

The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for triangle-factors and heptagon-factors

Hongchuan Lei · Hung-Lin Fu

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Given 2-factors R and S of order n , let r and s be nonnegative integers with $r + s = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, the Hamilton-Waterloo problem asks for a 2-factorization of K_n if n is odd, or of $K_n - I$ if n is even, in which r of its 2-factors are isomorphic to R and the other s 2-factors are isomorphic to S . In this paper, we solve the problem for the case of triangle-factors and heptagon-factors for odd n with 3 possible exceptions when $n = 21$.

Keywords Cycle decomposition · Triangle-factor · Heptagon-factor · 2-factorization

1 Introduction

A decomposition of a graph G is a collection of edge-disjoint subgraphs such that every edge of G belongs to exactly one of the subgraphs. A subgraph F of a graph G is a factor if F contains all the vertices of G , if each component of F is isomorphic to a graph H , then F is called an H -factor of G , while if F is a d -regular graph, then we call F a d -factor. A C_k -factor is a 2-factor consisting entirely of cycles of length k . A factorization of a graph G is a decomposition of G such that each subgraph is a factor, if the factors are all 2-factors then it is called a 2-factorization. An $\{H_1^{m_1}, H_2^{m_2}, \dots, H_t^{m_t}\}$ -factorization of a graph G is a factorization of G in which there are precisely m_i H_i -factors. If such a factorization exists, we say that $(G; H_1^{m_1}, H_2^{m_2}, \dots, H_t^{m_t})$ exists.

Hongchuan Lei
Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan;
Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 10617, Taiwan
E-mail: hongchuanlei@gmail.com

Hung-Lin Fu
Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan
E-mail: hlfu@math.nctu.edu.tw

Given 2-factors R and S of order n , let r and s be nonnegative integers with $r + s = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, the Hamilton-Waterloo problem asks for a 2-factorization of the complete graph K_n if n is odd, or $K_n - I$ if n is even, in which r of its 2-factors are isomorphic to R and the other s 2-factors are isomorphic to S , where I is a 1-factor. The goal of the problem is to determine the spectrum of r (or s) for all possible n , i.e. the set of r (or s) such that the corresponding 2-factorization of K_n or $K_n - I$ exists. If R is a C_m -factor and S is a C_k -factor, i.e. each 2-factor is uniform, then such a 2-factorization is denoted by $HW(n; r, s; m, k)$.

The uniform cases of the Hamilton-Waterloo problem have attracted much attention in the last decade. The existence of $HW(n; r, s; m, k)$ has been settled when $r = 0$ or $s = 0$ in [2, 3, 10]. So we only discuss the case $rs \neq 0$ in this paper.

Theorem 1 [2, 3, 10] *Let $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 3$. Let $G = K_n$ if n is odd, $G = K_n - I$ if n is even. Then $(G; C_m^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor})$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $(n, m) \notin \{(6, 3), (12, 3)\}$.*

Adams et al. [1] dealt with the cases $(m, k) \in \{(4, 6), (4, 8), (4, 16), (8, 16), (3, 5), (3, 15), (5, 15)\}$ and completely solved some of them, they also introduced some methods. Danziger et al. [6] almost completely solved the case $(m, k) = (3, 4)$ with only 9 possible exceptions. The case $(m, k) = (n, 3)$, i.e. R is a Hamilton cycle and S is a triangle-factor, was studied in [7, 8, 11, 13], and is still open. In recent years, remarkable progress has been made on the Hamilton-Waterloo problem when both R and S are consist of even cycles, see [4, 5, 9, 12].

The next two lemmas are useful for our constructions, and have been used in many papers, for example see [9].

Lemma 1 *Suppose G_1 and G_2 are two vertex-disjoint graphs. If $(G_1; C_m^r, C_k^s)$ and $(G_2; C_m^r, C_k^s)$ both exist, then $(G_1 \cup G_2; C_m^r, C_k^s)$ exists.*

Lemma 2 *Suppose G_1 and G_2 are two edge-disjoint graphs with the same vertex set. If $(G_1; C_m^{r_1}, C_k^{s_1})$ and $(G_2; C_m^{r_2}, C_k^{s_2})$ both exist, then $(G_1 \cup G_2; C_m^{r_1+r_2}, C_k^{s_1+s_2})$ exists.*

In this paper, we deal with the case $(m, k) = (3, 7)$ with n odd. Lemma 3.3 in [1] shows that if $HW(21; r, s; 3, 7)$ exists for all nonnegative integers r and s with $r + s = 10$ then the problem is settled. Unfortunately we can't construct all possible 2-factorizations of this kind for $n = 21$. Instead, using 2-factorizations of $K_{7,7,7}$, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 2 *If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $rs \neq 0$ with $r + s = \frac{n-1}{2}$, then there exists an $HW(n; r, s; 3, 7)$ if and only if $n \equiv 21 \pmod{42}$ except possibly when $n = 21$ and $r = 2, 4, 6$.*

In Section 2, we decompose $K_{7,7,7}$ into C_3 -factors and C_7 -factors. In Section 3, we deal with K_{21} . In Section 4, we show how to decompose K_n into $K_{7,7,7}$ -factors and K_{21} -factors, then prove Theorem 2.

2 Factorizations of $K_{7,7,7}$

Let $V(K_{7,7,7}) = \{j_i \mid j \in Z_7, i \in Z_3\}$, and let $V_i = \{j_i \mid j \in Z_7\}$ for $i \in Z_3$ be the three partite sets of $K_{7,7,7}$. Denote the complete graph on V_i by K_{V_i} , the complete bipartite graph on V_i and V_j by K_{V_i, V_j} , and the complete tripartite graph $K_{7,7,7}$ on V_0, V_1 and V_2 by K_{V_0, V_1, V_2} . Then

$$E(K_{V_0, V_1, V_2}) = E(K_{V_0, V_1}) \cup E(K_{V_1, V_2}) \cup E(K_{V_2, V_0}).$$

For $i, j \in Z_3$ and $d \in Z_7$, let $E_{ij}(d) = \{\{l_i, (l+d)_j\} \mid l \in Z_7\}$. It is easy to verify that

$$E(K_{V_i}) = \bigcup_{d=1}^3 E_{ii}(d),$$

$$E(K_{V_i, V_j}) = \bigcup_{d=0}^6 E_{ij}(d) \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Some of the techniques used in the following lemmas are widely used in combinatorial designs, see [14] for example. In the beginning we give a few basic constructions. The first two lemmas are easy to see, so we omit the proofs.

Lemma 3 *Let $d_0, d_1, d_2 \in Z_7$. If $d_0 + d_1 + d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, then the edges of $E_{01}(d_0) \cup E_{12}(d_1) \cup E_{20}(d_2)$ form a C_3 -factor of $K_{7,7,7}$.*

Lemma 4 *If $(d, 7) = 1$, then the edges of $E_{ii}(d)$ form a Hamilton cycle, i.e. a C_7 -factor of K_{V_i} .*

Lemma 5 *The edges of $\bigcup_{d \in \{1,6\}} (E_{01}(d) \cup E_{12}(d) \cup E_{20}(d))$ can be decomposed into 2 C_7 -factors of $K_{7,7,7}$.*

Proof Let

$$F_1 = \{(0_i, 1_{i+1}, 2_{i+2}, 3_i, 4_{i+1}, 5_i, 6_{i+1}) \mid i \in Z_3\},$$

$$F_2 = \{(0_i, 1_{i+2}, 2_{i+1}, 3_i, 4_{i+2}, 5_i, 6_{i+2}) \mid i \in Z_3\},$$

then both F_1 and F_2 are C_7 -factors of $K_{7,7,7}$. It is straightforward to verify that

$$E(F_1) \cup E(F_2) = \bigcup_{d \in \{1,6\}} (E_{01}(d) \cup E_{12}(d) \cup E_{20}(d)).$$

Lemma 6 *The edges of $\bigcup_{d \in \{2,5\}} (E_{01}(d) \cup E_{12}(d) \cup E_{20}(d))$ can be decomposed into 2 C_7 -factors of $K_{7,7,7}$.*

Proof The proof is similar to Lemma 5, let the 2 C_7 -factors be

$$F_1 = \{(0_i, 2_{i+1}, 4_{i+2}, 6_i, 1_{i+1}, 3_i, 5_{i+1}) \mid i \in Z_3\},$$

$$F_2 = \{(0_i, 2_{i+2}, 4_{i+1}, 6_i, 1_{i+2}, 3_i, 5_{i+2}) \mid i \in Z_3\}.$$

Lemma 7 *The edges of $\bigcup_{d \in \{3,4\}} (E_{01}(d) \cup E_{12}(d) \cup E_{20}(d))$ can be decomposed into 2 C_7 -factors of $K_{7,7,7}$.*

Proof Let the 2 C_7 -factors be

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \{(0_i, 3_{i+1}, 6_{i+2}, 2_i, 5_{i+1}, 1_i, 4_{i+1}) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}, \\ F_2 &= \{(0_i, 3_{i+2}, 6_{i+1}, 2_i, 5_{i+2}, 1_i, 4_{i+2}) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8 [3] *Let $K_{d(m)}$ be the complete multipartite graph with d parts of size m , if d and m are both odd integers, then there is a 2-factorization of $K_{d(m)}$, in which each 2-factor is a C_m -factor.*

Now we decompose $K_{7,7,7}$ into C_3 -factors and C_7 -factors.

Lemma 9 $(K_{7,7,7}; C_3^\alpha, C_7^\beta)$ exists for $\alpha \in \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7\}$ with $\alpha + \beta = 7$.

Proof For $\alpha = 0$, $(K_{7,7,7}; C_7^7)$ exists by Lemma 8.

For $\alpha = 1$, decompose $\{E_{01}(d) \cup E_{12}(d) \cup E_{20}(d) \mid d = 1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ into 6 C_7 -factors by Lemma 5-7, the remaining edges $E_{01}(0) \cup E_{12}(0) \cup E_{20}(0)$ form a C_3 -factor by Lemma 3.

For $\alpha = 3$, decompose $\{E_{01}(d) \cup E_{12}(d) \cup E_{20}(d) \mid d = 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ into 4 C_7 -factors by Lemma 6 and Lemma 7. The 3 C_3 -factors are $E_{i(i+1)}(0) \cup E_{(i+1)(i+2)}(1) \cup E_{(i+2)i}(6)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ by Lemma 3.

For $\alpha = 5$, decompose $\{E_{01}(d) \cup E_{12}(d) \cup E_{20}(d) \mid d = 3, 4\}$ into 2 C_7 -factors by Lemma 7. By Lemma 3 the 5 C_3 -factors are

$$\begin{aligned} &E_{01}(0) \cup E_{12}(1) \cup E_{23}(6), \quad E_{01}(2) \cup E_{12}(0) \cup E_{23}(5), \\ &E_{01}(5) \cup E_{12}(2) \cup E_{23}(0), \quad E_{01}(6) \cup E_{12}(6) \cup E_{23}(2), \\ &E_{01}(1) \cup E_{12}(5) \cup E_{23}(1). \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = 7$, by Lemma 3 the 7 C_3 -factors are

$$\begin{aligned} &E_{i(i+1)}(1) \cup E_{(i+1)(i+2)}(2) \cup E_{(i+2)i}(4), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_3; \\ &E_{j(j+1)}(3) \cup E_{(j+1)(j+2)}(5) \cup E_{(j+2)j}(6), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_3; \\ &E_{01}(0) \cup E_{12}(0) \cup E_{23}(0). \end{aligned}$$

3 Factorizations of K_{21}

In this section, $V_i, K_{V_i}, K_{V_i, V_j}, K_{V_0, V_1, V_2}$, and $E_{ij}(d)$ have the same meanings as given in Section 2. Let $V(K_{21}) = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2$, then the edge set

$$E(K_{21}) = \left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_3} E(K_{V_i}) \right) \cup E(K_{V_0, V_1, V_2}).$$

Now we decompose K_{21} into γ C_3 -factors and δ C_7 -factors with $\gamma + \delta = 10$.

Lemma 10 $(K_{21}; C_3^\gamma, C_7^\delta)$ exists for $\gamma \in \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10\}$ with $\gamma + \delta = 10$.

Proof Since $E(K_{V_i}) = \bigcup_{d=1}^3 E_{ii}(d)$ for $i \in Z_3$, by Lemma 4, $(K_{V_i}; C_7^3)$ exists.

Then by Lemma 1, $(\bigcup_{i \in Z_3} K_{V_i}; C_7^3)$ exists. Hence, it is easy to observe that if $(K_{V_0, V_1, V_2}; C_3^\alpha, C_7^\beta)$ exists, then $(K_{V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3}; C_3^\alpha, C_7^{\beta+3})$ exists by Lemma 2. Thus by Lemma 9, $(K_{21}; C_3^\gamma, C_7^\delta)$ exists for $\gamma \in \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7\}$ with $\gamma + \delta = 10$.

For $(\gamma, \delta) = (10, 0)$, $(K_{21}; C_3^\gamma, C_7^\delta)$ exists by Theorem 1.

Lemma 11 $(K_{21}; C_3^\gamma, C_7^\delta)$ exists for $(\gamma, \delta) = (8, 2)$.

Proof Let

$$F_0 = \{(0_0, 1_0, 2_1), (1_1, 4_1, 5_2), (1_2, 6_2, 3_0), (2_0, 6_1, 4_2), \\ (4_0, 0_1, 3_2), (5_0, 3_1, 2_2), (6_0, 5_1, 0_2)\},$$

then F_0 is a C_3 -factor of K_{21} . Six additional C_3 -factors, denoted by F_1, F_2, \dots, F_6 , are formed by developing $F_0 \bmod(7, -)$. Let $F_7 = E_{01}(0) \cup E_{12}(0) \cup E_{20}(0)$, then by Lemma 3 F_7 is a C_3 -factor. Let $F_8 = E_{00}(2) \cup E_{11}(1) \cup E_{22}(1)$, $F_9 = E_{00}(3) \cup E_{11}(2) \cup E_{22}(3)$, then F_8 and F_9 are both C_7 -factors of K_{21} by Lemma 1 and Lemma 4. Finally, one can check that each edge of K_{21} is used exactly once.

Lemma 12 $(K_{21}; C_3^\gamma, C_7^\delta)$ exists for $(\gamma, \delta) = (9, 1)$.

Proof Let

$$F_0 = \{(0_0, 1_0, 6_1), (0_1, 1_1, 4_2), (0_2, 2_2, 3_0), (2_0, 4_0, 4_1), \\ (3_1, 5_1, 3_2), (5_2, 6_2, 5_0), (6_0, 2_1, 1_2)\},$$

then F_0 is a C_3 -factor of K_{21} . Six additional C_3 -factors, denoted by F_1, F_2, \dots, F_6 , are formed by developing $F_0 \bmod(7, -)$. Let $F_7 = E_{01}(1) \cup E_{12}(2) \cup E_{20}(4)$, $F_8 = E_{01}(4) \cup E_{12}(1) \cup E_{20}(2)$, then by Lemma 3 F_7 and F_8 are both C_3 -factors. Let $F_9 = E_{00}(3) \cup E_{11}(3) \cup E_{22}(3)$, then F_9 is a C_7 -factor of K_{21} by Lemma 1 and Lemma 4. Again, one can check that each edge of K_{21} is used exactly once.

Combining Lemmas 10-12, we have the following result.

Lemma 13 $(K_{21}; C_3^\gamma, C_7^\delta)$ exists for $\gamma \in \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ with $\gamma + \delta = 10$.

4 Main Results

Let n be an odd integer. Let r and s be positive integers with $r + s = \frac{n-1}{2}$. It is easy to see that a necessary condition for the existence of an $HW(n; r, s; 3, 7)$ is $n \equiv 21 \pmod{42}$. Let $n = 42t + 21$, $t \geq 0$. Let the vertex set of K_n be $V(K_n) = \{j_i \mid j \in Z_7, i \in Z_{6t+3}\}$, denote $V_i = Z_7 \times \{i\}$ for $i \in Z_{6t+3}$. The next lemma is based on a construction given in the paper [1].

Lemma 14 For $n = 42t + 21$ and $t \geq 0$, $(K_n; K_{7,7}^{3t}, K_{21})$ exists.

Proof By Theorem 1, $(K_{6t+3}; C_3^{3t+1})$ exists for $t \geq 0$, it is actually the well known Kirkman triple system of order $6t + 3$. Let the vertex set of K_{6t+3} be $\{V_i \mid i \in Z_{6t+3}\}$, replace each 3-cycle (V_i, V_j, V_k) with the complete tripartite graph $K_{7,7,7}$ on vertex sets V_i, V_j and V_k , then each C_3 -factor of K_{6t+3} corresponds to a $K_{7,7,7}$ -factor of K_n , also these $K_{7,7,7}$ -factors form the complete multipartite graph $K_{(6t+3)(7)}$ on vertex sets $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{6t+2}$, i.e. $(K_{(6t+3)(7)}; K_{7,7,7}^{3t+1})$ exists. Hence $(K_n; K_{7,7,7}^{3t+1}, K_7)$ exists and the union of any $K_{7,7,7}$ -factor and the K_7 -factor of K_n is actually a K_{21} -factor. Therefore, $(K_n; K_{7,7,7}^{3t}, K_{21})$ exists.

Lemma 15 *Let $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7\}$ with $\alpha_i + \beta_i = 7$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 3t$, and $\gamma \in \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ with $\gamma + \delta = 10$, then there exists an $HW(n; \sum_{i=1}^{3t} \alpha_i + \gamma, \sum_{i=1}^{3t} \beta_i + \delta; 3, 7)$.*

Proof By Lemma 14, we decompose K_n into $3t$ $K_{7,7,7}$ -factors and a K_{21} -factor.

For the i th $K_{7,7,7}$ -factor, let $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7\}$ and $\alpha_i + \beta_i = 7$. Then decompose each $K_{7,7,7}$ of this $K_{7,7,7}$ -factor into α_i C_3 -factors and β_i C_7 -factors by Lemma 9, by Lemma 1 these 2-factors of $K_{7,7,7}$ form α_i C_3 -factors and β_i C_7 -factors of K_n .

Similarly, the K_{21} -factor of K_n can be decomposed into γ C_3 -factors and δ C_7 -factors for $\gamma \in \{0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ with $\gamma + \delta = 10$ by Lemma 1 and 13.

Then by Lemma 2, $(K_n; C_3^{\sum_{i=1}^{3t} \alpha_i + \gamma}, C_7^{\sum_{i=1}^{3t} \beta_i + \delta})$ exists, i.e. there exists an $HW(n; \sum_{i=1}^{3t} \alpha_i + \gamma, \sum_{i=1}^{3t} \beta_i + \delta; 3, 7)$.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 2 As noted earlier, the condition $n \equiv 21 \pmod{42}$ is necessary, we now prove sufficiency. Let $n = 42t + 21$, the case $t = 0$ (i.e. $n = 21$) is solved by Lemma 13.

For the case $t > 0$, let $r = 7a + b$, where $0 \leq b < 7$. For the existence of an $HW(n; r, s; 3, 7)$, we only need to assign a proper value to each of $\{\gamma, \alpha_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, 3t\}$ in Lemma 15. Note that if $a = 3t + 1$, then $b < 3$ (the case $b = 3$ is the case $s = 0$, which is covered by Theorem 1).

- If $b = 0$ and $a < 3t + 1$, then let $\gamma = 0$ and $\alpha_i = \begin{cases} 7, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \\ 0, & \text{for } a < i \leq 3t. \end{cases}$
- If $b = 0$ and $a = 3t + 1$, then let $\gamma = 7$ and $\alpha_i = 7$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 3t$.
- If $b = 1$ and $a < 3t + 1$, then let $\gamma = 1$ and $\alpha_i = \begin{cases} 7, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \\ 0, & \text{for } a < i \leq 3t. \end{cases}$
- If $b = 1$ and $a = 3t + 1$, then let $\gamma = 8$ and $\alpha_i = 7$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 3t$.
- If $b = 2$ and $a < 3t$, then let $\gamma = 1$ and $\alpha_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 7, & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq a + 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } a + 1 < i \leq 3t. \end{cases}$
- If $b = 2$ and $a = 3t$, then let $\gamma = 9$ and $\alpha_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 7, & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq 3t. \end{cases}$
- If $b = 2$ and $a = 3t + 1$, then let $\gamma = 8$ and $\alpha_i = 7$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 3t$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } b = 3, \text{ then let } \gamma = 3 \text{ and } \alpha_i &= \begin{cases} 7, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \\ 0, & \text{for } a < i \leq 3t. \end{cases} \\ \text{If } b = 4 \text{ and } a < 3t, \text{ then let } \gamma = 3 \text{ and } \alpha_i &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 7, & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq a + 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } a + 1 < i \leq 3t. \end{cases} \\ \text{If } b = 4 \text{ and } a = 3t, \text{ then let } \gamma = 8 \text{ and } \alpha_i &= \begin{cases} 3, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 7, & \text{for } 1 < i \leq 3t. \end{cases} \\ \text{If } b = 5, \text{ then let } \gamma = 5 \text{ and } \alpha_i &= \begin{cases} 7, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq a, \\ 0, & \text{for } a < i \leq 3t. \end{cases} \\ \text{If } b = 6 \text{ and } a < 3t, \text{ then let } \gamma = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_i &= \begin{cases} 5, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 7, & \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq a + 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } a + 1 < i \leq 3t. \end{cases} \\ \text{If } b = 6 \text{ and } a = 3t, \text{ then let } \gamma = 8 \text{ and } \alpha_i &= \begin{cases} 5, & \text{for } i = 1, \\ 7, & \text{for } 1 < i \leq 3t. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their deep gratefulness to the reviewers for their detail comments and valuable suggestions. The work of Hung-Lin Fu was partially supported by NSC 100-2115-M-009-005-MY3.

References

1. Adams, P., Billington, E.J., Bryant, D.E., El-Zanati, S.I.: On the Hamilton-Waterloo problem. *Graphs Combin.* 18, 31-51 (2002)
2. Alspach, B., Häggkvist, R.: Some observations on the Oberwolfach problem. *J. Graph Theory* 9, 177-187 (1985)
3. Alspach, B., Schellenberg, P.J., Stinson, D. R., Wagner, D.: The Oberwolfach problem and factors of uniform odd length cycles. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 52, 20-43 (1989)
4. Bryant, D.E., Danziger, P.: On bipartite 2-factorizations of $k_n - I$ and the Oberwolfach problem. *J. Graph Theory* 68, 22-37 (2011)
5. Bryant, D.E., Danziger, P., Dean, M.: On the Hamilton-Waterloo problem for bipartite 2-factors. *J. Combin. Des.* 21, 60-80 (2013)
6. Danziger, P., Quattrocchi, G., Stevens, B.: The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for cycle sizes 3 and 4. *J. Combin. Des.* 17, 342-352 (2009)
7. Dinitz, J.H., Ling, A.C.H.: The Hamilton-Waterloo problem with triangle-factors and Hamilton cycles: The case $n \equiv 3 \pmod{18}$. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 70, 143-147 (2009)
8. Dinitz, J.H., Ling, A.C.H.: The Hamilton-Waterloo problem: the case of triangle-factors and one Hamilton cycle. *J. Combin. Des.* 17, 160-176 (2009)
9. Fu, H.L., Huang, K.C.: The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for two even cycles factors. *Taiwanese J. of Math.* 12, 933-940 (2008)
10. Hoffman, D., Schellenberg, P.: The existence of C_k -factorizations of $K_{2n} - F$. *Discrete Math.* 97, 243-250 (1991)
11. Horak, P., Nedela, R., Rosa, A.: The Hamilton-Waterloo problem: the case of Hamilton cycles and triangle-factors. *Discrete Math.* 284, 181-188 (2004)

12. Lei, H., Fu, H.L., Shen, H.: The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for Hamilton cycles and C_{4k} -factors. *Ars Combin.* 100, 341-347 (2011)
13. Lei, H., Shen, H.: The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for Hamilton cycles and triangle-factors. *J. Combin. Des.* 20, 305-316 (2012)
14. Liu, J.: The equipartite Oberwolfach problem with uniform tables. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 101, 20-34 (2003)