

# Minimal obstructions for tree-depth: A non-1-unique example

Michael D. Barrus\* and John Sinkovic†

November 25, 2021

## Abstract

A  $k$ -ranking of a graph  $G$  is a labeling of the vertices of  $G$  with values from  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  such that any path joining two vertices with the same label contains a vertex having a higher label. The tree-depth of  $G$  is the smallest value of  $k$  for which a  $k$ -ranking of  $G$  exists. The graph  $G$  is  $k$ -critical if it has tree-depth  $k$  and every proper minor of  $G$  has smaller tree-depth.

As defined in [1], a graph  $G$  is 1-unique if for every vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , there exists an optimal ranking of  $G$  in which  $v$  is the unique vertex with label 1. In [1] and [2] the authors showed that several classes of critical graphs are 1-unique and asked whether all critical graphs have this property. We answer in the negative by demonstrating an infinite family of graphs which are critical but not 1-unique.

*Keywords:* Graph minors, tree-depth, vertex ranking

## 1 Introduction

The *tree-depth* of a graph  $G$ , denoted  $\text{td}(G)$ , is defined as the smallest natural number  $k$  such that the vertices of  $G$  may be labeled with elements of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  such that every path joining two vertices with the same label contains a vertex having a larger label. Define a graph  $G$  to be *critical* if every proper minor of  $G$  has tree-depth less than  $\text{td}(G)$ . A critical graph with tree-depth  $k$  will be called  $k$ -critical.

Given a graph  $G$ , we will call a labeling of the vertices of  $G$  with labels from  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  a *feasible* labeling if every path in  $G$  between two vertices with the same label ( $\ell$ , say) passes through a vertex with a label greater than  $\ell$ . Adopting terminology from previous authors, we call a feasible labeling with labels from  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  a ( $k$ -) *ranking* of  $G$ , and we refer to the labels as *ranks* or *colors* (note

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, United States; email: [barrus@uri.edu](mailto:barrus@uri.edu)

†Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2G1, Canada; email: [johnsinkovic@gmail.com](mailto:johnsinkovic@gmail.com)

that every feasible labeling is a proper coloring of  $G$ ). We call a ranking of  $G$  *optimal* if it is a  $\text{td}(G)$ -ranking. A graph  $G$  is *1-unique* if for every vertex  $v$  of  $G$  there is an optimal ranking of  $G$  in which vertex  $v$  is the only vertex receiving rank 1.

In the papers [1] and [2], the authors present many examples of  $k$ -critical graphs and show that they are all 1-unique, conjecturing that all critical graphs are 1-unique. After further investigation, this turns out not to be the case; the purpose of this note is to demonstrate an infinite family of critical graphs, each of which is not 1-unique. In the next section we begin with some necessary background and results and then present the details of the counterexample.

## 2 An infinite family of critical graphs that are not 1-unique

We begin by recalling a definition and result from [1].

Given a vertex  $v$  in a graph  $G$ , a *star-clique transform at  $v$*  removes  $v$  from  $G$  and adds edges between the vertices in  $N_G(v)$  so as to make them a clique.

**Theorem 1.** ([1]) *Let  $v$  be a vertex of a graph  $G$ , and let  $H$  be the graph obtained through the star-clique transform at  $v$  of  $G$ . Vertex  $v$  is 1-unique in  $G$  if and only if  $\text{td}(H) < \text{td}(G)$ .*

For any positive integer  $k$ , define a  *$k$ -net* to be the graph constructed by attaching a single pendant vertex to each vertex of the complete graph  $K_k$ . The following fact is a special case of Lemma 2.7 in [2].

**Lemma 2.** *A  $k$ -net has tree-depth  $k + 1$ .*

We now present one more result on tree-depth before describing the family of counterexamples.

**Lemma 3.** *For any positive integer  $a$ , the graph  $K_a \square K_2$  has tree-depth  $\lceil 3a/2 \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* The claim is easily verified for  $a \in \{1, 2\}$ , so suppose  $a \geq 3$ . Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  denote the disjoint vertex sets of the two induced copies of  $K_a$  in  $K_a \square K_2$ . We may group the vertices of  $K_a \square K_2$  into pairs  $\{u, u'\}$ , where  $uu'$  is an edge and  $u$  and  $u'$  are elements of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively. Any cutset  $T$  in  $K_a \square K_2$  must contain at least one vertex from each such pair, so  $|T| \geq a$ . Moreover, since  $K_a \square K_2$  has independence number 2, after deleting any cutset the resulting graph has exactly two components, which must be complete subgraphs, the larger of which has at least  $a - |T|/2$  vertices. It follows that the tree-depth of  $K_a \square K_2$  is at least  $a + |T|/2$ , which is at least  $\lceil 3a/2 \rceil$ .

To demonstrate equality, let  $T$  be a subset of  $V(K_a \square K_2)$  consisting of  $\lfloor a/2 \rfloor$  vertices from  $V_1$  and  $\lceil a/2 \rceil$  vertices from  $V_2$ , with no vertex in  $T \cap V_1$  adjacent to any vertex in  $T \cap V_2$ . Label the vertices in  $T$  injectively with labels from  $\{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor + 1, \dots, \lceil 3a/2 \rceil\}$ , and in each of  $V_1 - T$  and  $V_2 - T$ , injectively label the vertices with  $\{1, \dots, \lfloor a/2 \rfloor\}$ . It is straightforward to verify that this is a feasible labeling using the appropriate number of colors.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *For any  $n \geq 4$ , let  $H_n$  be the graph obtained by subdividing (once) all edges incident with a given vertex  $v$  of  $K_n$ . The graph  $H_n$  is  $(n + 1)$ -critical but not 1-unique; moreover,  $v$  is the only non-1-unique vertex in  $H_n$ .*

*Proof.* In the following, let  $A_n$  denote the vertices of degree 2 incident with  $v$  in  $H_n$ , and let  $B_n$  denote  $V(H_n) - v - A_n$ ; note that the  $n - 1$  vertices in  $B_n$  form a clique in  $H_n$ , and each vertex in  $B_n$  is adjacent exactly to the other vertices of  $B_n$  and to a single vertex in  $A_n$  (with each vertex in  $A_n$  having a single neighbor in  $B_n$ ).

To see that  $\text{td}(H_n) \leq n + 1$ , injectively label the vertices of  $B_n$  with labels  $2, \dots, n$ , label each vertex in  $A_n$  with 1, and label  $v$  with  $n + 1$ . Under this labeling only vertices in  $A_n$  receive a common label, and each path joining two vertices in  $A_n$  contains a vertex outside  $A_n$ , which has a higher label than 1.

For convenience in proving that  $\text{td}(H_n) \geq n + 1$ , we now construct a graph  $H_3$  in the same way that  $H_n$  is defined for  $n \geq 4$ ; note that  $H_3$  is isomorphic to  $C_5$ . By induction we show that  $\text{td}(H_n) \geq n + 1$  for all  $n \geq 3$ .

Observe that  $H_3$  has tree-depth 4, as desired. Now suppose that for some integer  $k \geq 3$  we have  $\text{td}(H_k) \geq k + 1$ . Now consider the result of deleting a vertex from  $H_{k+1}$ . If the vertex deleted is  $v$ , the remaining graph is isomorphic to a  $k$ -net, which by Lemma 2 has tree-depth  $k + 1$ . Deleting any vertex from  $A_{k+1}$  or from  $B_{k+1}$ , along with its neighbor in the other set, leaves a copy of  $H_k$ , which by our induction hypothesis has tree-depth  $k + 1$ . Thus  $\text{td}(H_{k+1}) \geq 1 + \text{td}(H_k) \geq (k + 1) + 1$ , as desired.

We now show that  $H_n$  is critical. Note that if  $u$  is any vertex in  $A_n$ , and if  $w$  is the neighbor of  $u$  in  $B_n$ , then each of  $H_n - uv$  and  $H_n - uw$  may be feasibly colored by labeling  $v$  and  $w$  with 2, labeling all of  $A_n$  with 1, and injectively labeling the vertices of  $B_n - u$  with colors from  $3, \dots, n$ .

If  $w, w'$  are vertices in  $B_n$ , we feasibly color  $H_n - ww'$  by labelling  $w$  and  $w'$  with 1, labeling all vertices in  $A_n$  with 2, labeling  $v$  with 3, and injectively labeling the vertices of  $B_n - \{w, w'\}$  with colors from  $4, \dots, n$ .

Contracting an edge of  $H_n$  that is incident with a vertex in  $A_n$  yields a graph isomorphic to that obtained by adding to  $H_{n-1}$  a vertex  $w'$  adjacent to the analogous vertex  $v$  and to all vertices of  $B_{n-1}$ ; we feasibly color this graph by labeling  $w'$  and all vertices in  $A_{n-1}$  with 1, labeling  $v$  with 2, and injectively labeling vertices in  $B_{n-1}$  with  $\{3, \dots, n\}$ .

Contracting an edge  $w_1w_2$  of  $H_n$ , where  $w_1, w_2 \in B_n$ , yields a graph that can be feasibly colored in the following way: label all vertices of  $A_n$  with 1, label the vertex replacing  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  with 2, label  $v$  with 3, and injectively label the vertices of  $B_n - \{w_1, w_2\}$  with  $\{4, \dots, n\}$ . Having shown now that deleting or contracting any edge results in a graph with smaller tree-depth (and, it follows, the same holds if we delete any vertex), we conclude that  $G$  is  $(n + 1)$ -critical.

Now by Theorem 1,  $v$  will be 1-unique if and only if performing a star-clique transformation at  $v$  in  $H_n$  yields a graph with a lower tree-depth. Observe that a star-clique transformation on  $v$  actually yields  $K_{n-1} \square K_2$ . By Lemma 3,  $\text{td}(K_{n-1} \square K_2) = \lceil \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rceil$ , which is at least  $n + 1$  for  $n \geq 4$ , rendering  $v$  non-1-unique. Note, however, that a star-clique transformation on any vertex

of  $A_n$  or  $B_n$  has the same effect as contracting an edge between  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  in  $H_n$ , which lowers the tree-depth, as we verified above; hence all vertices of  $H_n$  other than  $v$  are 1-unique.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. D. Barrus, J. Sinkovic, Uniqueness and minimal obstructions for tree-depth, *Discrete Math.* 339 (2) (2015) 606–613.
- [2] M. D. Barrus, J. Sinkovic, Classes of critical graphs for tree-depth (2015).  
URL <http://math.uri.edu/~7Ebarrus/papers/treedepthclasses.pdf>