

Asymptotic inference for a stochastic differential equation with uniformly distributed time delay

JÁNOS MARCELL BENKE* AND GYULA PAP

Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary.
e-mails: jbenke@math.u-szeged.hu (J. M. Benke), papgy@math.u-szeged.hu (G. Pap).

* Corresponding author.

Abstract

For the affine stochastic delay differential equation

$$dX(t) = a \int_{-1}^0 X(t+u) du dt + dW(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

the local asymptotic properties of the likelihood function are studied. Local asymptotic normality is proved in case of $a \in (-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, 0)$, local asymptotic mixed normality is shown if $a \in (0, \infty)$, periodic local asymptotic mixed normality is valid if $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{\pi^2}{2})$, and only local asymptotic quadraticity holds at the points $-\frac{\pi^2}{2}$ and 0. Applications to the asymptotic behaviour of the maximum likelihood estimator \hat{a}_T of a based on $(X(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ are given as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

1 Introduction

Assume $(W(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a standard Wiener process, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and $(X^{(a)}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a solution of the affine stochastic delay differential equation (SDDE)

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = a \int_{-1}^0 X(t+u) du dt + dW(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ X(t) = X_0(t), & t \in [-1, 0], \end{cases}$$

where $(X_0(t))_{t \in [-1, 0]}$ is a continuous stochastic process independent of $(W(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. The SDDE (1.1) can also be written in the integral form

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} X(t) = X_0(0) + a \int_0^t \int_{-1}^0 X(s+u) du ds + W(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ X(t) = X_0(t), & t \in [-1, 0]. \end{cases}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 62B15, 62F12.

Key words and phrases: likelihood function; local asymptotic normality; local asymptotic mixed normality; periodic local asymptotic mixed normality; local asymptotic quadraticity; maximum likelihood estimator; stochastic differential equations; time delay.

Equation (1.1) is a special case of the affine stochastic delay differential equation

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = \int_{-r}^0 X(t+u) m_\theta(du) dt + dW(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ X(t) = X_0(t), & t \in [-r, 0], \end{cases}$$

where $r > 0$, and for each $\theta \in \Theta$, m_θ , is a finite signed measure on $[-r, 0]$ see Gushchin and KÜchler [3]. In that paper local asymptotic normality has been proved for stationary solutions. In Gushchin and KÜchler [1], the special case of (1.3) has been studied with $r = 1$, $\Theta = \mathbb{R}^2$, and $m_\theta = a\delta_0 + b\delta_{-1}$ for $\theta = (a, b)$, where δ_x denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and they described the local properties of the likelihood function for the whole parameter space \mathbb{R}^2 .

The solution $(X^{(a)}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ of (1.1) exists, is pathwise uniquely determined and can be represented as

$$(1.4) \quad X^{(a)}(t) = x_{0,a}(t)X_0(0) + a \int_{-1}^0 \int_u^0 x_{0,a}(t+u-s)X_0(s) ds du + \int_0^t x_{0,a}(t-s) dW(s),$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $(x_{0,a}(t))_{t \in [-1, \infty)}$ denotes the so-called fundamental solution of the deterministic homogeneous delay differential equation

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} x(t) = x_0(0) + a \int_0^t \int_{-1}^0 x(s+u) du ds, & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ x(t) = x_0(t), & t \in [-1, 0]. \end{cases}$$

with initial function

$$x_0(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [-1, 0), \\ 1, & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the trivial case of $a = 0$, we have $x_{0,0}(t) = 1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $X^{(0)}(t) = X_0(0) + W(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In case of $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the behaviour of $(x_{0,a}(t))_{t \in [-1, \infty)}$ is connected with the so-called characteristic function $h_a : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$(1.6) \quad h_a(\lambda) := \lambda - a \int_{-1}^0 e^{\lambda u} du, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

and the set Λ_a of the (complex) solutions of the so-called characteristic equation for (1.5),

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda - a \int_{-1}^0 e^{\lambda u} du = 0.$$

Note that a complex number λ solves (1.7) if and only if $(e^{\lambda t})_{t \in [-1, \infty)}$ solves (1.5) with initial function $x_0(t) = e^{\lambda t}$, $t \in [-1, 0]$. Applying usual methods (e.g., argument principle in complex analysis and the existence of local inverses of holomorphic functions), one can derive the following properties of the set Λ_a , see, e.g., Reiß [8]. We have $\Lambda_a \neq \emptyset$, and Λ_a consists of isolated points. Moreover, Λ_a is countably infinite, and for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{\lambda \in \Lambda_a : \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq c\}$ is finite. In particular,

$$v_0(a) := \sup\{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda_a\} < \infty.$$

Put

$$v_1(a) := \sup\{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda_a, \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < v_0(a)\},$$

where $\sup \emptyset := -\infty$. We have the following cases:

- (i) If $a \in (-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, 0)$ then $v_0(a) < 0$;
- (ii) If $a = -\frac{\pi^2}{2}$ then $v_0(a) = 0$ and $v_0(a) \notin \Lambda_a$;
- (iii) If $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{\pi^2}{2})$ then $v_0(a) > 0$ and $v_0(a) \notin \Lambda_a$;
- (iv) If $a \in (0, \infty)$ then $v_0(a) > 0$, $v_0(a) \in \Lambda_a$, $m(v_0(a)) = 1$ (where $m(v_0(a))$ denotes the multiplicity of $v_0(a)$), and $v_1(a) < 0$.

For any $\gamma > v_0(a)$, we have $x_{0,a}(t) = O(e^{\gamma t})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular, $(x_{0,a}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is square integrable if (and only if, see Gushchin and K uchler [2]) $v_0(a) < 0$. The Laplace transform of $(x_{0,a}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is given by

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} x_{0,a}(t) dt = \frac{1}{h_a(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > v_0(a).$$

Based on the inverse Laplace transform and Cauchy's residue theorem, the following crucial lemma can be shown (see, e.g., Gushchin and K uchler [1, Lemma 1.1]).

1.1 Lemma. *For each $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and each $c \in (-\infty, v_0(a))$, there exists $\gamma \in (-\infty, c)$ such that the fundamental solution $(x_{0,a}(t))_{t \in [-1, \infty)}$ of (1.5) can be represented in the form*

$$x_{0,a}(t) = \psi_{0,a}(t)e^{v_0(a)t} + \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda_a \\ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \in [c, v_0(a))}} c_a(\lambda)e^{\lambda t} + o(e^{\gamma t}), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

with some constants $c_a(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_a$, and with

$$\psi_{0,a}(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{v_0(a)}{v_0(a)^2 + 2v_0(a) - a}, & \text{if } v_0(a) \in \Lambda_a \text{ and } m(v_0(a)) = 1, \\ A_0(a) \cos(\kappa_0(a)t) + B_0(a) \sin(\kappa_0(a)t) & \text{if } v_0(a) \notin \Lambda_a, \end{cases}$$

with $\kappa_0(a) := |\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_0(a))|$, where $\lambda_0(a) \in \Lambda_a$ is given by $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_0(a)) = v_0(a)$, and

$$A_0(a) := \frac{2[(v_0(a)^2 - \kappa_0(a)^2)(v_0(a) - 2) - av_0(a)]}{(v_0(a)^2 - \kappa_0(a)^2 + 2v_0(a) - a)^2 + 4\kappa_0(a)^2(v_0(a) + 1)^2},$$

$$B_0(a) := \frac{2(v_0(a)^2 + \kappa_0(a)^2 + a)\kappa_0(a)}{(v_0(a)^2 - \kappa_0(a)^2 + 2v_0(a) - a)^2 + 4\kappa_0(a)^2(v_0(a) + 1)^2}.$$

2 Quadratic approximations to likelihood ratios

We recall some definitions and statements concerning quadratic approximations to likelihood ratios based on Jeganathan [5], Le Cam and Yang [6] and van der Vaart [9].

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Let $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be an open set. For each $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta$, let $(X^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(t))_{t \in [-1, \infty)}$ be a continuous stochastic process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. For each $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T}$ be the probability measure induced by $(X^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}(t))_{t \in [-1, T]}$ on the space $(C([-1, T]), \mathcal{B}(C([-1, T])))$.

2.1 Definition. *The family $(C([-1, T]), \mathcal{B}(C([-1, T])), \{\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta\})_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments is said to have locally asymptotically quadratic (LAQ) likelihood ratios at $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta$ if there exist (scaling) matrices $\mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, random vectors $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and random matrices $\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} \mathbf{h}_T, T}}{d\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T}}(X^{(\boldsymbol{\theta})}|_{[-1, T]}) = \mathbf{h}_T^\top \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{h}_T^\top \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} \mathbf{h}_T + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

whenever $\mathbf{h}_T \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, is a bounded family satisfying $\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} \mathbf{h}_T \in \Theta$ for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$,

$$(2.2) \quad (\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T}, \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

and we have

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \text{ is symmetric and strictly positive definite}) = 1$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left\{ \mathbf{h}^\top \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{h} \right\} \right) = 1, \quad \mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

2.2 Definition. *A family $(C([-1, T]), \mathcal{B}(C([-1, T])), \{\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta\})_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments is said to have locally asymptotically mixed normal (LAMN) likelihood ratios at $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta$ if it is LAQ at $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta$, and the conditional distribution of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ given $\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$, or, equivalently, there exist a random vector $\mathcal{Z} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ and a random matrix $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, such that they are independent, $\mathcal{Z} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_p)$, and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{Z}$, $\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^\top$.*

2.3 Definition. *The family $(C([-1, T]), \mathcal{B}(C([-1, T])), \{\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta\})_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments is said to have periodic locally asymptotically mixed normal (PLAMN) likelihood ratios at $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta$ if there exist $D \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, (scaling) matrices $\mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, random vectors $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(d) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$, $d \in [0, D)$, and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and random matrices $\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(d) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, $d \in [0, D)$, and $\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, such that (2.1) holds whenever $\mathbf{h}_T \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, is a bounded family satisfying $\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{r}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, T} \mathbf{h}_T \in \Theta$ for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$,*

$$(2.5) \quad (\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, kD+d}, \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, kD+d}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(d), \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(d)) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $d \in [0, D)$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{J}_\theta(d) \text{ is symmetric and strictly positive definite}) = 1, \quad d \in [0, D),$$

and for each $d \in [0, D)$, the conditional distribution of $\Delta_\theta(d)$ given $\mathbf{J}_\theta(d)$ is $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{J}_\theta(d))$, or, equivalently, there exist a random vector $\mathcal{Z} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ and a random matrix $\eta_\theta(d) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ such that they are independent, $\mathcal{Z} \stackrel{D}{=} \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_p)$, and $\Delta_\theta(d) = \eta_\theta(d)\mathcal{Z}$, $\mathbf{J}_\theta(d) = \eta_\theta(d)\eta_\theta^\top(d)$.

2.4 Remark. The notion of LAMN is defined in Le Cam and Yang [6] and Jeganathan [5] so that PLAMN in the sense of Definition 2.3 is LAMN as well.

2.5 Definition. A family $(C([-1, T]), \mathcal{B}(C([-1, T])), \{\mathbb{P}_{\theta, T} : \theta \in \Theta\})_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments is said to have locally asymptotically normal (LAN) likelihood ratios at $\theta \in \Theta$ if it is LAMN at $\theta \in \Theta$, and \mathbf{J}_θ is deterministic.

3 Radon–Nikodym derivatives

From this section, we will consider the SDDE (1.1) with fixed continuous initial process $(X_0(t))_{t \in [-1, 0]}$. Further, for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, let $\mathbb{P}_{a, T}$ be the probability measure induced by $(X^{(a)}(t))_{t \in [-1, T]}$ on $(C([-1, T]), \mathcal{B}(C([-1, T])))$. In order to calculate Radon–Nikodym derivatives $\frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{a}, T}}{d\mathbb{P}_{a, T}}$ for certain $a, \tilde{a} \in \mathbb{R}$, we need the following statement, which can be derived from formula (7.139) in Section 7.6.4 of Liptser and Shiryaev [7].

3.1 Lemma. Let $a, \tilde{a} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, the measures $\mathbb{P}_{a, T}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{a}, T}$ are absolutely continuous with respect to each other, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{a}, T}}{d\mathbb{P}_{a, T}}(X^{(a)}|_{[-1, T]}) \\ &= (\tilde{a} - a) \int_0^T \int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du dX^{(a)}(t) - \frac{\tilde{a}^2 - a^2}{2} \int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt \\ &= (\tilde{a} - a) \int_0^T \int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du dW(t) - \frac{(\tilde{a} - a)^2}{2} \int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

In order to investigate convergence of the family

$$(3.1) \quad (\mathcal{E}_T)_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} := (C(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathcal{B}(C(\mathbb{R}_+)), \{\mathbb{P}_{a, T} : a \in \mathbb{R}\})_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$$

of statistical experiments, we derive the following corollary.

3.2 Corollary. For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, $r_{a, T} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h_T \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{a+r_{a, T}h_T, T}}{d\mathbb{P}_{a, T}}(X^{(a)}|_{[-1, T]}) = h_T \Delta_{a, T} - \frac{1}{2} h_T^2 J_{a, T},$$

with

$$\Delta_{a,T} := r_{a,T} \int_0^T \int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du dW(t), \quad J_{a,T} := r_{a,T}^2 \int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt.$$

Consequently, the quadratic approximation (2.1) is valid.

4 Local asymptotics of likelihood ratios

4.1 Proposition. *If $a \in (-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, 0)$ then the family $(\mathcal{E}_T)_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments given in (3.1) is LAN at a with scaling $r_{a,T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and with*

$$J_a = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt.$$

4.2 Proposition. *The family $(\mathcal{E}_T)_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments given in (3.1) is LAQ at 0 with scaling $r_{0,T} = \frac{1}{T}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and with*

$$\Delta_0 = \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}(t) d\mathcal{W}(t), \quad J_0 = \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}(t)^2 dt,$$

where $(\mathcal{W}(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a standard Wiener process.

4.3 Proposition. *The family $(\mathcal{E}_T)_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments given in (3.1) is LAQ at $-\frac{\pi^2}{2}$ with scaling $r_{-\frac{\pi^2}{2},T} = \frac{1}{T}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and with*

$$\Delta_{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}} = \frac{16 \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_1(s) d\mathcal{W}_2(s) - \mathcal{W}_2(s) d\mathcal{W}_1(s)) - 4\pi \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_1(s) d\mathcal{W}_1(s) + \mathcal{W}_2(s) d\mathcal{W}_2(s))}{\pi(\pi^2 + 16)},$$

$$J_{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}} = \frac{16}{\pi^2(\pi^2 + 16)} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_1(t)^2 + \mathcal{W}_2(t)^2) dt,$$

where $(\mathcal{W}_1(t), \mathcal{W}_2(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a 2-dimensional standard Wiener process.

4.4 Proposition. *If $a \in (0, \infty)$ then the family $(\mathcal{E}_T)_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments given in (3.1) is LAMN at a with scaling $r_{a,T} = e^{-v_0(a)T}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and with*

$$\Delta_a = Z \sqrt{J_a}, \quad J_a = \frac{(1 - e^{-v_0(a)})^2}{2v_0(a)(v_0(a)^2 + 2v_0(a) - a)} (U^{(a)})^2,$$

with

$$U^{(a)} = X_0(0) + a \int_{-1}^0 \int_u^0 e^{-v_0(a)(s-u)} X_0(s) ds du + \int_0^\infty e^{-v_0(a)s} dW(s),$$

and Z is a standard normally distributed random variable independent of J_a .

4.5 Proposition. *If $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{\pi^2}{2})$ then the family $(\mathcal{E}_T)_{T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}}$ of statistical experiments given in (3.1) is PLAMN at a with period $D = \frac{\pi}{\kappa_0(a)}$, with scaling $r_{a,T} = e^{-v_0(a)T}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, and with*

$$\Delta_a(d) = Z\sqrt{J_a(d)}, \quad J_a(d) = \int_0^\infty e^{-2v_0(a)s} (V^{(a)}(d-s))^2 ds, \quad d \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{\kappa_0(a)}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(a)}(t) &:= X_0(0)\varphi_a(t) + a \int_{-1}^0 \int_u^0 \varphi_a(t+u-s) e^{-v_0(a)(s-u)} X_0(s) ds du \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \varphi_a(t-s) e^{-v_0(a)s} dW(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\varphi_a(t) := A_0(a) \cos(\kappa_0(a)t) + B_0(a) \sin(\kappa_0(a)t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and Z is a standard normally distributed random variable independent of $J_a(d)$.

4.6 Remark. If LAN property holds then one can construct asymptotically optimal tests, see, e.g., Theorem 15.4 and Addendum 15.5 of van der Vaart [9].

5 Maximum likelihood estimates

For fixed $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, maximizing $\log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{a,T}}{d\mathbb{P}_{0,T}}(X^{(a)}|_{[-1,T]})$ in $a \in \mathbb{R}$ gives the MLE of a based on the observations $(X(t))_{t \in [-1,T]}$ having the form

$$\hat{a}_T = \frac{\int_0^T \int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du dX^{(a)}(t)}{\int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt},$$

provided that $\int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt > 0$. Using the SDDE (1.1), one can check that

$$\hat{a}_T - a = \frac{\int_0^T \int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du dW(t)}{\int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt},$$

hence

$$r_{a,T}^{-1}(\hat{a}_T - a) = \frac{\Delta_{a,T}}{J_{a,T}}.$$

Using the results of Section 4 and the continuous mapping theorem, we obtain the following result.

5.1 Proposition. *If $a \in (-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, 0)$ then*

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{a}_T - a) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, J_a^{-1}) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where J_a is given in Proposition 4.1.

If $a = 0$ then

$$T(\widehat{a}_T - a) = T\widehat{a}_T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\int_0^1 \mathcal{W}(t) d\mathcal{W}(t)}{\int_0^1 \mathcal{W}(t)^2 dt} \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $(\mathcal{W}(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a standard Wiener process.

If $a = -\frac{\pi^2}{2}$ then

$$T(\widehat{a}_T - a) = T\left(\widehat{a}_T + \frac{\pi^2}{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{16\pi \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_1(t) d\mathcal{W}_2(t) - \mathcal{W}_2(t) d\mathcal{W}_1(t)) - 4\pi^2 \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_1(t) d\mathcal{W}_1(t) + \mathcal{W}_2(t) d\mathcal{W}_2(t))}{16 \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_1(t)^2 + \mathcal{W}_2(t)^2) dt}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where $(\mathcal{W}_1(t), \mathcal{W}_2(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a 2-dimensional standard Wiener process.

If $a \in (0, \infty)$ then

$$e^{v_0(a)T} (\widehat{a}_T - a) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{Z}{\sqrt{J_a}} \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where J_a is given in Proposition 4.4, and Z is a standard normally distributed random variable independent of J_a .

If $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{\pi^2}{2})$ then for each $d \in [0, \frac{\pi}{\kappa_0(a)})$,

$$e^{v_0(a)(k\frac{\pi}{\kappa_0(a)}+d)} (\widehat{a}_{k\frac{\pi}{\kappa_0(a)}+d} - a) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{Z}{\sqrt{J_a(d)}} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $J_a(d)$ is given in Proposition 4.5, and Z is a standard normally distributed random variable independent of $J_a(d)$.

If LAMN property holds then we have local asymptotic minimax bound for arbitrary estimators, see, e.g., Le Cam and Yang [6, 6.6, Theorem 1]. Maximum likelihood estimators attain this bound for bounded loss function, see, e.g., Le Cam and Yang [6, 6.6, Remark 11]. Moreover, maximum likelihood estimators are asymptotically efficient in Hájek's convolution theorem sense (see, for example, Le Cam and Yang [6, 6.6, Theorem 3 and Remark 13] or Jeganathan [5]).

6 Proofs

For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and each deterministic continuous function $(y(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, consider a continuous stochastic process $(Y^{(a)}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ given by

$$(6.1) \quad Y^{(a)}(t) := y(t)X_0(0) + a \int_{-1}^0 \int_u^0 y(t+u-s)X_0(s) ds du + \int_0^t y(t-s) dW(s)$$

for $t \in [1, \infty)$.

6.1 Lemma. Let $(y(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be a deterministic continuous function. Put

$$Z(t) := \int_{-1}^0 \int_u^0 y(t+u-s) X_0(s) ds du, \quad t \in [1, \infty).$$

Then for each $T \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\int_1^T Z(t)^2 dt \leq \int_{-1}^0 X_0(s)^2 ds \int_0^T y(v)^2 dv.$$

Proof. For each $t \in [1, \infty)$, by Fubini's theorem,

$$Z(t) = \int_{-1}^0 X_0(s) \int_{-1}^s y(t+u-s) du ds = \int_{-1}^0 X_0(s) \int_{t-s-1}^t y(v) dv ds.$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$Z(t)^2 \leq \int_{-1}^0 X_0(s)^2 ds \int_{-1}^0 \left(\int_{t-s-1}^t y(v) dv \right)^2 ds.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_1^T Z(t)^2 dt \leq \int_{-1}^0 X_0(s)^2 ds \int_1^T \int_{-1}^0 \left(\int_{t-s-1}^t y(v) dv \right)^2 ds dt,$$

where

$$\int_1^T \int_{-1}^0 \left(\int_{t-s-1}^t y(v) dv \right)^2 ds dt = \int_{-1}^0 \int_1^T \left(\int_{t-s-1}^t y(v) dv \right)^2 dt ds.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^T \left(\int_{t-s-1}^t y(v) dv \right)^2 dt &\leq \int_1^T \int_{t-s-1}^t y(v)^2 dv dt = \int_{-s}^T y(v)^2 \int_v^{v+s+1} dt dv \\ &\leq \int_{-s}^T y(v)^2 dv \leq \int_0^T y(v)^2 dv \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in [-1, 0]$, hence we obtain the statement. \square

6.2 Lemma. Let $(y(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be a deterministic continuous function with $\int_0^\infty y(t)^2 dt < \infty$. Then for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Y^{(a)}(t) dt &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \\ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Y^{(a)}(t)^2 dt &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^\infty y(t)^2 dt \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.3 of Gushchin and Küchler [1] for the special case $X_0(s) = 0$, $s \in [-1, 0]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t y(t-s) dW(s) dt &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \\ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t y(t-s) dW(s) \right)^2 dt &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^\infty y(t)^2 dt \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Y^{(a)}(t) dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^1 Y^{(a)}(t) dt + X_0(0)I_1(T) + \frac{a}{T} \int_1^T Z(t) dt + \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T \int_0^t y(t-s) dW(s) dt$$

for $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $(Z(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is given in Lemma 6.1, and

$$I_1(T) := \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T y(t) dt, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

By Lemma 6.1,

$$|I_1(T)| \leq \sqrt{\int_0^T \frac{1}{T^2} dt \int_1^T y(t)^2 dt} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^\infty y(t)^2 dt} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T Z(t) dt \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_1^T Z(t)^2 dt} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_{-1}^0 X_0(s)^2 ds \int_0^\infty y(v)^2 dv} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence we obtain the first statement. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Y^{(a)}(t)^2 dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^1 Y^{(a)}(t)^2 dt + I_2(T) + 2I_3(T) + \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T \left(\int_0^t y(t-s) dW(s) \right)^2 dt$$

for $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$I_2(T) := \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T (y(t)X_0(0) + aZ(t))^2 dt, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$I_3(T) := \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T (y(t)X_0(0) + aZ(t)) \left(\int_0^t y(t-s) dW(s) \right) dt, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Again by Lemma 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq I_2(T) &\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T 2(y(t)^2 X_0(0)^2 + a^2 Z(t)^2) dt \\ &\leq \frac{2X_0(0)^2}{T} \int_0^\infty y(t)^2 dt + \frac{2a^2}{T} \int_{-1}^0 X_0(s)^2 ds \int_0^\infty y(v)^2 dv \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3(T)| &\leq \frac{2}{T} \sqrt{\int_1^T (y(t)^2 X_0(0)^2 + a^2 Z(t)^2) dt} \int_1^T \left(\int_0^t y(t-s) dW(s) \right)^2 dt \\
&= 2 \sqrt{\frac{I_2(T)}{T} \int_1^T \left(\int_0^t y(t-s) dW(s) \right)^2 dt} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain the second statement. \square

6.3 Lemma. *Let $w \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and $y(t) := e^{wt}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-wt} Y^{(a)}(t) &\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} U_w^{(a)}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \\
e^{-2wT} \int_0^T (Y^{(a)}(t))^2 dt &\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \frac{1}{2w} (U_w^{(a)})^2, \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$U_w^{(a)} := X_0(0) + a \int_{-1}^0 \int_u^0 e^{w(u-s)} X_0(s) ds du + \int_0^\infty e^{-ws} dW(s).$$

Proof. For each $t \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$e^{-wt} Y^{(a)}(t) = X_0(0) + a \int_{-1}^0 \int_u^0 e^{w(u-s)} X_0(s) ds du + \int_0^t e^{-ws} dW(s),$$

hence we obtain the first convergence. The second convergence follows by L'Hôpital's rule. \square

6.4 Lemma. *Let $w \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and $y(t) := \varphi(t)e^{wt}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with $\varphi(t) = \cos(\kappa t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, or $\varphi(t) = \sin(\kappa t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-wt} Y^{(a)}(t) - V_w^{(a)}(t) &\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \\
e^{-2wT} \int_0^T (Y^{(a)}(t))^2 dt - \int_0^\infty e^{-2wt} (V_w^{(a)}(T-t))^2 dt &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$V_w^{(a)}(t) := X_0(0)\varphi(t) + a \int_{-1}^0 \int_u^0 \varphi(t+u-s) e^{w(u-s)} X_0(s) ds du + \int_0^\infty \varphi(t-s) e^{-ws} dW(s)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Note that for each $t \in [1, \infty)$,

$$(6.2) \quad e^{-wt} Y^{(a)}(t) - V_w^{(a)}(t) = - \int_t^\infty \varphi(t-s) e^{-ws} dW(s),$$

which obviously tends almost surely to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, hence we obtain the first convergence.

In order to prove the second convergence, observe that for each $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-2wt} (V_w^{(a)}(T-t))^2 dt = \int_0^T e^{-2wt} (V_w^{(a)}(T-t))^2 dt + \int_T^\infty e^{-2wt} (V_w^{(a)}(T-t))^2 dt,$$

where

$$\int_0^T e^{-2wt} (V_w^{(a)}(T-t))^2 dt = \int_0^T e^{-2w(T-t)} (V_w^{(a)}(t))^2 dt = e^{-2wT} \int_0^T (e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t))^2 dt,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2wT} \int_0^T (Y^{(a)}(t))^2 dt - \int_0^\infty e^{-2wt} (V_w^{(a)}(T-t))^2 dt \\ &= e^{-2wT} \int_0^T [(Y^{(a)}(t))^2 - (e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t))^2] dt - \int_T^\infty e^{-2wt} (V_w^{(a)}(T-t))^2 dt \\ &= I_0(T) + I_1(T) + 2I_2(T) - I_3(T) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(T) &:= e^{-2wT} \int_0^1 (Y^{(a)}(t) - e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t))^2 dt, \\ I_1(T) &:= e^{-2wT} \int_1^T (Y^{(a)}(t) - e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t))^2 dt, \\ I_2(T) &:= e^{-2wT} \int_0^T (Y^{(a)}(t) - e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t)) e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t) dt, \\ I_3(T) &:= \int_T^\infty e^{-2wt} (V_w^{(a)}(T-t))^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

The processes $(Y^{(a)}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(V_w^{(a)}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ are continuous, hence

$$\mathbb{E}(|I_0(T)|) = e^{-2wT} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[(Y^{(a)}(t) - e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t))^2] dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

implying $I_0(T) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. By (6.2),

$$I_1(T) = e^{-2wT} \int_1^T e^{2wt} \left(\int_t^\infty \varphi(t-s) e^{-ws} dW(s) \right)^2 dt,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|I_1(T)|) &= e^{-2wT} \int_1^T e^{2wt} \int_t^\infty \varphi(t-s)^2 e^{-2ws} ds dt \leq e^{-2wT} \int_1^T e^{2wt} \int_t^\infty e^{-2ws} ds dt \\ &= \frac{T}{2w} e^{-2wT} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

implying $I_1(T) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$|I_2(T)| \leq \sqrt{I_1(T) e^{-2wT} \int_0^T (e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t))^2 dt}$$

with

$$e^{-2wT} \int_0^T (e^{wt} V_w^{(a)}(t))^2 dt = \int_0^T e^{-2wt} V_w^{(a)}(T-t)^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2w} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (V_w^{(a)}(t))^2,$$

where $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (V_w^{(a)}(t))^2 < \infty$ almost surely, since $(V_w^{(a)}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a continuous and periodic process. Consequently, $I_2(T) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Finally,

$$|I_3(T)| \leq \frac{e^{-2wT}}{2w} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (V_w^{(a)}(t))^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence we obtain the second convergence of the statement. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. For each $t \in [1, \infty)$, by (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du &= X_0(0) \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u) du + a \int_{-1}^0 \int_{-1}^0 \int_v^0 x_{0,a}(t+u+v-s) X_0(s) ds dv du \\ &\quad + \int_{-1}^0 \int_0^{t+u} x_{0,a}(t+u-s) dW(s) du. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^0 \int_{-1}^0 \int_v^0 x_{0,a}(t+u+v-s) X_0(s) ds dv du &= \int_{-1}^0 \int_{-1}^0 \int_v^0 x_{0,a}(t+u+v-s) X_0(s) ds du dv \\ &= \int_{-1}^0 \int_v^0 X_0(s) \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u+v-s) du ds dv, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-1}^0 \int_0^{t+u} x_{0,a}(t+u-s) dW(s) du \\ &= \int_0^{t-1} \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u-s) du dW(s) + \int_{t-1}^t \int_{s-t}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u-s) du dW(s) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u-s) du dW(s), \end{aligned}$$

since $t \in [1, \infty)$, $s \in [t-1, t]$ and $u \in [-1, s-t]$ imply $t+u-s \in [-1, 0)$, and hence $x_{0,a}(t+u-s) = 0$. Consequently, the process $(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ has a representation (6.1) with

$$y(t) = \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u) du, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Assumption $a \in (-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, 0)$ implies $v_0(a) < 0$, and hence $\int_0^\infty x_{0,a}(t)^2 dt < \infty$ holds. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty y(t)^2 dt &= \int_1^\infty \left(\int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^0 \int_{-1}^0 \int_1^\infty x_{0,a}(t+u)x_{0,a}(t+v) dt du dv \leq \int_0^\infty x_{0,a}(t)^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_1^\infty x_{0,a}(t+u)x_{0,a}(t+v) dt \right| &\leq \sqrt{\int_1^\infty x_{0,a}(t+u)^2 dt} \sqrt{\int_1^\infty x_{0,a}(t+v)^2 dt} \\ &= \sqrt{\int_{1+u}^\infty x_{0,a}(s+u)^2 ds} \sqrt{\int_{1+v}^\infty x_{0,a}(s+v)^2 ds} \leq \int_0^\infty x_{0,a}(t)^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\int_0^\infty y(t)^2 dt \leq \int_0^1 y(t)^2 dt + \int_0^\infty x_{0,a}(t)^2 dt < \infty$, thus we can apply Lemma 6.2 to obtain

$$J_{a,T} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt = J_a$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the process

$$M^{(a)}(T) := \int_0^T \int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du dW(t), \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is a continuous martingale with $M^{(a)}(0) = 0$ and with quadratic variation

$$\langle M^{(a)} \rangle(T) = \int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt,$$

hence, Theorem VIII.5.42 of Jacod and Shiryaev [4] yields the statement. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.2. We have

$$\Delta_{0,T} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{-1}^0 X^{(0)}(t+u) du dW(t), \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, for each $t \in [1, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\int_{-1}^0 X^{(0)}(t+u) du = X_0(0) \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,0}(t+u) du + \int_0^t \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,0}(t+u-s) du dW(s).$$

Here we have

$$\int_{-1}^0 x_{0,0}(t+u) du = 1, \quad \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,0}(t+u-s) du = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } s \in [0, t-1], \\ t-s, & \text{for } s \in [t-1, t], \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-1}^0 X^{(0)}(t+u) du &= X_0(0) + \int_0^{t-1} dW(s) + \int_{t-1}^t (t-s) dW(s) \\ &= X_0(0) + W(t) + \int_{t-1}^t (t-s-1) dW(s) = W(t) + \bar{X}(t),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{E}(T^{-2} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t)^2 dt) \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. For each $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, consider the process

$$W^T(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} W(Ts), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{0,T} &= \int_0^1 W^T(t) dW^T(t) + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t) dW(t), \\ J_{0,T} &= \int_0^1 W^T(t)^2 dt + \frac{2}{T^2} \int_0^T W(t) \bar{X}(t) dt + \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t)^2 dt.\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t) dW(t) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t)^2 dt \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t) dW(t) \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}(t)^2) dt \rightarrow 0.$$

By the functional central limit theorem,

$$W^T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{W} \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T W(t) \bar{X}(t) dt \right| &\leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T W(t)^2 dt \right) \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t)^2 dt \right)} \\ &= \sqrt{\left(\int_0^1 W^T(t)^2 dt \right) \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t)^2 dt \right)} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows from Corollary 4.12 in Gushchin and K uchler [1]. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, T} &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{-1}^0 X^{(-\pi^2/2)}(t+u) du dW(t), \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \\ J_{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, T} &= \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(-\pi^2/2)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, for each $t \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^0 X^{(-\pi^2/2)}(t+u) du &= X_0(0) \int_{-1}^0 x_{0, -\frac{\pi^2}{2}}(t+u) du + \int_0^t \int_{-1}^0 x_{0, -\frac{\pi^2}{2}}(t+u-s) du dW(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \int_{-1}^0 \int_v^0 X_0(s) \int_{-1}^0 x_{0, -\frac{\pi^2}{2}}(t+u+v-s) du ds dv. \end{aligned}$$

We have $v_0(-\frac{\pi^2}{2}) = 0$ and $\kappa_0(-\frac{\pi^2}{2}) = \pi$, hence $A_0(-\frac{\pi^2}{2}) = \frac{16}{\pi^2+16}$ and $B_0(-\frac{\pi^2}{2}) = \frac{4\pi}{\pi^2+16}$. Consequently, by Lemma 1.1, there exists $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$ such that

$$x_{0, -\frac{\pi^2}{2}}(t) = \frac{16 \cos(\pi t) + 4\pi \sin(\pi t)}{\pi^2 + 16} + o(e^{\gamma t}), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^0 X^{(-\pi^2/2)}(t+u) du &= \int_0^t \int_{-1}^0 \frac{16 \cos(\pi(t+u-s)) + 4\pi \sin(\pi(t+u-s))}{\pi^2 + 16} du dW(s) + \bar{X}(t) \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{32 \sin(\pi(t-s)) - 8\pi \cos(\pi(t-s))}{\pi(\pi^2 + 16)} dW(s) + \bar{X}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $T^{-2} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t)^2 dt \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Introducing

$$X_1(t) := \int_0^t \cos(\pi s) dW(s), \quad X_2(t) := \int_0^t \sin(\pi s) dW(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^0 X^{(-\pi^2/2)}(t+u) du \\ = \frac{32X_1(t) \sin(\pi t) - 32X_2(t) \cos(\pi t) - 8\pi X_1(t) \cos(\pi t) - 8\pi X_2(t) \sin(\pi t)}{\pi(\pi^2 + 16)} + \bar{X}(t). \end{aligned}$$

For each $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, consider the following processes on $[0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} W^T(s) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} W(Ts), \\ X_1^T(s) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} X_1(Ts) = \int_0^s \cos(\pi Ts) dW^T(s), \\ X_2^T(s) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} X_2(Ts) = \int_0^s \sin(\pi Ts) dW^T(s), \\ X^T(s) &:= \frac{32X_1^T(s) \sin(\pi Ts) - 32X_2^T(s) \cos(\pi Ts) - 8\pi X_1(s) \cos(\pi Ts) - 8\pi X_2(s) \sin(\pi Ts)}{\pi(\pi^2 + 16)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each $T \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$, we have

$$\int_{-1}^0 X^{(-\pi^2/2)}(t+u) du = \sqrt{T} X^T\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) + \bar{X}(t),$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, T} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T X^T\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) dW(t) + I_1(T) = \int_0^1 X^T(s) dW^T(s) + I_1(T), \\ J_{-\frac{\pi^2}{2}, T} &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X^T\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^2 dt + 2I_2(T) + I_3(T) = \int_0^1 X^T(s)^2 ds + 2I_2(T) + I_3(T),\end{aligned}$$

with

$$I_1(T) := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t) dW(t), \quad I_2(T) := \frac{1}{T^{3/2}} \int_0^T X^T\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \bar{X}(t) dt, \quad I_3(T) := \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \bar{X}(t)^2 dt.$$

Introducing the process

$$Y^T(t) := \int_0^t X^T(s) dW^T(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_{++},$$

we have

$$\int_0^t X^T(s)^2 ds = [Y^T, Y^T](t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_{++},$$

where $([U, V](t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ denotes the quadratic covariation process of the processes $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(V(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. Moreover,

$$Y^T(t) = \frac{32 \int_0^t (X_1^T(s) dX_2^T(s) - X_2^T(s) dX_1^T(s)) - 8\pi \int_0^t (X_1^T(s) dX_1^T(s) + X_2^T(s) dX_2^T(s))}{\pi(\pi^2 + 16)}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. By the functional central limit theorem,

$$(X_1^T, X_2^T) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence

$$Y^T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{Y} \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

with

$$\mathcal{Y}(t) := \frac{16 \int_0^t (\mathcal{W}_1(s) d\mathcal{W}_2(s) - \mathcal{W}_2(s) d\mathcal{W}_1(s)) - 4\pi \int_0^t (\mathcal{W}_1(s) d\mathcal{W}_1(s) + \mathcal{W}_2(s) d\mathcal{W}_2(s))}{\pi(\pi^2 + 16)}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Further, by Corollary 4.12 in Gushchin and K uchler [1],

$$(Y^T(1), [Y^T, Y^T](1)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} (\mathcal{Y}(1), [\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}](1)) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}](1) &= \frac{\int_0^1 (16\mathcal{W}_1(s) - 4\pi\mathcal{W}_2(s))^2 ds + \int_0^1 (16\mathcal{W}_2(s) + 4\pi\mathcal{W}_1(s))^2 ds}{\pi^2(\pi^2 + 16)^2} \\ &= \frac{16}{\pi^2(\pi^2 + 16)} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_1(s)^2 + \mathcal{W}_2(s)^2) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $I_3(T) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, which also implies $I_1(T) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Finally,

$$|I_2(T)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{T^3} \int_0^T X^T\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^2 dt \int_0^T \overline{X}(t)^2 dt} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^1 X^T(s)^2 ds \int_0^T \overline{X}(t)^2 dt} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.4. We have

$$J_{a,T} = e^{-2v_0(a)T} \int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The process $(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du)_{t \in [1, \infty)}$ has a representation (6.1) with $y(t) = \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u) du$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, see the proof of Proposition 4.1. The assumption $a \in (0, \infty)$ implies $v_0(a) > 0$ and $v_1(a) < 0$, hence by Lemma 1.1, there exists $\gamma \in (v_1(a), 0)$ such that

$$x_{0,a}(t) = \frac{v_0(a)}{v_0(a)^2 + 2v_0(a) - a} e^{v_0(a)t} + o(e^{\gamma t}), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u) du = \frac{1 - e^{-v_0(a)}}{v_0(a)^2 + 2v_0(a) - a} e^{v_0(a)t} + o(e^{\gamma t}), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying Lemma 6.3, we obtain

$$J_{a,T} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{2v_0(a)} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-v_0(a)}}{v_0(a)^2 + 2v_0(a) - a} \right)^2 (U^{(a)})^2 = J_a \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem VIII.5.42 of Jacod and Shiryaev [4] yields the statement. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.5. We have again

$$J_{a,T} = e^{-2v_0(a)T} \int_0^T \left(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du \right)^2 dt \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and the process $(\int_{-1}^0 X^{(a)}(t+u) du)_{t \in [1, \infty)}$ has a representation (6.1) with $y(t) = \int_{-1}^0 x_{0,a}(t+u) du$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, see the proof of Proposition 4.1. The assumption $a \in (-\infty, -\frac{\pi^2}{2})$ implies $v_0(a) > 0$ and $v_0(a) \notin \Lambda_a$, hence by Lemma 1.1, there exists $\gamma \in (0, v_0(a))$ such that

$$x_{0,a}(t) = \varphi_a(t) e^{v_0(a)t} + o(e^{\gamma t}), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying Lemma 6.4, we obtain

$$J_{a,T} - J_a(T) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

The process $(J_a(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is periodic with period $D = \frac{\pi}{\kappa_0(a)}$. \square

References

- [1] GUSHCHIN, A. A. and KÜCHLER, U. (1999). Asymptotic inference for a linear stochastic differential equation with time delay. *Bernoulli* **5(6)** 1059–1098.
- [2] GUSHCHIN, A. A. and KÜCHLER, U. (2000). On stationary solutions of delay differential equations driven by a Lévy process. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **88(2)** 195–211.
- [3] GUSHCHIN, A. A. and KÜCHLER, U. (2003). On parametric statistical models for stationary solutions of affine stochastic delay differential equations. *Mathematical Methods of Statistics* **12(1)** 31–61.
- [4] JACOD, J. and SHIRYAEV, A. N. (2003). *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [5] JEGANATHAN, P. (1995). Some aspects of asymptotic theory with applications to time series models. *Econometric Theory* **11(5)** 818–887.
- [6] LE CAM, L. and YANG, G. L. (2000). *Asymptotics in statistics: some basic concepts*, Springer.
- [7] LIPTSER, R. S. and SHIRYAEV, A. N. (2001). *Statistics of Random Processes I. Applications*, 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [8] REISS, M. (2002). *Nonparametric estimation for stochastic delay differential equations*. PhD thesis, Humboldt-Universität, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultät II, 123 S., Berlin.
<http://dochoost.rz.hu-berlin.de/dissertationen/reiss-markus-2002-02-13/>
- [9] VAN DER VAART, A. W. (1998). *Asymptotic Statistics*, Cambridge University Press.