EXTENSION OF ANALYTIC COVERS BY EXTENSION OF THEIR RAMIFICATION DIVISORS

L. LAVOINE

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with extension of analytic covers. We prove topological extension theorems for analytic covers. The main result is an extension theorem which only uses the extension of the ramification divisor. We give also a Thullen-type and a Hartogs-type extensions theorems.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Topological extension of covers	4
3. Theorem of Grauert and Remmert	8
4. Thullen type extension of analytic covers	13
5. Extension by the extension of the ramification divisor	14
6. Extension of analytic covers over a polydisk	17
7. Hartogs type extension of analytic covers	22
8. Examples	24
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

By an *analytic cover* we understand a triple $(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{c}, X)$ where $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a zerodimensional proper surjective holomorphic map between normal complex spaces \tilde{X} and X.

Zero-dimensional means that for every $x \in X$ the preimage $c^{-1}(x)$ is discrete. Since c is in addition supposed to be proper it is a *finite* map. There exists a proper analytic set $\mathcal{R} \subset X$ such that the restriction of c over $X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ induces a finite unramified cover, see details in section 2.1. The set \mathcal{R} is called the *ramification locus* of the cover. One says that (\tilde{X}, c, X) is a *connected analytic cover* if the covering space \tilde{X} is connected.

The goal of this paper is to study when an analytic cover over a domain $D_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ can be extended to an analytic cover over a bigger domain D_1 . Clearly in order to achieve this it requires that the ramification locus extends to an analytic set in D_1 . The main problem is to understand if it is sufficient. Examples are an important part of this paper.

Date: November 23, 2021.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary - 32D15, Secondary - 32F10.

Key words and phrases. Analytic cover, extension of analytic objects, q-convexity, braid groups.

1.1. Statement of results.

Theorem 1. Let $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ be a b_0 -sheeted connected analytic cover over a domain $D_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Suppose that its ramification locus \mathcal{R}_0 extends to an analytic set \mathcal{R}_1 in a domain $D_1 \supset D_0$ and the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{i}_* : \pi_1(D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0, z_*) \to \pi_1(D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1, z_*)$ is surjective. Here z_* is some point in $D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$ and $\mathbf{i} : D_0 \to D_1$ denotes the natural inclusion. Then $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ extends to a connected analytic cover $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, D_1)$ over D_1 in the sense that there exists a holomorphic map $\mathbf{i} : \tilde{D}_0 \to \tilde{D}_1$ such that the diagram

is commutative i.e., $c_1 \circ \tilde{i} = c_0$. Moreover the cover (\tilde{D}_1, c_1, D_1) verifies the following:

- (i) the number b_1 of its sheets doesn't exceed b_0 and \tilde{i} is surjective over D_0 i.e., $\tilde{i}(\tilde{D}_0) = c_1^{-1}(D_0);$
- (ii) if $b_1 = b_0$ then the map $\tilde{i} : \tilde{D}_0 \to \tilde{D}_1$ is injective;
- (iii) every connected analytic cover (D
 [']₁, c
 [']₁, D
 ¹) which is an extension of (D
 ⁰₀, c
 ⁰, D
 ⁰) has the number b
 [']₁ of sheets not more than b
 ¹;
- (iv) if $b'_1 = b_1$ then (D'_1, c'_1, D_1) and (D_1, c_1, D_1) are equivalent.

Two analytic covers $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X)$ and $(\tilde{X}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, X)$ over a normal complex space X are *equivalent* if there exists a biholomorphic map $\Phi : \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X}_1$ such that $\mathbf{c}_1 \circ \Phi = \mathbf{c}_0$.

The map i of Theorem 1 is surjective over D_0 but may not necessarily be an injection. In general let $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X_0)$ be an analytic cover over a normal complex space X_0 . One says that it extends to an analytic cover $(\tilde{X}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, X_1)$ over a normal complex space X_1 if there exists a holomorphic imbedding $i: X_0 \to X_1$ and a holomorphic map/injection $\tilde{i}: \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X}_1$ such that the diagram

is commutative. Depending on whether i is supposed to be an injection or not one gets different notions of extension of analytic covers. Theorem 1 provides us an extension in a "weak" sense. The conclusion of Theorem 1 is not necessarily true if the morphism

$$\mathbf{i}: \pi_1(D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0, z_*) \to \pi_1(D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1, z_*)$$

is not surjective as it is shown by the following in Section 8.1.

Example 1. There exist domains $D_0 := \Delta^4 \subset D_1 = \mathbb{C}^4$, a divisor $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset D_1$ and a 3-sheeted connected analytic cover $(\tilde{D}'_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1)$ such that

- (i) it does not extend to a 3-sheeted connected analytic cover over $D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$;
- (ii) but it extends to a 4-sheeted connected analytic cover $(D'_1, c_1, D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1)$.

Remark 1. In this paper speaking about extension of analytic covers we always assume that \tilde{i} should be surjective over X_0 , i.e., $\tilde{i}(\tilde{X}_0) = c_1^{-1}(X_0)$.

Introduction

For R > 0 denote by Δ_R^k the k-dimensional polydisk of radius R and set $\Delta_1^k = \Delta^k$. The one dimensional disk of radius R will be simply denoted Δ_R .

Theorem 2. Let $D_0 := \Delta_R^{n-1} \times \Delta$ be a polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n , 0 < R < 1. Let $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ be a b_0 -sheeted connected analytic cover over D_0 . Suppose that its ramification locus \mathcal{R}_0 extends to an analytic set \mathcal{R}_1 in Δ^n such that \mathcal{R}_1 does not intersect $\Delta^{n-1} \times \partial \Delta$. Then :

- (i) (D
 ₀, c₀, D₀) extends in the weak sense to a b₁-sheeted connected analytic cover (D
 ₁, c₁, Δⁿ) over Δⁿ. Moreover conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) in Theorem 1 are satisfied.
- (ii) if $b_0 = 2$ then $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ uniquely extends in the strong sense to $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, \Delta^n)$; i.e., the map $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}$ in diagram (1.1) is injective.

We prove also the Hartogs type extension theorem for analytic covers over a 2-convex Hartogs figure. Recall that a *q*-convex Hartogs figure is the following domain in \mathbb{C}^n

$$H_r^{n,n-q} = \Delta_r^{n-q} \times \Delta^q \cup \Delta^{n-q} \times \left(\Delta^q \setminus \overline{\Delta_{1-r}^q}\right)$$

for some 0 < r < 1.

Theorem 3. Let $(\tilde{H}, c_0, H_r^{n,n-2})$ be a b_0 -sheeted connected analytic cover over a 2-convex Hartogs figure $(n \ge 3)$. Then :

- (i) the cover extends in the weak sense to a b_1 -sheeted connected analytic cover over Δ^n which verifies conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1.
- (ii) if $b_0 = 2$ then the cover $(\tilde{H}, \mathsf{c}_0, H_r^{n,n-2})$ extends in the strong sense to $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathsf{c}_1, \Delta^n)$.
- **Remark 2.** (a) There exists in *Theorem 2.*(ii) and *Theorem 3.*(ii) a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta^n)$ such that $\tilde{D}_1 := \{(\zeta, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \Delta^n : \zeta^2 = f(z)\}$ and c_1 is induced by the canonical projection $\mathbb{C} \times \Delta^n \to \Delta^n$.
 - (b) The "strong" parts of *Theorem 2* and *Theorem 3* are no longer true when the number of the sheets is larger than 2 as it will be shown in the following example.

Example 2. Set $\Omega := \{z_1 \in \mathbb{C} : |z_1 - 1| < 1\}$. Let f(z) be the branch of $\sqrt[3]{z}$ on Ω such that f(1) = 1. Let $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ be the 3-sheeted connected analytic cover over $D_0 := \Omega \times \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$\tilde{D}_0 := \left\{ (z, w) \in D_0 \times \mathbb{C} \, : \, w^3 + \frac{f(z_1)}{\sqrt[3]{4}} w + \frac{iz_2}{\sqrt{27}} = 0 \right\}$$

with $\mathbf{c}_0 : \tilde{D}_0 \to D_0$ induced by the natural projection. Then there does not exist a connected extension of $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ over $D_1 := \mathbb{C}^2$ with more than one sheet. Remark that $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ can be extended to a 3-sheeted analytic cover over the Hartogs figure $H := [\Omega \times \Delta_4] \cup [\Delta_4 \times (\Delta_4 \setminus \overline{\Delta_3})].$

One says that an analytic cover $(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{c}, X)$ is **Galois** if the restriction over $X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ induces a Galois regular cover.

Let us give the following example of a non-extendible Galois analytic cover in the strong sense over the polydisk.

Example 3. In the notations of Example 2 we set $D_0 = \Omega \times \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\hat{D}_0 := \left\{ (z, w) \in D_0 \times \mathbb{C} : w^3 - (z_1 - z_2^2)(g(z_1) - z_2) = 0 \right\},\$$

where g(z) is the branch of \sqrt{z} on Ω such that g(1) = 1. Let $\mathbf{c}_0 : \tilde{D}_0 \to D_0$ be the restriction of the canonical projection. Then \tilde{D} inherits a structure of a normal complex

space such that $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ becomes a 3-sheeted Galois analytic cover over D_0 and there does not exist a connected analytic extension over $D_1 = \mathbb{C}^2$ with more than one sheet.

1.2. Structure of the paper. We begin by recalling in Section 2 the definition of an analytic cover over a normal complex space and list some elementary properties of such covers. Then we give a topological extension result which allows us to extend topologically a regular cover through an analytic hypersurface, see Theorem 2.1. This result is due to K. Stein, see [St]. A complete proof of this theorem is given in Section 2.2 for the reader's convenience following the construction given by [Ni]. Section 3 is about Theorem 3.2 of H. Grauert and R. Remmert. We recall in that section a complete proof of this Theorem using L^2 estimates methods given by G. Dethloff in [De], see Theorem 3.1. Then one obtains Theorem 3.3 which provides an analytic structure of the cover space. One deduces in Section 4 some extension results for analytic covers, see Theorem 4.1, as well as one more example of an extension the so called Thullen-type extension theorem for analytic covers. We give it here for the sake of the future references.

Theorem 4. Let X be a normal complex space, A be a proper analytic subset of X and X_0 be an open subset of X which contains $X \setminus A$ and intersects every one-codimensional branch of A. Let $\mathbf{c}_0 : \tilde{X}_0 \to X_0$ be an analytic cover over X_0 . Then it uniquely extends in the strong sense to an analytic cover $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{X} \to X$ over the whole of X.

We prove in Section 5 the main result of this paper *i.e.*, Theorem 1 and give some immediate consequences. Section 6 is about Theorem 2. Theorem 6.1 states that the hypothesis of Theorem 1 are satisfied as soon as

$$\mathcal{R}_1 \cap \left(\Delta^{n-1} \times \partial \Delta \right) = \emptyset. \tag{1.3}$$

It is a somewhat precise version of Lemma 6.1 due to E. Picard and E. Simart. We check that the proof of this Lemma given by T. Nishino in [Ni] can be adapted to prove also Theorem 6.1. Then we prove Theorem 2. In Section 7 we give the proof of Theorem 3 using some techniques of exhaustion by (n-2)-convex domains. At the end of this section we give details about Examples 1, 2 and 3.

I am grateful to S. Ivashkovich and S. Orevkov who gave me valuable hints and examples for the proofs in this paper.

2. TOPOLOGICAL EXTENSION OF COVERS

2.1. Analytic covers and their extensions. Recall that a regular cover is a locally homeomorphic map $\mathbf{c}: \tilde{X} \to X$ between Hausdorff topological spaces such that for every $x_0 \in X$ there exists a neighbourhood $U \ni x_0$ such that its preimage $\mathbf{c}^{-1}(U)$ is at most countable disjoint union of its connected components \tilde{U}_i and for every *i* the restriction $\mathbf{c}_{|\tilde{U}_i}: \tilde{U}_i \to U$ is a homeomorphism. As it is well known (and obvious) if $\mathbf{c}: \tilde{X} \to X$ is a regular cover then for every path $\gamma: [0,1] \to X$ and every $a \in \tilde{X}$ such that $\mathbf{c}(a) = \gamma(0)$ there exists a unique lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ starting at a, *i.e.*, a path $\tilde{\gamma}: [0,1] \to \tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = a$ and $(\mathbf{c} \circ \tilde{\gamma})(t) = \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.

A regular cover $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{X} \to X$ is *finite* if it is proper. In this case there exists $b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $x \in X$ has exactly b preimages. Recall the following facts, see [Ha] for more details.

Remark 2.1. Let $(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{c}, X)$ be a *b*-sheeted regular cover. Fix $z_* \in X$ and let $w_* \in \tilde{X}$ be a preimage of z_* by \mathbf{c} . We let $\pi_1(X, z_*)$ denote the fundamental group of X with base point z_* . For every path $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, z_*)$ we let $\mathbf{c}^* \gamma$ denote the lifted path of γ starting at w_* . (1). Set $K := \{[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, z_*) : \mathbf{c}^* \gamma$ is closed in $\tilde{X}\}$. It is a subgroup of index b in $\pi_1(X, z_*)$. Indeed let α, β be two closed paths starting at z_* and let $\tilde{\alpha} := \mathbf{c}^* \alpha$ and $\tilde{\beta} := \mathbf{c}^* \beta$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}(1) = \tilde{\beta}(1) \iff [\beta \cdot \alpha^{-1}] \in K$, which means that there are as many left cosets of K in $\pi_1(X, z_*)$ as preimages of z_* by \mathbf{c} . Conversely if $K < \pi_1(X, z_*)$ is a subgroup of index b there exists a finite regular cover $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{X} \to X$ with b sheets and a fixed preimage w_* of z_* such that $K := \{[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, z_*) : \mathbf{c}^* \gamma$ is closed in $\tilde{X}\}$. In other words $K = \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{c}_*$

(2). Suppose that \tilde{X} is path-connected and X is path-connected, locally path-connected and locally simply connected. For every $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, z_*)$ and for every preimage w_* of z_* the ending point $c^*(\gamma)(1)$ lies in the fiber of z_* . Thus one obtains a homomorphism ρ : $\pi_1(X, z_*) \to S_b$ to the symmetric group of b elements. The map ρ is called the *monodromy representation* of the cover. Conversely suppose there exists a group homomorphism ρ : $\pi_1(X, z_*) \to S_b$ with *transitive image i.e.*, for every $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, b\}$ there exists $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, z_*)$ which verifies $\rho(\gamma)(i) = j$. Then there exists a b-sheeted regular cover (\tilde{X}, c_0, X) such that its monodromy representation coincides with ρ .

where $\mathbf{c}_* : \pi_1(X, w_*) \to \pi_1(X, z_*)$ denotes the natural monomorphism induced by \mathbf{c} .

A *regular analytic cover* of complex spaces is by definition a regular cover of normal complex spaces. It should be said that regular covers are particular case of Riemann domains.

Definition 2.1. An analytic cover is a triple (\tilde{X}, c, X) where $c : \tilde{X} \to X$ is a zerodimensional proper surjective holomorphic mapping between normal complex spaces \tilde{X} and X.

Let $\mathcal{R} \subset X$ be the set of critical points of \mathbf{c} . It is a proper analytic set according to the Theorem of Sard on normal complex spaces, see for example [Man]. Since \mathbf{c} is proper, Theorem of Remmert implies that its image $\mathcal{R} := \mathbf{c}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}})$ is proper analytic in X, see Satz 23 in [Re]. The restriction $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \to X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ is locally biholomorphic. By the hypothesis there exists $b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every point $x \in X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ has exactly b preimages. It follows that $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \to X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ induces a b-sheeted regular analytic cover. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is normal the analytic set $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ does not locally separate it. The set $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is called the *branching locus* of the cover and \mathcal{R} the *ramification locus*.

If $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a domain we may assume \mathcal{R} is empty or a pure one codimensional analytic set since there cannot exist some branching point over any point of \mathcal{R} of an at least two codimensional component of \mathcal{R} .

In general the ramification locus of an analytic cover over a normal complex space may have a codimension at least equal to 2 as it is shown in the following example.

Example 2.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ be the analytic hypersurface defined by $z^2 - xy = 0$. Since $Sing(X) = \{0\}$ has codimension equal to 2 in X Oka's Theorem implies that X is a normal complex space, see [Be]. Let $c : \mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto X$ be the holomorphic map given by $c(s,t) = (s^2, t^2, st)$. Then (\mathbb{C}^2, c, X) is a 2-sheeted analytic cover with ramification divisor $\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$.

Definition 2.2. One says that an analytic cover (\tilde{X}, c, X) is connected if \tilde{X} is connected.

2.2. Theorem of Stein. The following statement can be found in [St], see Satz 1. We give here the complete proof for the reader's convenience. We say that a closed subset \mathcal{R} of a topological space X doesn't locally separate it if for every $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists a neighbourhood basis $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ of x_0 such that $U_{\alpha} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ is connected.

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a locally compact and locally connected Hausdorff topological space. Suppose that \mathcal{R} is a proper closed subset of X which does not locally separate it and let $\mathbf{c}_0 : \tilde{X}_0 \to X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ be a finite regular cover. Then there exists a unique locally compact, locally connected Hausdorff topological space \tilde{X}_1 , a unique imbedding $\tilde{i} : \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X}_1$ surjective over $X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and a unique continuous surjective proper zero-dimensional map $\mathbf{c}_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \to X$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} := \mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(\mathcal{R})$ is proper, closed, does not locally separate \tilde{X}_1 and the following diagram

is commutative i.e., $c_1 \circ \tilde{i} = c_0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can suppose that X and X_0 are connected. Let b be the number of the sheets of \mathbf{c}_0 . For every $p \in \mathcal{R}$ we use like in [Ni] a basis $\{U_\alpha\}$ of connected neighbourhoods of p such that $U_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{R}$ is connected. Every $\mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(U_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{R})$ can be decomposed into a finite number of connected components. If for every α there exists one of those components δ_α such that

$$\delta_{\alpha+1} \subset \delta_{\alpha} \text{ and } \bigcap_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha} = \emptyset$$

we say that the sequence $\{\delta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ defines a *boundary point* \tilde{p} of \tilde{X}_0 over p. The sequence $\{\delta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ is a fundamental system of \tilde{p} in \tilde{X}_0 . Two systems $\{\delta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ and $\{\eta_{\beta}\}_{\beta}$ define the same boundary point \tilde{p} if for every α (resp. β) there exists some β (resp. α) such that $\eta_{\beta} \subset \delta_{\alpha}$ (resp. $\delta_{\alpha} \subset \eta_{\beta}$). Set $q \in \mathcal{R} \cap U_{\alpha}$ and let \tilde{q} be a boundary point of \tilde{X}_0 over q. We say that \tilde{q} touches δ_{α} if there exist β_0 and a fundamental system $\{\eta_{\beta}\}_{\beta \geq \beta_0}$ of \tilde{q} in \tilde{X}_0 which is contained in δ_{α} . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ be the set of boundary points over \mathcal{R} and set $\tilde{X}_1 := \tilde{X}_0 \cup \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. For every α we let $\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha}$ denote the union of δ_{α} with the set of points \tilde{q} which touch δ_{α} where $q \in \mathcal{R} \cap U_{\alpha}$. The sequence $\{\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ is called a *fundamental neighbourhood system* of \tilde{p} in \tilde{X}_1 . Remark that there are at most \tilde{b} boundary points over p.

 \tilde{X}_1 with the topology as above becomes a Hausdorff topological space. Indeed let \tilde{p}_1 and \tilde{p}_2 be two different points in \tilde{X}_1 . Let us prove there exist two neighbourhoods of those points whose intersection is empty. We shall prove this statement when \tilde{p}_1 and \tilde{p}_2 are different boundary points above the same point $p \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $\{\delta^1_\alpha\}_\alpha$ and $\{\delta^2_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be their fundamental systems in \tilde{X}_0 . By the hypothesis we can suppose there exists some α_0 such that for every α one has $\delta^2_\alpha \not\subset \delta^1_{\alpha_0}$. Since $\delta^1_{\alpha_0}$ and $\delta^2_{\alpha_0}$ are some connected components of $\mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0})$ the fact that $\delta^2_{\alpha_0} \not\subset \delta^1_{\alpha_0}$ implies that they are distinct and therefore disjoint. Moreover if $\tilde{q} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ touches $\delta^2_{\alpha_0}$ then it can not touch $\delta^1_{\alpha_0}$. That's why $\tilde{\delta}^1_{\alpha_0}$ and $\tilde{\delta}^2_{\alpha_0}$ are two disjoint neighbourhoods of \tilde{p}_1 and \tilde{p}_2 . Moreover \tilde{X}_1 is by construction locally connected. Let us prove it is connected. Let E and F be two disjoint open sets inside \tilde{X}_1 such that $\tilde{X}_1 = E \cup F$ and $\tilde{X}_0 \subset E$. Suppose $F \neq \emptyset$. Since \tilde{X}_1 is locally connected one can find $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \cap F$ and a connected neighbourhood $\tilde{U} \supset \tilde{p}$. Then $\tilde{U} \cap E$ and $\tilde{U} \cap F$ are not disjoint and we have a contradiction.

We define c_1 as follows. For every $\tilde{p} \in X_1$

$$\mathbf{c}_{1}(\tilde{p}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{c}_{0}(\tilde{p}) & \text{if } \tilde{p} \in \tilde{X}_{0} \\ p & \text{if } \tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \text{ is a boundary point over } p. \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

The map $\mathbf{c}_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \to X$ is obviously continuous and surjective. Let us prove it is open. Let $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{X}_1$ be an open set, $U := \mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{U})$, $p \in U$ and $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{U}$ be a preimage of p by \mathbf{c}_1 . If $p \notin \mathcal{R}$ one can find an open set \tilde{V} containing \tilde{p} such that \mathbf{c}_1 is a homeomorphism on \tilde{V} . Then $V := \mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{V})$ is a neighbourhood of p contained in U. If $p \in \mathcal{R}$ we take a neighbourhood basis $\{\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha}\}$ of \tilde{p} such that $\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha} \subset \tilde{U}$. Then $U_{\alpha} = \mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha}) \subset U$ is a neighbourhood of p in U. It follows that U is open and we deduce the result.

Let us prove that \mathbf{c}_1 is closed. Let \tilde{F} be a closed subset of \tilde{X}_1 and take $p \in X \setminus F$ where $F := \mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{F})$. We firstly suppose that $p \notin \mathcal{R}$. Let $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{X}_1 \setminus \tilde{F}$ be a preimage of p by \mathbf{c}_0 . By the hypothesis on \tilde{F} one can find an open neighbourhood $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{X}_1$ of \tilde{p} such that $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{F} = \emptyset$ and also $U \cap F = \emptyset$ where $U := \mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{U})$. The fact that \mathbf{c}_1 is open implies that U is an open subset of $X \setminus F$ containing p. If $p \in \mathcal{R}$ we take a neighbourhood basis $\{U_\alpha\}$ of p. Let us suppose that for every α we have $U_\alpha \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Let \tilde{p} be a preimage of p by \mathbf{c}_1 and $\{\tilde{\delta}_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be its neighbourhood basis. Since $p \notin F$ one has $\tilde{p} \notin \tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_\alpha \cap \tilde{F}$ is empty for some α . That gives us a contradiction because $U_\alpha \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Therefore \mathbf{c}_1 is closed.

Moreover the fiber $\mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(p)$ of every $p \in X$ contains at most b points by construction. It follows that \mathbf{c}_1 is proper. The set $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is clearly a proper closed subset of \tilde{X}_1 because \mathcal{R} is proper and \mathbf{c}_1 is continuous. Moreover it does not locally separate \tilde{X}_1 . Indeed let \tilde{p} be a boundary point, \tilde{U} be an open connected neighbourhood of \tilde{p} and $\{\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \geq \alpha_0}$ be a fundamental neighbourhood system of \tilde{p} in U. Since $\mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}) = \mathcal{R}$ one obtains that $\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \delta_{\alpha}$ is connected.

Let us prove that \tilde{X}_1 is locally compact. Let $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{X}_1$ and let \tilde{K}_{α} be the connected component of $\mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(K_{\alpha})$ which contains \tilde{p} where $K_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$ is a compact neighbourhood of p. Then \tilde{K}_{α} is compact. Indeed let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} := \{\tilde{V}_i : i \in I\}$ be an open covering of \tilde{K}_{α} . Since \mathbf{c}_1 is open $\mathcal{V} := \{\mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{V}_i) : i \in I\}$ is a open covering of the compact set K_{α} . It follows there exists a finite subset $\{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$ of I such that $\{\mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{V}_{i_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{c}_1(\tilde{V}_{i_s})\}$ is an open covering of K_{α} . Then $\{\tilde{V}_{i_1}, \ldots, \tilde{V}_{i_s}\}$ is a finite open covering of \tilde{K}_{α} extracted from $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$.

Finally let us prove the uniqueness of X_1 . Let X'_1 be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and $\mathbf{c}'_1 : \tilde{X}'_1 \to X$ be a continuous proper zero-dimensional surjective map such that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}' := \mathbf{c}'_1^{-1}(\mathcal{R})$ is proper closed and does not locally separate \tilde{X}'_1 . Suppose that there exist two imbeddings $\tilde{i}' : \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X}'_1$ and $\tilde{i} : \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X}_1$ such that the following diagram

is commutative *i.e.*, $\mathbf{c}_1 \circ \tilde{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{c}_0 = \mathbf{c}'_1 \circ \tilde{\mathbf{i}}'$. We have to prove that there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{X}_1 \to \tilde{X}'_1$ such that $\Phi \circ \tilde{\mathbf{i}} = \tilde{\mathbf{i}}'$.

Let $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{i}(\tilde{X}_0)$ and ζ be its unique preimage in \tilde{X}_0 by \tilde{i} . The application $\Phi : \tilde{i}(\tilde{X}_0) \to \tilde{i}'(\tilde{X}_0)$ defined by $\Phi(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{i}'(\zeta)$ is homeomorphic and verifies $\Phi \circ \tilde{i} = \tilde{i}'$. Let us extend it continuously to \tilde{X}_1 . Let $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$, $\{\tilde{\delta}_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be a neighbourhood basis of \tilde{p} such that $\tilde{\delta}_\alpha \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is connected and $\tilde{p}_\alpha \in \tilde{\delta}_\alpha \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. We denote $\tilde{p}'_\alpha := \Phi(\tilde{p}_\alpha)$. The fact that $(\tilde{p}_\alpha)_\alpha$ converges to \tilde{p} implies by continuity of $\Phi : \tilde{X}_1 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \to \tilde{X}'_1 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'$ that $(c'_1 \circ \Phi(\tilde{p}_\alpha))_\alpha$ converges to $p := c_1(\tilde{p})$. It follows by connectedness of $\tilde{\delta}_\alpha \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ that there exists a unique preimage \tilde{p}' of p by c'_1 such that $\lim_\alpha \tilde{p}'_\alpha = \tilde{p}' \in \mathcal{R}'$. Such \tilde{p}' does not depend of the choice of \tilde{p}_α . We define $\Phi(\tilde{p}) := \tilde{p}'$. One obtains a well-defined map $\Phi : \tilde{X}_1 \to \tilde{X}'_1$ which is continuous and bijective by construction. Theorem 2.1 is proved.

- **Remark 2.2.** (a) Taking as X a domain in \mathbb{C}^n and \mathcal{R} a divisor in X we see that Theorem 2.1 provides a **topological** extension of a finite regular cover across an analytic set.
 - (b) Remark that if in the conditions of Theorem 2.1 $\mathbf{c}_0 : \tilde{X}_0 \to X \setminus \mathcal{R}$ is a one-sheeted regular cover then the extended map $\mathbf{c}_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \to X$ is a homeomorphism.
 - (c) In the assumption of Theorem 2.1 the space X_1 is connected if X_0 is connected.

3. Theorem of Grauert and Remmert

In this section the space \mathbb{C}^n is equipped with the norm $||z|| = \max\{|z_j| : j = 1, ..., n\}$. Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n , \mathcal{R} be a pure one-codimensional analytic subset of D, \tilde{D} a locally compact Hausdorff connected topological space and $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{D} \to D$ a continuous proper mapping. Set $Y := D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and $\tilde{Y} := \mathbf{c}^{-1}(Y)$. Suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} := \mathbf{c}^{-1}(\mathcal{R})$ doesn't locally separate \tilde{D} and $\mathbf{c}_{|\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ is a finite regular cover. Let b denote the number of its sheets. \tilde{Y} inherits a canonical holomorphic structure which makes $\mathbf{c}_{|\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ holomorphic, see [GR4]. By a *weakly holomorphic* function on \tilde{D} one understands a continuous function which is holomorphic at univalent points of the cover, *i.e.*, at points of \tilde{Y} .

3.1. L^2 existence Theorem. The following result is a L^2 estimate theorem for Riemann domains which in the case of domains in \mathbb{C}^n is due to Hörmander, see Theorem 4.4.2 of [Ho]. For the reader's convenience we sketch here the proof given in [De]. Remark that a similar result is stated in [NS].

We set $d\nu := \mathbf{c}^*(d\lambda)$ where $d\lambda := \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})_{(0,1)}$ be the set of (0,1)-forms on \tilde{Y} with \mathcal{C}^{∞} coefficients, *i.e.*, $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i d\bar{z}_i$ where $g_i \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})$. $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})_{(0,1)}$ denotes the subset of (0,1)-forms in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})_{(0,1)}$ which are compactly supported. Set $|g|^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|^2$ and set $\varphi_0(z) = 2n \ln ||z||, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Theorem 3.1. With the previous assumptions let $g \in C^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})_{(0,1)}$ be such that $\overline{\partial}g = 0$ and $\lambda_0 := \int_{\tilde{Y}} |g|^2 e^{-\varphi_0 \circ c} d\nu < +\infty$. Then there exists $u \in C^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})$ such that $\overline{\partial}u = g$ and $\int_{\tilde{Y}} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_0 \circ c} d\nu \leq k\lambda_0$ where $k := (1 + (\operatorname{diam} Y)^2)^2$.

Proof. Let $\varphi: Y \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be an upper semi-continuous function on Y. We define the following vector spaces.

$$L^{2}(Y,\varphi) = \left\{ f: Y \to \mathbb{C} : \int_{Y} |f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d\lambda < +\infty \right\}$$

$$L^{2}(\tilde{Y}, \varphi \circ \mathbf{c}) = \left\{ f : \tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{C} : \int_{\tilde{Y}} |f|^{2} e^{-\varphi \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu < +\infty \right\}.$$

In the same way we define $L^2(Y,\varphi)_{(p,q)}$ and $L^2(\tilde{Y},\varphi\circ c)_{(p,q)}$ the set of (p,q)-forms whose coefficients belong to $L^2(Y,\varphi)$ and $L^2(\tilde{Y},\varphi\circ c)$, respectively. Let φ_i , i=1,2,3 be continuous functions. An element $u \in L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi_1 \circ \mathbf{c})$ is in D_T if and only if $\overline{\partial} u \in L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi_2 \circ \mathbf{c})_{(0,1)}$. Since D_T contains $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})$ which is dense in $L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi \circ \mathbf{c})$ one can densely define the operator $T = \overline{\partial} : L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi_1 \circ \mathbf{c}) \to L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi_2 \circ \mathbf{c})_{(0,1)}$ on Hilbert spaces. In the same way one can define D_S and the operator $S = \overline{\partial} : L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi_2 \circ \mathbf{c})_{(0,1)} \to L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi_3 \circ \mathbf{c})_{(0,2)}$. We let T^* denote the adjoint operator of T. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(Y)$ be strictly plurisubharmonic and $\gamma: Y \mapsto \mathbb{R}^*_+$ be continuous such that

$$\forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ one has } H_z(\varphi, w) \ge \gamma(z) \|w\|^2, \tag{3.1}$$

)

where $H_z(\varphi, w)$ denotes the Levi form of φ . Let $\{K_\nu\}_\nu$ be an exhaustion of Y by compact sets, η_{ν} be a cutoff function in $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(Y)$ such that $0 \leq \eta_{\nu} \leq 1$ and $\eta_{\nu|K_{\nu}} \equiv 1$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(Y)$ be a smooth function such that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\partial \eta_{\nu} / \partial \bar{z}_{k}|^{2} \leq e^{\psi}$ on Y and $\varphi_{i} := \varphi + (i-3)\psi$ (i = 1, 2, 3).

The first result needed to prove *Theorem 3.1* is the following inequality. For every $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Y)_{(0,1)}$ one has

$$\int_{\tilde{Y}} \left(\gamma \circ \mathbf{c} - 2|\partial(\psi \circ \mathbf{c})|^2 \right) |f|^2 e^{-\varphi \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \le 2 \|T^* f\|_{\varphi_1 \circ \mathbf{c}}^2 + \|Sf\|_{\varphi_3 \circ \mathbf{c}}^2, \tag{3.2}$$

see [De] Lemma 2.1. We let A denote the subset of (0,1) forms in $D_{T^*} \cap D_S$ which are compactly supported. One deduce the following main result.

Lemma 3.1. $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})_{(0,1)}$ is dense in $D_{T^*} \cap D_S$ for the graph norm

$$f \mapsto \|f\|_{\varphi_2 \circ \mathsf{c}} + \|T^*f\|_{\varphi_1 \circ \mathsf{c}} + \|Sf\|_{\varphi_3 \circ \mathsf{c}}$$

The proof consists to see that A is dense in $D_{T^*} \cap D_S$ and $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})_{(0,1)}$ is dense in A for the graph norm. One mainly uses Hahn-Banach Theorem, Riez representation Theorem and Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem. By using the existence of a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function s on the pseudoconvex domain Y one obtains the following result, see Lemma 4.4.1 of [Ho] for more details.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that the function γ defined in (3.1) is bounded and its lower bound is strictly positive. Then for every $q \in L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi \circ \mathbf{c})_{(0,1)}$ such that $\overline{\partial} q = 0$ there exists $u \in L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi \circ \mathbf{c})$ which verifies $\overline{\partial} u = q$ and

$$\int_{\tilde{Y}} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \leq 2 \int_{\tilde{Y}} \frac{|g|^2}{\gamma \circ \mathbf{c}} e^{-\varphi \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu < +\infty.$$

We assume now that φ is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} plurisubharmonic function on Y. We can apply the previous Lemma to $z \mapsto \varphi(z) + 2\ln(1 + ||z||^2)$ which is strictly plurisubharmonic and $\gamma(z) := (1 + ||z||^2)^{-2}$. One obtains the following statement.

Lemma 3.3. There exists $u \in L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi \circ c)$ such that $\overline{\partial}u = g$ and

$$\int_{\tilde{Y}} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi \circ \mathbf{c}} (1 + \|\mathbf{c}\|^2)^{-2} \mathrm{d}\nu \le \int_{\tilde{Y}} |g|^2 e^{-\varphi \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu$$

Now let us prove Theorem 3.1. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $Y_a := \{s(z) < a\}$ and $\tilde{Y}_a := c^{-1}(Y_a)$. According to Theorem 2.6.3 of [Ho] there exists $\varphi_a \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ plurisubharmonic function on Y_a such that $\varphi_a \underset{a \to +\infty}{\searrow} \varphi_0$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists $u_a \in L^2(\tilde{Y}_a, \varphi \circ \mathbf{c})$ such that

$$\int_{\tilde{Y}_a} |u_a|^2 e^{-\varphi_a \circ \mathbf{c}} (1 + \|\mathbf{c}\|^2)^{-2} \mathrm{d}\nu \le \int_{\tilde{Y}_a} |g|^2 e^{-\varphi_a \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \le \lambda_0.$$

Then there exists a subsequence $(u_{a_j})_j$ from (u_a) which weakly converges on every \tilde{Y}_{a_j} to a function $u \in L^2(\tilde{Y}, \varphi_0 \circ \mathbf{c})$ such that

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N}^* \text{ one has } \int_{\tilde{Y}_{a_j}} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_{a_j} \circ \mathsf{c}} \left(1 + \|\mathsf{c}\|^2\right)^{-2} \mathrm{d}\nu \le \lambda_0$$

Set $k := (1 + (\operatorname{diam} Y)^2)^2$. By a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality one obtains

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{\tilde{Y}_{a_j}} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_{a_j} \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \right)^2 &\leq \left(\int_{\tilde{Y}_{a_j}} \frac{|u|^2}{(1+\|\mathbf{c}\|^2)^2} e^{-\varphi_{a_j} \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \right) \left(\int_{\tilde{Y}_{a_j}} |u|^2 (1+\|\mathbf{c}\|^2)^2 e^{-\varphi_{a_j} \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \right) \\ &\leq \lambda_0 k \left(\int_{\tilde{Y}_{a_j}} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_{a_j} \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \right) \end{split}$$

and then

$$\int_{\tilde{Y}_{a_j}} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_{a_j} \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \le k \,\lambda_0.$$

One finally obtains by monotone convergence Theorem

$$\int_{\tilde{Y}_a} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi_0 \circ \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{d}\nu \le k \,\lambda_0$$

By the classical regularity of the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation, see Theorem 4.2.5 of [Ho] the solution u is \mathcal{C}^{∞} smooth and Theorem 3.1 is proved.

3.2. Grauert-Remmert Theorem. The following theorem is due to Grauert-Remmert, see [GR2] and [Ni]. We use the approach of [NS] and [De] for the proof of Grauert-Remmert theorem concerning the existence of weakly holomorphic functions.

Theorem 3.2. (Grauert-Remmert) Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $Y = D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{D} \to D$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Then for every point $z_* \in Y$ with $\mathbf{c}^{-1}(z_*) = \{w_1, ..., w_b\}$ there exists a weakly holomorphic function h on \tilde{D} which takes at $\{w_1, ..., w_b\}$ pairwise different values.

Proof. Fix some $z_* \in D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and let $\mathbf{c}^{-1}(z_*) = \{w_1, \ldots, w_b\}$ be as in the formulation of the theorem. Since $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ is locally biholomorphic one can find a function $p \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})$ such that $p \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of w_1 and $p \equiv 0$ in neighbourhoods of w_2, \ldots, w_b . One can deduce from *Theorem 3.1* there exists $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\tilde{Y})$ such that $\overline{\partial}u = \overline{\partial}p$, $u(w_i) = 0$ $(i = 1, \ldots, b)$ and $\int_{\tilde{Y}} |u|^2 \mathrm{d}\nu < +\infty$. Then $h_0 := p - u$ is a holomorphic function on \tilde{Y} such that

$$h_0(w_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

and $\int_{\tilde{Y}} |h_0|^2 d\nu < \infty$. The fact that D is a domain of holomorphy implies that there exists a holomorphic function f on D such that $f(z_*) \neq 0$ and $f|_{\mathcal{R}} \equiv 0$, see [GF]. In order to extend h_0 through the points of $c^{-1}(\operatorname{Reg}(\mathcal{R}))$ one defines as in [De]

$$t(w) = \frac{1}{f(z_*)}(f \circ \mathbf{c})(w).$$

Then t is a weakly holomorphic function on \tilde{D} such that $t|_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}} \equiv 0$. Moreover for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, b\}$ one has $t(w_i) = 1$ therefore $(t \cdot h_0)(w_i) = h_0(w_i)$.

Set $\tilde{Y}_0 := \mathsf{c}^{-1}(D \setminus \mathsf{Sing} \mathcal{R})$. By Lemma 1.8 of [De] $t \cdot h_0$ extends to a weakly holomorphic function h' on \tilde{Y}_0 .

Now let us prove that h' is locally bounded on D. We define the Weierstrass polynomial of h':

$$\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, \forall z \in D \setminus \mathcal{R}, \ \omega_{h'}(z,\zeta) \coloneqq \prod_{w \in \mathsf{c}^{-1}(z)} (\zeta - h'(w)) = \zeta^b + \sum_{i=1}^b a_i(z)\zeta^{b-i}.$$
(3.3)

For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, b\}$ the function a_i is holomorphic on $D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and continuity of h' on Y_0 implies that a_i is continuous on $D \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{R})$. According to Riemann Extension Theorem the function a_i holomorphically extends to $D \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{R})$. Since $\text{codim}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{R})) \geq 2$ there exists a holomorphic function on D which extends a_i . We still denote by a_i this function. One obviously has the following.

Lemma 3.4. Let $P = \zeta^b + \sum_{i=1}^b a_i \zeta^i$ be a complex polynomial. Then every root ζ_0 of P verifies the following inequality

$$|\zeta_0| \leq \max\left\{1, \sum_{i=1}^b |a_i|\right\}.$$

According to the previous statement one has $|h'(w)| \leq \max\left\{1, \sum_{i=1}^{b} |a_i(\mathbf{c}(w))|\right\}$ for every $w \in \tilde{Y}_0$. The function h' is also bounded near points of $\tilde{D} \setminus \tilde{Y}_0$ and therefore $h := t \cdot h'$ is weakly holomorphic on \tilde{D} and separates the sheets. Theorem 3.2 is proved.

Theorem of Grauert-Remmert provides an analytic structure on the extended topological space. The main idea of the proof follows [DG].

Theorem 3.3. In the conditions of Theorem 3.2 the space \tilde{D} inherits a unique structure of a normal complex space such that **c** becomes holomorphic, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ analytic and therefore $(\tilde{D}, \mathbf{c}, D)$ becomes an analytic cover. The structure sheaf of \tilde{D} is the sheaf $\mathcal{O}'_{\tilde{D}}$ of weakly holomorphic functions.

Proof. We shall prove that for every $w \in \tilde{D}$ we can find a neighbourhood \tilde{U} of w such that $(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{O}'_{\tilde{U}})$ is normal. Since $\tilde{D} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is locally homeomorphic to a domain of $D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ it is sufficient to prove the result when $w \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. Let U be an open polydisk centered at $z := \mathbf{c}(w)$ in D such that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ does not separate the connected component \tilde{U} of $\mathbf{c}^{-1}(U)$ containing w *i.e.*, $\tilde{U} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is connected. We can apply Theorem 3.2 of Grauert-Remmert to the restriction $\mathbf{c}_{|\tilde{U}} : \tilde{U} \to U$ which we still denote by \mathbf{c} . Let z_* be a fixed point of $U \setminus \mathcal{R}$, h be a holomorphic function on \tilde{U} which separates the preimages of $z_*, \omega_h(z, \zeta)$ its Weierstrass

polynomial on U as in 3.3 and D(z) its discriminant. Set $\sigma := \{z \in U : D(z) = 0\}$, $\tilde{\sigma} := \mathbf{c}^{-1}(\sigma)$ and $M := \{(z,\zeta) \in U \times \mathbb{C} : \omega_h(z,\zeta) = 0\}$. Consider the mapping

$$\Phi: \quad \tilde{U} \longrightarrow U \times \mathbb{C}$$
$$x \longmapsto (\mathbf{c}(x), h(x))$$

Then $\Phi(\tilde{U}) = M$ is a connected analytic subset of $U \times \mathbb{C}$. Moreover $z \in \sigma$ if and only if h does not separate some preimages of z by c. That's why the restriction $\Phi_1 := \Phi|_{\tilde{U}\setminus\tilde{\sigma}} : \tilde{U}\setminus\tilde{\sigma} \to (U\setminus\sigma)\times\mathbb{C}$ is injective. Mappings Φ and Φ_1 are continuous, proper and surjective onto M and $M\setminus(\sigma\times\mathbb{C})$ respectively. By Oka's normalization Theorem there exists a normal complex space N, a holomorphic map $\Psi: N \to M$ and an analytic set $A \subset \operatorname{Sing} M$ of M such that

- $\Psi^{-1}(A)$ is nowhere dense in N,
- $\Psi: \Psi^{-1}(A) \to A$ is finite and
- $\Psi: N \setminus \Psi^{-1}(A) \to M \setminus A$ is biholomorphic.

Set $M_{\sigma} := M \cap (\sigma \times \mathbb{C})$ and consider the biholomorphism

$$t := \Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi : N \backslash \Psi^{-1}(M_{\sigma}) \to \tilde{U} \backslash \Phi^{-1}(M_{\sigma}).$$

The fact that N is normal implies that $t: N \setminus \Psi^{-1}(M_{\sigma}) \to \tilde{U} \setminus \Phi^{-1}(M_{\sigma})$ holomorphically extends to $\bar{t}: N \to \tilde{U}$. Indeed let $w \in \Psi^{-1}(M_{\sigma})$ and \tilde{W} be an open neighbourhood of w in N such that $t: \tilde{W} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(M_{\sigma}) \to \tilde{V}$ is holomorphic where \tilde{V} is biholomorphic to a connected analytic subset of some bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^m . One can replace t by m holomorphic and bounded functions $t_i: \tilde{W} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(M_{\sigma}) \to \mathbb{C}$ (i = 1, ..., m). By normality of \tilde{W} every t_i extends to a holomorphic function $\overline{t_i}$ on \tilde{W} . One obtains that the map $(\overline{t_1}, ..., \overline{t_m})$ gives an extension $\overline{t}: N \to \tilde{U}$ of t on the whole of N. Since $\Psi^{-1}(M_{\sigma})$ and $\Phi^{-1}(M_{\sigma})$ do not locally separate N and \tilde{U} respectively one can apply uniqueness of Theorem 2.1 to the one-sheeted regular cover $(\tilde{U} \setminus \Phi^{-1}(M_{\sigma}), t, N \setminus \Psi^{-1}(M_{\sigma}))$. It follows that $\overline{t}: N \to \tilde{U}$ is a biholomorphism and then $(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{O}'_{\tilde{U}})$ and (N, \mathcal{O}_N) are isomorphic. \Box

Example 3.1. Set $\tilde{D} := \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} : w^2 = z\}$ and let $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the map induced by the projection $(z,w) \mapsto z$. Then $(\tilde{D},\mathbf{c},\mathbb{C})$ is a 2-sheeted analytic cover with ramification divisor $\{z=0\}$. Let us define for every $(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ the polynomial h(z,w) =(z-1)w. Then $h \in \mathcal{O}'(\tilde{D})$ and separates the preimages of z = -1 since h(-1,i) = -2iand h(-1,-i) = 2i but does not separate the preimages of z = 1 because h(1,1) =h(1,-1) = 0. Let $\omega(z,\zeta)$ be the Weierstrass polynomial of h defined in 3.3 *i.e.*, $\omega(z,\zeta) =$ $[\zeta - (z-1)w][\zeta + (z-1)w] = \zeta^2 - z(z-1)^2$ and $M := \{(z,\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \omega(z,\zeta) = 0\}$. Set $\sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z(z-1)^2 = 0\} = \{0,1\}$.

One obtains that the map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Phi : & \tilde{D} & \longrightarrow & M \\ & (z,w) & \longmapsto & (z,(z-1)w) \end{array}$$

is well defined, continuous, surjective and the restriction of Φ over $M \setminus (\{1,0\})$ is injective. Since $\Phi^{-1}(1,0) = \{(1,1);(1,-1)\}$ the map $\Phi : \tilde{D} \to M$ is not globally injective. It follows that (\tilde{D}, Φ, M) and (M, Id, M) are two different extensions of the cover $(\tilde{D} \setminus \{(1,1),(1,-1)\}, \Phi, M \setminus \{(1,0)\})$ over M. This happens because $\{(1,0)\}$ locally separate M. Indeed let U be a small disk centered at z = 1 which does not contain 0 and

f(z) a branch of $\sqrt[2]{z}$ on U. Then $M \cap (U \times \mathbb{C})$ can be decomposed into the union of the branches :

$$M_1 := \{\zeta - f(z)(z-1) = 0\}$$
 and $M_2 := \{\zeta + f(z)(z-1) = 0\}.$

which may intersect when f(z)(z-1) = 0 i.e., at (1,i). Therefore $M \cap (U \setminus \sigma \times \mathbb{C})$ is not connected.

4. Thullen type extension of analytic covers

We give in this section some extension theorems for analytic covers. Let us start with the following result, see Proposition 3.3 in [DG].

Lemma 4.1. Let \tilde{X} be a topological space, X be a normal complex space and $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{X} \to X$ be a continuous map. Then $(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{c}, X)$ is an analytic cover if and only if for every $z \in X$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset X$ of z and an analytic cover (U, π, V) over a domain $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the composed map $(\tilde{U}, \pi \circ \mathbf{c}, V)$ is again an analytic cover where $\tilde{U} := \mathbf{c}^{-1}(U)$.

Proof. The condition is obviously necessary. Let us prove the converse. Let $\operatorname{Sing} X$ be the singular locus of X, $\tilde{S} := c^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing} X)$) and $z \in X \setminus \operatorname{Sing}(X)$. Let (U, π, V) be an analytic cover as in the formulation of the lemma. Since $(\tilde{U}, \pi \circ \mathbf{c}, V)$ is an analytic cover the fact that $\mathbf{c}^{-1}(U) = (\pi \circ \mathbf{c})^{-1}(V)$ implies that the restriction $(\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{S}, \mathbf{c}, X \setminus \operatorname{Sing} X)$ is an analytic cover. We let \mathcal{R} denote its ramification locus. Since X is normal one has $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Sing} X \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{R} \subset X \setminus \operatorname{Sing} X$ uniquely extends to a proper analytic set $\overline{\mathcal{R}} \subset X$ which does not locally separate it, see Theorem 9.4.2 in [GR3]. It implies that $(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{c}, X)$ is an analytic cover of ramification locus $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$.

A consequence of Grauert-Remmert and Stein Theorems is the following extension result for analytic covers.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X \setminus \mathcal{R})$ be a finite regular analytic cover where X and \tilde{X}_0 are normal complex spaces and \mathcal{R} is a proper analytic subset in X which does not locally separate it. Then there exists a unique normal complex space \tilde{X}_1 , a unique imbedding $\tilde{i}: \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X}_1$ and an analytic cover $(\tilde{X}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, X)$ such that the diagram (2.1) is commutative i.e., $\mathbf{c}_1 \circ \tilde{i} = \mathbf{c}_0$.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.1 there exist a unique locally compact Hausdorff topological space \tilde{X}_1 , a unique imbedding $\tilde{i} : \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X}_1$ and a unique continuous surjective proper zero-dimensional map $c_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \to X$ such that the diagram (2.1) is commutative and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} := c^{-1}(\mathcal{R})$ does not locally separate \tilde{X}_1 . If X is a domain of \mathbb{C}^n , Theorem 3.3 implies that \tilde{X}_1 inherits a structure of normal complex space and the result follows.

We suppose now that X is a normal complex space. Let $z \in X$. There exist an open subset U of X containing z, an open polydisk $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and an analytic cover (U, π, V) of ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_V . According to Lemma 4.1 it is sufficient to prove that $(\tilde{U}, \pi \circ \mathbf{c}_1, V)$ is an analytic cover where $\tilde{U} := \mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(U)$. Let $\operatorname{Sing}(X)$ denote the singular locus of X. Since π is proper Theorem of Remmert implies that $\pi(\mathcal{R} \cup \operatorname{Sing}(X))$ is analytic in V. The set $\mathcal{R}_1 := \mathcal{R}_V \cup \pi(\mathcal{R} \cup \operatorname{Sing}(X))$ is analytic in V and does not locally separate it. The map $\pi \circ \mathbf{c}_1$ induces also a finite regular cover over $V \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$. According to the result proved above it uniquely extends to an analytic cover $(\tilde{V}, \mathbf{c}_V, V)$ over the whole of V. The fact

that $\pi \circ \mathbf{c}_1 : \tilde{U} \to V$ is a continuous surjective proper zero-dimensional map implies by uniqueness of *Theorem 2.1* that there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{U} \to \tilde{V}$ such that $\pi \circ \mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{c}_V \circ \Phi$. That homeomorphism becomes a biholomorphism and it follows that $(\tilde{U}, \pi \circ \mathbf{c}_1, V)$ is an analytic cover.

Remark 4.1. In the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 the space \tilde{X}_1 is connected if \tilde{X}_0 is connected, see Remark 2.2 (c).

Corollary 4.1. Let X be a normal complex space and $A \subset X$ be a proper analytic subset which does not locally separate it. Let $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X \setminus A)$ be an analytic cover with ramification locus $\mathcal{R} \subset X \setminus A$. Assume that \mathcal{R} extends to an analytic subset $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ of X which does not locally separate it. Then $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X \setminus A)$ uniquely extends to an analytic cover $(\tilde{X}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, X)$ over the whole of X.

Proof. We set $Y := X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\tilde{Y} := \mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(Y)$. The restriction $\mathbf{c}_{0|\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ induces a finite regular cover which uniquely extends to an analytic cover $(\tilde{X}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, X)$ according to Theorem 4.1. One obtains two analytic covers $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X \setminus A)$ and $(\mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(X \setminus A), \mathbf{c}_1, X \setminus A)$ which extend $(\tilde{Y}, \mathbf{c}_0, Y)$. By uniqueness they are equivalent and we can find a holomorphic imbedding $\Phi : \tilde{X}_0 \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}_1$ such that $\mathbf{c}_1 \circ \Phi = \mathbf{c}_0$. Then $(\tilde{X}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, X)$ also extends the cover $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X \setminus A)$.

Proof of Thullen type extension. Now let us deduce the Thullen-type extension Theorem 4 from Introduction. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset X_0$ be the ramification locus of the cover $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X_0)$. According to the Thullen-Remmert-Stein Theorem (see [Si]) the closure $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ of \mathcal{R} in X is a proper analytic set which does not locally separate X. Set $Y := X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\tilde{Y} := \mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(Y)$. Then $(\tilde{Y}, \mathbf{c}_0, Y)$ is a finite regular cover. According to Theorem 4.1 it uniquely extends to a cover $(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{c}, X)$ over the whole of X. By uniqueness of the extension it implies that $(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{c}, X)$ extends $(\tilde{X}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, X_0)$.

 \square

Remark 4.2. The fact that Thullen type extension holds for analytic covers follows from the fundamental papers of K. Stein [St] and Grauert-Remmert [GR2] is known to the experts, see for example [DG]. But a complete proof to our best knowledge cannot be found in one place in the literature. Therefore we give in this paper a reasonably self-contained proof of the results stated above.

5. Extension by the extension of the ramification divisor

In this section we prove Theorem 1 from the Introduction. Set $Y_1 := D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$, $Y_0 = D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 := \mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_0)$ and $\tilde{Y}_0 := \tilde{D}_0 \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0$ so that $(\tilde{Y}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, Y_0)$ is a finite regular cover. Fix $z_* \in Y_0$ and let $w_* \in \tilde{Y}_0$ be a fixed preimage of z_* by \mathbf{c}_0 . For every path α starting at z_* we let $\mathbf{c}_0^* \alpha$ denote the lifted path of α starting at w_* . Let $\mathbf{i}_* : \pi_1(Y_0, z_*) \to \pi_1(Y_1, z_*)$ be the canonical morphism and $K := \{[\gamma] \in \pi_1(Y_0, z_*) : \mathbf{c}_0^* \gamma \text{ is closed in } \tilde{Y}_0\}$ be the subgroup defined in *Remark 2.1*.

Definition 5.1. Let $\alpha_{z_*z}^1$, $\alpha_{z_*z}^2$ be two paths from z_* to $z \in Y_1$. We say that $\alpha_{z_*z}^1 \sim \alpha_{z_*z}^2$ if $\alpha_{z_*z}^2 \cdot (\alpha_{z_*z}^1)^{-1} \in i_*(K)$.

In other words two paths $\alpha_{z_*z}^1$ and $\alpha_{z_*z}^2$ based at z_* are equivalent if $\alpha_{z_*z}^2 \cdot (\alpha_{z_*z}^1)^{-1}$ is homotopic inside Y_1 to a loop $\gamma \subset Y_0$ such that its lifted path based at some preimage of z_* is closed. Note that in general a loop $\gamma \subset Y_0$ starting at z_* may be homotopic inside Y_1 to the constant path while its lifted path by \mathbf{c}_0 based at any preimage of z_* is not closed. This definition gives an equivalence relation between paths in Y_1 . The equivalent class of a path α_{z_*z} in Y_1 starting at z_* is denoted by $[\alpha_{z_*z}]$. If $\alpha_{z_*z}^1$ and $\alpha_{z_*z}^2$ are homotopic inside Y_1 then they are equivalent in the sense of Definition 5.1. Set $\tilde{Y}_1 := \{[\alpha_{z_*z}] : z \in Y_1\}$ and $\mathbf{c}_1([\alpha_{z_*z}]) = z$. The map $\mathbf{c}_1 : \tilde{Y}_1 \to Y_1$ is obviously well-defined.

We define a topology on \tilde{Y}_1 as follows. A subset \tilde{U} of \tilde{Y}_1 is a neighbourhood of $[\alpha_{z_*z}]$ if there exists a contractible open set \mathcal{U} containing z in Y_1 such that

$$\{[\alpha_{z_*z} \cdot \beta] : \beta \text{ is a path in } \mathcal{U} \text{ starting at } z\} \subset \tilde{U}.$$

 \tilde{Y}_1 becomes a connected topological space and the map c_1 is open and continuous.

Let us prove that \tilde{Y}_1 is Hausdorff. Let $[\alpha_{z_*z_1}^1] \neq [\alpha_{z_*z_2}^2]$. We shall prove there exist two disjoints neighbourhoods \tilde{U}_1 of $[\alpha_{z_*z_1}^1]$ and \tilde{U}_2 of $[\alpha_{z_*z_2}^2]$ in \tilde{Y}_1 . The case $z_1 \neq z_2$ is obvious so we can suppose that $z_1 = z_2 = z$. Let \mathcal{U} be an open contractible neighbourhood of zand suppose that there exist $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{U}$ and two paths $\beta_{z\tilde{z}}^1, \beta_{z\tilde{z}}^2$ between z and \tilde{z} in \mathcal{U} such that

$$\left[\alpha_{z_*z}^1 \cdot \beta_{z\tilde{z}}^1\right] = \left[\alpha_{z_*z}^2 \cdot \beta_{z\tilde{z}}^2\right].$$
(5.1)

The following equality holds in $\pi_1(Y_1, z_*)$

$$\left[\alpha_{z_{*}z}^{2} \cdot \beta_{z\tilde{z}}^{2} \cdot \left(\alpha_{z_{*}z}^{1} \cdot \beta_{z\tilde{z}}^{1}\right)^{-1}\right]_{\pi_{1}(Y_{1}, z_{*})} = \left[\alpha_{z_{*}z}^{2} \cdot \left(\alpha_{z_{*}z}^{1}\right)^{-1}\right]_{\pi_{1}(Y_{1}, z_{*})}$$

and (5.1) implies that $\alpha_{z_*z}^2 \cdot (\alpha_{z_*z}^1)^{-1} \in i_*(K)$. Hence $[\alpha_{z_*z_1}^1] = [\alpha_{z_*z_2}^2]$ which gives us a contradiction. Then $\tilde{U}_1 := \{ [\alpha_{z_*z}^1 \cdot \beta] : \beta \subset \mathcal{U} \}$ and $\tilde{U}_2 := \{ [\alpha_{z_*z}^2 \cdot \beta] : \beta \subset \mathcal{U} \}$ are the desired open sets. \tilde{Y}_1 is a Hausdorff topological space.

Let us prove that $(\tilde{Y}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, Y_1)$ is a regular topological cover. Fix $z \in Y_1$ and let $\{[\alpha_{z_*z}^i]\}_{i \in I}$ be the preimages set of z by \mathbf{c}_1 where I is at most countable. Let \mathcal{U} be a contractible open neighbourhood of z in Y_1 . One obtains that

$$\mathsf{c}_1^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \{ [\alpha_{z_*z}^i \cdot \beta] : \beta \text{ is a path in } \mathcal{U} \text{ starting at } z \}.$$

The fact that \mathcal{U} is contractible implies that the previous union is disjoint. Therefore c_1 is a regular cover and \tilde{Y}_1 inherits a structure of complex manifold.

For every $w \in \tilde{Y}_0$ we set $\tilde{i}(w) := [\mathbf{c}_0 \circ \lambda_{w_*w}]$ where λ_{w_*w} is a path joining w_* and w in \tilde{Y}_0 . Then by construction of the equivalence relation the map $\tilde{i}: \tilde{Y}_0 \to \tilde{Y}_1$ is well-defined, continuous and verifies $\mathbf{c}_1 \circ \tilde{i} = \mathbf{c}_0$. Let us prove that its restriction on the fiber $\mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(z_*)$ is surjective onto $\mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(z_*)$. Let $[\alpha]$ be a preimage of z_* by \mathbf{c}_1 . Since $\mathbf{i}_*: \pi_1(Y_0, z_*) \to \pi_1(Y_1, z_*)$ is surjective the loop α is homotopic inside $\pi_1(Y_1, z_*)$ to $\gamma \subset Y_0$. It follows that α and γ are equivalent in the sense of Definition 5.1. Denoting $w := \mathbf{c}_0^* \gamma(1)$ one deduces that $\tilde{i}(w) = [\gamma] = [\alpha]$ and $\tilde{i}: \mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(z_*) \to \mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(z_*)$ is surjective. By using the lifting property of regular covers one obtains that $\tilde{i}: \tilde{Y}_0 \to \mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(Y_0)$ is surjective. In this case $(\tilde{Y}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, Y_1)$ is a finite regular cover with b_1 sheets where b_1 denotes the cardinal of $\mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(z)$ which doesn't exceed b_0 . := $\#I \leq b_0$. By Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.1 it uniquely extends to b_1 -sheeted connected analytic cover $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, D_1)$ over D_1 . Let \tilde{U} be an open connected neighbourhood of w_0 inside \tilde{D}_0 such that $\tilde{U} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}_0}$ is connected and let \tilde{V} be a connected

component of $\mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(U)$ inside \tilde{D}_1 such that $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}: \tilde{U} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 \to \tilde{V}$ is holomorphic, where $U := \mathbf{c}_0(\tilde{U})$. After shrinking \tilde{U} we can suppose that \tilde{V} is biholomorphic to an analytic subset A of some bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Then one can replace $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}$ by m bounded holomorphic maps $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}_k: \tilde{U} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ (k = 1, ..., m). By normality of $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{D}_0$ every $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}_k$ can be extended to a holomorphic function $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}_k: \tilde{U} \to \mathbb{C}$. The map $(\tilde{\mathbf{i}}_1, ..., \tilde{\mathbf{i}}_m): \tilde{U} \to A$ extends $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}: \tilde{Y}_0 \to \tilde{Y}_1$ on the whole of \tilde{D}_0 . Denoting by $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}$ that extension one obtains that $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}: \tilde{D}_0 \to \tilde{D}_1$ is holomorphic and diagram 1.1 is commutative. The first statement of Theorem 1 is proved.

Let us prove statement (ii). If $b_1 = b_0$ the restriction of the map $\tilde{i}: \tilde{Y}_0 \to \tilde{Y}_1$ on the fiber $\mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(z_*)$ becomes bijective onto $\mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(z_*)$. It follows that $\tilde{i}: \tilde{Y}_0 \to \tilde{Y}_1$ is globally injective and $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, D_1)$ is an extension in the strong sense of $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ over D_1 .

Let $(\tilde{D}'_1, \mathbf{c}'_1, D_1)$ be another connected extension of $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, Y_0)$. We shall proved that the number of its sheets can not be larger than b_1 *i.e.*, $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, D_1)$ is the unique maximal analytic cover which extends $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$. There exists a holomorphic map $\tilde{i}' : \tilde{D}_0 \to \tilde{D}'_1$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{D}'_{1} \xleftarrow{\tilde{i}'} \tilde{D}_{0} \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} \tilde{D}_{1} \\ c'_{1} & & \downarrow c_{0} & & \downarrow c_{1} \\ D_{1} \xleftarrow{i} D_{0} \xleftarrow{i} D_{1} \end{array}$$

is commutative *i.e.*, $\mathbf{c}'_1 \circ \tilde{\mathbf{i}}' = \mathbf{c}_0 = \mathbf{c}_1 \circ \tilde{\mathbf{i}}$. Set $\tilde{Y}'_1 := \mathbf{c}'_1^{-1}(Y_1)$. The restriction $\mathbf{c}'_1 = \mathbf{c}'_1|_{\tilde{Y}'_1} : \tilde{Y}'_1 \to Y_1$ is a regular analytic cover over Y_1 . Let ζ'_* be a preimage of z_* by \mathbf{c}'_1 . We shall prove the following

Lemma 5.1. Let α_1 , α_2 be two equivalent paths in the sense of Definition 5.1. Then its lifted path $c'^*_1(\alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1^{-1})$ starting at ζ'_* is closed.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Let $\gamma \subset Y_0$ be a loop such that $\alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1^{-1}$ is homotopic inside Y_1 to γ and such that $\mathbf{c}_0^* \gamma$ is closed. Since $\mathbf{c}_1' \circ \tilde{\mathbf{i}}' = \mathbf{c}_0$ it follows that $\mathbf{c}_1'^* \gamma$ is closed and one deduces that $\mathbf{c}_1'^* \left(\alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1^{-1}\right)$ is closed. Lemma 5.1 is proved.

Now let us prove statements (iii) and (iv). Let $\alpha \subset Y_1$ be a path starting at z_* . By the previous Lemma the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Psi : & \tilde{Y}_1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y}'_1 \\ & [\alpha] & \longmapsto & \mathsf{c}'^*_1 \alpha(1) \end{array}$$

is well-defined, continuous, surjective and it verifies $\mathbf{c}'_1 \circ \Psi = \mathbf{c}_1$. Hence $(\tilde{Y}'_1, \mathbf{c}'_1, Y_1)$ is a finite regular cover and the number b'_1 of its sheets can not be larger than b_1 . Remark that if $b'_1 = b_1$ the restriction $\Psi : \mathbf{c}_1^{-1}(z) \to \mathbf{c}_1'^{-1}(z)$ on every fiber is a surjective map between finite sets with b_1 elements. It is injective and $\Psi : \tilde{Y}_1 \to \tilde{Y}'_1$ becomes bijective. Its inverse is holomorphic given by $\Psi^{-1} : \zeta \in \tilde{Y}'_1 \mapsto [\mathbf{c}'_1 \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{\zeta'_* \zeta}]$ where $\tilde{\alpha}_{\zeta'_* \zeta}$ is path between ζ'_* and ζ inside \tilde{Y}'_1 . One deduces that Ψ is a biholomorphism which uniquely extends to a biholomorphic map $\Psi : \tilde{D}_1 \to \tilde{D}'_1$ such that $\mathbf{c}'_1 \circ \Phi = \mathbf{c}_1$.

Remark 5.1. In the assumptions of *Theorem 1* if the analytic cover (D_0, c_0, D_0) is Galois then (\tilde{D}_1, c_1, D_1) is Galois.

The following example is well known. It shows that an analytic cover can be extended only by gluing the sheets. Remark that the branching divisor in it is empty.

Example 5.1. Take as $X = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$ and as \tilde{X} a *b*-sheeted regular analytic cover of X. It cannot be extended over any point of \mathbb{R}^2 in the strong sense because holomorphic functions on this \tilde{X} do not separate points. But it obviously extends to a trivial cover $(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathsf{Id}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ after "gluing the sheets".

6. EXTENSION OF ANALYTIC COVERS OVER A POLYDISK

6.1. Lemma of Picard-Simart. The following statement is due to [PS]. It is proved in [Ni].

Lemma 6.1. Let $\Delta^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the unit polydisk and $\Gamma := \{|w| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disk. Let \mathcal{R} be a one-codimensional analytic subset of $\Delta^n \times \Gamma$ such that $\mathcal{R} \cap (\Delta^n \times \partial \Gamma) = \emptyset$. Then there exists a proper analytic subset $\sigma \subset \Delta^n$ such that for every $z_* \in \Delta^n \setminus \sigma$ any one-dimensional closed curve γ in $(\Delta^n \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ can be continuously deformed to a closed curve in $(\{z_*\} \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$.

By using the methods of the proof of *Lemma 6.1* given by [Ni] one deduces a more precise statement about surjectivity of the natural map between fundamental groups.

Theorem 6.1. In the assumptions of Lemma 6.1 there exists a nowhere dense subset $A \subset \Delta^n$ such that for every $z_* \in \Delta^n \setminus A$ and every $Z_* = (z_*, w_*) \in (\Delta^n \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ the natural homomorphism

 $\mathbf{i}_*: \pi_1[(\{z_*\} \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}, Z_*] \to \pi_1[(\Delta^n \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}, Z_*]$

is surjective i.e., every loop γ in $(\Delta^n \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ starting at Z_* is homotopic to some path $\gamma^* \subset (\{z_*\} \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ within the loops starting at Z_* .

Proof. We denote the standard coordinates in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} as $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (x_1 + iy_1, \ldots, x_n + iy_n) \in \Delta^n$ and $w = u + iv \in \Gamma$. By the hypothesis on \mathcal{R} there exists a monic Weierstrass polynomial

$$P(z,w) = w^{\nu} + \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_i(z)w^{\nu-i}$$

such that a_i is holomorphic on Δ^n , P(z, w) has no multiple factor and

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(z, w) \in \Delta^n \times \Gamma : P(z, w) = 0\}.$$

Since Δ is biholomorphic to the square $\{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : -1 < x < 1 \text{ and } -1 < y < 1\}$ we may assume that Δ^n is the cube. Set $\sigma := \{z \in \Delta^n : \text{Discr}_w P(z, w) = 0\}$. The following statement is proved in [Ni] as Lemma 2.9.

Lemma 6.2. Let D be a domain of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} whose coordinates are denoted as $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \{f(z, w) = 0\}$ be an analytic hypersurface of D. There exists a linear transformation φ of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} such that in the coordinates $(z', w') = \varphi(z, w)$ one has

$$\forall (a'_1, \dots, a'_n, b') \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \left[f \circ \varphi^{-1}(a'_1, \dots, a'_n, b') = 0 \Rightarrow f \circ \varphi^{-1}(a'_1, \dots, a'_n, w') \not\equiv 0 \right].$$

We prove Theorem 6.1 by induction.

Case n = 1. According to Lemma 6.2 we can suppose after taking a linear transformation that \mathcal{R} does not contain any complex hyperplane of the form w = d where $d \in \Gamma$ is constant. The set $\sigma \subset \Delta$ consists of a countable number of points $A_k = A'_k + iA''_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set $A := \{x + iy \in \Delta : \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \ x = A'_k \text{ or } y = A''_k\}$. Let $Z_* = (x_*, y_*, u_*, v_*) \in (\Delta \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ be such that $x_* + iy_* \notin A$ and γ be a loop in $(\Delta^n \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ starting at Z_* . We can suppose that γ is a real analytic path and its projection onto every real axis x, y, u and v is not reduced to a single point. For every $M = (x_M, y_M, u_M, v_M) \in \gamma$ we set $X_M := \{y = y_M\} \cap \Delta$ and we let \mathcal{X}_M denote the cylinder $X_M \times \Gamma$. We have the following.

Lemma 6.3. For every $M = (x_M, y_M, u_M, v_M) \in \gamma$ we can find a real one-dimensional open line segment $L(M) \subset \mathcal{X}_M$ containing M of the form

$$L(M) := \{ (x, y_M, u_M + \alpha_M (x - x_M), v_M + \beta_M (x - x_M)) : x \in [-1, 1] \}$$
(6.1)

where α_M and β_M are real constants and such that :

- (1) $L(M) \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$ and
- (2) $L(M) \cap [\{|x| \le 1\} \times \{y_M\} \times \partial \Gamma] = \emptyset.$

Let $M_0 \in \gamma$ and let α_0 and β_0 be the real constants in the definition of $L(M_0)$. There exists a subarc $[M'_0M''_0]$ of γ which contains M_0 as an interior point and such that for every $M \in [M'_0M''_0]$ we can take $\alpha_M = \alpha_0$ and $\beta_M = \beta_0$ in the definition (6.1) of L(M). By compactness of γ we can find a finite number of points M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_q such that:

- $\gamma = \bigcup_{i=0}^{q-1} [M_i M_{i+1}],$
- $M_0 = M_q = Z_*$ and
- for every point $M \in [M_i M_{i+1}]$ we can take $\alpha_M = \alpha_i$ and $\beta_M = \beta_i$ where α_i, β_i correspond to the definition of $L(M_i)$ in (6.1).

If $M \in [M_i, M_{i+1}]$ the line segment L(M) is denoted by $L_i(M)$. We denote $M_i = (x_i, y_i, u_i, v_i)$. Since the projection of γ on the axes x and y does not reduce to a point we can suppose after a small perturbation that $M_i \notin A \times \Gamma$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$. For every $M = (x_M, y_M, u_M, v_M) \in [M_i M_{i+1}]$ we define its projection to the real hyperplane $\{x = x_*\}$

$$p_i(M) := L_i(M)|_{x=x_*} = (x_*, y_M, u_M + \alpha_i(x_* - x_M), v_M + \beta_i(x_* - x_M)).$$

Set $p'_i := p_i(M_i)$ and $p''_i := p_i(M_{i+1})$. Remark that every M_i has two projections p'_i and p''_{i-1} in $\{x_*, y_i\} \times \Gamma$. We can continuously deform the arc $[M_iM_{i+1}]$ to the arc $[p'_ip''_i] := \{p_i(M) : M \in [M_i, M_{i+1}]\}$ in such a way that $M \in [M_iM_{i+1}]$ moves to $p_i(M)$ along $L_i(M)$, see Figure 1. By hypothesis on $L_i(M)$ this deformation is in $(\Delta \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$. Since $p'_0 = p''_{q-1} = Z_*$ the arc $[M_0M_1]$ can be deformed to $[M_0p''_0]$ within the paths starting at M_0 . Likewise $[M_{q-1}M_0]$ can be deformed to $[p'_{q-1}M_0]$ within the paths ending at M_0 . Let λ'_i be the path between p''_{i-1} and M_i on the segment $L_{i-1}(M_i)$ and λ''_i a path between M_i and p'_i on $L_i(M_i)$. Then denoting $\lambda_i := \lambda'_i \cdot \lambda''_i \subset \mathcal{X}_{M_i} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ one obtains that γ is homotopic in $(\Delta \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ to the loop

$$\hat{\gamma} := [M_0 p_0''] \cdot \lambda_1 \cdot [p_1' p_1''] \cdots \lambda_{q-1} \cdot [p_{q-1}' M_0]$$

within the loops starting at $M_0 = Z_*$.

We have the following.

Lemma 6.4. Let $D :=]-1, 1[\times \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a cylinder and $\mathcal{L}_j : (t, u_j(t), v_j(t))$ a smooth arc in \overline{D} $(j = 1, ..., \nu)$. We make the following assumptions.

- (1) $\mathcal{L}_j \cap ([-1,1] \times \partial \Gamma) = \emptyset$ for every $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ and
- (2) $\mathcal{L}_j \cap \mathcal{L}_k = \emptyset$ if $j \neq k$.

Set $\mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathcal{L}_j$ and let γ be a path in $D \setminus \mathcal{L}$ such that $\gamma(0), \gamma(1) \in \{t_0\} \times \Gamma$ for some $t_0 \in]-1, 1[$. Then γ is homotopic to a path $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \{t_0\} \times \Gamma$ within the paths starting at $\gamma(0)$ and ending at $\gamma(1)$.



FIGURE 1.

The proof of this Lemma consists in observing that there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi: D \to D$ such that

- $\forall t \in]-1, 1[\Phi(\{t\} \times \Gamma) = \{t\} \times \Gamma,$
- $\Phi(\mathcal{L}_j) =]-1, 1[\times \{(a_j, b_j)\}$ where $(a_j, b_j) \neq (a_k, b_k)$ if $j \neq k$ and
- the restriction $\Phi : \{t_0\} \times \Gamma \to \{t_0\} \times \Gamma$ is the identity mapping.

On deduce that we can deform $\hat{\gamma}$ in $(\Delta \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ to a closed curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $\mathcal{Y}(z_*) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ where

$$\mathcal{Y}(z_*) := (\Delta \times \Gamma) \cap \{x = x_*\}.$$

Indeed since $(x_i, y_i) \notin A$ we have $\mathcal{X}_{M_i} \cap \sigma = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{X}_{M_i}$ consists of an union of ν real analytic arcs \mathcal{L}_j verifying the assumptions of Lemma 6.4. Moreover for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ $\lambda_i(0) = p''_{i-1} \in \{x_*, y_i\} \times \Gamma$ and $\lambda_i(1) = p'_i \in \{x_*, y_i\} \times \Gamma$. Since λ_i does not intersect \mathcal{R} Lemma 6.4 implies that for every $i = 1, \ldots, q-1$ there exists a path $\tilde{\lambda}_i \subset (\{x_*, y_i\} \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ starting at p''_{i-1} and ending at p'_i such that λ_i is homotopic to $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ inside $\mathcal{X}_{M_i} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ with the same initial and terminal points. Thus γ is homotopic in $(\Delta \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ to

$$\tilde{\gamma} := [M_0 p_0''] \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_1 \cdot [p_1' p_1''] \cdots \tilde{\lambda}_{q-1} \cdot [p_{q-1}' M_0] \subset \mathcal{Y}(z_*) \backslash \mathcal{R}.$$

The last thing is to prove that $\tilde{\gamma}$ can be deformed to a close path γ^* in $\{x_*, y_*\} \times \Gamma$. But this is an application of Lemma 6.4 to $\tilde{\gamma}$ by taking t = y. Theorem 6.1 is proved when n = 1.

Case $n \geq 2$. Let $z_* = (z_1^*, \ldots, z_n^*) \in \Delta^n \setminus \sigma$ where $z_n^* = x_n^* + iy_n^*$. According to Lemma 6.2 we can suppose after taking linear transformation that \mathcal{R} does not contain any complex lines of the form $\{z_1 = c_1, \ldots, z_{n-1} = c_{n-1}, w = d\}$ where $(c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}) \in \Delta^{n-1}$ and $d \in \Gamma$ are constants. Let γ be a closed curve starting at $Z_* = (z_*, w_*) \in (\Delta^n \times \Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{R}$. As previously we can suppose that γ is real analytic of the form $\gamma(t) = (\phi(t), \chi'(t), \chi''(t), \psi''(t))$

where $\phi : [0,1] \to \Delta^{n-1}$ and $\chi', \chi'', \psi', \psi'' : [0,1] \to]-1,1[$ are real analytic functions such that the projection of γ onto every axis $x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, u$ and v is not reduced to a single point. We shall prove there exists a nowhere dense subset A of Δ^n such that the assumption of the lemma is satisfied if $z_* \notin A$.

Fix $M \in \gamma$ and denote $M = (z'_M, x_M, y_M, u_M, v_M) = (\phi(s), \chi'(s), \chi''(s), \psi'(s), \psi''(s))$. Set $X_M := \{(\phi(s), x, \chi''(s)) \in \Delta^n : -1 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_M := X_M \times \Gamma$. There exists a real one-dimensional segment $L(M) \subset \mathcal{X}_M$ containing M of the form

$$L(M) := \{ (z'_M, x, y_M, u_M + \alpha_M (x - x_M), v_M + \beta_M (x - x_M) : -1 \le x \le 1 \}$$
(6.2)

where α_M and β_M are real constants such that

(1) $L(M) \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$ and

(2) $L(M) \cap [\{z'_M\} \times \{|x| \le 1\} \times \{y_M\} \times \partial \Gamma] = \emptyset.$

Set $\Lambda_{x_n^*} := \Delta^{n-1} \times \{x_n^*\} \times \{|y_n| \le 1\} \times \Gamma$, $\mathcal{Y}_M(z_*) := \{(\phi(s), x_n^*, y) \in \Delta^n : -1 \le y \le 1\} \times \Gamma$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{M \in \gamma} \mathcal{Y}_M(z_*)$. According to the method used in the proof of the case n = 1 there exists a nowhere dense subset $A' \subset \{|x_n| < 1, |y_n| < 1\}$ such that for every $(x_n^*, y_n^*) \notin A'$ the curve γ is homotopic to a closed path $\tilde{\gamma} : t \mapsto (\phi(t), x_n^*, y(t), u(t), v(t))$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{\gamma} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ where y(t), u(t) and v(t) are continuous.

Then $\tilde{\gamma}$ can be deformed in $\Lambda_{x_n^*} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ to a curve $\hat{\gamma}$ in $\left(\bigcup_{M \in \gamma} \{(\phi(t), x_n^*, y_n^*)\} \times \Gamma\right) \setminus \mathcal{R}$. Denoting $\Lambda^{n-1} := \Delta^{n-1} \times \{z_n^*\} \times \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{R}^{n-1} := \mathcal{R} \cap \Lambda^{n-1}$ one obtains that $\hat{\gamma} \subset \Lambda^{n-1} \setminus \mathcal{R}^{n-1}$ and the proof of the Lemma reduces to the case n-1. By induction Theorem 6.1 is proved.

6.2. Proof of Theorem 2. We prove in this section *Theorem 2* from Introduction.

According to Theorem 6.1 there exists $z_* \in D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}$ such that the natural morphism $i_* : \pi_1(D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*) \to \pi_1(\Delta^n \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*)$ is surjective. By Theorem 1 one obtains Theorem 2.(i). The second part of the Theorem is a particular case of the following statement, see Theorem 6.3

Theorem 6.2. Let (D, c, D) and (D', c', D) be 2-sheeted connected analytic covers over a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with the same ramification divisor $\mathcal{R} \subset D$. Then the covers are equivalent i.e., there exists a biholomorphic map $\tilde{i} : \tilde{D} \to \tilde{D}'$ such that $c' \circ \tilde{i} = c$.

Proof. The proof consists from the following steps.

Step 1: Let us prove the result when $D = \Delta^n$ is the unit polydisk and \mathcal{R} is such that $\mathcal{R} \cap (\Delta^{n-1} \times \partial \Delta) = \emptyset$. The divisor \mathcal{R} is the zero set of a Weierstrass polynomial of degree ν with respect to z_n . According to Theorem 6.1 there exists $z'_* \in \Delta^{n-1}$ such that the natural morphism $i_* : \pi_1(\Delta_{z'_*} \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*) \to \pi_1(D \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*)$ is surjective where $z_* = (z'_*, z_n) \in D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and $\Delta_{z'_*} := z'_* \times \Delta$. Set $\tilde{Y} := \mathbf{c}^{-1}(D \setminus \mathcal{R})$ and $\tilde{Y}' := \mathbf{c}'^{-1}(D \setminus \mathcal{R})$. Let $w_* \in \tilde{Y}$ and w'_* be some preimages of z_* by \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' respectively. The point z'_* has been chosen so that $\Delta_{z'_*} \cap \mathcal{R}$ is a finite set of ν elements $\{a_1, \ldots, a_\nu\}$. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, \nu\}$ and take a neighbourhood U_i of a_i inside $\Delta_{z'_*}$ such that $\mathbf{c}^{-1}(U_i \setminus \mathcal{R})$ is connected. Let $z_i \in U_i \setminus \mathcal{R}$. The generator $[\alpha_i]$ of $\pi_1(U_i \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is such that the lift of α_i at any preimage of z_i by \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' is not closed. Let λ_i be a path between z_* and z_i inside $\Delta_{z'_*} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and define $\gamma_i := \lambda_i \cdot \alpha_i \cdot \lambda_i^{-1}$. By construction the lift $\tilde{\gamma}'_i$ by \mathbf{c}' starting at w_* by \mathbf{c} is not closed. Moreover $\pi_1(\Delta_{z'_*} \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*)$ is the free group generated by $\{[\gamma_1], \ldots, [\gamma_\nu]\}$. It is easy to prove by induction that the lift of any loop $\gamma_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots \gamma_{i_s}^{n_s} \subset \Delta_{z'_*} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ by \mathbf{c} is closed if and only if $n_1 + \cdots + n_s$ is even.

20

One deduces that if two paths $\gamma_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots \gamma_{i_s}^{n_s}$ and $\gamma_{j_1}^{m_1} \cdots \gamma_{j_q}^{m_{s'}}$ are homotopic inside $D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ then $n_1 + \cdots + n_s - (m_1 + \cdots + m_{s'})$ is even. Moreover Theorem 6.1 implies that every loop γ in $D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ starting at z_* is homotopic inside $D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ to $\gamma_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots \gamma_{i_s}^{n_s} \subset \Delta_{z'_*} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ for some $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ $(j = 1, \ldots, s)$. According to the previous remark one deduces that the parity of $n_1 + \cdots + n_s$ does not depend of the choice of such a decomposition. Then $c^*\alpha$ is closed if and only if $n_1 + \cdots + n_s$ is even. In particular the lift $c^*\alpha$ of any loop $\alpha \subset D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ by c is closed if and only if $c'^*\alpha$ is closed. The regular covers $(\tilde{Y}, c, D \setminus \mathcal{R})$ and $(\tilde{Y}', c', D \setminus \mathcal{R})$ are equivalent and according to Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.1 the result follows.

Step 2: We need to prove the following.

Lemma 6.5. In the assumptions of Theorem 6.2 for every $p \in \mathcal{R}$ there exist a neighborhood U_p of p inside D and $z_p \in U_p \setminus \mathcal{R}$ such that the fundamental group $\pi_1(U_p \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_p)$ is generated by ν_p loops $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{\nu_p}$ based at z_p . Moreover for every $j = 1, \ldots, \nu_p$ and for any two preimages w_p and w'_p of z_p by c and c' respectively the lifts $c^* \gamma_j$, $c'^* \gamma_j$ based at w_p and w'_p respectively are not closed.

Proof. Fix $p \in \mathcal{R}$. One can find a complex line L containing p and a neighbourhood Δ of p inside L such that $\Delta \cap \mathcal{R} = \{p\}$. Take a complex orthogonal direction L^{\perp} of L at p in \mathbb{C}^n and let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ be the coordinates chart corresponding to $L^{\perp} \times L$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{n-1} \times \Delta \subset D$ and $(\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{n-1} \times \partial \Delta) \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$. By using the construction used in the previous step one deduces the result.

Remark that the open sets U_p in the lemma can be chosen so that $\{U_p : p \in \mathcal{R}\}$ is an open covering of D.

Step 3: Proof of Theorem 6.2 Fix $z_* \in D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ and let w_*, w'_* be two preimages of z_* by **c** and **c'** respectively. Let α be a loop inside $D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ at z_* . According to the previous step there exists a finite number of points p_1, \ldots, p_N in \mathcal{R} such that $\alpha \subset (U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_N) \setminus \mathcal{R}$ where $U_k := U_{p_k}$ $(k = 1, \ldots, N)$ is the open set defined in Lemma 6.5. One can find a decomposition $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_q$ where α_i is a path inside some $U_{k(i)} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ where $1 \leq k(i) \leq N$ such that $\alpha_i(1) = \alpha_{i+1}(0), i = 1, \ldots, q-1$. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ and take a point $z_i \in U_i \setminus \mathcal{R}$ which verifies the assumptions of Lemma 6.5. Let λ_i (respectively μ_i) be a path inside $U_i \setminus \mathcal{R}$ between $\alpha_i(0)$ and z_i (respectively between $\alpha_i(1)$ and z_i). According to the previous lemma the loop $\lambda_i^{-1} \cdot \alpha_i \cdot \mu_i$ based at z_i is homotopic inside $U_i \setminus \mathcal{R}$ to some $\gamma_{i,j_1}^{n_i, \cdots, \gamma_{i,j_{s_i}}^{n_{i,s_i}}}$ where γ_{i,j_k} verifies the assumptions of the previous lemma and $n_{i,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(1 \leq k \leq s_i)$. Such a decomposition of $\lambda_i^{-1} \cdot \alpha_i \cdot \mu_i$ is not unique but one can prove like in Step 1 that the parity of $n_{i,1} + \cdots + n_{i,s_i}$ is constant. Moreover the lift of $\lambda_i^{-1} \cdot \alpha_i \cdot \mu_i$ is closed if and only if $n_{i,1} + \cdots + n_{i,s_i}$ is even. Finally one deduces that α is homotopic inside $D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ to

$$\lambda_1 \left(\gamma_{1,j_1}^{n_{1,1}} \cdots \gamma_{1,j_{s_1}}^{n_{1,s_1}} \right) \mu_1^{-1} \lambda_2 \left(\gamma_{2,j_1}^{n_{2,1}} \cdots \gamma_{2,j_{s_2}}^{n_{2,s_2}} \right) \cdots \mu_{q-1}^{-1} \lambda_q \left(\gamma_{q,j_1}^{n_{q,1}} \cdots \gamma_{q,j_{s_q}}^{n_{q,s_q}} \right) \mu_q^{-1}$$

By induction one can see that if that decomposition is homotopic to the constant path inside $D \setminus \mathcal{R}$ then

$$S := \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} n_{i,k}$$

is even. Then the parity of this sum is independent of the choice of such a decomposition for α . Finally one can prove that the lift of α is closed if and only if S is even. Theorem 6.2 is proved.

Theorem 6.3. Let D_0 be a domain and (D_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0) be a 2-sheeted connected analytic cover with ramification divisor $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset D_0$. Suppose that \mathcal{R}_0 extends to a globally defined hypersurface \mathcal{R}_1 in $D_1 \supset D_0$ i.e., there exists a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(D_1)$ be such that $\mathcal{R}_1 := \{z \in D_1 : f(z) = 0\}$. Then the cover $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ uniquely extends in the strong sense to a 2-sheeted connected analytic cover over D_1 with ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_1 .

Proof. One can choose $f \in \mathcal{O}(D_1)$ of multiplicity one such that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}' := \{(0, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times D_1 : f(z) = 0\}$ does not locally separate $\tilde{D}'_1 := \{(\zeta, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times D_1 : \zeta^2 = f(z)\}$. Then $(\tilde{D}'_1, \mathbf{c}', D_1)$ is a 2-sheeted analytic cover where $\mathbf{c}' : \tilde{D}'_1 \to D_1$ is induced by the projection $\mathbb{C} \times D_1 \to D_1$. By Theorem 6.2 the restriction of this cover over D_0 is equivalent with $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$. One deduces the result.

Theorem 2.(ii) is a direct consequence of the previous Theorem.

7. HARTOGS TYPE EXTENSION OF ANALYTIC COVERS

Recall that a smooth real valued function ρ in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called (n-q)convex at point $z_* \in \Omega$ if its Levi form $L_{\rho}(z_*)$ has at least q+1 positive eigenvalues. Let us prove Theorem 3 from Introduction. It consists from the following steps. Step 1: Let us prove the following result.

Lemma 7.1. Let $q \ge 2$ and $M = \{\rho = 0\}$ be a smooth strongly (n - q + 1)-convex hypersurface in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Set $D^+ = \{\rho > 0\}$ and let $(\tilde{D}^+, \mathbf{c}_0, D^+)$ be a b_0 -sheeted connected analytic cover over D^+ . Suppose that the ramification divisor \mathcal{R} extends in a neighbourhood of every $p \in M$. Then there exists a neighbourhood U_p of p such that the cover extends to a b_1 -sheeted connected analytic cover over $D^+ \cup U_p$, where $b_1 \le b_0$. It verifies properties (i),(ii),(iii) and (iv) in Theorem 1.

If $q \geq 3$ such a hypersurface \mathcal{R} always extends in Δ^n , see Theorem 8.3 in [ST].

Proof. Let V be the neighbourhood where \mathcal{R} extends. We may assume that p = 0 and every branch of \mathcal{R} in V contains 0. Let Σ be the complex tangent of M at 0. There exists a non empty subspace $S \subset \Sigma$ on which the complex Hessian of $L_{\rho,0}$ is positive definite. One can find a one-dimensional disk $\Delta \subset D^+$ centered at 0 sufficiently close to S such that $\Delta \cap \mathcal{R} = \{0\}$. Take a complex orthogonal direction L of Δ at 0 in \mathbb{C}^n and let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ be the coordinates chart corresponding to $L \times \Delta$. There exist $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{n-1} \times \Delta \subset D$ and $\mathcal{R} \cap (\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{n-1} \times \partial \Delta) = \emptyset$. Moreover one can find a polydisk $W \subset \Delta_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}$ such that $W \times \Delta \subset D^+$. By Theorem 2 the restriction of the cover $(\tilde{D}^+, \mathbf{c}_0, D^+)$ over $W \times \Delta$ can be extended to a b_1 -sheeted connected analytic cover over $D^+ \cup (\Delta_{\varepsilon}^{n-1} \times \Delta)$ where $b_1 \leq b_0$.

Step 2 : One obtains the following result.

Lemma 7.2. In the statements of Lemma 7.1 $(\tilde{D}^+, \mathbf{c}_0, D^+)$ extends to a b_U -sheeted connected analytic cover of $D^+ \cup U$, where $U = \bigcup_{p \in M} U_p$ and $b_U \leq b_0$.

Proof. Let U_p , U_q be polydisks inside D centered at $p \in M$ and $q \in M$ respectively such that

- (1) $U_p \cap U_q \cap M \neq \emptyset$,
- (2) $(\tilde{D}^+, \mathbf{c}_0, D^+)$ extends to a b_p -sheeted cover $(\tilde{U}_p, \mathbf{c}_p, D^+ \cup U_p)$ and
- (3) $(\tilde{D}^+, \mathbf{c}_0, D^+)$ extends to a b_q -sheeted cover $(\tilde{U}_q, \mathbf{c}_q, D^+ \cup U_q)$.

Set $W := U_p \cup U_q$, $W^+ := W \cap D^+$, $U_p^+ := U_p \cap D^+$ and $U_q^+ := U_q \cap D^+$. It suffices to prove that there exists an analytic cover over $D^+ \cup W$ which extends \mathbf{c}_p and \mathbf{c}_q . By construction of U_p and U_q there exists $z_* \in U_p \cap U_q \cap D^+$ such that the natural morphisms

$$\mathbf{i}_{p,*}: \pi_1(U_p^+ \backslash \mathcal{R}, z_*) \to \pi_1(U_p \backslash \mathcal{R}, z_*)$$

and

$$\mathbf{i}_{q,*}: \pi_1(U_q^+ \backslash \mathcal{R}, z_*) \to \pi_1(U_q \backslash \mathcal{R}, z_*)$$

are surjective, see *Theorem 6.1*. Recall the following.

Lemma 7.3. Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ be an union of path-connected open sets such that $X_1 \cap X_2$ is path-connected. For every $x_* \in X_1 \cap X_2$ the natural homomorphism

$$\pi_1(X_1, x_*) * \pi_1(X_2, x_*) \to \pi_1(X, x_*)$$
(7.1)

is surjective. Here $\pi_1(X_1, x_*) * \pi_1(X_2, x_*)$ denotes the free product of $\pi_1(X_1, x_*)$ and $\pi_1(X_2, x_*)$.

This is the "weakest" part of the proof of Van Kampen Theorem, see [Ha] for more details. By Lemma 7.3 it implies that every loop α inside $W \setminus \mathcal{R}$ is homotopic to $\alpha_1^{n_1} \cdots \alpha_p^{n_p}$ where $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha_k \subset U_p^+ \setminus \mathcal{R}$ or $\alpha_k \subset U_q^+ \setminus \mathcal{R}$, $k = 1, \ldots, p$. It follows that $i_* : \pi_1(W^+ \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*) \to \pi_1(W \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*)$ and $i_* : \pi_1(D^+ \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*) \to \pi_1((D^+ \cup U) \setminus \mathcal{R}, z_*)$ are surjective. By Theorem 1 there exists a b_W -sheeted connected analytic cover over $D^= \cup U$ with ramification divisor \mathcal{R} which extends $(\tilde{D}^+, \mathbf{c}_0, D^+)$, where $b_W \leq b_0$. Lemma 7.2 is proved.

Step 3 : Now we need to exaust Δ^n by smooth (n-q+1)-convex domains starting from $H_r^{n,n-q}$. The idea of the following construction is inspired by §3 from [Iv2]. For $\alpha > 0$ consider the smooth function

$$\rho_{\alpha}(w) = -|w_1|^2 + \frac{r^2}{4} + \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{4}\right)|w_2|^{2\alpha}.$$
(7.2)

Here $\mathbb{C}^n \ni w = (w_1; w_2)$ with $w_1 = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-q}), w_2 = (z_{n-q+1}, \dots, z_n)$. Set

$$D_{\alpha}^{+} = \{ w \in \Delta^{n} : \rho_{\alpha}(w) > 0 \}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{-} := \Delta^{n} \setminus \bar{D}_{\alpha}^{+}$$

$$(7.3)$$

and the hypersurface

$$\Sigma_{\alpha} = \{ w \in \Delta^n : \rho_{\alpha}(w) = 0 \}$$
(7.4)

separating D^+_{α} from D^-_{α} , see Figure 2.

- **Lemma 7.4.** i) For every $\alpha > 0$ the hypersurface Σ_{α} is strictly (n-q+1)-convex in $\Delta^n \setminus \{|w_1| \leq \frac{r}{2}, w_2 = 0\}.$
 - ii) For α sufficiently big the domain D^+_{α} is contained in $H^{n,n-q}_r$ and

$$\bigcup_{\alpha>0} D_{\alpha}^{+} = \Delta^{n} \setminus \left(A_{\frac{r}{2},1}^{n-q} \times \{0\} \right).$$
(7.5)

Proof. The Levi form of $\rho_{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ at w is

$$L_{\rho,\alpha}(w) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{n-q} & 0\\ 0 & \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{4}\right)H \end{pmatrix}$$
(7.6)



FIGURE 2. For $\alpha_1 \gg 1$ the hypersurface Σ_{α_1} (solid line) belongs to $H_r^{n,n-q}$. For $\alpha_2 < 1$ (dot line) Σ_{α_2} approaches $\{w_2 = 0\}$ when $\alpha_2 \searrow 0$. Those hypersurfaces are smooth and strictly (n-q+1)-convex outside of the sphere $\{|w_1| \leq \varepsilon/2, w_2 = 0\}$ (punctured line).

where *H* is the matrix of the Levi form of $(z_{n-q+1}, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (|z_{n-q+1}|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2)^{\alpha}$ which is strictly plurisubharmonic. This readily implies the assertion *i*) of the Lemma. Assertion *ii*) is left to the reader.

Step 4. End of the proof. Applying Lemma 7.2 one deduces the set of α such that our cover extends to a neighbourhood of D^+_{α} is a non-empty open closed subset of $]0, +\infty[$. By Lemma 7.4 we extend the cover to $\Delta^n \setminus (A^{n-q}_{\frac{r}{2},1} \times \{0\})$. According to Thullen type extension Theorem 4 there exists a b_1 -sheeted connected analytic cover $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, \Delta^n)$ which extends $(\tilde{H}, \mathbf{c}_0, H^{n,n-q}_r)$. By construction that cover verifies conditions (iii) and (iv) in Theorem 1. Theorem 3.(i) is proved.

The second assertion is a consequence of Theorem 6.3. Indeed by Theorem of Rothstein (see Corollary 2.19 in [Si]) the ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_0 of the cover uniquely extends to an analytic hypersurface $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset \Delta^n$. Thus \mathcal{R}_1 is the zero set of a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta^n)$. The cover $(\tilde{H}, \mathbf{c}_0, H_r^{n,n-q})$ also extends in the strong sense to a 2-sheeted connected analytic cover over Δ^n .

8. Examples

8.1. An exemple related to Theorem 1. We give in this section details about Example 1 from Introduction. Let $m \ge 4$ be an integer and X_m be the configuration space of m points in \mathbb{C} , *i.e.*, X_m is the space of unordered *m*-tuples $(z_1, ..., z_m)$ of complex numbers. It can be identified with \mathbb{C}^m viewed as the space of monic polynomials of degree m.

$$X^m + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k X^k \tag{8.1}$$

Examples

with coefficients being elementary symmetric functions on $z_1, ..., z_m$. I.e., $w_0 = (-1)^m z_1 ... z_m, ..., w_{m-1} = -(z_1 + ... + z_m)$ or, equivalently $z_1, ..., z_m$ are the roots of (8.1). Denote by

$$\mathcal{R}_1 := \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C}^m : \operatorname{Discr}_X \left(X^m + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} w_k X^k \right) = 0 \right\}$$
(8.2)

the discriminant variety, *i.e.*, the divisor of such w that the corresponding polynomial has multiply roots. Or, in z-presentation such m-tuples $(z_1, ..., z_m)$ where not all z_j are distinct. The discriminant variety has equation

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \left\{ z \in X_m : \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^2 = 0 \right\}.$$
(8.3)

Set $D_1 := X_m$. Fix a point $p := (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n, 1 + \frac{1}{m}, \dots, 1 + \frac{m-n}{m}) \in \mathcal{R}_1$, here $3 \leq n < m$.

Fix a sufficiently small neighborhood \tilde{D}_0 with center at p. One can suppose D_0 is the product of a polydisk Δ^n with center at $(1, \ldots, 1)$ in X_n and a polydisk Δ^{m-n} centered at $(1 + \frac{1}{m}, \ldots, 1 + \frac{m-n}{m})$. Set $\mathcal{R}_0 := D_0 \cap \Delta$. Finally fix some $z_* \in D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$. As it is well known $\pi_1(D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1, z_*) = B_m$ the braid group with m strands, see [KT] for details. After shrinking D_0 one has $\pi_1(D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0, z_*) = B_n$. Let $\mathbf{g}_0 : B_n \to S_n$ be the natural homomorphism onto the symmetric group of n elements. It defines a regular n-sheeted connected cover $\mathbf{c}_0 : \tilde{D}'_0 \to D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$ over $D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$, see Remark 2.1(b). By Theorem 4.1 it can be extended to a n-sheeted connected analytic cover over D_0 with ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_0 . Suppose that this cover extends to some n-sheeted connected analytic cover $\mathbf{c}_1 : \tilde{D}_1 \to D_1$ over D_1 with divisor \mathcal{R}_1 . Then there should exist a homomorphism $\mathbf{g}_1 : B_m \to S_n$ making the following diagram commutative.

Here $i_* : B_n \to B_m$ denotes the homomorphism induced by the natural inclusion $i : D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0 \to D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$.

Proposition 8.1. For (m,n) = (4,3) such g_1 doesn't exist.

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ be the twist generators of B_4 and denote by $t_i = \mathbf{g}_1(\sigma_i) \in S_3$ their images under g_1 . Then applying $\mathbf{g}_0 = \mathbf{g}_1 \circ \mathbf{i}_*$ to σ_1, σ_2 we see that we can suppose that $t_1 = (12)$ and $t_2 = (23)$. Moreover all twists in the braid group are conjugate to each other. Indeed for every $k = 1, \ldots, 3$ one has $c^k \sigma_1 c^{-k} = \sigma_k$ where $c = \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_4$. It follows that all t_i are conjugate as well. Therefore t_3 should be a transposition and, moreover, it should commute with t_1 and t_2 . But no transposition in S_3 commutes with t_1 and t_2 . Contradiction.

Remark 8.1. (a) One can prove more generally that such g_1 doesn't exist for $m > n \ge 3$.





(b) Since the diagram

is commutative the cover (D_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0) tautologically extends to a 5-sheeted connected cover over D_1 . Here i : $S_n \hookrightarrow S_m$ denotes the standard monomorphism of symmetric groups.

8.2. A non-extendible cover in the strong sense. We detail here *Example 2* from Introduction. The idea is inspired by the construction of the cover in Figure 3.

Fix $z_* \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C} and set $\mathbb{C}_{z_*} := \{z_*\} \times \mathbb{C}$, $D_1 := \mathbb{C}^2$. Define $\mathcal{R}_1 := \{z_1 = z_2^2\}$. The intersection $\mathcal{R}_1 \cap \mathbb{C}_{z_*}$ consists of two points a and b. Let $(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{c}_0, \mathbb{C}_{z_*})$ be the 3-sheeted analytic cover as shown in Figure 3(b). There exist two generators α_1 and α_2 of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}_{z_*} \setminus \{a, b\}, z_*)$ such that the lift of $\alpha := \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$ at some preimage \tilde{z}_* of z_* is open. Since α is equivalent to the constant path inside $D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$ it follows the cover can not be extended to a 3-sheeted analytic cover over D_1 . However one can extend it over $D_0 := \Omega \times \mathbb{C}$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a neighbourhood of z_* such that $\pi_1(D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1, z_*) = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}_{z_*} \setminus \{a, b\}, z_*)$, see Figure 3(a).

We give here an analytic definition of the cover (D_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0) . Let f be a holomorphic function on $\Omega := \{z_1 \in \mathbb{C} : |z_1 - 1| < 1\}$ such that $f(z_1)^3 = z_1$ and f(1) = 1. Set $D_0 := \Omega \times \mathbb{C}$,

$$\tilde{D}_0 := \left\{ (z, w) \in D_0 \times \mathbb{C} : w^3 + \frac{f(z_1)}{\sqrt[3]{4}} w + \frac{iz_2}{\sqrt{27}} = 0 \right\}$$
(8.6)

and $\mathbf{c}_0: \tilde{D}_0 \to D_0$ induced by the canonical projection $D_0 \times \mathbb{C} \to D_0$. Set $\mathcal{R}_0 := \mathcal{R}_1 \cap D_0$.

Lemma 8.1. In the notations as above we have the following.

(1) $\left(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0\right)$ is a 3-sheeted connected analytic cover with ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_0 ;

Examples

(2) if $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathbf{c}_1, D_1)$ is a connected extension of $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ in the weak sense over D_1 with ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_1 then the number of its sheets is equal to 1.

Proof. Proof of (1). The space \tilde{D}_0 is a complex manifold and the map $\mathbf{c}_0 : \tilde{D}_0 \to D_0$ is holomorphic, proper and surjective. Recall that the discriminant of the polynomial $w^3 + pw + q$ with respect to w is equal to $-4p^3 - 27q^2$. Fix $(z_1, z_2) \in D_0$. \mathcal{R}_0 is the set where the discriminant of $w^3 + \frac{f(z_1)}{\sqrt[3]{4}}w + \frac{iz_2}{\sqrt{27}}$ with respect to w vanishes. One deduces that $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ is 3-sheeted analytic cover with ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_0 .

Proof of (2). Take $z_* := (1,0) \in D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$. The fundamental group of $D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} and $\pi_1(D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0, z_*)$ is free-generated by the homotopy classes of those loops

$$\alpha_1(t) = (1, 1 - e^{-i2\pi t})$$

$$\alpha_2(t) = (1, -1 + e^{i2\pi t})$$
(8.7)

By Theorem 2.(i) there exists a connected extension (D_1, c_1, D_1) of (D_0, c_0, D_0) over D_1 with ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_1 . Moreover the number b_1 of its sheets can not be larger than 3. Let us prove that $b_1 = 1$ by contradiction.

Suppose that $b_1 = 3$. (D_1, c_1, D_1) is an extension in the strong sense of (D_0, c_0, D_0) over D_1 . Let γ be the loop defined as

$$\gamma(t) = \left(e^{-i2\pi t}, 0\right). \tag{8.8}$$

One can see that α_1 and γ are homotopic inside $D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$. Indeed the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} H: & [0,1]^2 & \longrightarrow & D_1 \backslash \mathcal{R}_1 \\ & (s,t) & \longmapsto & [s+(1-s)e^{-i2\pi t}, \sqrt{s}\left(1-e^{-i2\pi t}\right)] \end{array}$$

is a continuous deformation between α_1 and γ . Likewise the loops α_2 and $\gamma^{-1}: t \to \gamma(1-t)$ are homotopic inside $D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$. It follows that $\alpha := \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$ is equivalent to the constant path in $\pi_1(D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1, z_*)$.

Lemma 8.2. There exists a preimage x_* of z_* by c_0 such that the lifted path of α_1 at x_* is closed.

Proof. Every point of \mathcal{R}_0 has exactly two preimages by \mathbf{c}_0 . Indeed for every $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{R}_0$ there exists $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_1 = 4\zeta^6$, $f(z_1) = \sqrt[3]{4}\zeta^2$ and $z_2 = 2\zeta^3$. Then

$$w^{3} + \frac{f(z_{1})}{\sqrt[3]{4}}w + \frac{iz_{2}}{\sqrt{27}} = w^{3} + \zeta^{2}w + 2i\frac{\zeta^{3}}{\sqrt{27}} = \left(w - \frac{2i\zeta}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\left(w + \frac{i\zeta}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{2}.$$
 (8.9)

One deduces there exists a preimage of (1,1) which is of order 1 *i.e.*, there exists an open neighbourhood V of (1,1) and a connected component \tilde{V} of $\mathbf{c}_0^{-1}(V)$ such that the restriction $\mathbf{c}_{0|\tilde{V}}: \tilde{V} \to V$ induced a homeomorphism. Fix $z \in V \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$ and let λ be a path between z and z_* inside $D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$. One can find a loop α'_1 starting at z inside $V \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$ such that α_1 is homotopic to $\lambda^{-1} \cdot \alpha'_1 \cdot \lambda$. Let $x \in \tilde{V}$ be the preimage of z by $\mathbf{c}_{0|\tilde{V}}$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}'_1$, $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the lifted paths of α'_1, λ by \mathbf{c}_0 at x. By construction the lift of $\lambda^{-1} \cdot \alpha'_1 \cdot \lambda$ by \mathbf{c}_0 at $x_* := \tilde{\lambda}(1)$ is closed. Lemma 8.2 is proved.

One deduces the lift of α by \mathbf{c}_0 at x_* is not closed, see Figure 3(b). If not Lemma 8.2 implies that the lifted path of α_2 at x_* is closed too. Since $\pi_1(D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0, z_*)$ is generated by $[\alpha_1]$ and $[\alpha_2]$ it follows that the lift of every loop β inside $D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$ by \mathbf{c}_0 at x_* is closed. Also

 x_* can not be joined to any other preimage x'_* of z_* inside $D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$. This is not possible because \mathcal{R}_0 does not locally separate \tilde{D}_0 .

By construction we can not extend (D_0, \mathbf{c}, D_0) in the strong sense to a cover over D_1 because the lifted path of α previously defined is not closed in \tilde{D}_0 while α is equivalent to the constant path in $\pi_1(D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1, z_*)$. It follows that $b_1 < 3$ and we have a contradiction.

Suppose that $b_1 = 2$. We may assume by Theorem 6.2 that $\tilde{D}_1 = \{(z,\xi) \in D_1 \times \mathbb{C} : \xi^2 = z_1 - z_2^2\}$ and c_1 is induced by the natural projection $(z,\xi) \mapsto z$. There exists a holomorphic map

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{i}} : & \tilde{D}_0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{D}_1 \\ & (z,w) & \longmapsto & [z,\varphi(z,w)] \end{split}$$

where φ is holomorphic on \tilde{D}_0 such that $\varphi(z,w)^2 = z_1 - z_2^2$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $z_1 := 4\varepsilon^3 \in \Omega$. Remark that the projection

$$\pi: \quad \tilde{D}_0 \cap \{z_1 = 4\varepsilon^3\} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}$$
$$(4\varepsilon^3, z_2, w) \quad \longmapsto \quad w$$

induces a biholomorphism. Thus there exists a holomorphic map

$$g: w \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \varphi\left(4\varepsilon^3, i\sqrt{27}(w^3 + \varepsilon w), w\right)$$

such that $g(w)^2 = 4\varepsilon^3 + 27(w^3 + \varepsilon w)^2$. That is not possible because the image of g contains 0 (take $w^2 = -\varepsilon/3$). We have a contradiction. The cover $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathsf{c}_1, D_1)$ has exactly one sheet *i.e.*, $\mathsf{c}_1 : \tilde{D}_1 \to D_1$ is a biholomorphism. By Theorem 1 if $(\tilde{D}'_1, \mathsf{c}'_1, D'_1)$ is another extension of $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathsf{c}_0, D_0)$ the number of its sheets b'_1 is equal to one *i.e.*, $(\tilde{D}'_1, \mathsf{c}'_1, D'_1)$ and $(\tilde{D}_1, \mathsf{c}_1, D_1)$ are equivalent. Lemma 8.1 is proved.

Remark 8.2. Since \mathcal{R} does not intersect $\Delta_4 \times (\Delta_4 \setminus \overline{\Delta_3})$ the cover $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ can be extended to a 3-sheeted connected analytic cover over the 1-convex Hartogs figure

$$H := \left[\Omega \times \Delta_4\right] \cup \Delta_4 \times \left(\Delta_4 \setminus \overline{\Delta_3}\right).$$

8.3. A non-extendible Galois analytic cover. We give here details about Example 3 from Introduction. Set $\mathcal{R}_1 := \{(z_1, z_2) \in D_1 : z_1 = z_2^2\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{R}_1 \cap D_0$. The map $\mathbf{c} : \tilde{D}_0 \to D_0$ induces over $D_0 \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$ a 3-sheeted regular cover. Moreover the set $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 = \mathbf{c}^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_0)$ does not locally separate \tilde{D}_0 . Indeed let $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{R}_0$ where $z_1 \in \Omega$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $z_1 = 1$. If $z_2 = 1$ we denote by U_r the polydisk centered at (1,1) of radius r > 0. There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for every $r \in]0, r_0[$ the preimage set $\mathbf{c}^{-1}(U_r \setminus \mathcal{R}_0)$ is homeomorphic to

$$\left\{ (W,z) \in \mathbb{C} \times U \setminus \mathcal{R}_0 : W^3 = (g(z_1) - z_2)^2 \right\}$$

which is connected. If $z_2 = -1$ one deduces in the same way that $c^{-1}(U_r \setminus \mathcal{R}_0)$ is homeomorphic to

$$\left\{ (W, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times U \setminus \mathcal{R}_0 : W^3 = (g(z_1) + z_2)^2 \right\}$$

which is also connected.

By Theorem 3.3 the space \tilde{D}_0 inherits a unique structure of a normal complex space such that $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ becomes a 3-sheeted analytic cover with ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_0 .

By Theorem 1 there exists a connected extension (\tilde{D}_1, c_1, D_1) of the cover over $D_1 = \mathbb{C}^2$ with ramification divisor \mathcal{R}_1 . Let us prove by contradiction that the number b_1 of its sheets is equal to one.

Examples

Let α_1 and α_2 be the loops defined in (8.7). Then the lifted of $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$ by \mathbf{c}_0 based at any preimage of (1,0) is not closed whereas α is homotopic inside $D_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}_1$ to the constant path. It follows that the extension is not in the strong sense and $b_1 \leq 2$.

If $b_1 = 2$ one deduces by Theorem 6.2 that D_1 is equivalent to

$$D'_1 = \left\{ (z, \zeta) \in D_1 \times \mathbb{C} : \zeta^2 = z_1 - z_2^2 \right\}.$$

and there exists a holomorphic function $\varphi : \tilde{D}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for every $(z, w) \in \tilde{D}_0$ $\varphi(z, w)^2 = z_1 - z_2^2$. The function $h(w) = \varphi(w, (\frac{w^3+1}{2})^2, \frac{w^3-1}{2})$ is well-defined holomorphic on the open set $W = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C} : (\frac{w^3+1}{2})^2 \in \Omega \right\}$ which contains 0. We have a contradiction because $h(w)^2 = w^3$ for every $w \in W$.

Finally one deduces that $b_1 = 1$ and every connected extension of $(\tilde{D}_0, \mathbf{c}_0, D_0)$ over D_1 is the trivial cover.

References

- [Be] BECKER J.: Normal hypersurfaces. Pacific Journal of Mathematics. v. 61, nº1 (1975).
- [Ch] CHIRKA E.M.: Complex Analytic Sets. Springer (1989).
- [De] DETHLOFF G.: A new proof of a theorem of Grauert and Remmert by L²-methods. Math. Ann. **286**, 129-142 (1990).
- [DG] DETHLOFF G., GRAUERT H.: Seminormal Complex Spaces. In Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences v. 74, Several Complex Variables VII Springer, 183-222 (1994).
- [Fu] FUJIMOTO H. On the continuation of analytic sets. J. Math. Soc. Japan 18, no.1, 51-85 (1966).
- [GF] GRAUERT H., FRITZSCHE K. Several complex variables. Graduate Texts in Mathematics v. 38, Springer, New York (1976).
- [GR1] GRAUERT H., REMMERT R.: Komplexe Räume. Mathematische Annalen 136, 245-318 (1958).
- [GR2] GRAUERT H., REMMERT R.: Theorie der Steinschen Räume. Springer (1977).
- [GR3] GRAUERT H, REMMERT R. Coherent Analytic Sheaves. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1984).
- [GR4] GUNNING R., ROSSI H.: Analytic Functions of Several complex Variables. Prentice-Hall, N.J. (1965).
- [Ha] HATCHER A. Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press (2002).
- [Ho] HÖRMANDER L.: An introduction to complex analysis in several variables. Amsterdam London: North-Holland, 77-113. (1973).
- [Iv] IVASHKOVICH S.: Bochner-Hartogs type extension theorem for roots and logarithms of holomorphic line bundles. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 279, 269-287 (2012).
- [Iv2] IVASHKOVICH S.: Extension properties of complex analytic objects. Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Preprint Series (2013).
- [KT] KASSEL C., TURAEV V.: Braid groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics v. 247, Springer, New York (2008).
- [Man] MANARESI M.: Sard and Bertini Type Theorems for Complex Spaces. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **131**, 265-279 (1982).
- [Ni] NISHINO T.: Function Theory in Several Complex Variables. AMS Transl. Math. Mon. 193 (1996).
- [NS] NORGUET F., SIU Y.-T.: Holomorphic convexity of spaces of analytic cycles. Bull. Soc. Math. France 105, 191-223 (1977).
- [PS] PICARD E., SIMART G.: Théorie des fonctions algébriques (Tome 1), reprint, Chelsea Publishing Compagny (1971).

Section	8
	_

- [Re] REMMERT R.: Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume. Math. Annalen **133**, 328-370 (1957).
- [Si] SIU Y.-T.: Techniques of extension of analytic objects. Marcel Dekker, NY (1974).
- [St] STEIN K.: Analytische Zerlegungen komplexer Räume Math. Annalen **132**, 68-93 (1956).
- [ST] SIU Y.-T., TRAUTMANN G.: Gap-Sheaves and Extension of Coherent Analytic Subsheaves. Lect. Notes. Math **172** Springer (1971).

UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE-1, UFR DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ, FRANCE *E-mail address*: landry.lavoine@math.univ-lille1.fr