 (1984), 387–394.
- [26] U. Krengel, Ergodic Theorems, de Gruyter Studies in Math., Vol 6, de Gruyter, 1985.
- [27] E. Lindenstrauss, Pointwise theorems for amenable groups, Invent. Math., 146 (2001), 259-295.
- [28] L. H. Loomis, An Introduction to Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Van Nostrand, New York, 1953.
- [29] E. Lorch, Means of iterated transformations in reflexive vector spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 45 (1939), 945–947.
- [30] E. Lorch, Spectral Theory, Oxford Univ. Press, London New York, 1962.
- [31] M. Nagisa and S. Wada, An extension of the mean ergodic theorem, Math. Japon., 47 (1998), 429–438.
- [32] V.V. Nemytskii and V.V. Stepanov, Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1960.
- [33] A. Nevo, *Pointwise ergodic theorems for actions of groups*. Handbook of Dynamical Systems, vol. **1B**. Eds. B. Hasselblatt and A. Katok. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006, pp. 871–982.
- [34] J. von Neumann, Proof of the quasiergodic hypothesis, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.), 18 (1932), 70-82.
- [35] D. Ornstein and B. Weiss, Subsequence ergodic theorems for amenable groups, Israel J. Math., 79 (1992), 113–127.
- [36] A. T. Paterson, Amenability, Math. Surveys & Monographs 29, Amer. Math. Soc., 1988.
- [37] R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's Theorem*, 2nd Ed., Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **1757**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2001.
- [38] H. Poincaré, Les méthodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste, vol. 3, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1899.
- [39] M. Reed and B. Simon, Functional Analysis I, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [40] F. Riesz, Some mean ergodic theorems, J. London Math. Soc., 13 (1938), 70-82.
- [41] A. Shulman, Maximal ergodic theorems on groups, Dep. Lit. NIINTI, No. 2184, 1988.

- [42] T. Tao, Norm convergence of multiple ergodic averages for commuting transformations, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 28 (2008), 657–688.
- [43] A. Tempelman, Ergodic theorems for general dynamical systems, Trudy Moskov. Mat., 26 (1972), 95–132.
- [44] V. S. Varadarajan, Groups of transformations of Borel spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 109 (1963), 191–220.
- [45] K. Yosida, Mean ergodic theorem in Banach spaces, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 14 (1938), 292–294.
- [46] K. Yosida, An abstract treatment of the individual ergodic theorems, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 16 (1940), 280–284.
- [47] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis 6th Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1980.
- [48] K. Yosida and S. Kakutani, Birkhoff ergodic theorem and the maximal ergodic theorem, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 15 (1939), 165–168.
- [49] T. Ziegler, Universal characteristic factors and Furstenberg averages, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (2007), 53–97.
- [50] R. J. Zimmer, Ergodic Theory and Semisimple Groups, Birkäuser, Boston, 1984.