

# Operational calculus for holonomic distributions in the framework of $D$ -module theory

Toshinori Oaku

## Abstract

Let  $f$  be a real polynomial of  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\varphi$  be a locally integrable function of  $x$  which satisfies a holonomic system of linear differential equations. We study the distribution  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  with a meromorphic parameter  $\lambda$ , especially its Laurent expansion and integration, from an algorithmic viewpoint in the framework of  $D$ -module theory.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $f$  be a non-constant real polynomial in  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\varphi$  be a locally integrable function on an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\varphi$  can be regarded as a distribution (generalized function in the sense of L. Schwartz) on  $U$ . We assume that there exists a left ideal  $I$  of the ring  $D_n$  of differential operators with polynomial coefficients in  $x$  which annihilates  $\varphi$  on  $U_f := \{x \in U \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ , i.e.,  $P\varphi$  vanishes on  $U_f$  for any  $P \in I$ . Moreover, we assume that  $M := D_n/I$  is a holonomic  $D_n$ -module. In this situation,  $\varphi$  is called a (locally integrable) holonomic function or a holonomic distribution.

Let us consider the distribution  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  on  $U$  with a holomorphic parameter  $\lambda$ . This distribution can be analytically extended to a distribution-valued meromorphic function of  $\lambda$  on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Such a distribution was systematically studied by Kashiwara and Kawai in [2] with  $f$  being, more generally, a real-valued real analytic function. Their investigation was focused on a special case where  $M$  has regular singularities but most of the arguments work without this assumption.

The main purpose of this article is to give algorithms to compute

1. A holonomic system for the distribution  $f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi$  with  $\lambda_0$  not being a pole of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ .

2. A holonomic system for each coefficient of the Laurent series of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  about an arbitrary point.
3. Difference equations for the local zeta function  $Z(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+^\lambda \varphi dx$ .

As was pointed out in [2], an answer to the first problem provides us with an algorithm to compute a holonomic system for the product of two locally  $L^2$  holonomic functions. Note that the product does not necessarily satisfies the tensor product of the two holonomic systems for both functions.

In Section 2, we review the theoretical properties of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  mostly following Kashiwara [1] and Kashiwara and Kawai [2] in the analytic category; i.e, under a weaker assumption that  $f$  is a real-valued real analytic function and that  $\varphi$  satisfies a holonomic system of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients.

In Section 3, we give algorithms to computes holonomic systems considered in Section 2. As a byproduct, we obtain an algorithm to compute difference equations for the local zeta function, which was outlined in [4].

## 2 Theoretical background

Let  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  be the sheaf on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of linear partial differential operators with holomorphic coefficients, which is generated by the derivations  $\partial_j = \partial_{x_j} = \partial/\partial x_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) over the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  of rings of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , with the coordinate system  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}b$  the sheaf on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the Schwartz distributions. Assume that  $f = f(x)$  is a nonzero real-valued real analytic function defined on an open connected set  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a locally integrable function on  $U$ . Then  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  is also locally integrable on  $U$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Re } \lambda \geq 0$ , where  $f_+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module defined on an open set  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $U \subset \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that a distribution  $\varphi$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $U$  if there exist a section  $u$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $U$  and a  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -linear homomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n} u \rightarrow \mathcal{D}b$  defined on  $U$  such that  $\Phi(u) = \varphi$ . As a matter of fact, we have only to assume that  $\varphi$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $U_f := \{x \in U \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$  and that  $\mathcal{M}$  is holonomic on  $\Omega_f := \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

### 2.1 Fundamental lemmas

Under the assumptions above,  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{D}b(U)$ -valued holomorphic function of  $\lambda$  on the right half-plane

$$\mathbb{C}_+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re } \lambda > 0\}.$$

In other words, let  $\mathcal{ODb}$  be the sheaf on  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (\lambda, x)$  of distributions with a holomorphic parameter  $\lambda$ . Then  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  belongs to

$$\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times U) = \left\{ v(\lambda, x) \in \mathcal{D}b(\mathbb{C}_+ \times U) \mid \frac{\partial v}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $s$  be an indeterminate corresponding to  $\lambda$ . The following lemma (Lemma 2.9 of [2]) plays an essential role in the following arguments.

**Lemma 2.1 (Kashiwara-Kawai [2])** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $V := \mathbb{R}^n \cap \Omega$  is non-empty. Assume  $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\Omega)[s]$  and  $P(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi) = 0$  holds in  $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V_f)$  with  $V_f := \{x \in V \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $P(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi) = 0$  holds in  $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$ .*

Let us generalize this lemma slightly. For a positive integer  $m$ , let us define a section  $f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^m \varphi$  of the sheaf  $\mathcal{ODb}$  on  $\mathbb{C}_+ \times U$  by

$$\langle f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^m \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\{x \in U \mid f(x) > 0\}} \varphi(x) f(x)^\lambda (\log f(x))^m \varphi(x) \psi(x) dx \quad (\forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(U)),$$

where  $C_0^\infty(U)$  denotes the space of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $U$  with compact supports. In fact,  $f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^m \varphi$  is the  $m$ -th derivative of the distribution  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  with respect to  $\lambda$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $V := \mathbb{R}^n \cap \Omega$  is non-empty. Let  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_m$  be locally integrable functions on  $V$ . Assume  $P_k(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(\Omega)[s]$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ) and*

$$\sum_{k=0}^m P_k(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k) = 0 \tag{1}$$

*holds in  $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V_f)$ . Then (1) holds in  $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$ .*

*Proof:* We follow the argument of the proof of Lemma 2.9 in [2]. Let  $\phi$  belong to  $C_0^\infty(V)$  with  $K := \text{supp } \phi$ . Let  $\chi(t)$  be a  $C^\infty$  function of a variable  $t$  such that  $\chi(t) = 1$  for  $|t| \leq 1/2$  and  $\chi(t) = 0$  for  $|t| \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^m P_k(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k), \phi \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^m P_k(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k), \chi\left(\frac{f}{\tau}\right) \phi \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \int_V f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k \chi\left(\frac{f}{\tau}\right) P_k(\lambda) \phi dx \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\tau > 0$ , where  ${}^tP_k(\lambda)$  denotes the adjoint operator of  $P_k(\lambda)$ . Let  $m_k$  be the order of  $P_k(s)$  and  $d_k$  be the degree of  $P_k(s)$  in  $s$ . Then there exist constants  $C_k$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in K} \left| {}^tP_k(\lambda) \left( \chi \left( \frac{f(x)}{\tau} \right) \phi(x) \right) \right| \leq C_k (1 + |\lambda|)^{d_k} \tau^{-m_k} \quad (0 < \forall \tau < 1).$$

Assume  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \max\{m_k + 1 \mid 0 \leq k \leq m\}$  and  $0 < \tau < 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_V f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k {}^tP_k(\lambda) \left( \chi \left( \frac{f}{\tau} \right) \phi \right) dx \right| \\ & \leq C_k (1 + |\lambda|)^{d_k} \tau^{-m_k} \int_{\{x \in V \mid 0 < f(x) \leq \tau\}} |f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k(x)| dx \\ & \leq k! C_k (1 + |\lambda|)^{d_k} \tau^{\operatorname{Re} \lambda - m_k - 1} \int_{\{x \in V \mid 0 < f(x) \leq \tau\}} |\varphi_k(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

since  $|\log t|^k \leq k! t^{-1}$  holds for  $0 < t < 1$ . This implies

$$\left\langle \sum_{k=0}^m P_k(\lambda) (f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k), \phi \right\rangle = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +0} \sum_{k=0}^m \int_V f_+^\lambda (\log f_+)^k \varphi_k {}^tP_k(\lambda) \left( \chi \left( \frac{f}{\tau} \right) \phi \right) dx = 0.$$

The assertion of the lemma follows from the uniqueness of analytic continuation.  $\square$

## 2.2 Generalized $b$ -function and analytic continuation

We assume that there exists on  $\Omega$  a sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  of coherent left ideals of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  which annihilates  $\varphi$  on  $U_f = \{x \in U \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ , namely,  $P\varphi = 0$  holds on  $W \cap U_f$  for any section  $P$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  on an open set  $W$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We set  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathcal{I}$  and denote by  $u$  the residue class of  $1 \in \mathcal{D}_X$  modulo  $\mathcal{I}$ . In the sequel, we assume that  $\mathcal{M}$  is holonomic on  $\Omega_f = \{z \in \Omega \mid f(z) \neq 0\}$ , i.e., that  $\operatorname{Char}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \pi^{-1}(\Omega_f)$  is of dimension  $n$ , where  $\operatorname{Char}(\mathcal{M})$  denotes the characteristic variety of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\pi : T^*\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is the canonical projection.

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s]f^s$  be the free  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s]$ -module generated by the symbol  $f^s$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  has a natural structure of left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module induced by the derivation  $\partial_i f^s = s(\partial f / \partial x_i) f^{-1} f^s$ . Let us consider the tensor product  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -modules, which has a natural structure of left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module.

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $v$  and  $P(s)$  be sections of  $\mathcal{M}$  and of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  respectively on an open subset of  $\Omega$ . Then  $P(s)(f^s \otimes v) = 0$  holds in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $(f^{m-s} P(s) f^s)(1 \otimes v) = 0$  holds in  $\mathbb{C}[s] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}$  for a sufficiently large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

Proof: Set  $\mathcal{M}[s] = \mathbb{C}[s] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}$ , which has a natural structure of left module over  $\mathbb{C}[s] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$  as left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module. Let  $v$  be a section of  $\mathcal{M}[s]$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s]$  as  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module,  $f^s \otimes v$  vanishes in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$  if and only if  $1 \otimes v$  vanishes in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$ . First, let us show that this happens if and only if  $f^m v = 0$  in  $\mathcal{M}[s]$  with some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\rho : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}]$  be the homomorphism defined by  $\rho(h(s, t)) = h(s, f^{-1})$  for  $h(s, t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t]$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the kernel of  $\rho$ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s] \xrightarrow{\rho \otimes \text{id}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $1 \otimes v$  vanishes in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$  if and only if there exists  $h(s, t) = \sum_{k=0}^m h_k(s) t^k \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $1 \otimes v = h(s, t) \otimes v$  holds in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$ , which is equivalent to  $h_k(s)v = \delta_{0k}v$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ) since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, t]$  is free over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ . On the other hand,  $\sum_{k=0}^m h_k(s) f^{-k} = \rho(h(s, t)) = 0$  implies

$$0 = f^m h_0(s)v + f^{m-1} h_1(s)v + \dots + f h_{m-1}(s)v + h_m(s)v = f^m v.$$

Conversely, if  $f^m v = 0$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we have  $1 \otimes v = f^{-m} \otimes f^m v = 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $P(s)$  be a section of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  of order  $m$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\partial_i(f^s \otimes v) = f^{s-1} \otimes (s f_i + f \partial_i)v = f^{s-1} \otimes (f^{1-s} \partial_i f^s)v$$

holds in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$  with  $f_i = \partial f / \partial x_i$ . This allows us to show that

$$P(s)(f^s \otimes v) = f^{s-m} \otimes (f^{m-s} P(s) f^s)v$$

holds in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$ . (Note that  $f^{m-s} P(s) f^s$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ .) Summing up, we have shown that  $P(s)(f^s \otimes v)$  vanishes in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]} \mathcal{M}[s]$  if and only if  $(f^{l-s} P(s) f^s)v$  vanishes in  $\mathcal{M}[s]$  for some  $l \geq m$ .  $\square$

Lemma 2.3 with  $P(s) = 1$  immediately implies

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $\mathcal{M}[f^{-1}] := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$  be the localization of  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $f$ , which has a natural structure of left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module. Then the natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}[f^{-1}]$  is injective.*

**Proposition 2.5** *Let  $P(s)$  be a section of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  on an open set  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and suppose  $P(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$ . Set  $V = U \cap \Omega$ . Then  $P(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi) = 0$  holds in  $\mathcal{O}Db(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$ .*

Proof: Let  $\mathcal{O}_{+\infty}\mathcal{D}b$  be the sheaf on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  associated with the presheaf

$$W \longmapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{O}\mathcal{D}b(\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > a\} \times W)$$

for every open set  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where the inductive limit is taken as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear sheaf homomorphism

$$\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{M} \ni (a(s)f^{s-m}, Pu) \longmapsto (a(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda-m})P\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{+\infty}\mathcal{D}b$$

with  $a(s) \in \mathcal{O}_X[s]$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{D}_X$ , which is well-defined and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -balanced on  $V_f$  since  $f_+^{\lambda-m}$  is real analytic there, induces a  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -linear homomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{+\infty}\mathcal{D}b$$

on  $V_f$  such that  $\Psi(a(s)f^{s-m} \otimes Pu) = a(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda-m}P\varphi$ . In particular, if  $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  satisfies  $P(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$ , then  $P(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda\varphi) = 0$  holds in  $\mathcal{O}_{+\infty}\mathcal{D}b(V_f)$ , hence also in  $\mathcal{O}_{+\infty}\mathcal{D}b(V)$  by Lemma 2.1. Since  $f_+^\lambda\varphi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{D}b(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$ , it follows that  $P(f_+^\lambda\varphi) = 0$  holds in  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{D}b(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Kashiwara proved in [1] (Theorem 2.7) that on a neighborhood of each point  $p$  of  $\Omega$ , there exist nonzero  $b(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$  and  $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  such that

$$P(s)(f^{s+1} \otimes u) = b(s)f^s \otimes u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}.$$

Such  $b(s)$  of the smallest degree  $b(s) = b_p(s)$  is called the (generalized)  $b$ -function for  $f$  and  $u$  at  $p$ .

Assume  $p \in U$ . Then by the proposition above,

$$P(\lambda)(f_+^{\lambda+1}\varphi) = b(\lambda)f_+^\lambda\varphi$$

holds in  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{D}b(\mathbb{C}_+ \times V)$  with an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$ . It follows that  $f_+^\lambda\varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{D}b(V)$ -valued meromorphic function of  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is easy to see that we can replace  $V$  by an arbitrary relatively compact subset of  $U$ . The poles of  $f_+^\lambda\varphi$  are contained in

$$\{\lambda - k \mid b_p(\lambda) = 0 \ (\exists p \in V), k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.6 (Lemma 2.10 of [2])** *There exists a positive real number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $f_+^\lambda\varphi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{D}b(\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\varepsilon\} \times U)$ .*

Proof: Let  $\lambda_0$  be an arbitrary pole of  $f_+^\lambda\varphi$ . There exists  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(U)$  such that  $\lambda_0$  is a pole of  $Z(\lambda) := \langle f_+^\lambda\varphi, \psi \rangle$ . In particular,  $|Z(\lambda_0 + t)|$  tends to infinity as  $t \rightarrow +0$ . On the other hand,  $Z(\lambda)$  is continuous on  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}$ . This implies  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 < 0$ . The conclusion follows since there are at most a finite number of poles of  $f_+^\lambda\varphi$  in the set  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -1\}$ .  $\square$

In conclusion,  $f_+^\lambda\varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{D}b(U)$ -valued meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  whose poles are contained in  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}$ .

## 2.3 Holonomicity of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ and its applications

Let  $f, \varphi, \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathcal{I}$  be as in the preceding subsection. Let  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s](f^s \otimes u)$  be the left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$  generated by  $f^s \otimes u$ . Theorem 2.5 of Kashiwara [1] guarantees that  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} := \mathcal{N}/(s - \lambda_0)\mathcal{N}$  is a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module on  $\Omega$  for any  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.7** *Let  $\lambda_0$  be an arbitrary complex number and  $f^{\lambda_0} \otimes \varphi$  the residue class of  $f^s \otimes u \in \mathcal{N}$  modulo  $(s - \lambda_0)\mathcal{N}$ .*

1.  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$  as  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module on  $\Omega_f$ .
2. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $f$ -saturated, i.e., if  $fv = 0$  with  $v \in \mathcal{M}$  implies  $v = 0$ , then there is a surjective  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -homomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\Phi(f^0 \otimes u) = u$ . Moreover,  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism on  $\Omega_f$ .

Proof: Since  $\mathcal{M}[f^{-1}] = \mathcal{M}$  on  $\Omega_f$ , we may assume that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $f$ -saturated. In view of Lemma 2.3 and the definition of  $\mathcal{N}_0$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  annihilates  $f^0 \otimes u$  if and only if there exist  $Q(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  and an integer  $m \geq \text{ord } Q(s)$  such that  $(f^{m-s}Q(s)f^s)(1 \otimes u) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{M}[s]$  and  $P = Q(0)$ . If there exist such  $Q(s)$  and  $m$ , set

$$f^{m-s}Q(s)f^s = Q_0 + Q_1s + \cdots + Q_ms^m \quad (Q_i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}).$$

Then  $Q_i u = 0$  holds for any  $i$ . In particular,  $Q_0 = f^m P$  annihilates  $u$ . This implies  $Pu = 0$  since  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $f$ -saturated. Hence the homomorphism  $\Phi$  is well-defined.

Now assume  $f \neq 0$  and  $Pu = 0$ . Then  $Q(s) := f^s P f^{-s}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  and annihilates  $f^s \otimes u$  by Lemma 2.3. Hence  $P = Q(0)$  annihilates  $f^0 \otimes u$ . This implies that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism on  $\Omega_f$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8** *If  $\lambda_0$  is not a pole of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ , then  $f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}$ .*

Proof: Assume that  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is not a pole of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$ . Let  $P$  be a section of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  which annihilates  $f^{\lambda_0} \otimes u$ . Then there exist  $Q(s), R(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  such that

$$P = Q(s) + (s - \lambda_0)R(s), \quad Q(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{N}.$$

Proposition 2.5 implies that  $Q(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda \varphi)$  vanishes as section of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}Db$ . In particular,  $P(f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi) = Q(\lambda_0)(f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi) = 0$  holds as distribution. Thus the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(f^{\lambda_0} \otimes u) \ni P(f^{\lambda_0} \otimes u) \longmapsto P(f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi) \in \mathcal{D}b$$

is well-defined and  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -linear. Hence  $f_+^{\lambda_0} \varphi$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}$ .  $\square$

The following two theorems are essentially due to Kashiwara and Kawai [2] although they are stated with additional assumptions and stronger results.

**Theorem 2.9**  $\varphi$  is a solution of the holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module  $\mathcal{N}_0$ .

Proof: First note that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s](-f)^s$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s]f^s$  as left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module since  $\partial_i(-f)^s = sf_i f^{-1}(-f)^s$  holds in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[f^{-1}, s](-f)^s$  with  $f_i = \partial f / \partial x_i$ . Assume that  $P(f^0 \otimes u) = 0$  holds in  $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{N}/s\mathcal{N}$ . Then there exist  $Q(s), R(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  such that

$$P = Q(s) + sR(s), \quad Q(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{N}.$$

Let  $\theta(t)$  be the Heaviside function; i.e.,  $\theta(t) = 1$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\theta(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ . Then we have  $\theta(f) = f_+^0$  and  $\theta(-f) = (-f)_+^0$ . Theorem 2.8 implies that  $P = Q(0)$  annihilates both  $\theta(f)\varphi$  and  $\theta(-f)\varphi$ , and hence also  $\varphi = \theta(f)\varphi + \theta(-f)\varphi$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{N}_0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10** Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be locally  $L^p$  and  $L^q$  functions respectively on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Assume that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are solutions of holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -modules  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  respectively on  $U$ . Then for any point  $x_0$  of  $U$ , there exists a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  on a neighborhood of  $x_0$  of which the product  $\varphi_1\varphi_2$  is a solution.

Proof: There exist analytic functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  on a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x_0$  such that the singular support (the projection of the characteristic variety minus the zero section) of  $\mathcal{M}_k$  is contained in  $f_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Set  $f(z) = f_1(z)\overline{f_1(\bar{z})}f_2(z)\overline{f_2(\bar{z})}$ . Then  $f(x)$  is a real-valued real analytic function and  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are real analytic on  $V_f$ . Then it is easy to see, in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.8, that  $\varphi_1\varphi_2$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}_2$  on  $V_f$ . To complete the proof, we have only to apply Theorem 2.9 to  $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}_2$  and  $f$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 Laurent coefficients of $f_+^\lambda \varphi$

Let  $f, \varphi, \mathcal{M}$  be as in preceding subsections.

**Theorem 2.11** Let  $p$  be a point of  $U$ . Then each coefficient of the Laurent expansion of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  about an arbitrary  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  is a solution of a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module on a common neighborhood of  $p$ .

Proof: Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 + m > 0$ . By using the functional equation involving the generalized  $b$ -function, we can find a nonzero  $b(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$  and a germ  $P(s)$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  at  $p$  such that

$$b(\lambda)f_+^\lambda \varphi = P(\lambda)(f_+^{\lambda+m} \varphi).$$



Factor  $b(s)$  as  $b(s) = (s - \lambda_0)^l c(s)$  with  $c(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$  such that  $c(\lambda_0) \neq 0$  and an integer  $l \geq 0$ . Then we have

$$(\lambda - \lambda_0)^l f_+^\lambda \varphi = \frac{1}{c(\lambda)} P(\lambda) (f_+^{\lambda+m} \varphi).$$

The right-hand side is holomorphic in  $\lambda$  on an neighborhood of  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ . Let

$$f_+^\lambda \varphi = \sum_{k=-l}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k \varphi_k$$

be the Laurent expansion with  $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}b(U)$ , which is given by

$$\varphi_k = \frac{1}{(l+k)!} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{\partial^{l+k}}{\partial \lambda^{l+k}} ((\lambda - \lambda_0)^l f_+^\lambda \varphi) = \frac{1}{(l+k)!} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{\partial^{l+k}}{\partial \lambda^{l+k}} \left( \frac{1}{c(\lambda)} P(\lambda) (f_+^{\lambda+m} \varphi) \right).$$

Hence there exist  $Q_{kj} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  such that

$$\varphi_k = \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_{kj} (f_+^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f_+)^j \varphi). \quad (2)$$

First let us show that  $f_+^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f_+)^j \varphi$  with  $0 \leq j \leq k$  satisfy a holonomic system. Consider the free  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}]$ -module

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] f^s \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] f^s \log f \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s, f^{-1}] f^s (\log f)^2 \oplus \cdots,$$

which has a natural structure of left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module. Let

$$\mathcal{N}[k] := \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s] (f^s \otimes u) + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s] ((f^s \log f) \otimes u) + \cdots + \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s] ((f^s (\log f)^k) \otimes u)$$

be the left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -submodule of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}} \mathcal{M}$  generated by  $(f^s (\log f)^j) \otimes u$  with  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{N}[k]/\mathcal{N}[k-1]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}[0]$  as left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$ -module since

$$P(s) ((f^s (\log f)^k) \otimes u) \equiv (f^{s-m} (\log f)^k) \otimes (f^{m-s} P(s) f^s) u \quad \text{mod } \mathcal{N}[k-1]$$

holds for any  $P(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  with  $m = \text{ord } P(s)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}[k] := \mathcal{N}[k]/(s - \lambda_0)\mathcal{N}[k]$  is a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module since  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}[k]/\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}[k-1]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}[0]$ , and hence is holonomic as left  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module.

Let  $(f^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f)^j) \otimes u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0+m}[k]$  be the residue class of  $(f^s (\log f)^j) \otimes u$  modulo  $(s - \lambda_0 - m)\mathcal{N}[k]$ . Suppose  $\sum_{j=0}^k P_j ((f^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f)^j) \otimes u)$  vanishes in  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0+m}[k]$  with  $P_j$  being a section of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  on an open neighborhood of a point  $p$  of  $U$ . Then there exist  $Q_j(s) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[s]$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k P_j ((f^s (\log f)^j) \otimes u) = (s - \lambda_0 - m) \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j(s) ((f^s (\log f)^j) \otimes u)$$

holds in  $\mathcal{N}[k]$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k P_j(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda(\log f_+)^j \varphi) = (\lambda - \lambda_0 - m) \sum_{j=0}^k Q_j(\lambda)(f_+^\lambda(\log f_+)^j \varphi) \quad (3)$$

holds in  $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times W_f)$  with an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $p$ . Lemma 2.2 and analytic continuation imply that (3) holds in  $\mathcal{ODb}(\mathbb{C}_+ \times W)$ . Hence we have in  $\mathcal{Db}(W)$

$$\sum_{j=0}^k P_j((f_+^{\lambda_0+m}(\log f_+)^j \varphi) = 0.$$

In conclusion, with  $k$  replaced by  $l+k$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -homomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0+m}[l+k] \rightarrow \mathcal{Db}$  such that

$$\Phi((f_+^{\lambda_0+m}(\log f_+)^j \otimes u) = f_+^{\lambda_0+m}(\log f_+)^j \quad (0 \leq j \leq l+k).$$

Set

$$w := \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_{kj}((f_+^{\lambda_0+m}(\log f_+)^j \otimes u), \quad \mathcal{M}_k := \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n} w.$$

Then  $\mathcal{M}_k$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0+m}[l+k]$  and hence holonomic. Since  $\Phi(w) = \varphi_k$  in view of (2),  $\varphi_k$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{M}_k$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Algorithms

We give algorithms for computing holonomic systems introduced in the previous section assuming that  $f$  is a real polynomial and that  $\mathcal{M}$  is algebraic, i.e., defined by differential operators with polynomial coefficients. Let  $D_n := \mathbb{C}\langle x, \partial \rangle = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$  be the ring of differential operators with polynomial coefficients with  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ . The ring  $D_n$  is also called the  $n$ -th Weyl algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In the sequel, let  $f$  be a non-constant real polynomial of  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\varphi$  be a locally integrable function on an open connected set  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We assume that there exists a left ideal  $I$  of  $D_n$  which annihilates  $\varphi$  on  $U_f$ , i.e.,  $P\varphi = 0$  holds on  $U_f$  for any  $P \in I$ , such that  $M := D_n/I$  is a holonomic  $D_n$ -module. We denote by  $u$  the residue class of  $1 \in D_n$  modulo  $I$ . Let  $L = \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s]f^s$  be the free  $\mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s]$ -module generated by  $f^s$ , which has a natural structure of left  $D_n[s]$ -module. Let  $N := D_n[s](f^s \otimes u)$  be the left  $D_n$ -submodule of  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$  generated by  $f^s \otimes u$ .

As was established in the previous section,  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{Db}(U)$ -valued meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  and is a solution of  $N$ .

### 3.1 Mellin transform

Let us assume that  $\varphi$  is real analytic on  $U_f$  and set

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_+^\lambda \delta(t - f(x)) \varphi(x) dt.$$

This is well-defined and coincides with  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  as a distribution on  $U_f \times \mathbb{C}_+$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_+^\lambda \delta(t - f(x)) \varphi(x) dt &= \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda + 1), \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_+^\lambda \partial_t (\delta(t - f(x)) \varphi(x)) dt &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_t (t_+^\lambda) \delta(t - f(x)) \varphi(x) dt = -\lambda \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $D_{n+1} = D_n \langle t, \partial_t \rangle$  be the  $(n+1)$ -th Weyl algebra with  $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ . Let us consider the ring  $D_n \langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$  of difference-differential operators with the shift operator  $E_s : s \mapsto s+1$ , where  $s$  is an indeterminate corresponding to  $\lambda$ . In view of the identities above, let us define the ring homomorphism (Mellin transform of operators)

$$\mu : D_{n+1} \longrightarrow D_n \langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$$

by

$$\mu(t) = E_s, \quad \mu(\partial_t) = -sE_s^{-1}, \quad \mu(x_j) = x_j, \quad \mu(\partial_{x_j}) = \partial_{x_j}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu$  is well-defined and injective since  $[\partial_t, t] = [\mu(\partial_t), \mu(t)] = 1$ . Hence we may regard  $D_{n+1}$  as a subring of  $D_n \langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$ . Since  $\mu(\partial_t t) = -s$ , we can also regard  $D_n[s]$  as a subring of  $D_{n+1}$ . Thus we have inclusions

$$D_n[s] \subset D_{n+1} \subset D_n \langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$$

of rings and  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$  has a structure of left  $D_n \langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$ -module compatible with that of left  $D_n[s]$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of the  $\mathcal{D}b(U)$ -valued meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  has a natural structure of left  $D_n \langle s, E_s, E_s^{-1} \rangle$ -module, which is compatible with that of  $D_n[s]$ -module. In particular, we can regard  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  as a left  $D_{n+1}$ -module.

### 3.2 Computation of $N = D_n[s](f^s \otimes u)$

The inclusion  $D_{n+1}f^s \subset L = \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s]f^s$  induces a natural  $D_{n+1}$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{n+1}f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M & \xrightarrow{\iota} & L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M \\ \cup & & \cup \\ N' & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & N \end{array}$$

where  $N'$  is the left  $D_n[s]$ -submodule of  $D_{n+1}f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$  generated by  $f^s \otimes u$  and  $N$  is the left  $D_n[s]$ -submodule of  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$  generated by  $f^s \otimes u$ . The homomorphism  $\iota$  induces a surjective  $D_n[s]$ -homomorphism  $\iota' : N' \rightarrow N$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *The homomorphism  $\iota$  is injective if and only if  $M$  is  $f$ -saturated; i.e., the homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is injective.*

Proof: First note that  $D_{n+1}f^s$  is isomorphic to the first local cohomology group  $\mathbb{C}[x, t, (t-f)^{-1}]/\mathbb{C}[x, t]$  of  $\mathbb{C}[x, t]$  supported in the non-singular hypersurface  $t - f(x) = 0$  since

$$(t-f)f^s = 0, \quad (\partial_{x_i} + f_i \partial_t)f^s = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

In particular,  $D_{n+1}f^s$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -module generated by  $\partial_t^j f^s$  with  $j \geq 0$ . Hence an arbitrary element  $w$  of  $D_{n+1}f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$  is uniquely written in the form

$$w = \sum_{j=0}^k (\partial_t^j f^s) \otimes u_j$$

with  $u_j \in M$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\iota(w) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j s(s-1) \cdots (s-j+1) f^{s-j} \otimes u_j$$

vanishes if and only if  $f^{s-j} \otimes u_j = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $f^{m_j} u_j = 0$  with some  $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$  by Lemma 2.3, for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{M}$  be the left  $D_n$ -submodule of the localization  $M[f^{-1}] := \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$  which is generated by  $1 \otimes u$ . Then  $\tilde{M}$  is  $f$ -saturated and the natural homomorphism

$$L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M \longrightarrow L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} \tilde{M}$$

is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.3.

An algorithm to compute  $M[f^{-1}]$  was presented in [7] under the assumption that  $M$  is holonomic on  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{f=0\}$ . It provides us with an algorithm to compute  $\tilde{M}$ , i.e., the annihilator of  $1 \otimes u \in M[f^{-1}]$ . Hence we may assume, from the beginning, that  $M$  is holonomic and  $f$ -saturated. Then  $\iota' : N' \rightarrow N$  is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.1. The  $f$ -saturatedness is equivalent to the vanishing of the zeroth local cohomology group of  $M$  with support in  $f=0$ , which can be computed by algorithms presented in [3],[8],[6].

Thus we have only to give an algorithm to compute the structure of  $N'$  assuming  $M$  to be  $f$ -saturated. We follow an argument introduced by Walther [8]. Note that we gave in [3] an algorithm based on tensor product computation which is less efficient.

**Definition 3.2** For a differential operator  $P = P(x, \partial) \in D_n$ , set

$$\tau(P) := P(x, \partial_{x_1} + f_1 \partial_t, \dots, \partial_{x_n} + f_n \partial_t) \in D_{n+1}$$

with  $f_j = \partial f / \partial x_j$ . This substitution is well-defined since the operators  $\partial_{x_j} + f_j \partial_t$  commute with one another and  $[\partial_{x_j} + f_j \partial_t, x_i] = \delta_{ij}$  holds.

Moreover, for a left ideal  $I$  of  $D_{n+1}$ , let  $\tau(I)$  be the left ideal of  $D_n$  which is generated by the set  $\{\tau(P) \mid P \in I\}$ .

**Lemma 3.3**  $\tau(P)(f^s \otimes v) = f^s \otimes (Pv)$  holds in  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$  for any  $P \in D_n$  and  $v \in M$ .

Proof: By the definition of the action of  $D_{n+1}$  on  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$  via the Mellin transform, we have

$$(\partial_{x_j} + f_j \partial_t)(f^s \otimes v) = s f^{-1} f_j f^s \otimes v + f^s \otimes (\partial_{x_j} v) - s f_j f^{-1} f^s \otimes v = f^s \otimes (\partial_{x_j} v).$$

This implies the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4** Let  $I$  be a left ideal of  $D_n$  and set  $M = D_n/I$  with  $u \in M$  being the residue class of 1 modulo  $I$ . Let  $J$  be the left ideal of  $D_{n+1}$  which is generated by  $\tau(I) \cup \{t - f(x)\}$ . Then  $J$  coincides with the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_{D_{n+1}}(f^s \otimes u)$  of  $f^s \otimes u \in D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ .

Proof: We have only to show that for  $P \in D_{n+1}$  the equivalence

$$P \in J \iff P(f^s \otimes u) = 0 \text{ in } D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M.$$

Suppose  $Q$  belongs to  $J$ . Then  $P$  annihilates  $f^s \otimes u$  by Lemma 3.3.

Conversely, suppose  $P(f^s \otimes u) = 0$  in  $D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ . We can rewrite  $P$  in the form

$$P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{N}} p_{\alpha, \nu}(x) \left( \partial_{x_1} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \partial_t \right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left( \partial_{x_n} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \partial_t \right)^{\alpha_n} \partial_t^\nu + Q \cdot (t - f(x))$$

with  $p_{\alpha, \nu}(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $Q \in D_{n+1}$ . Setting  $P_\nu := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} p_{\alpha, \nu}(x) \partial_x^\alpha$ , we get

$$0 = P(f^s \otimes u) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\partial_t^\nu f^s) \otimes P_\nu u \in D_{n+1} f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M.$$

It follows that each  $P_\nu$  belongs to  $I$  since  $\{\partial_t^\nu f^s\}$  constitutes a free basis of  $D_{n+1} f^s$  over  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . Hence we have

$$P = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \partial_t^\nu \tau(P_\nu) + Q \cdot (t - f(x)) \in J.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

In order to compute the structure of the  $D_n[s]$ -submodule  $N' = D_n[s](f^s \otimes u)$  of  $D_{n+1}f^s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ , we have only to compute the annihilator

$$\text{Ann}_{D_n[s]}(f^s \otimes u) = D_n[s] \cap J,$$

where we regard  $D_n[s]$  as a subring of  $D_{n+1}$ . This can be done as follows:

Introducing new variables  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , for  $P \in D_{n+1}$ , let  $h(P) \in D_{n+1}[\tau]$  be the homogenization of  $P$  with respect to the weights

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{x_j} & \overline{\partial_{x_j}} & \overline{t} & \overline{\partial_t} & \overline{\tau} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{array}$$

Let  $J'$  be the left ideal of  $D_{n+1}[\sigma, \tau]$  generated by

$$\{h(P) \mid P \in \tilde{G}\} \cup \{1 - \sigma\tau\},$$

where  $\tilde{G}$  is a set of generators of  $J$ .

Set  $J'' = J' \cap D_{n+1}$ . Since each element  $P$  of  $J''$  is homogeneous with respect to the above weights, there exists  $P'(s) \in D_n[s]$  such that  $P = SP'(-\partial_t t)$  with  $S = t^\nu$  or  $S = \partial_t^\nu$  with some integer  $\nu \geq 0$ . We set  $P'(s) = \psi(P)(s)$ . Then  $\{\psi(P) \mid P \in J''\}$  generates the left ideal  $J \cap D_n[s]$  of  $D_n[s]$ . This procedure can be done by using a Gröbner basis in  $D_{n+1}[\sigma, \tau]$ . In conclusion, we have a set of generators of  $J \cap D_n[s]$ . Then  $N'$ , and hence  $N$  also if  $M$  is  $f$ -saturated, is isomorphic to  $D_n[s]/(J \cap D_n[s])$  as left  $D_n[s]$ -module.

The generalized  $b$ -function for  $f$  and  $u$  can be computed as the generator of the ideal

$$\mathbb{C}[s] \cap (\text{Ann}_{D_n[s]} f^s \otimes u + D_n[s]f)$$

of  $\mathbb{C}[s]$  by elimination via Gröbner basis computation in  $D_n[s]$ .

### 3.3 Holonomic systems for the Laurent coefficients of

$$f_+^\lambda \varphi$$

Let  $\lambda_0$  be an arbitrary complex number. Our purpose is to compute a holonomic system of which each coefficient of the Laurent expansion of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  is a solution.

Take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Re } \lambda_0 + m > 0$ . Let  $b_0(s)$  be the  $b$ -function of  $f$  and  $u$ . We can find a  $P_0(s) \in D_n[s]$  such that

$$P_0(s)(f^{s+1} \otimes u) = b_0(s)f^s \otimes u$$

holds in  $N$  by, e.g., syzygy computation. By using this functional equation, we can find a nonzero polynomial  $b(s)$  and  $P(s) \in D_n[s]$  such that

$$b(\lambda)f_+^\lambda = P(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda+m}.$$

In fact, we have only to set

$$P(s) := P_0(s)P_0(s+1)\cdots P_0(s+m-1), \quad b(s) := b_0(s)b_0(s+1)\cdots b_0(s+m-1).$$

Factorize  $b(s)$  as  $b(s) = c(s)(s - \lambda_0)^l$  with  $c(\lambda_0) \neq 0$ . Then  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  has a Laurent expansion of the form

$$f_+^\lambda \varphi = \sum_{k=-l}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k \varphi_k$$

around  $\lambda_0$ , where  $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}b(U)$  is given by

$$\varphi_k = \frac{1}{(l+k)!} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{l+k} (c(\lambda)^{-1} P(\lambda) f_+^{\lambda+m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_{kj} (f_+^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f)^j)$$

with

$$Q_{kj} := \frac{1}{j!(l+k-j)!} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{l+k-j} (c(\lambda)^{-1} P(\lambda)) \right]_{\lambda=\lambda_0}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{L} = \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s] f^s \oplus \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s] f^s \log f \oplus \mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s] f^s (\log f)^2 \oplus \cdots$$

be the free  $\mathbb{C}[x, f^{-1}, s]$ -module with a natural structure of left  $D_n \langle s, \partial_s \rangle$ -module. Consider the left  $D_n[s]$ -submodule

$$N[k] = D_n[s](f^s \otimes u) + D_n[s]((f^s \log f) \otimes u) + \cdots + D_n[s]((f^s (\log f)^k) \otimes u)$$

of  $\tilde{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ . For a complex number  $\lambda_0$ , set

$$N_{\lambda_0}[k] = N[k]/(s - \lambda_0)N[k].$$

Let us first give an algorithm to compute the structure of  $N[k]$ .

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $G_0$  be a set of generators of the annihilator  $\text{Ann}_{D_n[s]}(f^s \otimes u) = J \cap D_n[s]$ . Let  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_{k+1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ . For each  $Q(s) \in G_0$  and an integer  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq k$ , set*

$$Q^{(j)}(s) := \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} \frac{\partial^{j-i} Q(s)}{\partial s^{j-i}} e_{i+1} \in (D_n[s])^{k+1}.$$

*Let  $J_k$  be the left  $D_n[s]$ -submodule of  $(D_n[s])^{k+1}$  generated by  $G_1 := \{Q^{(j)}(s)(\lambda_0) \mid Q(s) \in G_0, 0 \leq j \leq k\}$ . Then  $(D_n[s])^{k+1}/J_k$  is isomorphic to  $N[k]$ .*

Proof: Let  $\varpi : (D_n[s])^{k+1} \rightarrow N[k]$  be the canonical surjection. Let  $Q(s)$  belong to  $G_0$ . Differentiating the equation  $Q(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0$  in  $N[k]$  with respect to  $s$ , one gets

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} \frac{\partial^{j-i} Q(s)}{\partial s^{j-i}} ((f^s (\log f)^i) \otimes u) = 0.$$

Hence  $J_k$  is contained in the kernel of  $\varpi$ . Conversely, assume that

$$\vec{Q}(s) = (Q_0(s), Q_1(s), \dots, Q_k(s))$$

belongs to the kernel of  $\varpi$ . This implies  $Q_k(s)(f^s \otimes u) = 0$  since  $N[k]/N[k-1]$  is isomorphic to  $N = D_n[s](f^s \otimes u)$ . Hence  $\vec{Q}(s) - Q_k^{(k)}(s)$  belongs to the kernel of  $\varpi$ , the last component of which is zero. We conclude that  $\vec{Q}(s)$  belongs to  $J_k$  by induction.  $\square$

Thus we have

$$N_{\lambda_0}[k] = (D_n)^{k+1}/J_k|_{s=\lambda_0}, \quad J_k|_{s=\lambda_0} := \{Q(\lambda_0) \mid Q(s) \in J_k\}.$$

Set

$$w := \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_{kj}((f^{\lambda_0+m} (\log f)^j) \otimes u), \quad M_k := D_n w.$$

Then we have

$$Pw = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad P(Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_{l+k}) \in J_{l+k}|_{s=\lambda_0+m}.$$

Thus we can find a set of generators of  $\text{Ann}_{D_n} w$  by computation of syzygy or intersection. As was shown in §2.4,  $\varphi_k$  is a solution of the holonomic system  $M_k$ .

### 3.4 Difference equations for the local zeta function

In the sequel, we assume that  $\varphi$  is a locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . As we have seen so far,  $f_+^\lambda \varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a solution of the holonomic  $D_{n+1}$ -module  $D_{n+1}/J$ . Hence if the local zeta function  $Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+^\lambda \varphi dx$  is well-defined, e.g., if  $\varphi$  has compact support, or else is smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with all its derivatives rapidly decreasing on the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \geq 0\}$ , then  $Z(\lambda)$  is a solution of the integral module

$$D_{n+1}/(J + \partial_{x_1} D_{n+1} + \dots + \partial_{x_n} D_{n+1})$$

of  $D_{n+1}/J$ , which is a holonomic module over  $D_1 = \mathbb{C}\langle t, \partial_t \rangle$ . This  $D_1$ -module can be computed by the integration algorithm which is the ‘Fourier



transform' of the restriction algorithm given in [6] (see [5] for the integration algorithm). Then by Mellin transform we obtain linear difference equations for  $Z(\lambda)$ . Thus we get

**Theorem 3.6** *Under the above assumptions,  $Z(\lambda)$  satisfies a non-trivial linear difference equation with polynomial coefficients in  $\lambda$ .*

**Example 3.7**  $\Gamma(\lambda + 1) = \int_0^\infty x^\lambda e^{-x} dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty x_+^\lambda e^{-x} dx$  satisfies the difference equation

$$(E_\lambda - (\lambda + 1))\Gamma(\lambda + 1) = 0,$$

where  $E_\lambda : \lambda \mapsto \lambda + 1$  is the shift operator.

### 3.5 Examples

Let us present some examples computed by using algorithms introduced so far and their implementation in the computer algebra system Risa/Asir.

**Example 3.8** Set  $f = x^3 - y^2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  and  $\varphi = 1$ . Since the  $b$ -function of  $f$  is  $b_f(s) = (s + 1)(6s + 5)(6s + 7)$ , possible poles of  $f_+^\lambda$  are  $-1 - \nu$ ,  $-5/6 - \nu$ ,  $-6/7 - \nu$  with  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  and they are at most simple poles. The residue  $\text{Res}_{\lambda=-1} f_+^\lambda$  is a solution of

$$D_2/(D_2(2x\partial_x + 3y\partial_y + 6) + D_2(2y\partial_x + 3x^2\partial_y) + D_2(x^3 - y^2)).$$

$\text{Res}_{\lambda=-5/6} f_+^\lambda$  is a solution of  $D_2/(D_2x + D_2y)$ . Hence it is a constant multiple of the delta function  $\delta(x, y) = \delta(x)\delta(y)$ .  $\text{Res}_{\lambda=-7/6} f_+^\lambda$  is a solution of  $D_2/(D_2x^2 + D_2(x\partial_x + 2) + D_2y)$ . Hence it is a constant multiple of  $\delta'(x)\delta(y)$ .

**Example 3.9** Set  $f = x^3 - y^2$  and  $\varphi(x, y) = \exp(-x^2 - y^2)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a solution of a holonomic system  $M := D_2/(D_2(\partial_x + 2x) + D_2(\partial_y + 2y))$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is  $f$ -saturated since it is a simple  $D_2$ -module. The generalized  $b$ -function for  $f$  and  $u := [1] \in M$  is  $b_f(s) = (s + 1)(6s + 5)(6s + 7)$ . The local zeta function  $Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_+^\lambda \varphi dx dy$  is annihilated by the difference operator

$$32E_s^4 + 16(4s + 13)E_s^3 - 4(s + 3)(27s^2 + 154s + 211)E_s^2 - 6(s + 2)(s + 3)(36s^2 + 162s + 173)E_s - 3(s + 1)(s + 2)(s + 3)(6s + 5)(6s + 13),$$

where  $s$  is an indeterminate corresponding to  $\lambda$ . From this we see that  $-7/6$  is not a pole of  $Z(\lambda)$ .

**Example 3.10** Set  $\varphi(x) = \exp(-x - 1/x)$  for  $x > 0$  and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 0$ . Then  $\varphi(x)$  belongs to the space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  of rapidly decreasing functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and satisfies a holonomic system

$$M := D_1/D_1(x^2\partial_x + x^2 - 1),$$

which is  $x$ -saturated. The generalized  $b$ -function for  $f = x$  and  $u = [1] \in M$  is  $s + 1$ . The local zeta function  $Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} x_+^\lambda \varphi(x) dx$  is entire (i.e., without poles) and satisfies a difference equation

$$(E_\lambda^2 - (\lambda + 2)E_\lambda - 1)Z(\lambda) = 0.$$

This can also be deduced by integration by parts.

**Example 3.11** Set  $\varphi_1(x) = \exp(-x - 1/x)$  for  $x > 0$  and  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 0$ . Set  $\varphi(x, y) = \varphi_1(x)e^{-y}$ . Then  $\varphi$  satisfies a holonomic system

$$M := D_2/(D_2(x^2\partial_x + x^2 - 1) + D_2(\partial_y + 1)).$$

The generalized  $b$ -function for  $f := y^3 - x^2$  and  $u = [1] \in M$  is  $s + 1$ . Moreover, we can confirm that  $M$  is  $f$ -saturated by using the localization algorithm in [7]. The local zeta function  $Z(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_+^\lambda \varphi dx dy$  is well-defined since  $f(x, y) < 0$  if  $y < 0$ . It is annihilated by a difference operator of the form

$$E_s^{11} + a_{10}(s)E_s^{10} + \cdots + a_1(s)E_s + a_0(s),$$

$$a_0(s) = c(s+1)(s+2)(s+3)(s+4)(s+5)(s+6)(s+7)(s+8)(s+9),$$

where  $c$  is a positive rational number and  $a_1(s), \dots, a_{10}(s)$  are polynomials of  $s$  with rational coefficients. Possible poles of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  are the negative integers. For example,  $-1$  is at most a simple pole of  $f_+^\lambda \varphi$  and  $\text{Res}_{\lambda=-1} f_+^\lambda \varphi$  is a solution of a holonomic system

$$D_2/(D_2(3x^2\partial_x + 2xy\partial_y + 3x^2 + (2y+6)x - 3) + D_2(y^3 - x^2)).$$

**Example 3.12** Set  $f = x^3 - y^2z^2$ . The  $b$ -function of  $f$  is  $(s+1)(3s+4)(3s+5)(6s+5)^2(6s+7)^2$ . For example, its maximum root  $-5/6$  is at most a pole of order 2 of  $f_+^\lambda$ . Let

$$f_+^\lambda = \left(\lambda + \frac{5}{6}\right)^{-2} \varphi_{-2} + \left(\lambda + \frac{5}{6}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{-1} + \varphi_0 + \cdots$$

be the Laurent expansion. Then  $\varphi_{-2}$  satisfies

$$x\varphi_{-2} = y\varphi_{-2} = z\varphi_{-2} = 0.$$

Hence  $\varphi_{-2}$  is a constant multiple of  $\delta(x, y)$ . On the other hand,  $\varphi_{-1}$  satisfies a holonomic system

$$x\varphi_{-1} = (y\partial_y - z\partial_z)\varphi_{-1} = yz\varphi_{-1} = (z^2\partial_z - z)\varphi_{-1} = 0.$$

## References

- [1] Kashiwara, M, On the holonomic systems of linear differential equations, II, *Invent. Math.* **49** (1978), 121–135.
- [2] Kashiwara, M., Kawai, T., On the characteristic variety of a holonomic system with regular singularities, *Advances in Math.* **34** (1979), 163–184.
- [3] Oaku, T., Algorithms for  $b$ -functions, restrictions, and algebraic local cohomology of  $D$ -modules, *Advances in Appl. Math.* **19** (1997), 61–105
- [4] Oaku, T., Algorithms for integrals of holonomic functions over domains defined by polynomial inequalities, *J. Symbolic Computation* **50** (2013), 1–27
- [5] Oaku, T., Takayama, N., An algorithm for de Rham cohomology groups of the complement of an affine variety. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **139** (1999), 201–233.
- [6] Oaku, T., Takayama, N., Algorithms for  $D$ -modules — restriction, tensor product, localization, and local cohomology groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **156** (2001), 267–308.
- [7] Oaku, T., Takayama, N., Walther, U., A localization algorithm for  $D$ -modules, *J. Symbolic Computation* **29** (2000), 721–728
- [8] Walther, U., Algorithmic computation of local cohomology modules and the local cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **139** (1998), 303–321