

## EXTENSION GROUPS FOR DG MODULES

SAEED NASSEH AND SEAN SATHER-WAGSTAFF

ABSTRACT. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be differential graded (DG) modules over a positively graded commutative DG algebra  $A$ . We show that the Ext-groups  $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$  defined in terms of semi-projective resolutions are not in general isomorphic to the Yoneda Ext-groups  $\text{YExt}_A^i(M, N)$  given in terms of equivalence classes of extensions. On the other hand, we show that these groups are isomorphic when the first DG module is semi-projective.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**Convention.** In this paper,  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity.

Given two  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , a classical result originating with work of Baer [4] states that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ , defined via projective/injective resolutions, is isomorphic to the abelian group  $\text{YExt}_R^1(M, N)$  of equivalence classes of exact sequences of the form  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . The purpose of this note is to discuss possible extensions of this result to the abelian category of differential graded (DG) modules over a positively graded commutative DG algebra  $A$ . See Section 2 for background information on this category.

Specifically, we show that Baer's isomorphism fails in general in this context: Examples 3.1 and 3.2 exhibit DG  $A$ -modules  $M, N$  with  $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, N) \not\cong \text{YExt}_A^1(M, N)$ . (See 2.4 and 2.6 below for definitions.) On the other hand, the following result shows that a reasonable hypothesis on the first module does yield such an isomorphism.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $N, Q$  be DG  $A$ -modules such that  $Q$  is semi-projective. Then there is an isomorphism  $\text{YExt}_A^i(Q, N) \cong \text{Ext}_A^i(Q, N)$  of abelian groups for all  $i \geq 1$ .*

This is the main result of Section 3; see Proof 3.8. In the subsequent Section 4, we discuss some properties of  $\text{YExt}$  with respect to truncations.

It is worth noting here that we apply results from this paper in our answer to a question of Vasconcelos in [12]. Specifically, in that paper, we investigate DG  $A$ -modules  $C$  with  $\text{Ext}_A^1(C, C) = 0$  using geometric techniques. These techniques yield an isomorphism between  $\text{YExt}_A^1(C, C)$  and a certain quotient of tangent spaces; it is then important for us to know when the vanishing of  $\text{Ext}_A^1(C, C)$  implies the vanishing of related  $\text{YExt}^1$ -modules; see Proposition 4.4 below.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D02, 13D07, 13D09.

*Key words and phrases.* Differential graded algebras, differential graded modules, Yoneda Ext.

Sather-Wagstaff was supported in part by North Dakota EPSCoR, National Science Foundation Grant EPS-0814442, and NSA grant H98230-13-1-0215.

## 2. DG MODULES

We assume that the reader is familiar with the category of  $R$ -complexes and the derived category  $\mathcal{D}(R)$ . Standard references for these topics are [6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14]. For clarity, we include some definitions and notation.

**Definition 2.1.** In this paper, complexes of  $R$ -modules (“ $R$ -complexes” for short) are indexed homologically:  $M = \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}^M} M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^M} M_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n^M} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^M} \cdots$ . The degree of an element  $m \in M$  is denoted  $|m|$ . The *infimum* and *supremum* of  $M$  are the infimum and supremum, respectively, of the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H_n(M) \neq 0\}$ . The *tensor product* of two  $R$ -complexes  $M, N$  is denoted  $M \otimes_R N$ , and the *Hom complex* is denoted  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . A *chain map*  $M \rightarrow N$  is a cycle in  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)_0$ .

Next we discuss DG algebras and DG modules, which are treated in, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 11]. We follow the notation and terminology from [2, 5]; given the slight differences in the literature, though, we include a summary next.

**Definition 2.2.** A *positively graded commutative differential graded  $R$ -algebra* (DG  $R$ -algebra for short) is an  $R$ -complex  $A$  equipped with a chain map  $\mu^A: A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$  with  $ab := \mu^A(a \otimes b)$  that is associative, unital, and graded commutative such that  $A_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$ . The map  $\mu^A$  is the *product* on  $A$ . Given a DG  $R$ -algebra  $A$ , the *underlying algebra* is the graded commutative  $R$ -algebra  $A^{\natural} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ .

A *differential graded module over a DG  $R$ -algebra  $A$*  (DG  $A$ -module for short) is an  $R$ -complex  $M$  with a chain map  $\mu^M: A \otimes_R M \rightarrow M$  such that the rule  $am := \mu^M(a \otimes m)$  is associative and unital. The map  $\mu^M$  is the *scalar multiplication* on  $M$ . The *underlying  $A^{\natural}$ -module* associated to  $M$  is the  $A^{\natural}$ -module  $M^{\natural} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ .

The DG  $A$ -module  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is the subcomplex of  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  of the  $A$ -linear homomorphisms. A *morphism*  $M \rightarrow N$  of DG  $A$ -modules is a cycle in  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)_0$ . Projective objects in the category of DG  $A$ -modules are called *categorically projective*. Quasiisomorphisms of DG  $A$ -modules are identified by the symbol  $\simeq$ , also used for the “quasiisomorphic” equivalence relation.

Two important DG  $R$ -algebras to keep in mind are  $R$  itself and, more generally, the Koszul complex over  $R$  (on a finite sequence of elements of  $R$ ) with the exterior product. A DG  $R$ -module is just an  $R$ -complex, and a morphism of DG  $R$ -modules is simply a chain map.

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra. The category of DG  $A$ -modules is an abelian category with enough projectives.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $M, N$  be DG  $A$ -modules. For each  $i \geq 0$  we have a well-defined *Yoneda Ext group*  $\text{YExt}_A^i(M, N)$ , defined in terms of a resolution of  $M$  by categorically projective DG  $A$ -modules:

$$\cdots \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

A standard result shows that  $\text{YExt}_A^1(M, N)$  is isomorphic to the set of equivalence classes of exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of DG  $A$ -modules under the Baer sum; see, e.g., [15, (3.4.6)] and the proof of Theorem 3.5.

We now turn to the derived category  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ , and related notions.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra. A DG  $A$ -module  $Q$  is *graded-projective* if  $\text{Hom}_A(Q, -)$  preserves surjective morphisms, that is, if  $Q^{\natural}$  is a projective graded

$R^{\natural}$ -module. The DG module  $Q$  is *semi-projective* if  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, -)$  respects surjective quasiisomorphisms, that is, if  $Q$  is graded-projective and respects quasiisomorphisms. A *semi-projective resolution* of  $M$  is a quasiisomorphism  $L \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$  of DG  $A$ -modules such that  $L$  is semi-projective.

**Fact 2.6.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra. Then every DG  $A$ -module has a semi-projective resolution.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra. The derived category  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is formed from the category of DG  $A$ -modules by formally inverting the quasiisomorphisms; see [11]. Isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  are identified by the symbol  $\simeq$ .

The derived functor  $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is defined via a semi-projective resolution  $P \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ , as  $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, N)$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set  $\mathrm{Ext}_A^i(M, N) := \mathrm{H}_{-i}(\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N))$ .

### 3. DG EXT VS. YONEDA EXT

We begin this section with examples of DG  $A$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $\mathrm{Ext}_A^1(M, N) \not\cong \mathrm{YExt}_A^1(M, N)$ . These present two facets of the distinctness of  $\mathrm{Ext}$  and  $\mathrm{YExt}$ , as the first example has  $M$  and  $N$  both bounded, while the second one (from personal communication with Avramov) has  $M$  graded-projective.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $R = k[[X]]$ , and consider the following exact sequence of DG  $R$ -modules, i.e., exact sequence of  $R$ -complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{X} & R & \longrightarrow & k \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{X} & R & \longrightarrow & k \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0.
 \end{array}$$

This sequence does not split over  $R$  (it is not even degree-wise split) so it gives a non-trivial class in  $\mathrm{YExt}_R^1(\underline{k}, \underline{R})$ , and we conclude that  $\mathrm{YExt}_R^1(\underline{k}, \underline{R}) \neq 0$ . On the other hand,  $\underline{k}$  is homologically trivial, so we have  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(\underline{k}, \underline{R}) = 0$  since 0 is a semi-free resolution of  $\underline{k}$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $R = k[X]/(X^2)$  and consider the following exact graded-projective DG  $R$ -module  $M = \cdots \xrightarrow{X} R \xrightarrow{X} R \xrightarrow{X} \cdots$ . Since  $M$  is exact, we have  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, M) = 0$  for all  $i$ . We claim, however, that  $\mathrm{YExt}_R^1(M, M) \neq 0$ . To see this, first note that  $M$  is isomorphic to the suspension  $\Sigma M$  and that  $M$  is not contractible. Thus, the mapping cone sequence for the identity morphism  $\mathrm{id}_M$  is isomorphic to one of the form  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  and is not split.

The definition of the isomorphism  $\text{YExt}_A^i(Q, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(Q, N)$  for  $i = 1$  in Theorem A is contained in the following construction. The subsequent lemma and theorem show that  $\Psi$  is a well-defined isomorphism.

**Construction 3.3.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $N, Q$  be DG  $A$ -modules such that  $Q$  is graded-projective. Define  $\Psi: \text{YExt}_A^1(Q, N) \rightarrow \text{H}_{-1}(\text{Hom}_A(Q, N))$  as follows. Note that if  $Q$  is semi-projective, then  $\text{Ext}_A^1(Q, N) \cong \text{H}_{-1}(\text{Hom}_A(Q, N))$ , which fits with what we have in Theorem A.

Let  $\zeta \in \text{YExt}_A^1(Q, N)$  be represented by the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} Q \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.3.1)$$

Since  $Q$  is graded-projective, this sequence is *graded-split*, that is there are elements  $h \in \text{Hom}_A(X, N)_0$  and  $k \in \text{Hom}_A(Q, X)_0$  with

$$hf = \text{id}_N \quad gk = \text{id}_Q \quad hk = 0 \quad fh + kg = \text{id}_X.$$

Thus, the sequence (3.3.1) is isomorphic to one of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \partial_{i+1}^N \downarrow & & \partial_{i+1}^X \downarrow & & \partial_{i+1}^Q \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} & N_i \oplus Q_i & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & Q_i \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \partial_i^N \downarrow & & \partial_i^X \downarrow & & \partial_i^Q \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{i-1}} & N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{i-1}} & Q_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \partial_{i-1}^N \downarrow & & \partial_{i-1}^X \downarrow & & \partial_{i-1}^Q \downarrow \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \end{array} \quad (3.3.2)$$

where  $\epsilon_j$  is the natural inclusion and  $\pi_j$  is the natural surjection for each  $j$ . Since this diagram comes from a graded-splitting of (3.3.1), the scalar multiplication on the middle column of (3.3.2) is the natural one  $a \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ap \\ aq \end{bmatrix}$ . (We write elements of  $N_i \oplus Q_i$  as column vectors.)

The fact that (3.3.2) commutes implies that  $\partial_i^X$  has a specific form:

$$\partial_i^X = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.3.3)$$

Here, we have  $\lambda_i: Q_i \rightarrow N_{i-1}$ , that is,  $\lambda = \{\lambda_i\} \in \text{Hom}_R(Q, N)_{-1}$ . Since the horizontal maps in the sequence (3.3.2) are morphisms of DG  $A$ -modules, it follows that  $\lambda$  is a cycle in  $\text{Hom}_A(Q, N)_{-1}$ . Thus,  $\lambda$  represents a homology class in  $\text{H}_{-1}(\text{Hom}_A(Q, N))$ , and we define  $\Psi: \text{YExt}_A^1(Q, N) \rightarrow \text{H}_{-1}(\text{Hom}_A(Q, N))$  by setting  $\Psi(\zeta)$  equal to  $[\lambda]$  the homology class of  $\lambda$  in  $\text{H}_{-1}(\text{Hom}_A(Q, N))$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $N, Q$  be DG  $A$ -modules such that  $Q$  is graded-projective. Then the map  $\Psi: \text{YExt}_A^1(Q, N) \rightarrow \text{H}_{-1}(\text{Hom}_A(Q, N))$  from Construction 3.3 is well-defined.*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta \in \mathrm{YExt}_A^1(Q, N)$  be represented by the sequence (3.3.2), and let  $\zeta$  be represented by another exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
& \partial_{i+1}^N \downarrow & & \partial_{i+1}^{X'} \downarrow & & \partial_{i+1}^Q \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} & N_i \oplus Q_i & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & Q_i \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \partial_i^N \downarrow & & \partial_i^{X'} \downarrow & & \partial_i^Q \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{i-1}} & N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{i-1}} & Q_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \partial_{i-1}^N \downarrow & & \partial_{i-1}^{X'} \downarrow & & \partial_{i-1}^Q \downarrow & \\
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & 
\end{array} \tag{3.4.1}$$

where

$$\partial_i^{X'} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda'_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.4.2}$$

We need to show that  $\lambda - \lambda' \in \mathrm{Im}(\partial_0^{\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, N)})$ . The sequences (3.3.2) and (3.4.1) are equivalent in  $\mathrm{YExt}_R^1(Q, N)$ , so for each  $i$  there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} & N_i \oplus Q_i & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & Q_i \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow = & & \begin{bmatrix} u_i & v_i \\ w_i & x_i \end{bmatrix} \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} & N_i \oplus Q_i & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & Q_i \longrightarrow 0
\end{array} \tag{3.4.3}$$

where the middle vertical arrow describes a DG  $A$ -module isomorphism, and such that the following diagram commutes for all  $i$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N_i \oplus Q_i & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} u_i & v_i \\ w_i & x_i \end{bmatrix} \cong} & N_i \oplus Q_i \\
\begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q \end{bmatrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda'_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q \end{bmatrix} \\
N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} u_{i-1} & v_{i-1} \\ w_{i-1} & x_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \cong} & N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1}
\end{array} \tag{3.4.4}$$

The fact that diagram (3.4.3) commutes implies that  $u_i = \mathrm{id}_{N_i}$ ,  $x_i = \mathrm{id}_{Q_i}$ , and  $w_i = 0$ . Also, the fact that the middle vertical arrow in diagram (3.4.3) describes a DG  $A$ -module morphism implies that the sequence  $v_i: Q_i \rightarrow N_i$  respects scalar multiplication, i.e., we have  $v \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, N)_0$ . The fact that diagram (3.4.4) commutes implies that  $\lambda_i - \lambda'_i = \partial_i^N v_i - v_{i-1} \partial_i^Q$ . We conclude that  $\lambda - \lambda' = \partial_0^{\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, N)}(v) \in \mathrm{Im}(\partial_0^{\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, N)})$ , so  $\Psi$  is well-defined.  $\square$

The next result contains the case  $i = 1$  of Theorem A from the introduction, because if  $Q$  is semi-projective, then  $\mathrm{Ext}_A^1(Q, N) \cong H_{-1}(\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, N))$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $N, Q$  be DG  $A$ -modules such that  $Q$  is graded-projective. Then the map  $\Psi: \mathrm{YExt}_A^1(Q, N) \rightarrow H_{-1}(\mathrm{Hom}_A(Q, N))$  from Construction 3.3 is a group isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We break the proof into three claims.

**Claim 1.**  $\Psi$  is additive. Let  $\zeta, \zeta' \in \text{YExt}_A^1(Q, N)$  be represented by exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\epsilon} X \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\epsilon'} X' \xrightarrow{\pi'} Q \rightarrow 0$  respectively, where  $X_i = N_i \oplus Q_i = X'_i$  and the differentials  $\partial^X$  and  $\partial^{X'}$  are described as in (3.3.3) and (3.4.2), respectively. We need to show that the Baer sum  $\zeta + \zeta'$  is represented by an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\tilde{\epsilon}} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} Q \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\tilde{X}_i = N_i \oplus Q_i$  and  $\partial_i^{\tilde{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i + \lambda'_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q \end{bmatrix}$ , with scalar multiplication  $a \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ap \\ aq \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that it is straightforward to show that the sequence  $\tilde{X}$  defined in this way is a DG  $A$ -module, and the natural maps  $N \xrightarrow{\tilde{\epsilon}} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} Q$  are  $A$ -linear, using the analogous properties for  $X$  and  $X'$ .

We construct the Baer sum in two steps. The first step is to construct the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'' & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi' \\ X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q. \end{array}$$

The DG module  $X''$  is a submodule of the direct sum  $X \oplus X'$ , so each  $X''_i$  is the submodule of

$$(X \oplus X')_i = X_i \oplus X'_i \cong N_i \oplus Q_i \oplus N_i \oplus Q_i$$

consisting of all vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ x' \end{bmatrix}$  such that  $\pi'_i(x') = \pi_i(x)$ , that is, all vectors of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} p & q & p' & q' \end{bmatrix}^T$  such that  $q = q'$ . In other words, we have

$$N_i \oplus Q_i \oplus N_i \xrightarrow{\cong} X''_i \quad (3.5.1)$$

where the isomorphism is given by  $\begin{bmatrix} p & q & p' & q' \end{bmatrix}^T \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} p & q & p' & q \end{bmatrix}^T$ . The differential on  $X \oplus X'$  is the natural diagonal map. So, under the isomorphism (3.5.1), the differential on  $X''$  has the form

$$X''_i \cong N_i \oplus Q_i \oplus N_i \xrightarrow{\partial_i^{X''} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda'_i & \partial_i^N \end{bmatrix}} N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1} \oplus N_{i-1} \cong X''_{i-1}.$$

The next step in the construction of  $\zeta + \zeta'$  is to build  $\tilde{X}$ , which is the cokernel of the morphism  $\gamma: N \rightarrow X''$  given by  $p \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -p \\ 0 \\ p \end{bmatrix}$ . That is, since  $\gamma$  is injective, the complex  $\tilde{X}$  is determined by the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\gamma} X'' \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{X} \rightarrow 0$ . It is straightforward to show that this sequence has the following form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} & N_i \oplus Q_i \oplus N_i & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}} & N_i \oplus Q_i & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \partial_i^N & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda'_i & \partial_i^N \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i + \lambda'_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q \end{bmatrix} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} & N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1} \oplus N_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}} & N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By inspecting the right-most column of this diagram, we see that  $\tilde{X}$  has the desired form. Furthermore, checking the module structures at each step of the construction, we see that the scalar multiplication on  $\tilde{X}$  is the natural one  $a \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ap \\ aq \end{bmatrix}$ . This concludes the proof of Claim 1.

**Claim 2.**  $\Psi$  is injective. Suppose that  $\zeta \in \text{Ker}(\Psi)$  is represented by the displays (3.3.1)–(3.3.3). The condition  $\Psi(\zeta) = 0$  says that  $\lambda \in \text{Im}(\partial_0^{\text{Hom}_A(Q,N)})$ , so there is an element  $s \in \text{Hom}_A(Q, N)_0$  such that  $\lambda = \partial_0^{\text{Hom}_A(Q,N)}(s)$ . Thus, for each  $i$  we have  $\lambda_i = \partial_i^N s_i - s_{i-1} \partial_i^Q$ . From this, it is straightforward to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_i \oplus Q_i & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & s_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} & N_i \oplus Q_i \\ \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q \end{bmatrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_i^Q \end{bmatrix} \\ N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & s_{i-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} & N_{i-1} \oplus Q_{i-1}. \end{array}$$

From the fact that  $s$  is  $A$ -linear, it follows that the maps  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & s_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  describe an  $A$ -linear isomorphism  $X \xrightarrow{\cong} N \oplus Q$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & N \oplus Q & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In other words, the sequence (3.3.1) splits, so we have  $\zeta = 0$ , and  $\Psi$  is injective. This concludes the proof of Claim 2.

**Claim 3.**  $\Psi$  is surjective. For this, let  $\xi \in H_{-1}(\text{Hom}_A(Q, N))$  be represented by  $\lambda \in \text{Ker}(\partial_{-1}^{\text{Hom}_A(Q,N)})$ . Using the fact that  $\lambda$  is  $A$ -linear such that  $\partial_{-1}^{\text{Hom}_A(Q,N)}(\lambda) = 0$ , one checks directly that the displays (3.3.2)–(3.3.3) describe an exact sequence of DG  $A$ -module homomorphisms of the form (3.3.1) whose image under  $\Psi$  is  $\xi$ . This concludes the proof of Claim 3 and the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** After the results of this paper were announced, Avramov, et al. [2] established the following generalization of Theorem 3.5.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $M$  and  $N$  be DG  $A$ -modules. There is a monomorphism of abelian groups*

$$\kappa: H_0(\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma^{-1}M, N)) \rightarrow \text{YExt}_U^1(M, N)$$

*with image equal to the set of equivalence classes of graded-split exact sequences of the form  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ .*

To see how this generalizes Theorem 3.5, first note that if  $M$  is graded-projective, then the map  $\kappa$  is bijective, as in this case every element of  $\text{YExt}_U^1(M, N)$  is graded-split; thus, we have  $H_{-1}(\text{Hom}_A(M, N)) \cong H_0(\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma^{-1}M, N)) \cong \text{YExt}_U^1(M, N)$ .

**Proof 3.8** (Proof of Theorem A). Using Theorem 3.5, we need only justify the isomorphism  $\text{YExt}_A^i(Q, N) \cong \text{Ext}_A^i(Q, N)$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Let

$$L_\bullet^+ = \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2^L} L_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1^L} L_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 0$$

be a resolution of  $Q$  by categorically projective DG  $A$ -modules. Since each  $L_j$  is categorically projective, we have  $\text{YExt}_A^i(L_j, -) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and  $L_j \simeq 0$  for each  $j$ , so we have  $\text{Ext}_A^i(L_j, -) = 0$  for all  $i$ . Set  $Q_i := \text{Im } \partial_i^L$  for each  $i \geq 1$ . Each

$L_i$  is graded-projective, so the fact that  $Q$  is graded-projective implies that each  $Q_i$  is graded-projective.

Now, a straightforward dimension-shifting argument explains the first and third isomorphisms in the following display for  $i \geq 2$ :

$$\mathrm{YExt}_A^i(Q, N) \cong \mathrm{YExt}_A^1(Q_{i-1}, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(Q_{i-1}, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^i(Q, N).$$

The second isomorphism is from Theorem 3.5 since each  $Q_i$  is graded-projective.  $\square$

The next example shows that one can have  $\mathrm{YExt}_A^0(Q, N) \not\cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^0(Q, N)$ , even when  $Q$  is semi-free.

**Example 3.9.** Continue with the assumptions and notation of Example 3.1, and set  $Q = N = \underline{R}$ . It is straightforward to show that the morphisms  $\underline{R} \rightarrow \underline{R}$  are precisely given by multiplication by fixed elements of  $R$ , so we have the first step in the next display:

$$\mathrm{YExt}_A^0(\underline{R}, \underline{R}) \cong R \neq 0 = \mathrm{Ext}_A^0(\underline{R}, \underline{R}).$$

The third step follows from the condition  $\underline{R} \simeq 0$ .

**Remark 3.10.** It is perhaps worth noting that our proofs can also be used to give the isomorphisms from Theorem A when  $Q$  is not necessarily semi-projective, but  $N$  is “semi-injective”.

#### 4. $\mathrm{YExt}^1$ AND TRUNCATIONS

For our work in [12], we need to know how  $\mathrm{YExt}$  respects the following notion.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $M$  be a DG  $A$ -module. Given an integer  $n$ , the  $n$ th soft left truncation of  $M$  is the complex

$$\tau(M)_{(\leq n)} := \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M_n / \mathrm{Im}(\partial_{n+1}^M) \rightarrow M_{n-1} \rightarrow M_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

with differential induced by  $\partial^M$ . In other words,  $\tau(M)_{(\leq n)}$  is the quotient DG  $A$ -module  $M/M'$  where  $M'$  is the following DG submodule of  $M$ :

$$M' = \cdots \rightarrow M_{n+2} \rightarrow M_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Im}(\partial_{n+1}^M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that we have  $M' \simeq 0$  if and only if  $n \geq \mathrm{sup}(M)$ , so the natural morphism  $\rho: M \rightarrow \tau(M)_{(\leq n)}$  of DG  $A$ -modules yields an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  if and only if  $n \geq \mathrm{sup}(M)$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $M$  and  $N$  be DG  $A$ -modules. Assume that  $n$  is an integer such that  $N_i = 0$  for all  $i > n$ . Then the natural map  $\mathrm{YExt}_A^1(\tau(M)_{(\leq n)}, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{YExt}_A^1(M, N)$  induced by the morphism  $\rho: M \rightarrow \tau(M)_{(\leq n)}$  from Definition 4.1 is a monomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $\Upsilon$  denote the map  $\mathrm{YExt}_A^1(\tau(M)_{(\leq n)}, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{YExt}_A^1(M, N)$  induced by  $\rho$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}(\Upsilon) \subseteq \mathrm{YExt}_A^1(\tau(M)_{(\leq n)}, N)$  be represented by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} \tau(M)_{(\leq n)} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.2.1)$$

Note that, since  $N_i = 0 = (\tau(M)_{(\leq n)})_i$  for all  $i > n$ , we have  $X_i = 0$  for all  $i > n$ . Our assumptions imply that  $0 = \Upsilon([\alpha]) = [\beta]$  where  $\beta$  comes from the following



pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{=} & K \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{h} & & \downarrow h \\
\beta : & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\rho} & & \downarrow \rho \\
\alpha : & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \xrightarrow{g} & \tau(M)_{(\leq n)} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0.
\end{array} \tag{4.2.2}$$

The middle row  $\beta$  of this diagram is split exact since  $[\beta] = 0$ , so there is a morphism  $F: \tilde{X} \rightarrow N$  of DG  $A$ -modules such that  $F \circ \tilde{f} = \text{id}_N$ . Note that  $K$  has the form

$$K = \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}^M} M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^M} \text{Im}(\partial_{n+1}^M) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.2.3}$$

because of the right-most column of the diagram.

We claim that  $F \circ \tilde{h} = 0$ . It suffices to check this degree-wise. When  $i > n$ , we have  $N_i = 0$ , so  $F_i = 0$ , and  $F_i \circ \tilde{h}_i = 0$ . When  $i < n$ , the display (4.2.3) shows that  $K_i = 0$ , so  $\tilde{h}_i = 0$ , and  $F_i \circ \tilde{h}_i = 0$ . For  $i = n$ , we first note that the display (4.2.3) shows that  $\partial_{n+1}^K$  is surjective. In the following diagram, the faces with solid arrows commute because  $\tilde{h}$  and  $F$  are morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \xleftarrow{\dots} & K_{n+1} & & \\
& \swarrow \partial_{n+1}^K & \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{h}_{n+1} & \\
& & 0 & \xleftarrow{F_{n+1}} & \tilde{X}_{n+1} \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_{n+1}^{\tilde{X}} \\
& & 0 & \xleftarrow{\dots} & K_n \\
& & \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{h}_n & \downarrow \\
& & N_n & \xleftarrow{F_n} & \tilde{X}_n
\end{array}$$

Since  $\partial_{n+1}^K$  is surjective, a simple diagram chase shows that  $F_n \circ \tilde{h}_n = 0$ . This establishes the claim.

To conclude the proof, note that the previous claim shows that the map  $K \rightarrow 0$  is a left-splitting of the top row of diagram (4.2.2) that is compatible with the left-splitting  $F$  of the middle row. It is now straightforward to show that  $F$  induces a morphism  $\bar{F}: X \rightarrow N$  of DG  $A$ -modules that left-splits the bottom row of diagram (4.2.2). Since this row represents  $\alpha \in \text{YExt}_A^1(\tau(M)_{(\leq n)}, N)$ , we conclude that  $[\alpha] = 0$ , so  $\Upsilon$  is a monomorphism.  $\square$



5. K. Beck and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *A somewhat gentle introduction to differential graded commutative algebra*, Connections Between Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry, Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 76, Springer, New York, Heidelberg, Dordrecht, London, 2014, pp. 3–99.
6. L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. MR 2002e:13032
7. L. W. Christensen, H.-B. Foxby, and H. Holm, *Derived category methods in commutative algebra*, in preparation.
8. Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR 1802847
9. S. I. Gelfand and Y. I. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR 2003m:18001
10. R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966. MR 36 #5145
11. B. Keller, *Deriving DG categories*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **27** (1994), no. 1, 63–102. MR 1258406 (95e:18010)
12. S. Nasseh and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Geometric aspects of representation theory for DG algebras: answering a question of Vasconcelos*, preprint (2012), [arXiv:1201.0037](https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.0037).
13. J.-L. Verdier, *Catégories dérivées*, SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ , Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569, pp. 262–311. MR 57 #3132
14. ———, *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes*, Astérisque (1996), no. 239, xii+253 pp. (1997), With a preface by Luc Illusie, Edited and with a note by Georges Maltsiniotis. MR 98c:18007
15. C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR 1269324 (95f:18001)

SAEED NASSEH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GEORGIA SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY, STATESBORO, GEORGIA 30460, USA

*E-mail address:* [snasseh@georgiasouthern.edu](mailto:snasseh@georgiasouthern.edu)

*URL:* <https://cosm.georgiasouthern.edu/math/saeed.nasseh>

SEAN SATHER-WAGSTAFF, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, O-110 MARTIN HALL, BOX 340975, CLEMSON, S.C. 29634, USA

*E-mail address:* [ssather@clermson.edu](mailto:ssather@clermson.edu)

*URL:* <https://ssather.people.clemson.edu/>