# Pre-symplectic algebroids and their applications\*

Jiefeng Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yunhe Sheng<sup>1,2</sup> and Chengming Bai<sup>3</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Xinyang Normal University,
Xinyang 464000, Henan, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, Jilin, China

<sup>3</sup>Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Email: jfliu12@126.com; shengyh@jlu.edu.cn; baicm@nankai.edu.cn

#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of a pre-symplectic algebroid, and show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between pre-symplectic algebroids and symplectic Lie algebroids. This result is the geometric generalization of the relation between left-symmetric algebras and symplectic (Frobenius) Lie algebras. Although pre-symplectic algebroids are not left-symmetric algebroids, they still can be viewed as the underlying structures of symplectic Lie algebroids. Then we study exact pre-symplectic algebroids and show that they are classified by the third cohomology group of a left-symmetric algebroid. Finally, we study para-complex pre-symplectic algebroids. Associated to a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid, there is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid. The multiplication in a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid characterizes the restriction to the Lagrangian subalgebroids of the Levi-Civita connection in the corresponding pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid.

## 1 Introduction

Left-symmetric algebras (or pre-Lie algebras) arose from the study of convex homogeneous cones [27], affine manifolds and affine structures on Lie groups [13], deformation and cohomology theory of associative algebras [9] and then appear in many fields in mathematics and mathematical physics. See the survey article [2] and the references therein. In particular, there are close relations between left-symmetric algebras and certain important left-invariant structures on Lie groups like aforementioned affine, symplectic, Kähler, and metric structures [12, 15, 19, 20].

On the other hand, the notion of a Lie algebroid was introduced by Pradines in 1967, which is a generalization of Lie algebras and tangent bundles. See [17] for general theory about Lie algebroids. They play important roles in various parts of mathematics. In particular, the notion of a symplectic Lie algebroid was introduced in [21], and the geometric construction of deformation quantization

 $<sup>^0</sup>$  Keywords: left-symmetric algebroid, symplectic Lie algebroid, pre-symplectic algebroid, para-complex structure  $^0$  MSC: 17B62,53D12,53D17,53D18

<sup>\*</sup>This research is supported by NSF of China (11471139, 11271202, 11221091, 11425104), SRFDP (20120031110022) and NSF of Jilin Province (20140520054JH).

of a symplectic Lie algebroid was studied there. There are important applications of symplectic Lie algebroids in geometric mechanics [7, 10, 18]. A symplectic Lie algebroid is a Lie algebroid equipped with a nondegenerate 2-cocycle. It can be viewed as the geometric generalization of a symplectic (Frobenius) Lie algebra, which is a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  equipped with a nondegenerate 2-cocycle  $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between symplectic (Frobenius) Lie algebras and quadratic left-symmetric algebras, which are left-symmetric algebras together with nondegenerate invariant skew-symmetric bilinear forms [4]. The relation is given as follows:

symplectic (Frobenius)  
 Lie algebra 
$$\xrightarrow{x \cdot y = \omega^{\sharp - 1} \operatorname{ad}_{x}^{*} \omega^{\sharp}(y)}$$
 quadratic left-symmetric algebra.

In [16], we introduced the notion of a left-symmetric algebroid<sup>1</sup>, which is a geometric generalization of a left-symmetric algebra. We developed the basic theory of left-symmetric algebroids and gave applications in Poisson algebras, symplectic Lie algebroids and complex Lie algebroids.

In this paper, first we introduce the notion of a pre-symplectic algebroid which is a geometric generalization of a quadratic left-symmetric algebra. We would like to point out that one of the nontriviality is that a pre-symplectic algebroid is not a left-symmetric algebroid. More importantly, there is a one-to-one correspondence between symplectic Lie algebroids and pre-symplectic algebroids (Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.9). This result generalizes the relation between symplectic (Frobenius) Lie algebras and quadratic left-symmetric algebras. In terms of commutative diagram, we have

Diagram I

A pre-symplectic algebroid is defined to be a quadruple  $(E,\star,\rho,(\cdot,\cdot)_-)$ , where E is a vector bundle over  $M,\star$  is a multiplication on the section space  $\Gamma(E),\,\rho:E\longrightarrow TM$  is a bundle map and  $(\cdot,\cdot)_-$  is a nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form such that some compatibility conditions are satisfied. Even though a pre-symplectic algebroid is not a left-symmetric algebroid, its Dirac structures are all left-symmetric algebroids. The notion of a Dirac structure was invented to treat in the same framework of Poisson structures and symplectic structures [5]. There is a one-to-one correspondence between Dirac structures in a pre-symplectic algebroid and Langrangian subalgebroids in the corresponding symplectic Lie algebroid. Moreover, associated to any left-symmetric algebroid A, there is a canonical pre-symplectic algebroid structure on  $A \oplus A^*$ , which is called the pseudo-semidirect product of A and  $A^*$ .

Then we study exact pre-symplectic algebroids  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  with respect to the left-symmetric algebroid  $T_{\nabla}M$  associated to a flat manifold  $(M, \nabla)$ . By choosing an isotropic splitting, E is isomorphic to  $TM \oplus T^*M$  as vector bundles. The pre-symplectic algebroid structure on  $TM \oplus T^*M$  is a twist of the pseudo-semidirect product by a 3-cocycle. Consequently, we classify exact pre-symplectic algebroids by the third cohomology group of the left-symmetric algebroid  $T_{\nabla}M$ . This result is parallel to Ševera's result on the classification of exact Courant algebroids [24].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recently, we realize that this structure was already studied by Nguiffo Boyom in [22] under the name of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids. See also [23] for more details about the relation with Poisson manifolds.

Finally we study para-complex pre-symplectic algebroids, which are the underlying structures of para-Kähler Lie algebroids. Para-Kähler Lie algebroids were introduced by Leichtnam, Tang and Weinstein in [14] to describe the deformation quantization near a strictly pseudoconvex boundary. See [1, 6, 11] for more details for para-Kähler Lie algebras and para-Kähler manifolds. In the recent work [26], Vaisman studied generalized para-Kähler manifolds. In this paper, we introduce the notion of a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid. There is a natural example of para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid constructed from a pseudo-semidirect product. We prove that there is a one-to-one correspondence between para-Kähler Lie algebroids and para-complex pre-symplectic algebroids (Proposition 5.11). Given a para-Kähler Lie algebroid, there is an associated pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid satisfying some compatibility conditions. We find that the multiplication in a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_-, P)$  coincides with the Levi-Civita E-connection in the corresponding pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, g)$  restricting to the Lagrangian subalgebroids (Proposition 5.12). This provides potential applications of pre-symplectic algebroids in these areas.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a review on left-symmetric algebras, Lie algebroids and left-symmetric algebroids. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of pre-symplectic algebroids and give their relation with symplectic Lie algebroids. In Section 4, we study exact pre-symplectic algebroids and give their classification. In Section 5, we study para-complex pre-symplectic algebroids and give their applications.

Throughout this paper, all the algebras and vector spaces are assumed to be finite-dimensional, although many results still hold in the infinite-dimensional cases. All the vector bundles are over the same manifold M. For two vector bundles A and B, a bundle map from A to B is a base-preserving map and  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linear.

**Acknowledgement:** We give our warmest thanks to Zhangju Liu and Jianghua Lu for very useful comments and discussions.

### 2 Preliminaries

#### • Left-symmetric algebras

**Definition 2.1.** A **left-symmetric algebra** is a pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector space, and  $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a bilinear multiplication satisfying that for all  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the associator

$$(x, y, z) \triangleq x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z) - (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z \tag{1}$$

is symmetric in x, y, i.e.

$$(x,y,z) = (y,x,z), \ \text{ or equivalently, } \ x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z) - (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z = y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z) - (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z.$$

For all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $L_x$  and  $R_x$  denote the left and right multiplication operators respectively, i.e.

$$L_x(y) = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, \quad R_x y = y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x, \quad \forall \ y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The following conclusion is known [2]:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a left-symmetric algebra. The commutator  $[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y - y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x$  defines a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ , which is called the **sub-adjacent Lie algebra** of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called a **compatible left-symmetric algebra** on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ . Furthermore,  $L: \mathfrak{g}^c \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  gives a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ .

A nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-}$  on a left-symmetric algebra  $(\mathfrak{d}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{d}})$  is called **invariant** if

$$(a \cdot_{\mathfrak{d}} b, c)_{-} + (b, [a, c]_{\mathfrak{d}})_{-} = 0.$$
 (2)

A quadratic left-symmetric algebra is a left-symmetric algebra equipped with a nondegenerate skew-symmetric invariant bilinear form.

#### • Lie algebroids

**Definition 2.3.** A Lie algebroid structure on a vector bundle  $A \longrightarrow M$  is a pair that consists of a Lie algebra structure  $[\cdot,\cdot]_A$  on the section space  $\Gamma(A)$  and a bundle map  $a_A : A \longrightarrow TM$ , called the anchor, such that the following relation is satisfied:

$$[x, fy]_{\mathcal{A}} = f[x, y]_{\mathcal{A}} + a_{\mathcal{A}}(x)(f)y, \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

For a vector bundle  $E \longrightarrow M$ , let  $\mathfrak{D}(E)$  be the gauge Lie algebroid of the frame bundle  $\mathcal{F}(E)$ , which is also called the covariant differential operator bundle of E.

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{A}}, a_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}, a_{\mathcal{B}})$  be two Lie algebroids (with the same base), a **base-preserving morphism** from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is a bundle map  $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$a_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \sigma = a_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \sigma[x, y]_{\mathcal{A}} = [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)]_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

A **representation** of a Lie algebroid  $\mathcal{A}$  on a vector bundle E is a base-preserving morphism  $\rho$  form  $\mathcal{A}$  to the Lie algebroid  $\mathfrak{D}(E)$ . Denote a representation by  $(E; \rho)$ . The **dual representation** of the Lie algebroid  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $E^*$  is the bundle map  $\rho^* : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(E^*)$  given by

$$\langle \rho^*(x)(\xi), y \rangle = a_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\langle \xi, y \rangle - \langle \xi, \rho(x)(y) \rangle, \quad \forall \ x, y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}), \ \xi \in \Gamma(E^*). \tag{3}$$

For all  $x \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ , the **Lie derivation**  $\mathcal{L}_x : \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^*) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^*)$  of the Lie algebroid  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_x \xi, y \rangle = a_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \langle \xi, y \rangle - \langle \xi, [x, y]_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle, \quad \forall y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}), \xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^*). \tag{4}$$

A Lie algebroid  $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{A}}, a_{\mathcal{A}})$  naturally represents on the trivial line bundle  $E = M \times \mathbb{R}$  via the anchor map  $a_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow TM$ . The corresponding coboundary operator  $d : \Gamma(\wedge^k \mathcal{A}^*) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} \mathcal{A}^*)$  is given by

$$d\varpi(x_1,\dots,x_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} a_{\mathcal{A}}(x_i) \varpi(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_i},\dots,x_{k+1})$$
$$+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \varpi([x_i,x_j]_{\mathcal{A}},x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_i},\dots,\widehat{x_j},\dots,x_{k+1}).$$

In particular, a 2-form  $\varpi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathcal{A}^*)$  is a **2-cocycle** if  $d\varpi = 0$ , i.e.

$$a_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\varpi(y,z) - a_{\mathcal{A}}(y)\varpi(x,z) + a_{\mathcal{A}}(z)\varpi(x,y) - \varpi([x,y]_{\mathcal{A}},z) + \varpi([x,z]_{\mathcal{A}},y) - \varpi([y,z]_{\mathcal{A}},x) = 0.$$
 (5)

A symplectic Lie algebroid is a Lie algebroid together with a nondegenerate closed 2-form. A subalgebroid of a symplectic Lie algebroid  $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{A}}, a_{\mathcal{A}}, \varpi)$  is called **Lagrangian** if it is maximal isotropic with respect to the skew-symmetric bilinear form  $\varpi$ .

#### • Left-symmetric algebroids

**Definition 2.4.** A **left-symmetric algebroid** structure on a vector bundle  $A \longrightarrow M$  is a pair that consists of a left-symmetric algebra structure  $\cdot_A$  on the section space  $\Gamma(A)$  and a vector bundle morphism  $a_A : A \longrightarrow TM$ , called the anchor, such that for all  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  and  $x, y \in \Gamma(A)$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) 
$$x \cdot_A (fy) = f(x \cdot_A y) + a_A(x)(f)y$$
,

(ii) 
$$(fx) \cdot_A y = f(x \cdot_A y)$$
.

We usually denote a left-symmetric algebroid by  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ .

A left-symmetric algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  is called **transitive (regular)** if  $a_A$  is surjective (of constant rank). Any left-symmetric algebra is a left-symmetric algebroid over a point.

**Example 2.5.** Let M be a differential manifold with a flat torsion free connection  $\nabla$ . Then  $(TM, \nabla, \mathrm{id})$  is a left-symmetric algebroid whose sub-adjacent Lie algebroid is exactly the tangent Lie algebroid. We denote this left-symmetric algebroid by  $T_{\nabla}M$ , which will be frequently used below.

For any  $x \in \Gamma(A)$ , we define  $L_x : \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A)$  by  $L_x(y) = x \cdot_A y$  and  $R_x : \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A)$  by  $R_x(y) = y \cdot_A x$ . Condition (i) in the above definition means that  $L_x \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$ . Condition (ii) means that the map  $x \longmapsto L_x$  is  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linear. Thus,  $L: A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A)$  is a bundle map. Furthermore, condition (ii) also implies that  $R_x : \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A)$  is  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linear. Define  $R_x^* : \Gamma(A^*) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$  by

$$\langle R_x^* \xi, y \rangle = -\langle \xi, R_x y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(A), \xi \in \Gamma(A^*).$$
 (6)

**Proposition 2.6.** [16] Let  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a left-symmetric algebroid. Define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket operation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$  on  $\Gamma(A)$  by

$$[x, y]_A = x \cdot_A y - y \cdot_A x, \quad \forall \ x, y \in \Gamma(A).$$

Then,  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a_A)$  is a Lie algebroid, and denoted by  $A^c$ , called the **sub-adjacent Lie algebroid** of  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$ . Furthermore,  $L: A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A)$  gives a representation of the Lie algebroid  $A^c$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** [16] Let  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a left-symmetric algebroid. Then  $(A^c \ltimes_{L^*} A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_S, \rho, \omega)$  is a symplectic Lie algebroid, where  $A^c \ltimes_{L^*} A^*$  is the semidirect product of  $A^c$  and  $A^*$  in which  $L^*$  is the dual representation<sup>2</sup> of L. More precisely, the Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_S$  and the anchor  $\rho$  are given by

$$[x + \xi, y + \eta]_S = [x, y]_A + L_x^* \eta - L_y^* \xi,$$

and  $\rho(x+\xi)=a_A(x)$  respectively. Furthermore, the symplectic form  $\omega$  is given by

$$\omega(x+\xi,y+\eta) = \langle \xi,y \rangle - \langle \eta,x \rangle, \quad \forall x,y \in \Gamma(A), \ \xi,\eta \in \Gamma(A^*). \tag{7}$$

Let  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a left-symmetric algebroid and E a vector bundle. A **representation** of A on E consists of a pair  $(\rho, \mu)$ , where  $\rho : A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(E)$  is a representation of  $A^c$  on E and  $\mu : A \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(E)$  is a bundle map, such that for all  $x, y \in \Gamma(A)$ ,  $e \in \Gamma(E)$ , we have

$$\rho(x)\mu(y)e - \mu(y)\rho(x)e = \mu(x \cdot_A y)e - \mu(y)\mu(x)e. \tag{8}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that the definitions of  $L^*$  (see (3)) and the above defined  $R^*$  (see (6)) are not the same.

Denote a representation by  $(E; \rho, \mu)$ .

At the end of this section, let us recall the cohomology complex with the coefficients in the trivial representation, i.e.  $\rho = a_A$  and  $\mu = 0$ . See [8, 16] for general theory of cohomologies of right-symmetric algebras and left-symmetric algebraics respectively. The set of (n+1)-cochains is given by

$$C^{n+1}(A) = \Gamma(\wedge^n A^* \otimes A^*), \ n \ge 0.$$

For all  $\varphi \in C^n(A)$  and  $x_i \in \Gamma(A)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ , the corresponding coboundary operator  $\delta$  is given by

$$\delta\varphi(x_{1},\dots,x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} a_{A}(x_{i}) \varphi(x_{1},\dots,\hat{x_{i}},\dots,x_{n+1})$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} \varphi(x_{1},\dots,\hat{x_{i}},\dots,x_{n},x_{i}\cdot_{A}x_{n+1})$$

$$+\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \varphi([x_{i},x_{j}]_{A},x_{1},\dots,\hat{x_{i}},\dots,\hat{x_{j}},\dots,x_{n+1}). \tag{9}$$

### 3 Pre-symplectic algebroids and symplectic Lie algebroids

In this section, we introduce the notion of a pre-symplectic algebroid. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between pre-symplectic algebroids and symplectic Lie algebroids. Thus, pre-symplectic algebroids can be viewed as the underlying structures of symplectic Lie algebroids. Furthermore, there is a natural construction of pre-symplectic algebroids from left-symmetric algebroids (the so-called pseudo-semidirect products).

**Definition 3.1.** A pre-symplectic algebroid is a vector bundle  $E \to M$  equipped with a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot,\cdot)_-$ , a multiplication  $\star : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E)$ , and a bundle map  $\rho : E \to TM$ , such that for all  $e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$ ,  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) 
$$(e_1, e_2, e_3) - (e_2, e_1, e_3) = \frac{1}{6}DT(e_1, e_2, e_3);$$

(ii) 
$$\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_- = (e_1 \star e_2 - \frac{1}{2}D(e_1, e_2)_-, e_3)_- + (e_2, [e_1, e_3]_E)_-$$

where  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  is the associator for the multiplication  $\star$  given by (1),  $T : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$  is defined by

$$T(e_1, e_2, e_3) = (e_1 \star e_2, e_3)_- + (e_1, e_2 \star e_3)_- - (e_2 \star e_1, e_3)_- - (e_2, e_1 \star e_3)_-, \tag{10}$$

 $D: C^{\infty}(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E)$  is defined by

$$(Df, e)_{-} = \rho(e)(f), \tag{11}$$

and the bracket  $[\cdot,\cdot]_E:\wedge^2\Gamma(E)\longrightarrow\Gamma(E)$  is defined by

$$[e_1, e_2]_E = e_1 \star e_2 - e_2 \star e_1. \tag{12}$$

We denote a pre-symplectic algebroid by  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$ .

Remark 3.2. When M is a point, we recover the notion of a quadratic left-symmetric algebra. It is well-known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between quadratic left-symmetric algebras and symplectic (Frobenius) Lie algebras. Note that a symplectic Lie algebroid is a geometric generalization of a symplectic Lie algebra. In the following we will show that a pre-symplectic algebroid can give rise to a symplectic Lie algebroid. Conversely, one can also obtain a pre-symplectic algebroid from a symplectic Lie algebroid. This justifies that a pre-symplectic algebroid is a geometric generalization of a quadratic left-symmetric algebra as given in Diagram I.

**Remark 3.3.** A pre-symplectic algebroid, as a geometric generalization of a quadratic left-symmetric algebra, is not simply a left-symmetric algebroid with a nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form satisfying certain compatibility conditions. This is quite interesting.

**Remark 3.4.** In this remark, we point out that the algebraic structure underlying a pre-symplectic algebroid is a 2-term pre-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebra, or equivalently a pre-Lie 2-algebra [25]. See [3] for more details about pre-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebras. More precisely, let  $(E,\star,\rho,(\cdot,\cdot)_{-})$  be a pre-symplectic algebroid. Consider the graded vector space  $\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{e}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{e}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{e}_0 = \Gamma(E)$  and  $\mathfrak{e}_1 = \operatorname{Im}(D)$ , then  $(\mathfrak{e},l_1,l_2,l_3)$  is a 2-term pre-Lie $_{\infty}$ -algebra, where  $l_i$  are given by the following formulas:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} l_1(x) & = & x, & \forall x \in \mathfrak{e}_1, \\ l_2(e_1 \otimes e_2) & = & e_1 \star e_2, & \forall e_1, e_2 \in \mathfrak{e}_0, \\ l_2(e \otimes x) & = & e \star x, & \forall e \in \mathfrak{e}_0, x \in \mathfrak{e}_1, \\ l_2(x \otimes e) & = & x \star e, & \forall e \in \mathfrak{e}_0, x \in \mathfrak{e}_1, \\ l_3(e_1 \wedge e_2 \otimes e_3) & = & \frac{1}{6}DT(e_1, e_2, e_3), & \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathfrak{e}_0, \end{array}$$

where T is given by (10).

It is obvious that the operator D defined by (11) satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$D(fg) = fD(g) + gD(f), \ \forall f, g \in C^{\infty}(M).$$
(13)

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  be a pre-symplectic algebroid. For all  $e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ ,  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , we have

$$e_1 \star f e_2 = f(e_1 \star e_2) + \rho(e_1)(f)e_2 + \frac{1}{2}(e_1, e_2) - Df,$$
 (14)

$$[e_1, fe_2]_E = f[e_1, e_2]_E + \rho(e_1)(f)e_2,$$
 (15)

$$(fe_1) \star e_2 = f(e_1 \star e_2) - \frac{1}{2} (e_1, e_2) - Df.$$
 (16)

**Proof.** On one hand, by condition (ii) in Definition 3.1, we have

$$\rho(e_1)(fe_2, e_3)_- = (e_1 \star fe_2 - \frac{1}{2}D(e_1, fe_2)_-, e_3)_- + (fe_2, [e_1, e_3]_E)_-, \tag{17}$$

$$\rho(e_1)(e_2, f_{e_3})_- = f(e_1 \star e_2 - \frac{1}{2}D(e_1, e_2)_-, e_3)_- + (e_2, [e_1, f_{e_3}]_E)_-.$$
 (18)

On the other hand, the Leibniz rule gives

$$\rho(e_1)(fe_2, e_3)_- = \rho(e_1)(e_2, fe_3)_- = f\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_- + (e_2, e_3)_- \rho(e_1)(f) 
= f(e_1 \star e_2 - \frac{1}{2}D(e_1, e_2)_-, e_3)_- + f(e_2, [e_1, e_3]_E)_- + (e_2, e_3)_- \rho(e_1)(f). (19)$$

By (17) and (19), we have

$$(e_1 \star f e_2 - \frac{1}{2}D(e_1, f e_2)_-, e_3)_- = f(e_1 \star e_2 - \frac{1}{2}D(e_1, e_2)_-, e_3)_- + (e_2, e_3)_-\rho(e_1)(f).$$

Since  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-}$  is nondegenerate, we have

$$e_1 \star f e_2 = f(e_1 \star e_2) + \rho(e_1)(f)e_2 + \frac{1}{2}(e_1, e_2) - Df,$$

which implies that (14) holds.

By (18) and (19), we can get

$$[e_1, fe_3]_E = f[e_1, e_3]_E + \rho(e_1)(f)e_3,$$

which implies that (15) holds.

Finally, by (12), (14) and (15), we can deduce that (16) holds.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  be a pre-symplectic algebroid. Then we have

$$T(e_1, e_2, e_3) + c.p. = 0, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E),$$
 (20)

where T is given by (10).

**Proof.** It follows from straightforward calculation.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  be a pre-symplectic algebroid. Then  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_{E}, \rho, \omega = (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  is a symplectic Lie algebroid.

**Proof.** First, we have

$$[e_1, [e_2, e_3]_E]_E + c.p. = \frac{1}{6}DT(e_1, e_2, e_3) + c.p..$$

By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$[e_1, [e_2, e_3]_E]_E + c.p. = 0.$$

By (15), we have

$$[e_1, fe_2]_E = f[e_1, e_2]_E + \rho(e_1)(f)e_2.$$

Therefore,  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho)$  is a Lie algebroid.

By condition (ii) in Definition 3.1, we have

$$\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_- = (e_1 \star e_2 - \frac{1}{2}D(e_1, e_2)_-, e_3)_- + (e_2, [e_1, e_3]_E)_-;$$

$$\rho(e_2)(e_1, e_3)_- = (e_2 \star e_1 - \frac{1}{2}D(e_2, e_1)_-, e_3)_- + (e_1, [e_2, e_3]_E)_-.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_- - \rho(e_2)(e_1, e_3)_-$$

$$= (e_1 \star e_2 - e_2 \star e_1 - D(e_1, e_2)_-, e_3)_- + (e_2, [e_1, e_3]_E)_- - (e_1, [e_2, e_3]_E)_-$$

$$= ([e_1, e_2]_E, e_3)_- - ([e_1, e_3]_E, e_2)_- + ([e_2, e_3]_E, e_1)_- - \rho(e_3)(e_1, e_2)_-,$$

which implies that

$$d\omega(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 0.$$

Thus,  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, \omega)$  is a symplectic Lie algebroid.  $\blacksquare$ 

Corollary 3.8. Let  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  be a pre-symplectic algebroid. For all  $e \in \Gamma(E)$ ,  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , we have

$$e \star Df = \frac{1}{2}D(Df, e)_{-}. \tag{21}$$

**Proof.** For all  $e' \in \Gamma(E)$ , by condition (ii) in Definition 3.1, we have

$$\rho(e)\rho(e')(f) = \rho(e)(Df, e')_{-} = (e \star Df - \frac{1}{2}D(e, Df)_{-}, e')_{-} + (Df, [e, e']_{E})_{-}$$
$$= (e \star Df, e')_{-} + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e')\rho(e)(f) + [\rho(e), \rho(e')](f),$$

which implies that

$$(e \star Df, e')_{-} = (\frac{1}{2}D(Df, e)_{-}, e')_{-}.$$

Since the skew-symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot,\cdot)_{-}$  is nondegenerate, we deduce that (21) holds.

Given a symplectic Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, \omega)$ , define a multiplication  $\star : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E)$  by

$$\omega(e_1 \star e_2, e_3) = \rho(e_1)\omega(e_2, e_3) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_3)\omega(e_1, e_2) - \omega(e_2, [e_1, e_3]_E), \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E).$$
 (22)

Or equivalently,

$$e_1 \star e_2 = \omega^{\sharp^{-1}} (\mathcal{L}_{e_1} \omega^{\sharp}(e_2) + \frac{1}{2} d(\omega(e_1, e_2))).$$
 (23)

Actually, by (22), we have

$$\langle \omega^{\sharp}(e_1 \star e_2), e_3 \rangle = \rho(e_1) \langle \omega^{\sharp}(e_2), e_3 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{d}(\omega(e_1, e_2)), e_3 \rangle - \langle \omega^{\sharp}(e_2), [e_1, e_3]_E \rangle$$
$$= \langle \mathcal{L}_{e_1} \omega^{\sharp}(e_2), e_3 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{d}(\omega(e_1, e_2)), e_3 \rangle.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\omega^{\sharp}(e_1 \star e_2) = \mathcal{L}_{e_1}\omega^{\sharp}(e_2) + \frac{1}{2}d(\omega(e_1, e_2)),$$

which implies that (22) and (23) are equivalent.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, \omega)$  be a symplectic Lie algebroid. Then  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_- = \omega)$  is a pre-symplectic algebroid, and satisfies

$$[e_1, e_2]_E = e_1 \star e_2 - e_2 \star e_1, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E),$$
 (24)

where the multiplication  $\star$  is given by (22).

**Proof.** Since  $d\omega(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 0$ , we have

$$\omega([e_1, e_2]_E, e_3)$$
=  $\rho(e_1)\omega(e_2, e_3) - \rho(e_2)\omega(e_1, e_3) + \rho(e_3)\omega(e_1, e_2) - \omega([e_2, e_3]_E, e_1) + \omega([e_1, e_3]_E, e_2).$ 

On the other hand, by (22), we have

$$\omega(e_1 \star e_2 - e_2 \star e_1, e_3)$$
=  $\rho(e_1)\omega(e_2, e_3) - \rho(e_2)\omega(e_1, e_3) + \rho(e_3)\omega(e_1, e_2) - \omega([e_2, e_3]_E, e_1) + \omega([e_1, e_3]_E, e_2).$ 

Since  $\omega$  is nondegenerate, we have

$$[e_1, e_2]_E = e_1 \star e_2 - e_2 \star e_1.$$

By (22), it is straightforward to deduce that condition (ii) in Definition 3.1 holds. For all  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \in \Gamma(E)$ , we have

$$([e_{1}, e_{2}]_{E} \star e_{3}, e_{4})_{-} = \rho([e_{1}, e_{2}]_{E})(e_{3}, e_{4})_{-} + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_{4})([e_{1}, e_{2}]_{E}, e_{3})_{-} - (e_{3}, [[e_{1}, e_{2}]_{E}, e_{4}]_{E})_{-};$$

$$(e_{1} \star (e_{2} \star e_{3}), e_{4})_{-} = \rho(e_{1})\rho(e_{2})(e_{3}, e_{4})_{-} + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_{1})\rho(e_{4})(e_{2}, e_{3})_{-} - \rho(e_{1})(e_{3}, [e_{2}, e_{4}]_{E})_{-}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\rho(e_{4})\rho(e_{2})(e_{3}, e_{1})_{-} - \frac{1}{4}\rho(e_{4})\rho(e_{1})(e_{2}, e_{3})_{-} + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_{4})([e_{1}, e_{2}]_{E}, e_{3})_{-}$$

$$+\rho(e_{2})([e_{1}, e_{4}]_{E}, e_{3})_{-} - \frac{1}{2}\rho([e_{1}, e_{4}]_{E})(e_{2}, e_{3})_{-} + (e_{3}, [e_{2}, [e_{1}, e_{4}]_{E})_{-};$$

$$(e_{2} \star (e_{1} \star e_{3}), e_{4})_{-} = \rho(e_{2})\rho(e_{1})(e_{3}, e_{4})_{-} + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_{2})\rho(e_{4})(e_{1}, e_{3})_{-} - \rho(e_{2})(e_{3}, [e_{1}, e_{4}]_{E})_{-}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\rho(e_{4})\rho(e_{1})(e_{3}, e_{2})_{-} - \frac{1}{4}\rho(e_{4})\rho(e_{2})(e_{1}, e_{3})_{-} + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_{4})([e_{2}, e_{1}]_{E}, e_{3})_{-}$$

$$+\rho(e_{1})([e_{2}, e_{4}]_{E}, e_{3})_{-} - \frac{1}{2}\rho([e_{2}, e_{4}]_{E})(e_{1}, e_{3})_{-} + (e_{3}, [e_{1}, [e_{2}, e_{4}]_{E})_{-}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \big((e_1, e_2, e_3) - (e_2, e_1, e_3), e_4\big)_- \\ &= & -([e_1, e_2]_E \star e_3, e_4)_- + (e_1 \star (e_2 \star e_3), e_4)_- - (e_2 \star (e_1 \star e_3), e_4)_- \\ &= & \frac{1}{2} \rho(e_4) ([e_1, e_2]_E, e_3)_- + \frac{1}{4} \rho(e_4) \rho(e_2) (e_1, e_3)_- - \frac{1}{4} \rho(e_4) \rho(e_1) (e_2, e_3)_-. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (10) and (22), we have

$$T(e_1, e_2, e_3) = -\frac{1}{2}\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_- + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_2)(e_1, e_3)_- + \rho(e_3)(e_1, e_2)_- + 2([e_1, e_2]_E, e_3)_- + ([e_1, e_3]_E, e_2)_- - ([e_2, e_3]_E, e_1)_-.$$

By the fact that  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-} = \omega$  is closed, we have

$$-([e_2, e_3]_E, e_1)_- + ([e_1, e_3]_E, e_2)_-$$

$$= ([e_1, e_2], e_3)_- - \rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_- + \rho(e_2)(e_1, e_3)_- - \rho(e_3)(e_1, e_2)_-.$$

Therefore, we have

$$T(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 3([e_1, e_2]_E, e_3)_- + \frac{3}{2}\rho(e_2)(e_1, e_3)_- - \frac{3}{2}\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_-,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{6}(DT(e_1, e_2, e_3), e_4)_{-} = \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_4)([e_1, e_2]_E, e_3)_{-} + \frac{1}{4}\rho(e_4)\rho(e_2)(e_1, e_3)_{-} - \frac{1}{4}\rho(e_4)\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_{-} \\
= ((e_1, e_2, e_3) - (e_2, e_1, e_3), e_4)_{-}.$$

Thus, condition (i) in Definition 3.1 holds. ■

By Theorem 3.9, we can obtain many examples of pre-symplectic algebroids.

**Example 3.10.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{A}}, a_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a Lie algebroid and  $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathcal{A})$  an invertible bisection of  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $[\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Lambda}, a_{\mathcal{A}^*} = a_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \Lambda^{\sharp}, \Lambda)$  is a symplectic Lie algebroid, where the bundle map  $\Lambda^{\sharp} : \mathcal{A}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is defined by

$$\Lambda^{\sharp}(\xi)(\eta) = \Lambda(\xi, \eta), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^*),$$

and the bracket  $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\Lambda}: \wedge^2\Gamma(\mathcal{A}^*) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^*)$  is defined by

$$[\xi,\eta]_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{\sharp}(\xi)} \eta - \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{\sharp}(\eta)} \xi - d\Lambda(\xi,\eta), \quad \forall \xi,\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^*).$$

By (23), and the fact

$$(\Lambda^{\sharp})^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\Lambda^{\sharp}(\eta)) = \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{\sharp}(\xi)}\eta, \quad (\Lambda^{\sharp})^{-1}(\mathrm{d}_{*}f) = -\mathrm{d}f,$$

the pre-symplectic algebroid structure on  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is given by

$$\xi \star \eta = \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{\sharp}(\xi)} \eta - \frac{1}{2} d\Lambda(\xi, \eta), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^*).$$
 (25)

**Example 3.11.** Consider  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and the standard symplectic structure  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i \wedge dx_{n+i}$ . Then  $(T\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$  is a symplectic Lie algebroid. By (23), the pre-symplectic algebroid structure is given by

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \star \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} &= 0, \quad 1 \leq l, m \leq 2n, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \star f \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}}, \quad (f \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}) \star \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} = 0, \quad 1 \leq l, m \leq 2n, |l - m| \neq n, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \star f \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n+i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \right), \\ (f \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}}) \star \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n+i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \right), \\ (f \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}) \star \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n+i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} \star f \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n+i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \right). \end{split}$$

The following examples is due to the fact that for any regular Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$ , its characteristic distribution  $\operatorname{Im}(\pi^{\sharp}) \subset TM$  is a symplectic Lie algebroid, where  $\pi^{\sharp}: T^{*}M \longrightarrow TM$  is given by  $\langle \pi^{\sharp}(\xi), \eta \rangle = \pi(\xi, \eta)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ , and the symplectic form is characterized by  $\omega_{p}(H_{f}, H_{g}) = \pi(df, dg)|_{p}$ , in which  $p \in M$ ,  $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M)$  and  $H_{f}, H_{g}$  are Hamiltonian vector fields.

**Example 3.12.** Consider the Poisson manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^3/\{0\}, \pi = x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ . Then  $\operatorname{Im}(\pi^{\sharp})$  is a symplectic Lie algebroid. In the sequel, we give the corresponding pre-symplectic algebroid structure locally. At any point p = (x, y, z), where  $y \neq 0$ ,

$$\{e_1 = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, e_2 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\}$$

is a basis of  $\operatorname{Im}(\pi_n^{\sharp})$ . We know that symplectic leaves are of the form

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = r^2, r \neq 0\}.$$

On every symplectic leaf, the symplectic structure is given by  $\omega = ye_1^* \wedge e_2^*$ . By (23), we have

$$e_1 \star e_2 = -\frac{1}{2y}(ze_1 + xe_2); \quad e_2 \star e_1 = \frac{1}{2y}(ze_1 + xe_2).$$

The following example was introduced in [7] which plays an important role in geometric mechanics.

**Example 3.13.** Let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, a)$  be a Lie algebroid over a manifold M, and  $\tau : A \longrightarrow M$  the vector bundle projection. Let  $\tau^* : A^* \longrightarrow M$  be the vector bundle projection of the dual bundle  $A^*$ . We consider the prolongation  $\mathcal{L}^{\tau^*}A$  of A over  $\tau^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^{\tau^*} A = \{ (x, v) \in A \times TA^* \mid a(x) = T\tau^*(v) \},\$$

where  $T\tau^*:TA^*\longrightarrow TM$  is the tangent map of  $\tau^*$ . Denote by  $\tau^{\tau^*}:\mathcal{L}^{\tau^*}A\longrightarrow A^*$  the map given by

$$\tau^{\tau^*}(x,v) := \tau_{A^*}(v), \quad \forall (x,v) \in \mathcal{L}^{\tau^*}A,$$

where  $\tau_{A^*}: TA^* \longrightarrow A^*$  is the canonical projection. Now let  $\{x^i\}$  be local coordinates on an open subset U of M,  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  a basis of sections of the vector bundle  $\tau^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$  and  $\{e^{\alpha}\}$  the dual basis of  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ . Then  $\{\tilde{e}_{\alpha}, \bar{e}_{\alpha}\}$  is the basis of the vector bundle  $\tau^{\tau^*}((\tau^*)^{-1}(U)) \longrightarrow (\tau^*)^{-1}(U)$ , which is given by

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha}(\xi) = (e_{\alpha}(\tau^{*}(\xi)), a_{\alpha}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}|_{\xi}),$$

$$\bar{e}_{\alpha}(\xi) = (0, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\alpha}}|_{\xi}).$$

Here  $a_{\alpha}^{i}$  are the components of the anchor map with respect to the basis  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ , i.e.  $a(e_{\alpha}) = a_{\alpha}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$  and  $\{x^{i}, y_{\alpha}\}$  are the corresponding local coordinates on  $A^{*}$  induced by the local coordinates  $\{x^{i}\}$  and the basis  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ . Then the Lie algebroid structure  $(\mathcal{L}^{\tau^{*}}A, [\cdot, \cdot]^{\tau^{*}}, a^{\tau^{*}})$  on  $\mathcal{L}^{\tau^{*}}A$  is locally defined by

$$\begin{split} & \left[ \tilde{e}_{\alpha}, \tilde{e}_{\beta} \right]^{\tau^*} & = & C_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} \tilde{e}_{\gamma}, \\ & \left[ \tilde{e}_{\alpha}, \bar{e}_{\beta} \right]^{\tau^*} & = & \left[ \bar{e}_{\alpha}, \bar{e}_{\beta} \right]^{\tau^*} = 0, \\ & a^{\tau^*} (\tilde{e}_{\alpha}) & = & a_{\alpha}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, \quad a^{\tau^*} (\bar{e}_{\alpha}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}}, \end{split}$$

where  $C_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$  are structure functions of the Lie bracket  $[\cdot,\cdot]_A$  with respect to the basis  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ . The canonical symplectic section  $\Omega$  is locally given by

$$\Omega = \tilde{e}^{\alpha} \wedge \bar{e}^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} C^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} y_{\gamma} \tilde{e}^{\alpha} \wedge \tilde{e}^{\beta}. \tag{26}$$

By (23), the pre-symplectic algebroid structure is given by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{e}_k \star \tilde{e}_k &= (-a_k^i y_\gamma \frac{\partial C_{km}^\gamma}{\partial x^i} + C_{km}^\gamma C_{kr}^p y_p) \bar{e}_m; \\ \tilde{e}_k \star \tilde{e}_l &= (C_{km}^\gamma C_{lr}^p y_p - a_k^i y_\gamma \frac{\partial C_{lm}^\gamma}{\partial x^i} - \frac{1}{2} a_m^i y_\gamma \frac{\partial C_{kl}^\gamma}{\partial x^i} + \frac{1}{2} C_{kl}^p C_{jm}^\gamma y_\gamma) \bar{e}_m + \frac{1}{2} C_{kl}^m \tilde{e}_m, \quad k \neq l; \\ \tilde{e}_k \star \bar{e}_l &= -C_{km}^l \bar{e}_m; \quad \bar{e}_l \star \tilde{e}_k = -C_{km}^l \bar{e}_m; \quad \bar{e}_k \star \bar{e}_l = 0. \end{split}$$

In [16], we proved that there is a symplectic Lie algebroid  $A^c \ltimes_{L^*} A^*$  associated to any left-symmetric algebroid A (Theorem 2.7). Now it is natural to investigate the corresponding presymplectic algebroid.

**Proposition 3.14.** Let  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a left-symmetric algebroid and  $(A^c \ltimes_{L^*} A^*, \omega)$  the corresponding symplectic Lie algebroid, where  $\omega$  is given by (7). Then the corresponding pre-symplectic algebroid structure is given by

$$(x+\xi) \star (y+\eta) = x \cdot_A y + \mathcal{L}_x \eta - R_y^* \xi - \frac{1}{2} d(x+\xi, y+\eta)_+,$$
 (27)

where  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $R^*$  are given by (4) and (6) respectively and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_+$  is the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $A \oplus A^*$  given by

$$(x + \xi, y + \eta)_{+} = \langle \xi, y \rangle + \langle \eta, x \rangle. \tag{28}$$

We call the above pre-symplectic algebroid the **pseudo-semidirect product** of the left-symmetric algebroid  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  and its dual bundle  $A^*$ .

**Proof.** By (22), for all  $x, y, z \in \Gamma(A), \xi, \eta, \zeta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ , we have

$$\omega((x+\xi)\star(y+\eta),z+\zeta)$$

$$= \rho(x+\xi)\omega(y+\eta,z+\zeta) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(z+\zeta)\omega(x+\xi,y+\eta) - \omega(y+\eta,[x+\xi,z+\zeta]_S)$$

$$= a_A(x)(\langle \eta,z\rangle - \langle y,\zeta\rangle) + \frac{1}{2}a_A(z)(\langle \xi,y\rangle - \langle x,\eta\rangle) - \langle \eta,[x,z]_A\rangle + \langle y,L_x^*\zeta - L_z^*\xi\rangle$$

$$= a_A(x)\langle \eta,z\rangle - \langle \eta,[x,z]_A\rangle - a_A(x)\langle y,\zeta\rangle + \langle y,L_x^*\zeta\rangle + \frac{1}{2}a_A(z)\langle \xi,y\rangle - \langle y,L_z^*\xi\rangle - \frac{1}{2}a_A(z)\langle x,\eta\rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{L}_x\eta,z\rangle - \langle x\cdot_Ay,\zeta\rangle - \langle R_y^*\xi,z\rangle - \frac{1}{2}a_A(z)(\langle \xi,y\rangle + \langle x,\eta\rangle)$$

$$= \omega(x\cdot_Ay + \mathcal{L}_x\eta - R_y^*\xi - \frac{1}{2}d(x+\xi,y+\eta)_+,z+\zeta),$$

which implies that (27) holds.

**Definition 3.15.** Let  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  be a pre-symplectic algebroid. A subbundle F of E is called **isotropic** if it is isotropic under the skew-symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-}$ . It is called **integrable** if  $\Gamma(F)$  is closed under the operation  $\star$ . A **Dirac structure** is a subbundle F which is maximally isotropic and integrable.

The following proposition is obvious.

**Proposition 3.16.** Let F be a Dirac structure of a pre-symplectic algebroid  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$ . Then  $(F, \star|_{F}, \rho|_{F})$  is a left-symmetric algebroid.

**Proposition 3.17.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between Dirac structures of a presymplectic algebroid  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  and Lagrangian subalgebroids of the corresponding symplectic Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_{E}, \rho, \omega = (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$ .

**Proof.** Let F be a Dirac structure of  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$ . By Proposition 3.16,  $(F, \star|_F, \rho|_F)$  is a left-symmetric algebroid. Then it is straightforward to see that  $(F, [\cdot, \cdot]_F = [\cdot, \cdot]_E|_F, \rho|_F)$  is a Lagrangian subalgebroid of the corresponding symplectic Lie algebroid.

Conversely, assume that  $(F, [\cdot, \cdot]_E|_F, \rho|_F)$  is a Lagrangian subalgebroid of the corresponding symplectic Lie algebroid. By (24), for all  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(F) = \Gamma(F^{\perp})$ , we have

$$\omega(e_1 \star e_2, e_3) = \rho(e_1)\omega(e_2, e_3) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_3)\omega(e_1, e_2) - \omega(e_2, [e_1, e_3]_E) = 0,$$

which implies that  $e_1 \star e_2 \in \Gamma(F)$ , i.e.  $\Gamma(F)$  is closed under the operation  $\star$ . Therefore, F is a Dirac structure.

## 4 Classification of exact pre-symplectic algebroids

In this section, we study exact pre-symplectic algebroids, which can be viewed as twists of the pseudo-semidirect products by 3-cocycles. In the sequel,  $(M, \nabla)$  is a flat manifold and  $T_{\nabla}M$  is the associated left-symmetric algebroid given in Example 2.5.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(M, \nabla)$  be a flat manifold. A pre-symplectic algebroid  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  is called **exact with respect to the left-symmetric algebroid**  $T_{\nabla}M$  if the following short sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow T^*M \xrightarrow{\rho^*} E \xrightarrow{\rho} TM \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and  $\rho(e_1 \star e_2) = \nabla_{\rho(e_1)} \rho(e_2)$ , where  $\rho^* : T^*M \longrightarrow E$  is given by

$$(\rho^*(\xi), e)_- = \langle \xi, \rho(e) \rangle, \quad \forall \xi \in \Omega^1(M), \ e \in \Gamma(E).$$

Now choose an isotropic splitting  $\sigma:TM\longrightarrow E$  of the above exact sequence. Define  $\phi:\mathcal{X}(M)\times\mathcal{X}(M)\longrightarrow\Omega^1(M)$  by

$$\rho^* \phi(x, y) = \sigma(x) \star \sigma(y) - \sigma(\nabla_x y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}(M). \tag{29}$$

By Proposition 3.5, we have  $\phi \in \Gamma(\otimes^3 T^*M)$ . In fact, by (29), we obtain

$$\rho^* \phi(x, fy) = \sigma(x) \star \sigma(fy) - \sigma(\nabla_x fy)$$

$$= f\sigma(x) \star \sigma(y) + \rho \circ \sigma(x)(f)y - f\sigma(\nabla_x y) - x(f)\sigma(y)$$

$$= f\rho^* \phi(x, y).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\rho^* \phi(fx, y) = f \rho^* \phi(x, y).$$

Given an isotropic splitting  $\sigma$ , we have  $E \cong TM \oplus T^*M$ . Under this isomorphism, the nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_-$  is exactly given by (7),  $\rho$  is the projection to the first summand. Using condition (ii) in Definition 3.1, we can deduce that the multiplication  $\star$  is given by<sup>3</sup>

$$(x+\xi) \star (y+\eta) = \nabla_x y + \mathcal{L}_x \eta - R_y^* \xi - \frac{1}{2} d(x+\xi, y+\eta)_+ + \phi(x,y).$$
 (30)

By condition (ii) in Definition 3.1, we obtain

$$\langle \phi(x,y), z \rangle = \langle y, \phi(x,z) - \phi(z,x) \rangle,$$
 (31)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>It is a twist of the pseudo-semidirect product given by (27).

which implies that

$$\phi(x, y, z) = \phi(x, z, y) - \phi(z, x, y). \tag{32}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\phi(x, y, z) = -\phi(z, y, x). \tag{33}$$

Now we define  $\tilde{\phi} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes T^*M)$  by

$$\tilde{\phi}(x, y, z) = \phi(x, z, y), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}(M). \tag{34}$$

By (32) and (33), we have

$$\tilde{\phi}(x, y, z) + c.p. = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}(M). \tag{35}$$

**Proposition 4.2.** With the above notations,  $(TM \oplus T^*M, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  is a pre-symplectic algebroid, where the nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-}$  is given by (7),  $\rho$  is the projection to the first summand and the multiplication  $\star$  is given by (30), if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is closed, i.e.

$$\delta\tilde{\phi} = 0. \tag{36}$$

Here  $\delta$  is the coboundary operator associated to the left-symmetric algebroid  $T_{\nabla}M$  given by (9).

**Proof.** First it is straightforward to deduce that condition (ii) in Definition 3.1 holds. Then by (32), for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  and  $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \Omega^1(M)$ , we have

$$(x + \xi, y + \eta, z + \zeta) - (y + \eta, x + \xi, z + \zeta) - \frac{1}{6}DT(x + \xi, y + \eta, z + \zeta)$$

$$= \phi(x, \nabla_{y}z) - \phi(\nabla_{x}y, z) + \mathcal{L}_{x}\phi(y, z) + R_{z}^{*}\phi(x, y)$$

$$-\phi(y, \nabla_{x}z) + \phi(\nabla_{y}x, z) - \mathcal{L}_{y}\phi(x, z) - R_{z}^{*}\phi(y, x)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3}d(\phi(x, y, z) - \phi(y, z, x) - \phi(y, x, z) + \phi(x, z, y))$$

$$= \phi(x, \nabla_{y}z) - \phi(\nabla_{x}y, z) + \mathcal{L}_{x}\phi(y, z) + R_{z}^{*}\phi(x, y)$$

$$-\phi(y, \nabla_{x}z) + \phi(\nabla_{y}x, z) - \mathcal{L}_{y}\phi(x, z) - R_{z}^{*}\phi(y, x) + d(\phi(x, z, y)),$$

and

$$\langle \phi(x, \nabla_{y}z) - \phi(\nabla_{x}y, z) + \mathcal{L}_{x}\phi(y, z) + R_{z}^{*}\phi(x, y) \\ -\phi(y, \nabla_{x}z) + \phi(\nabla_{y}x, z) - \mathcal{L}_{y}\phi(x, z) - R_{z}^{*}\phi(y, x) + d(\phi(x, z, y)), w \rangle$$

$$= \phi(x, \nabla_{y}z, w) - \phi(\nabla_{x}y, z, w) + x\phi(y, z, w) - \phi(y, z, [x, w]) \\ -\phi(x, y, \nabla_{w}z) - \phi(y, \nabla_{x}z, w) + \phi(\nabla_{y}x, z, w) - y\phi(x, z, w) \\ +\phi(x, z, [y, w]) + \phi(y, x, \nabla_{w}z) + w\phi(x, z, y)$$

$$= \phi(x, \nabla_{y}z, w) - \phi([x, y], z, w) + x\phi(y, z, w) - \phi(y, z, [x, w]) \\ -\phi(x, \nabla_{w}z, y) - \phi(y, \nabla_{x}z, w) - y\phi(x, z, w) + \phi(x, z, [y, w]) + w\phi(x, z, y)$$

$$= \tilde{\phi}(x, w, \nabla_{y}z) - \tilde{\phi}([x, y], w, z) + x\tilde{\phi}(y, w, z) - \tilde{\phi}(y, [x, w], z) \\ -\tilde{\phi}(x, y, \nabla_{w}z) - \tilde{\phi}(y, w, \nabla_{x}z) - y\tilde{\phi}(x, w, z) + \tilde{\phi}(x, [y, w], z) + w\tilde{\phi}(x, y, z)$$

$$= \delta\tilde{\phi}(x, y, w, z).$$

Therefore, condition (i) in Definition 3.1 holds if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}$  is closed.

Let  $\sigma': TM \longrightarrow E$  be another isotropic splitting. Assume that

$$\sigma(x) - \sigma'(x) = \theta(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}(M),$$
 (37)

where  $\theta: TM \longrightarrow T^*M$  is a bundle map. Similar to (29), define  $\phi': \mathcal{X}(M) \times \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(M)$  by

$$\rho^* \phi'(x, y) = \sigma'(x) \star \sigma'(y) - \sigma'(\nabla_x y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

Since both  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are isotropic, we have

$$0 = (\sigma(x), \sigma(y))_{-} = (\sigma'(x) + \rho^* \theta(x), \sigma'(y) + \rho^* \theta(y))_{-}$$
$$= (\rho^* \theta(x), \sigma'(y))_{-} - (\rho^* \theta(y), \sigma'(x))_{-}$$
$$= \theta(x, y) - \theta(y, x),$$

which implies that

$$\theta(x, y) = \theta(y, x).$$

Furthermore, by (29) and condition (ii) in Definition 3.1, we have

$$\rho^*(\phi(x,y) - \phi'(x,y)) = \sigma(x) \star \sigma(y) - \sigma'(x) \star \sigma'(y) - \left(\sigma(\nabla_x y) - \sigma'(\nabla_x y)\right)$$

$$= \sigma'(x) \star \rho^* \theta(y) + \rho^* \theta(x) \star \sigma'(y) - \rho^* \theta(\nabla_x y)$$

$$= \rho^* \left(\mathcal{L}_x \theta(y) - R_y^* \theta(x) - \frac{1}{2} d(\theta(y,x) + \theta(x,y)) - \theta(\nabla_x y)\right).$$

Since  $\rho$  is surjective,  $\rho^*$  is injective. Therefore, we have

$$\phi(x,y,z) - \phi'(x,y,z) = \langle \mathcal{L}_x \theta(y) - R_y^* \theta(x) - \frac{1}{2} d(\theta(y,x) + \theta(x,y)) - \theta(\nabla_x y), z \rangle$$

$$= x\theta(y,z) - \theta(y,[x,z]) - z\theta(x,y) + \theta(x,\nabla_z y) - \theta(\nabla_x y,z)$$

$$= \delta\theta(x,z,y).$$

Equivalently, we have

$$\tilde{\phi}(x,z,y) - \tilde{\phi}'(x,z,y) = \delta\theta(x,z,y). \tag{38}$$

Now we introduce a new cochain complex, whose third cohomology group classifies exact presymplectic algebroids. Let  $(A, \cdot_A, a_A)$  be a left-symmetric algebroid. For all  $x, y, z \in \Gamma(A)$ , define

$$\tilde{C}^{1}(A) = \{ \varphi \in C^{1}(A) \mid \varphi([x,y]_{A}) = a_{A}(x)\varphi(y) - a_{A}(y)\varphi(x) \}, 
\tilde{C}^{2}(A) = \{ \varphi \in C^{2}(A) \mid \varphi(x,y) = \varphi(y,x) \}, 
\tilde{C}^{3}(A) = \{ \varphi \in C^{3}(A) \mid \varphi(x,y,z) + c.p. = 0 \}, 
\tilde{C}^{n}(A) = C^{n}(A), \quad n > 4.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the cochain complex  $(\tilde{C}^n(A), \delta)$  is a subcomplex of the cochain complex  $(C^n(A), \delta)$ . We denote the *n*-th cohomology group of  $(\tilde{C}^n(A), \delta)$  by  $\tilde{H}^n(A)$ .

By (38), we have

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(M, \nabla)$  be a flat manifold and  $T_{\nabla}M$  the associated left-symmetric algebroid. Then the exact pre-symplectic algebroids with respect to the left-symmetric algebroid  $T_{\nabla}M$  are classified by the third cohomology group  $\tilde{H}^3(T_{\nabla}M)$ .

### 5 Para-complex pre-symplectic algebroids

In this section, we give an important application of pre-symplectic algebroids in the theory of pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroids. We introduce the notion of a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-}, P)$ , which can give rise to a para-Kähler Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_{E}, \rho, \omega, P)$  and a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_{E}, \rho, g)$ . Thus, para-complex pre-symplectic algebroids can be viewed as the underlying structures of para-Kähler Lie algebroids. Moreover, the multiplication  $\star$  in a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid can characterize the Levi-Civita E-connection on Lagrangian subalgebroids  $E^+$  and  $E^-$ . This gives a geometric interpretation of the multiplication in a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid. Furthermore, there is a natural example of para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid constructed from a pseudo-semidirect product.

### 5.1 Para-Kähler and pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroids

**Definition 5.1.** A para-complex structure on a real Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho)$  over M is a bundle map  $P: E \longrightarrow E$  satisfying  $P^2 = \operatorname{id}$  and the following integrability condition:

$$P[e_1, e_2]_E = [P(e_1), e_2]_E + [e_1, P(e_2)]_E - P[P(e_1), P(e_2)]_E, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$
(39)

We denote

$$E_m^+ := \{ x \in E_m \mid P_m(x) = x, \ \forall m \in M \}, \quad E_m^- := \{ \xi \in E_m \mid P_m(\xi) = -\xi, \ \forall m \in M \}.$$

It is obvious that  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  are subbundles of E and E is the Whitney sum of  $E^+$  and  $E^-$ , i.e.  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** The integrability condition of P is equivalent to the fact that  $(E^+, [\cdot, \cdot] \mid_{E^+}, \rho \mid_{E^+})$  and  $(E^-, [\cdot, \cdot] \mid_{E^-}, \rho \mid_{E^-})$  are subalgebroids of the Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho)$ .

**Definition 5.3.** A para-Kähler Lie algebroid is a symplectic Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, \omega)$  with a para-complex structure P satisfying the following condition:

$$\omega(P(e_1), e_2) + \omega(e_1, P(e_2)) = 0, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$
(40)

Denote a para-Kähler Lie algebroid by  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, \omega, P)$  or simply by  $(E, \omega, P)$ .

**Remark 5.4.** The notion of a para-Kähler Lie algebroid was originally introduced in [14], in which a para-Kähler Lie algebroid is a symplectic Lie algebroid with two transversal Lagrangian subalgebroids. Our definition of a para-Kähler Lie algebroid is equivalent to the one given in [14].

In fact, if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are two transversal Lagrangian subalgebroids of a symplectic Lie algebroid  $(E,\omega)$ , define  $P:E\longrightarrow E$  by

$$P(x+\xi) = x - \xi, \quad x \in \Gamma(A_1), \xi \in \Gamma(A_2). \tag{41}$$

Then  $(E, \omega, P)$  is a para-Kähler Lie algebroid in the sense of Definition 5.3.

Conversely, let  $(E, \omega, P)$  be a para-Kähler Lie algebroid in the sense of Definition 5.3. By (40), both  $(E^+, [\cdot, \cdot] \mid_{E^+}, \rho \mid_{E^+})$  and  $(E^-, [\cdot, \cdot] \mid_{E^-}, \rho \mid_{E^-})$  are isotropic subalgebroids. Since  $\Gamma(E) = \Gamma(E^+) \oplus \Gamma(E^-)$ ,  $(E^+, [\cdot, \cdot] \mid_{E^+}, \rho \mid_{E^+})$  and  $(E^-, [\cdot, \cdot] \mid_{E^-}, \rho \mid_{E^-})$  are Lagrangian subalgebroids of the symplectic Lie algebroid  $(E, \omega)$ .

Recall that a **pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid** is a Lie algebroid  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho)$  with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g.

**Definition 5.5.** A connection  $\nabla^g$  is called a **Levi-Civita** E-connection associated to the pseudo-Riemannian metric g if for all  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$ , the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\nabla^g$  is metric. i.e.  $\rho(e_1)g(e_2, e_3) = g(\nabla^g_{e_1}e_2, e_3) + g(e_2, \nabla^g_{e_1}e_3);$
- (ii)  $\nabla^g$  is torsion free. i.e.  $[e_1, e_2]_E = \nabla^g_{e_1} e_2 \nabla^g_{e_2} e_1$ .

The Levi-Civita E-connection  $\nabla^g$  associated to the pseudo-Riemannian metric g can be uniquely determined by the following formula

$$2g(\nabla_{e_1}^g e_2, e_3) = \rho(e_1)g(e_2, e_3) + \rho(e_2)g(e_1, e_3) - \rho(e_3)g(e_1, e_2) + g([e_3, e_1]_E, e_2) + g([e_3, e_2]_E, e_1) + g([e_1, e_2]_E, e_3), \ \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E).$$
(42)

Let  $(E, \omega, P)$  be a para-Kähler Lie algebroid. Define  $g \in \otimes^2 E^*$  by

$$g(e_1, e_2) := \omega(e_1, P(e_2)), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$
 (43)

By (40), g is symmetric. By the non-degeneracy of  $\omega$ , g is non-degenerate. Therefore, g is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on A.

**Proposition 5.6.** Let  $(E, \omega, P)$  be a para-Kähler Lie algebroid. Then (E, g) is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid, where g is given by (43). Furthermore, for all  $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ , we have

$$\nabla_{e_1}^g P(e_2) = P(\nabla_{e_1}^g e_2), \tag{44}$$

$$g(P(e_1), P(e_2)) = -g(e_1, e_2).$$
 (45)

**Proof.** By (40) and (43), it is straightforward to deduce that (45) holds. Now we prove that (44) holds. For all  $e \in \Gamma(E), y, z \in \Gamma(E^+)$ , since  $\Gamma(E^+)$  is a Lagrangian subalgebroid and  $\omega$  is closed, we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} 2g(\nabla_e^g y,z) & = & \rho(e)g(y,z) + \rho(y)g(e,z) - \rho(z)g(e,y) \\ & & + g([z,e]_E,y) + g([z,y]_E,e) + g([e,y]_E,z) \\ & = & - \rho(e)\omega(y,z) + \rho(y)\omega(e,z) - \rho(z)\omega(e,y) \\ & & + \omega([z,e]_E,y) + \omega([y,z]_E,e) + \omega([e,y]_E,z) \\ & = & -\mathrm{d}\omega(e,y,z) = 0, \end{array}$$

which implies that  $g(\nabla_e^g y, z) = 0$ . Thus, for all  $e \in \Gamma(E), y, z \in \Gamma(E^+)$ , we have

$$g(\nabla^g_{\epsilon} P(y), z) = g(\nabla^g_{\epsilon} y, z) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by (40), we have

$$g(P(\nabla_e^g y), z) = \omega(P(\nabla_e^g y), P(z)) = -\omega(\nabla_e^g y, P^2(z)) = -g(\nabla_e^g y, z) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$g(\nabla_e^g P(y), z) = g(P(\nabla_e^g y), z), \quad \forall e \in \Gamma(E), y, z \in \Gamma(E^+).$$
 (46)

For all  $e \in \Gamma(E), y \in \Gamma(E^+), \xi \in \Gamma(E^-)$ , we have

$$g(\nabla_e^g P(y), \xi) = g(\nabla_e^g y, \xi) = -g(\nabla_e^g y, P(\xi)) = g(P(\nabla_e^g y), \xi). \tag{47}$$

By (46) and (47), we deduce that

$$\nabla_e^g P(y) = P(\nabla_e^g y), \quad \forall e \in \Gamma(E), y \in \Gamma(E^+).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\nabla_e^g P(\xi) = P(\nabla_e^g \xi), \quad \forall e \in \Gamma(E), \xi \in \Gamma(E^-).$$

(44) follows immediately.

Corollary 5.7. For all  $e \in \Gamma(E)$ , we have  $\nabla_e^g \Gamma(E^+) \subseteq \Gamma(E^+)$  and  $\nabla_e^g \Gamma(E^-) \subseteq \Gamma(E^-)$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** Let  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho)$  be a Lie algebroid with a pseudo-Riemannian metric g. Let  $P: E \longrightarrow E$  be a bundle map satisfying  $P^2 = \mathrm{id}$ , (44) and (45). Then there is a natural non-degenerate closed 2-form defined by

$$\omega(e_1, e_2) = g(e_1, P(e_2)), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$
 (48)

Furthermore,  $(E, \omega, P)$  is a para-Kähler Lie algebroid.

**Proof.** It follows from the same proof of Proposition 5.6.

#### 5.2 Para-complex structures on pre-symplectic algebroids

**Definition 5.9.** Let  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  be a pre-symplectic algebroid. A para-complex structure on E is a bundle map  $P: E \longrightarrow E$  satisfying the algebraic conditions:

$$P^2 = id, \quad (P(x), P(y))_- = -(x, y)_-, \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(E),$$
 (49)

and the integrability condition:

$$P(e_1 \star e_2) = P(e_1) \star e_2 + e_1 \star P(e_2) - P(P(e_1) \star P(e_2)), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$
 (50)

We call a pre-symplectic algebroid  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$  with a para-complex structure P a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid.

Denote a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid by  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-}, P)$ . Let us also denote

$$E_m^+ := \{ x \in E_m \mid P_m(x) = x, \ \forall m \in M \}, \quad E_m^- := \{ \xi \in E_m \mid P_m(\xi) = -\xi, \ \forall m \in M \}.$$

By the algebraic condition (49),  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  are isotropic subbundles of vector bundle E over M and E is the Whitney sum of  $E^+$  and  $E^-$ , i.e.  $E=E^+\oplus E^-$ . By the integrability condition (50), we have

**Lemma 5.10.** Let P be a para-complex structure on a pre-symplectic algebroid  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$ . Then  $E^{+}$  and  $E^{-}$  are transversal Dirac structures of the pre-symplectic algebroid  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-})$ .

**Proposition 5.11.** Let  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_{-}, P)$  be a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid. Then  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_{E}, \rho, \omega = (\cdot, \cdot)_{-}, P)$  is a para-Kähler Lie algebroid.

Conversely, if  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, \omega, P)$  is a para-Kähler Lie algebroid, then  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_- = \omega, P)$  is a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that the integrability condition (50) implies the integrability condition (39). By (49), we can deduce that (40) holds. Thus,  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, \omega = (\cdot, \cdot)_-, P)$  is a para-Kähler Lie algebroid.

Conversely, if  $(E, [\cdot, \cdot]_E, \rho, \omega, P)$  is a para-Kähler Lie algebroid, by the fact that  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  are two transversal Lagrangian subalgebroids, we can deduce that the integrability condition (50) holds. We omit details.

**Proposition 5.12.** Let  $(E, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_-, P)$  be a para-complex pre-symplectic algebroid. Then (E, g) is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid, where g is the pseudo-Riemannian metric defined by (43), in which  $\omega = (\cdot, \cdot)_-$ . Furthermore, for all  $x, y \in \Gamma(E^+)$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(E^-)$ , we have

$$\nabla^g_x y = x \star y, \quad \nabla^g_\xi \eta = \xi \star \eta,$$

where  $\nabla^g$  is the Levi-Civita E-connection defined by (42).

**Proof.** By Proposition 5.11 and Proposition 5.6, (E,g) is a pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid. For all  $x, y \in \Gamma(E^+)$ ,  $\xi \in \Gamma(E^-)$ , by the fact that  $E^+$  is isotropic and condition (ii) in Definition 3.1, we have

$$(x \star y, \xi)_{-} = \rho(x)(y, \xi)_{-} - (y, [x, \xi]_{A})_{-}.$$

By (43) and condition (i) in Definition 5.5, we have

$$g(x \star y, \xi) = \rho(x)g(y, \xi) - g(y, [x, \xi]_A) = \rho(x)g(y, \xi) - g(y, \nabla_x^g \xi) = g(\nabla_x^g y, \xi).$$

On the other hand, for  $x, y, z \in \Gamma(E^+)$ , we have  $g(x \star y, z) = g(\nabla_x^g y, z) = 0$ . Thus, for all  $x, y \in \Gamma(E^+)$ , we have  $\nabla_x^g y = x \star y$ . Similarly, for all  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(E^-)$ ,  $\nabla_{\xi}^g \eta = \xi \star \eta$ .

**Remark 5.13.** The above result means that the pre-symplectic algebroid structure can characterize the restriction to Lagrangian subalgebroids of the Levi-Civita E-connection  $\nabla^g$  in the corresponding pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebroid.

**Example 5.14.** Consider the pre-symplectic algebroid  $(E = A \oplus A^*, \star, \rho, (\cdot, \cdot)_-)$  given in Proposition 3.14, where  $\star$  is given by (27),  $(\cdot, \cdot)_-$  is given by (7) and  $\rho = a_A$ . The bundle map  $P : E \longrightarrow E$  defined by

$$P(x+\xi) = x - \xi, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma(A), \xi \in \Gamma(A^*), \tag{51}$$

is a para-complex structure on E.

### References

- [1] S. Benayadi and M. Boucetta, On para-Kähler and hyper-para-Kähler Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* 436 (2015), 61–101.
- [2] D. Burde, Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 4 (2006), 323-357.
- [3] F. Chapoton and M. Livernet, Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 8 (2001), 395-408.
- [4] B. Y. Chu, Symplectic homogeneous spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 197 (1974) 145-159.

- [5] T. Courant, Dirac manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 319 (1990), no. 2, 631-661.
- [6] V. Cruceanu, P. Fortuny and P. M. Gadea, A survey on paracomplex geometry, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 26 (1996) 83–115.
- [7] M. de León, J. C. Marrero and E. Martínez, Lagrangian submanifolds and dynamics on Lie algebroids, J. Phys. A 38 (2005), No. 24, R241–R308.
- [8] A. Dzhumadil'daev, Cohomologies and deformations of right-symmetric algebras, *J. Math. Sci.* 93 (1999), 836-876.
- [9] M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, Ann. Math. 78 (1963), 267-288.
- [10] D. Iglesias, J. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego, E. Martinez and E. Padrón, Reduction of symplectic Lie algebroids by a Lie subalgebroid and a symmetry Lie group. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 3 (2007), Paper 049, 28 pp.
- [11] S. Kaneyuki, Homogeneous symplectic manifolds and dipolarizations in Lie algebras, *Tokyo J. Math.* 15 (1992), 313-325.
- [12] H. Kim, Complete left-invariant affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups, J. Diff. Geom. 24 (1986), 373-394.
- [13] J. L. Koszul, Domaines bornés homogènes et orbites de groupes de transformations affines, Bull. Soc. Math. France 89 (1961), 515–533.
- [14] E. Leichtnam, X. Tang and A. Weinstein, Poisson geometry and deformation quantization near a strictly pseudoconvex boundary. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 9 (2007), no. 4, 681–704.
- [15] A. Lichnerowicz and A. Medina, On Lie groups with left-invariant symplectic or Kählerian structures. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 16 (1988), 225–235.
- [16] J. Liu, Y. Sheng, C. Bai and Z. Chen, left-symmetric algebroids. Math. Nachr. 289 (2016), No. 14-15, 1893-1908.
- [17] K. C. H. Mackenzie, General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids. *Lecture Note Series*, 213. *London Mathematical Society.*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [18] J. Marrero, E. Padrón and M. Rodriguez-Olmos, Reduction of a symplectic-like Lie algebroid with momentum map and its application to fiberwise linear Poisson structures. *J. Phys. A* 45 (2012), no. 16, 165201, 34 pp.
- [19] A. Medina, Flat left-invariant connections adapted to the automorphism structure of a Lie group, *J. Diff. Geom.* 16 (1981), 445-474.
- [20] J. Milnor, Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups, Adv. Math. 21 (1976) 293-329.
- [21] R. Nest and B. Tsygan, Deformations of symplectic Lie algebroids, deformations of holomorphic symplectic structures, and index theorems. *Asian J. Math.* 5 (2001), no. 4, 599-635.
- [22] M. Nguiffo Boyom, Cohomology of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids and Poisson manifolds. I. Banach Center Publ. 54 (2001), 99-110.

- [23] M. Nguiffo Boyom, KV-cohomology of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids and Poisson manifolds. *Internat. J. Math.* 16 (2005), no. 9, 1033-1061.
- [24] Ševera P and Weinstein A. Poisson geometry with a 3-form background. Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl., (144):145–154, 2001. Noncommutative geometry and string theory (Yokohama, 2001).
- [25] Y. Sheng, Categorification of Pre-Lie Algebras and Solutions of 2-graded Classical Yang-Baxter Equations, arXiv:1403.2144.
- [26] I. Vaisman, Generalized para-Kähler manifolds, Diff. Geom. Appl. 42 (2015) 84–103.
- [27] E. B. Vinberg, Convex homogeneous cones, Transl. Moscou Math. Soc. 12 (1963), 340-403.