

LOCALLY CONFORMAL CALIBRATED G_2 -MANIFOLDS

MARISA FERNÁNDEZ, ANNA FINO AND ALBERTO RAFFERO

ABSTRACT. We study conditions for which the mapping torus of a 6-manifold endowed with an $SU(3)$ -structure is a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifold, that is, a 7-manifold endowed with a G_2 -structure φ such that $d\varphi = -\theta \wedge \varphi$ for a closed non-vanishing 1-form θ . Moreover, we show that if (M, φ) is a compact locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifold with $\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\#}\varphi = 0$, where $\theta^\#$ is the dual of θ with respect to the Riemannian metric g_φ induced by φ , then M is a fiber bundle over S^1 with a coupled $SU(3)$ -manifold as fiber.

1. INTRODUCTION

A G_2 -structure on a 7-manifold M can be characterized by the existence of a globally defined 3-form φ , called the fundamental 3-form, which can be written at each point as

$$(1) \quad \varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245},$$

with respect to some local coframe $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ on M . A G_2 -structure φ induces a Riemannian metric g_φ and a volume form dV_{g_φ} on M given by

$$(2) \quad g_\varphi(X, Y)dV_{g_\varphi} = \frac{1}{6}i_X\varphi \wedge i_Y\varphi \wedge \varphi,$$

for any pair of vector fields X, Y on M .

The classes of G_2 -structures can be described in the terms of the exterior derivatives of the fundamental 3-form φ and the 4-form $*\varphi$ [5, 8], where $*$ is the Hodge operator defined from g_φ and dV_{g_φ} . In this paper, we focus our attention on the class of *locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures*, which are characterized by the condition

$$d\varphi = -\theta \wedge \varphi,$$

for a closed non-vanishing 1-form θ also known as the *Lee form* of the G_2 -structure. We refer to a manifold endowed with such a structure as a *locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifold*.

A differential complex for locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifolds was introduced in [9], where such manifolds were characterized as the ones endowed with a G_2 -structure φ for which the space of differential forms annihilated by φ becomes a differential subcomplex of the de Rham's complex.

Locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures φ whose underlying Riemannian metric g_φ is Einstein were studied in [10], where it was shown that in the compact case the scalar curvature of g_φ can not be positive. Moreover, in contrast to a result obtained in the compact homogeneous case, a non-compact example of homogeneous manifold endowed with a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure whose associated Riemannian metric is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C10; Secondary 53C15.

Keywords and phrases: *locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure*; *$SU(3)$ -structure*; *mapping torus*.

Einstein and non Ricci-flat was given. The homogeneous Einstein metric is a rank-one extension of a Ricci soliton induced on the 3-dimensional complex Heisenberg group by a left-invariant *coupled* $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) , i.e., such that $d\omega = c\psi_+$, with $c \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ (see Definition 2.2 for details on coupled $SU(3)$ -structures).

In the general case, it is not difficult to show that the product of a coupled $SU(3)$ -manifold by S^1 admits a natural locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure.

In [12], a characterization of compact locally conformal parallel G_2 -manifolds as fiber bundles over S^1 with compact nearly Kähler fiber was obtained (see also [21]).

Banyaga in [2] showed that special types of exact locally conformal symplectic manifolds are fibered over S^1 with each fiber carrying a contact form. In this context, exact means that the locally conformal symplectic structure Ω is d_θ -exact, i.e., $\Omega = d\alpha + \theta \wedge \alpha$, for a 1-form α and a closed 1-form θ .

Exact locally conformal symplectic structures are precisely the structures called *of the first kind* in Vaisman's paper [20], where he showed that a manifold endowed with such a structure is a 2-contact manifold and has a vertical 2-dimensional foliation. Moreover, if this foliation is regular, then the manifold is a T^2 -principal bundle over a symplectic manifold.

More in general, by [1, Proposition 3.3], every compact manifold admitting a generalized contact pair of type $(k, 0)$ fibers over the circle with fiber a contact manifold and the monodromy acting by a contactomorphism. Note that a contact pair (α, β) of type $(k, 0)$ induces a locally conformal symplectic form defined by $d\alpha + \alpha \wedge \beta$. Conversely, every mapping torus of a contactomorphism admits a generalized contact pair of type $(k, 0)$ and an induced locally conformal symplectic form.

A theorem by Tischler [19] asserts that the existence of a non-vanishing closed 1-form on a compact manifold M is equivalent to the condition that M is a mapping torus. In the last years, mapping tori of certain diffeomorphisms have been very useful to study some geometric structures. For example, Li in [14] proved that any compact cosymplectic manifold is the mapping torus of a symplectomorphism. Furthermore, formality of mapping tori was studied in [3].

A natural problem is then to find a characterization of d_θ -exact locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifolds with Lee form θ and to see under which conditions a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifold is a mapping torus of a special type of $SU(3)$ -manifold or, more in general, it is fibered over S^1 with fiber endowed with a special type of $SU(3)$ -structure.

In Section 3, we will show that if N is a six-dimensional, compact, connected coupled $SU(3)$ -manifold, and $\nu: N \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism preserving the $SU(3)$ -structure of N , then the mapping torus N_ν of ν admits a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure. Moreover, in the same section, we will show that a result of this kind also holds for a compact nearly Kähler $SU(3)$ -manifold, which is a particular case of coupled $SU(3)$ -manifold. In detail, we will prove that the mapping torus of a nearly Kähler $SU(3)$ -manifold with respect to a diffeomorphism preserving the nearly Kähler structure is endowed with a locally conformal parallel G_2 -structure.

In a similar way as in the paper [2] on locally conformal symplectic manifolds, in Section 4 we find some characterizations for locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures φ which are d_θ -exact, that is, such that $\varphi = d_\theta\omega = d\omega + \theta \wedge \omega$, where θ is the Lee form of φ and ω is a 2-form on M . In fact, for a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifold (M, φ) ,

we prove in Proposition 4.4 that if X is the g_φ -dual vector field of θ and ω is the 2-form given by $\omega = i_X\varphi$, then X is an infinitesimal automorphism of φ ($\mathcal{L}_X\varphi = 0$) if and only if $\theta(X)\varphi = d_\theta\omega$.

Section 5 is devoted to the construction of Lie algebras admitting a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure from six-dimensional Lie algebras endowed with a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure. As a consequence, new examples of compact manifolds with a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure are constructed.

Finally, in the last section we obtain a characterization for compact locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifolds (M, φ) under the assumption $\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\#}\varphi = 0$, where $\theta^\#$ is the dual of the Lee form θ with respect to the Riemannian metric g_φ induced by φ . More precisely, in Theorem 6.4, we show that M is a fiber bundle over S^1 such that each fiber is equipped with a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Given a seven-dimensional manifold M endowed with a G_2 -structure φ , let g_φ and dV_{g_φ} denote respectively the Riemannian metric and the volume form on M induced by φ via the relation (2).

A manifold endowed with a G_2 -structure φ is said to be *locally conformal calibrated* G_2 -manifold if

$$d\varphi = -\theta \wedge \varphi,$$

where θ is a non-vanishing 1-form which has to be closed. θ is called the *Lee form* associated to the locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure φ and can be defined as

$$\theta = \frac{1}{4} * (*d\varphi \wedge \varphi),$$

where $*$ is the Hodge star operator defined from the metric g_φ and the volume form dV_{g_φ} .

If the Lee form vanishes, then the 3-form φ is closed and the G_2 -structure is called *calibrated*.

A G_2 -structure φ is said to be *locally conformal parallel* if

$$d\varphi = -\theta \wedge \varphi, \quad d*\varphi = -\frac{4}{3}\theta \wedge *\varphi.$$

By [11, Theorem 3.1], given a compact manifold M admitting a G_2 -structure φ , there exists a unique (up to homothety) conformal G_2 -structure $e^{3f}\varphi$ such that the corresponding Lee form is coclosed. A G_2 -structure with co-closed Lee form is also called a *Gauduchon G_2 -structure*.

Given a closed 1-form τ on M , we say that a G_2 -structure φ is *d_τ -exact* if there exists a 2-form $\alpha \in \Lambda^2(M)$ such that $\varphi = d\alpha + \tau \wedge \alpha$. In this case, a simple computation shows that the G_2 -structure is locally conformal calibrated with Lee form τ . The converse is not true in general.

Remark 2.1. Given a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure φ , we can consider the class

$$[\varphi] = \{f\varphi : f \in C^\infty(M) \text{ and } f > 0\}$$

of locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures which are conformally equivalent to φ . If $d\varphi = -\theta \wedge \varphi$, then $d(f\varphi) = (d(\ln f) - \theta) \wedge f\varphi$ and φ is d_θ -exact if and only if $f\varphi$ is $d_{d(\ln f) - \theta}$ -exact. Thus, being d_θ -exact is a conformal property for locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures.

Recall that an $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) on a 6-manifold N is said to be *half-flat* if both $\omega^2 = \omega \wedge \omega$ and ψ_+ are closed. By [18], if a half-flat $SU(3)$ -structure on a six-dimensional connected manifold N is such that $d\omega = f\psi_+$ for some non-vanishing function $f \in C^\infty(N)$, then f has to be a constant function. This motivates the following

Definition 2.2. Let N be a six-dimensional connected manifold and let (ω, ψ_+) be an $SU(3)$ -structure on it. We say that (ω, ψ_+) is a *coupled* $SU(3)$ -structure if $d\omega = c\psi_+$ for some nonzero real constant c called the *coupled constant*.

Given an $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) , denote by J the almost complex structure induced by ψ_+ , by $\psi_- = J\psi_+$ and by $h(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ the induced Riemannian metric. By [17], since an $SU(3)$ -structure on a six-dimensional manifold N is characterized by a pair of stable, compatible and normalized forms $(\omega, \psi_+) \in \Lambda^2(N) \times \Lambda^3(N)$ inducing a Riemannian metric and since the construction of J, ψ_- and h is invariant, a diffeomorphism preserving the forms ω and ψ_+ is actually an automorphism of the $SU(3)$ -structure itself and, in particular, an isometry. As a special case of this, if we have a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure with $d\omega = c\psi_+$ for some nonzero constant c , then every diffeomorphism of N preserving ω is an automorphism of the $SU(3)$ -structure and an isometry.

Remark 2.3. Note that if (ω, ψ_+) is a *nearly Kähler* $SU(3)$ -structure ($d\omega = 3\psi_+, d\psi_- = -2\omega^2$), then it is in particular a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure. Moreover, if (ω, ψ_+) is a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure with $d\omega = c\psi_+$, then the pair $\hat{\omega} := k^2\omega, \hat{\psi}_+ := k^3\psi_+$, where k is a nonzero real constant, is still a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure with $d\hat{\omega} = \frac{c}{k}\hat{\psi}_+$. As a consequence, it is always possible to find a coupled structure having coupled constant $c = 1$. Changing the constant c of a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure in the way just described, the almost complex structure J is preserved, while the Riemannian metric h is rescaled by k^2 .

The following proposition shows a first link between coupled $SU(3)$ -structures and locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures.

Proposition 2.4. *Let N be a six-dimensional connected manifold endowed with a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) with coupled constant c , then the cylinder $(N \times \mathbb{R}, h + dr^2)$ over N has a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure given by $\varphi = \omega \wedge dr + \psi_+$ and such that $g_\varphi = h + dr^2$. Moreover, if $c \neq 3$, also the cone over N , $(N \times (0, +\infty), r^2h + dr^2)$, is endowed with a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure $\varphi = r^2\omega \wedge dr + r^3\psi_+$ whose associated metric g_φ is the cone's one.*

3. MAPPING TORUS

Let N be a compact manifold and $\nu : N \rightarrow N$ a diffeomorphism. The *mapping torus* N_ν of ν is the quotient space of $N \times [0, 1]$ in which any point $(p, 0)$ is identified with $(\nu(p), 1)$. N_ν is naturally a smooth manifold, since it is the quotient of $N \times \mathbb{R}$ by the infinite cyclic group generated by the diffeomorphism $(p, t) \mapsto (\nu(p), t + 1)$. The natural map $\pi : N_\nu \rightarrow S^1$

defined by $\pi(p, t) = e^{2\pi it}$ is the projection of a locally trivial fiber bundle (here we think S^1 as the interval $[0, 1]$ with identified end points). Thus, any ν -invariant form α on N defines a form $\tilde{\alpha}$ on N_ν since the pullback of α to $N \times \mathbb{R}$ is invariant by the diffeomorphism $(p, t) \mapsto (\nu(p), t + 1)$. For the same reason, the 1-form dt on \mathbb{R} , where t is the coordinate on \mathbb{R} , induces a closed 1-form η on N_ν . Moreover, on N_ν we have a distinguished vector field ξ induced by the vector field $\frac{d}{dt}$. This vector field is such that $\eta(\xi) = 1$.

In the case of a compact manifold endowed with a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) we can prove the following

Proposition 3.1. *Let N be a six-dimensional, compact, connected manifold endowed with a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) with $d\omega = c\psi_+$ and let $\nu : N \rightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism such that $\nu^*\omega = \omega$. Then the mapping torus N_ν admits a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure φ . Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi = 0$.*

Proof. We have the following situation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N & \xleftarrow{p_1} & N \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{q} & N_\nu \\ & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & S^1 \end{array}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are the projections from $N \times [0, 1]$ on the first and on the second factor respectively, q is the quotient map, π is the fibration map and $\Pi(t) = e^{2\pi it}$.

Let us observe that $p_1^*(\omega) \in \Lambda^2(N \times [0, 1])$ and $p_1^*(\psi_\pm) \in \Lambda^3(N \times [0, 1])$. Then, since $\nu^*\omega = \omega$ and (as a consequence) $\nu^*\psi_\pm = \psi_\pm$, we can glue up these pullbacks and obtain a 2-form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Lambda^2(N_\nu)$ and 3-forms $\tilde{\psi}_\pm \in \Lambda^3(N_\nu)$ satisfying the same relations that hold on N . In particular, since $d(p_1^*\omega) = cp_1^*\psi_+$, we have

$$d\tilde{\omega} = c\tilde{\psi}_+, \quad d\tilde{\omega}^2 = 0.$$

Using the closed 1-form η defined on N_ν we have that

$$\varphi = \eta \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\psi}_+$$

is a 3-form on N_ν defining a G_2 -structure on it. Moreover,

$$d\varphi = d\eta \wedge \tilde{\omega} - \eta \wedge d\tilde{\omega} + d\tilde{\psi}_+ = -c\eta \wedge \tilde{\psi}_+ = -c\eta \wedge \varphi,$$

that is, φ is locally conformal calibrated with Lee form $\theta = c\eta$.

Since both $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_+$ derive from differential forms defined on N , we have $i_\xi\tilde{\omega} = 0$ and $i_\xi\tilde{\psi}_+ = 0$. From these conditions it follows that $i_\xi\varphi = \tilde{\omega}$ and, consequently, $\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi = 0$. \square

Remark 3.2. As we mentioned before, if (ω, ψ_+) is a pair of compatible, normalized, stable forms on N , then the remaining tensors appearing in the definition of an $SU(3)$ -structure, namely the almost complex structure J , the 3-form $\psi_- = J\psi_+$ and the Riemannian metric h , can be completely determined from (ω, ψ_+) . Thus, the diffeomorphism ν preserves not only the 2-form ω and the 3-form ψ_+ on N but also the Riemannian metric h of the $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) . Hence, (globally) the metric g_φ on N_ν is $g_\varphi = \tilde{h} + \eta^2$ and we have that ξ is the vector field dual to η with respect to g_φ .

Example 3.3. The previous proposition can be applied to compact nilmanifolds admitting a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure. Nilpotent Lie algebras admitting a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure were classified in [10]. One of these is the Iwasawa Lie algebra, i.e., the Lie algebra of the complex Heisenberg group of complex dimension 3

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & z_3 \\ 0 & 1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, z_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}.$$

This complex Lie group admits a co-compact discrete subgroup Γ which is defined as the subgroup of G for which z_i are Gaussian integers. Consider the automorphism

$$\nu : G \rightarrow G, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & z_3 \\ 0 & 1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & -iz_3 \\ 0 & 1 & -iz_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, if we denote by

$$e^1 + ie^2 = dz_1, \quad e^3 + ie^4 = dz_2, \quad e^5 + ie^6 = -dz_3 + z_1 \wedge dz_2,$$

we have

$$\nu^*(e^1) = e^1, \quad \nu^*(e^2) = e^2, \quad \nu^*(e^3) = e^4, \quad \nu^*(e^4) = -e^3, \quad \nu^*(e^5) = e^6, \quad \nu^*(e^6) = -e^5.$$

The nilmanifold $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ admits the coupled $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) defined by

$$\omega = e^{12} + e^{34} - e^{56}, \quad \psi_+ = e^{136} - e^{145} - e^{235} - e^{246}.$$

Since $\nu^*\omega = \omega$, we can apply Proposition 3.1 and the mapping torus N_ν admits a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure.

Remark 3.4. Since by Remark 2.3 we can always suppose that the coupled constant is $c = 1$, the form $\varphi = \eta \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\psi}_+$ is d_η -exact with $\varphi = d_\eta \tilde{\omega}$.

As we observed in Remark 2.3, a special case of coupled $SU(3)$ -structures is given by the nearly Kähler $SU(3)$ -structures. In this case, we can prove what follows.

Proposition 3.5. *Let N be a six-dimensional, compact, connected manifold endowed with a nearly Kähler $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) and let $\nu : N \rightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism such that $\nu^*\omega = \omega$. Then the mapping torus N_ν admits a locally conformal parallel G_2 -structure.*

Proof. As in Proposition 3.1, we can define the differential forms $\tilde{\omega} \in \Lambda^2(N_\nu)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_\pm \in \Lambda^3(N_\nu)$, which in this case satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\omega} &= 3\tilde{\psi}_+, \\ d\tilde{\psi}_- &= -2\tilde{\omega}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The positive 3-form

$$\varphi = \eta \wedge \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\psi}_+$$

defines a G_2 -structure on N_ν with Hodge dual

$$*\varphi = \tilde{\psi}_- \wedge \eta + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\omega}^2.$$

It follows from computations that

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi &= 3(-\eta) \wedge \varphi, \\ d*\varphi &= 4(-\eta) \wedge *\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, φ is a locally conformal parallel G_2 -structure defined on N_ν . \square

Example 3.6. Consider the six-dimensional compact manifold $S^3 \times S^3$. As a Lie group it is $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ denote the standard basis for the first copy of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, let $\{e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ denote it for the second one and let $\{e^1, e^2, e^3\}$ and $\{e^4, e^5, e^6\}$ denote their dual bases. The structure equations of $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} de^1 &= e^{23}, & de^2 &= e^{31}, & de^3 &= e^{12}, \\ de^4 &= e^{56}, & de^5 &= e^{64}, & de^6 &= e^{45}. \end{aligned}$$

On $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ we have a pair of stable, compatible, normalized forms

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{18} (e^{14} + e^{25} + e^{36}), \\ \psi_+ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{54} (-e^{234} + e^{156} + e^{135} - e^{246} - e^{126} + e^{345}), \end{aligned}$$

defining an $SU(3)$ -structure which is nearly Kähler and induces a left-invariant nearly Kähler $SU(3)$ -structure on $S^3 \times S^3$.

Let $\nu : S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3 \times S^3$ be the diffeomorphism such that

$$\nu^*e^1 = e^1, \quad \nu^*e^2 = e^3, \quad \nu^*e^3 = -e^2, \quad \nu^*e^4 = e^4, \quad \nu^*e^5 = e^6, \quad \nu^*e^6 = -e^5.$$

ν preserves ω , therefore the mapping torus $(S^3 \times S^3)_\nu$ is endowed with a locally conformal parallel G_2 -structure by the previous proposition.

A characterization of compact locally conformal parallel G_2 -manifolds as fiber bundles over S^1 with compact nearly Kähler fiber was obtained in [12] (see also [21]). It was also shown there that for compact seven-dimensional locally conformal parallel G_2 -manifolds (M, φ) with co-closed Lee form θ , the Lee flow preserves the Gauduchon G_2 -structure, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\#}\varphi = 0$, where $\theta^\#$ is the dual of θ with respect to g_φ . In the next section, we will characterize the locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures such that $\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\#}\varphi = 0$.

4. EXACT LOCALLY CONFORMAL CALIBRATED G_2 -MANIFOLDS

In a similar way as in the paper [2] on locally conformal symplectic manifolds, we can find some characterizations for d_θ -exact locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures φ with Lee form θ .

We recall that $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a *conformal infinitesimal automorphism* of φ if and only if there exists a smooth function ρ_X on M such that $\mathcal{L}_X\varphi = \rho_X\varphi$. If $\rho_X \equiv 0$, then X is a *conformal automorphism* of φ . We start by proving the following

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M, φ) be a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifold with Lee form θ . A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a conformal infinitesimal automorphism of φ if and only if there exists a smooth function $f_X \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $d_\theta\omega = f_X\varphi$, where $\omega = i_X\varphi$. Moreover, if M is connected, f_X is constant.*

Proof. Let us compute the expression of the Lie derivative of φ with respect to X

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X\varphi &= d(i_X\varphi) + i_X(d\varphi) \\ &= d\omega + i_X(-\theta \wedge \varphi) \\ &= d\omega - \theta(X)\varphi + \theta \wedge (i_X\varphi) \\ &= d\omega + \theta \wedge \omega - \theta(X)\varphi \\ &= d_\theta\omega - \theta(X)\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = i_X\varphi$. Therefore, X is a conformal infinitesimal automorphism of φ with $\mathcal{L}_X\varphi = \rho_X\varphi$ if and only if $d_\theta\omega = f_X\varphi$, where f_X is a smooth real valued function on M such that $f_X = \rho_X + \theta(X)$.

Suppose now that M is connected and let X be a conformal infinitesimal automorphism of φ , as we have just shown $d_\theta\omega = f_X\varphi$ for some $f_X \in C^\infty(M)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= d_\theta(d_\theta\omega) \\ &= d_\theta(f_X\varphi) \\ &= d(f_X\varphi) + \theta \wedge (f_X\varphi) \\ &= df_X \wedge \varphi + f_X d\varphi + f_X(\theta \wedge \varphi) \\ &= df_X \wedge \varphi + f_X d\varphi - f_X d\varphi \\ &= df_X \wedge \varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Since the linear mapping $\wedge\varphi : \Lambda^1(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^4(M)$ is injective, we obtain that $df_X = 0$ and from this the assertion follows. \square

Remark 4.2. It is worth emphasizing here that if X is a conformal infinitesimal automorphism of φ with f_X a nonzero constant, then φ is d_θ -exact. Indeed

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{f_X}d_\theta\omega = d_\theta\left(\frac{\omega}{f_X}\right).$$

Recall the result contained in [15]:

Lemma 4.3 ([15]). *Let M be a seven-dimensional, compact manifold. Then for any G_2 -structure φ on M , any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$ it holds*

$$\int_M \mathcal{L}_X\varphi \wedge *f\varphi = -3 \int_M df \wedge *X^\flat.$$

From this Lemma with $f \equiv 1$ and X conformal infinitesimal automorphism of φ with $\mathcal{L}_X\varphi = \rho_X\varphi$ we have

$$\int_M \rho_X dV_{g_\varphi} = 0.$$

Thus, thinking at the proof of Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\int_M \theta(X) dV_{g_\varphi} = \int_M f_X dV_{g_\varphi} = f_X \text{Vol}(M),$$

that is, the Riemannian integral of the function $\theta(X)$ over M is constant.

In conclusion, we can prove the following characterization for a d_θ -exact locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure.

Proposition 4.4. *Let (M, φ) be a connected locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifold with Lee form θ . Let X be the g_φ -dual vector field of θ , i.e., $\theta(\cdot) = g_\varphi(X, \cdot)$, and define the 2-form $\omega := i_X \varphi$. Then $\mathcal{L}_X \varphi = 0$ if and only if $\theta(X)\varphi = d_\theta \omega$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{L}_X \varphi = 0$, then $\theta(X) = |X|^2$ is a nonzero constant.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \varphi &= d(i_X \varphi) + i_X d\varphi \\ &= d\omega + i_X(-\theta \wedge \varphi) \\ &= d\omega - \theta(X)\varphi + \theta \wedge \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_X \varphi = 0$ if and only if $\theta(X)\varphi = d_\theta \omega$.

If $\mathcal{L}_X \varphi = 0$, from Lemma 4.1 we have that $\theta(X) = |X|^2$ is a nonzero constant, since $\theta(X)\varphi = d_\theta \omega$ and $X = \theta^\#$, where the map $\cdot^\# : \Lambda^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is an isomorphism. \square

5. LOCALLY CONFORMAL CALIBRATED G_2 LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we show that locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures defined on seven-dimensional Lie algebras are closely related to coupled $SU(3)$ -structures on six-dimensional Lie algebras. This generalizes the result proved in [16] for calibrated G_2 -structures on seven-dimensional Lie algebras from symplectic half-flat Lie algebras. First, we need to recall some definitions and results about $SU(3)$ - and G_2 -structures on Lie algebras.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a seven-dimensional Lie algebra. A G_2 -structure on \mathfrak{g} is a 3-form φ on \mathfrak{g} which can be written as in (1) with respect to some basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of the dual space \mathfrak{g}^* of \mathfrak{g} . φ is said to be a *locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure* on \mathfrak{g} if

$$d\varphi = -\theta \wedge \varphi,$$

for some closed 1-form θ on \mathfrak{g} , where d denotes the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on \mathfrak{g}^* .

We say that a six-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} has an $SU(3)$ -structure if there exists a pair (ω, ψ_+) of forms on \mathfrak{h} , where ω is a 2-form and ψ_+ a 3-form, which can be expressed as

$$\omega = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}, \quad \psi_+ = e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245},$$

with respect to some basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^6\}$ of the dual space \mathfrak{h}^* . If $\{e^1, \dots, e^6\}$ is such a basis, the dual basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ of \mathfrak{h} is called $SU(3)$ -basis. An $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) on \mathfrak{h} is said to be a *coupled $SU(3)$ -structure* if

$$\hat{d}\omega = c\psi_+$$

for some nonzero real constant c , where \hat{d} is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on \mathfrak{h}^* .

If \mathfrak{h} is a six-dimensional Lie algebra and $D \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a derivation of \mathfrak{h} , then the vector space

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus_D \mathbb{R}\xi$$

is a Lie algebra with the Lie bracket given by

$$(3) \quad [U, V] = [U, V]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad [\xi, U] = D(U),$$

for any $U, V \in \mathfrak{h}$.

It is well known that there exists a real representation of the 3×3 complex matrices via

$$\rho : \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(6, \mathbb{R}).$$

More in detail, if $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{C})$, then $\rho(A)$ is the matrix $(B_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ with

$$B_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}A_{ij} & \operatorname{Im}A_{ij} \\ -\operatorname{Im}A_{ij} & \operatorname{Re}A_{ij} \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_{ij} is the (i, j) component of A .

Now, suppose that (ω, ψ_+) is a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure on a six-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} , and let D be a derivation of \mathfrak{h} such that $D = \rho(A)$, where $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$. Then, the matrix representation of D with respect to an $SU(3)$ -basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ of \mathfrak{h} is

$$(4) \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16} \\ -a_{12} & a_{11} & -a_{14} & a_{13} & -a_{16} & a_{15} \\ \hline a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} \\ -a_{32} & a_{31} & -a_{34} & a_{33} & -a_{36} & a_{35} \\ \hline a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & -a_{11} - a_{33} & -a_{12} - a_{34} \\ -a_{52} & a_{51} & -a_{54} & a_{53} & a_{12} + a_{34} & -a_{11} - a_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (ω, ψ_+) be a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of dimension 6 and let $D = \rho(A)$, $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$, be a derivation of \mathfrak{h} whose matrix representation with respect to an $SU(3)$ -basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ of \mathfrak{h} is as in (4). Then, the Lie algebra*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus_D \mathbb{R}\xi,$$

with the Lie bracket given by (3), has a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure.

Proof. We define the G_2 form φ on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus_D \mathbb{R}\xi$ by

$$(5) \quad \varphi = \omega \wedge \eta + \psi_+,$$

where η is the 1-form on \mathfrak{g} such that $\eta(X) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$. We will see that

$$d\varphi = -c\eta \wedge \varphi,$$

where c is the coupled constant of the coupled $SU(3)$ -structure on \mathfrak{h} .

Suppose that $X, Y, Z, U \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then, it is clear that $(d\omega \wedge \eta)(X, Y, Z, U) = 0$, and

$$d\varphi(X, Y, Z, U) = d\psi_+(X, Y, Z, U) = \hat{d}\psi_+(X, Y, Z, U) = 0,$$

since ψ_+ is \hat{d} -closed and $d\eta = 0$.

Let us consider $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{h}$. Using (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi(X, Y, Z, \xi) &= -\varphi([X, Y], Z, \xi) + \varphi([X, Z], Y, \xi) - \varphi([X, \xi], Y, Z) \\ &\quad -\varphi([Y, Z], X, \xi) + \varphi([Y, \xi], X, Z) - \varphi([Z, \xi], X, Y) \\ &= -\omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega([X, Z], Y) - \omega([Y, Z], X) \\ &\quad -\psi_+([X, \xi], Y, Z) + \psi_+([Y, \xi], X, Z) - \psi_+([Z, \xi], X, Y) \\ &= d\omega(X, Y, Z) + \psi_+(D(X), Y, Z) + \psi_+(X, D(Y), Z) + \psi_+(X, Y, D(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the expressions of D and ψ_+ in terms of the $SU(3)$ -basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$, it is easy to check that

$$\psi_+(D(e_i), e_j, e_k) + \psi_+(e_i, D(e_j), e_k) + \psi_+(e_i, e_j, D(e_k)) = 0,$$

for every triple (e_i, e_j, e_k) of elements of the $SU(3)$ -basis. Therefore,

$$d\varphi(X, Y, Z, \xi) = d\omega(X, Y, Z) = \hat{d}\omega(X, Y, Z) = c\psi_+(X, Y, Z).$$

Using (5) again, we get

$$d\varphi(X, Y, Z, \xi) = -(c\eta \wedge \varphi)(X, Y, Z, \xi),$$

which completes the proof that the 3-form φ given by (5) defines a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure on \mathfrak{g} . \square

As an application of the previous proposition, we describe two examples of non-isomorphic solvable Lie algebras endowed with a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure. They are obtained considering two different derivations on the Iwasawa Lie algebra.

Example 5.2. Consider the six-dimensional Iwasawa Lie algebra \mathfrak{n} and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ denote an $SU(3)$ -basis for it. With respect to the dual basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^6\}$, the structure equations of \mathfrak{n} are

$$(0, 0, 0, 0, e^{14} + e^{23}, e^{13} - e^{24})$$

and the pair

$$\omega = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}, \quad \psi_+ = e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245},$$

defines a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure on \mathfrak{n} with $\hat{d}\omega = -\psi_+$.

Let D be the derivation of \mathfrak{n} defined as follows

$$De_1 = -e_3, \quad De_2 = -e_4, \quad De_3 = e_1, \quad De_4 = e_2, \quad De_5 = 0, \quad De_6 = 0.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus_D \mathbb{R}e_7$ has the following structure equations with respect to the basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^6, e^7\}$ of \mathfrak{s}^*

$$(e^{37}, e^{47}, -e^{17}, -e^{27}, e^{14} + e^{23}, e^{13} - e^{24}, 0).$$

By Proposition 5.1, the 3-form

$$\varphi = \omega \wedge e^7 + \psi_+$$

defines then a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure on \mathfrak{s} with Lee form $\theta = -e^7$.

Let S denote the simply connected solvable Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} , let N denote the simply connected nilpotent Lie group such that $\text{Lie}(N) = \mathfrak{n}$ and let $e \in N$ denote the identity element. Observe that $S = \mathbb{R} \times_{\mu} N$, where μ is the unique smooth action of \mathbb{R} on N such that $\mu(t)_*e = \exp(tD)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and where \exp denotes the map $\exp : \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{n})$. Hence, S is *almost nilpotent* in the sense of [13].

Now, in order to show a lattice of S we proceed as follows. The $SU(3)$ -basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ we considered is a rational basis for \mathfrak{n} and with respect to this basis we have

$$\exp(tD) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t) & 0 & \sin(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(t) & 0 & \sin(t) & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin(t) & 0 & \cos(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin(t) & 0 & \cos(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, $\exp(\pi D)$ is an integer matrix. Therefore, $\exp^N(\mathbb{Z}\langle e_1, \dots, e_6 \rangle)$ is a lattice of N preserved by $\mu(\pi)$ and, consequently,

$$\Gamma = \pi\mathbb{Z} \times_{\mu} \exp^N(\mathbb{Z}\langle e_1, \dots, e_6 \rangle)$$

is a lattice in S (see [4]). Thus, the compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash S$ is a compact solvmanifold endowed with an invariant locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure φ . Moreover, if $X = -e_7$ denotes the g_φ -dual vector field of the Lee form $\theta = -e^7$, then $i_X\varphi = -\omega$, $\mathcal{L}_X\varphi = 0$ and $\varphi = d_\theta(-\omega)$, as we expected from Proposition 4.4.

Example 5.3. Let us consider the coupled $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) on the Iwasawa Lie algebra \mathfrak{n} described in the previous example and the derivation $D \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n})$ given by

$$De_1 = 2e_3, \quad De_2 = 2e_4, \quad De_3 = e_1, \quad De_4 = e_2, \quad De_5 = 0, \quad De_6 = 0,$$

with respect to the $SU(3)$ -basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ of \mathfrak{n} . Then, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus_D \mathbb{R}e_7$ has the following structure equations with respect to the basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^6, e^7\}$ of \mathfrak{q}^*

$$(e^{37}, e^{47}, 2e^{17}, 2e^{27}, e^{14} + e^{23}, e^{13} - e^{24}, 0).$$

The 3-form

$$\varphi = \omega \wedge e^7 + \psi_+$$

defines a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure on \mathfrak{q} with Lee form $\theta = -e^7$ by Proposition 5.1.

In this case, it is easy to check that if $X = -e_7$ denotes the g_φ -dual vector field of θ , then $\mathcal{L}_X\varphi \neq 0$ and, accordingly with Proposition 4.4, $\varphi \neq d_\theta(i_X\varphi)$. However, φ is d_θ -exact. Indeed, $\varphi = d_\theta\gamma$, where

$$\gamma = \frac{5}{7}e^{12} - \frac{3}{7}e^{14} + \frac{3}{7}e^{23} - \frac{1}{7}e^{34} - e^{56}.$$

As in the previous example, we have an almost nilpotent Lie group $Q = \mathbb{R} \times_{\mu} N$, where Q is the simply connected Lie group with solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{q} and μ is the unique smooth action of \mathbb{R} on N such that $\mu(t)_{*e} = \exp(tD)$, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. With respect to the rational basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_6\}$ of \mathfrak{n} given by $X_1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_2 + e_4$, $X_2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 + e_3$, $X_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_1 + e_3$, $X_4 =$

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_2 + e_4, X_5 = \sqrt{2}e_5, X_6 = \sqrt{2}e_6$, the matrix associated to $\exp(\sqrt{2}D)$ is integer. More in detail, we have

$$\exp(\sqrt{2}D) = \text{diag}(-2, -2, 2, 2, 0, 0).$$

Thus, $\exp^N(\mathbb{Z}\langle X_1, \dots, X_6 \rangle)$ is a lattice of N preserved by $\mu(\sqrt{2})$ and, as a consequence,

$$\Gamma = \sqrt{2} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\mu} \exp^N(\mathbb{Z}\langle X_1, \dots, X_6 \rangle)$$

is a lattice in Q . The compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash Q$ is then a compact solvmanifold endowed with an invariant locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure φ .

6. CHARACTERIZATION AS FIBER BUNDLES OVER S^1

Let us now consider a seven-dimensional compact manifold M endowed with a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure φ . We will show that if $\mathcal{L}_X\varphi = 0$, where $X = \theta^\#$ is the g_φ -dual of the Lee form θ , then M is fibered over S^1 and each fiber is endowed with a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure given by the restriction of $(\omega = i_X\varphi, \psi_+ = d\omega)$ to the fiber.

We begin recalling the following result, which we will use later.

Proposition 6.1 ([7]). *Let V be a seven-dimensional real vector space and $\varphi \in \Lambda^3(V^*)$ a stable 3-form which induces the inner product g_φ on V . Moreover, let $\mathbf{n} \in V$ be a unit vector with $g_\varphi(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) = 1$ and let $W := \langle \mathbf{n} \rangle^\perp$ denote the g_φ -orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{n}$. Then the pair (ω, ψ_+) defined by*

$$\omega = (i_{\mathbf{n}}\varphi)|_W, \quad \psi_+ = \varphi|_W$$

is a pair of compatible, normalized, stable forms. The inner product h induced by this pair on W satisfies $h = g_\varphi|_W$ and the stabilizer is $SU(3)$.

The next two lemmas will be useful to prove one part of the main theorem of this section.

Lemma 6.2. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and consider two differential forms $\theta \in \Lambda^1(M), \omega \in \Lambda^2(M)$. Then*

$$|\theta \wedge \omega|^2 = 3|\theta|^2|\omega|^2 - 6|u|^2,$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the pointwise norm induced by g and $u \in \Lambda^1(M)$ is defined locally as $u = u_i dx^i$, $u_i = g^{rk}\theta_r\omega_{ki}$. From this follows

$$|\theta \wedge \omega|^2 \leq 3|\theta|^2|\omega|^2.$$

*Moreover, with respect to the L^2 norm $\|\cdot\|$ induced by the L^2 inner product on k -forms $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \int_M \alpha \wedge * \beta = \int_M g(\alpha, \beta) * 1$, we have*

$$\|\theta \wedge \omega\|^2 \leq 3 \int_M |\theta|^2 |\omega|^2 * 1.$$

Proof. Let us recall some definitions in order to clarify the conventions we use. Given a k -covariant tensor η , we define the antisymmetrization of η as

$$\text{Alt}(\eta) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} |\sigma| \eta^\sigma,$$

where $|\sigma|$ is the sign of the permutation σ and given any k vectors $X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we have $\eta^\sigma(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k}) = \eta(X_{i_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, X_{i_{\sigma(k)}})$. Given the differential forms $\alpha \in \Lambda^r(M), \beta \in \Lambda^s(M)$, we define the wedge product $\wedge : \Lambda^r(M) \times \Lambda^s(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+s}(M)$ as

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = \frac{(r+s)!}{r!s!} \text{Alt}(\alpha \otimes \beta).$$

In local coordinates we then have

$$(\theta \wedge \omega)_{ijk} = \theta_i \omega_{jk} - \theta_j \omega_{ik} + \theta_k \omega_{ij}.$$

We can now start with our computations:

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta \wedge \omega|^2 &= (\theta \wedge \omega)_{ijk} g^{ia} g^{jb} g^{kc} (\theta \wedge \omega)_{abc} \\ &= 3\theta_i \omega_{jk} g^{ia} g^{jb} g^{kc} \theta_a \omega_{bc} - 6\theta_i \omega_{jk} g^{ia} g^{jb} g^{kc} \theta_b \omega_{ac} \\ &= 3(\theta_i g^{ia} \theta_a) (\omega_{jk} g^{jb} g^{kc} \omega_{bc}) - 6(g^{ia} \theta_i \omega_{ac}) g^{ck} (g^{bj} \theta_b \omega_{jk}) \\ &= 3|\theta|^2 |\omega|^2 - 6u_c g^{ck} u_k \\ &= 3|\theta|^2 |\omega|^2 - 6|u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

For manifolds endowed with a G_2 -structure we can prove the following

Lemma 6.3. *Let M be a manifold endowed with a G_2 -structure φ . Consider a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and define the 2-form $\omega := i_X \varphi$. Then*

$$|\omega|^2 = 3|X|^2.$$

Proof. Using the identity $\varphi \wedge (i_X \varphi) = 2 * (i_X \varphi)$, which reads $\varphi \wedge \omega = 2 * \omega$ in our case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega|^2 * 1 &= \omega \wedge * \omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega \wedge \varphi \wedge \omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (i_X \varphi) \wedge (i_X \varphi) \wedge \varphi \\ &= 3|X|^2 * 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.4. *Let M be a connected, compact, seven-dimensional manifold endowed with a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure φ such that $\mathcal{L}_X \varphi = 0$, where X is the g_φ -dual vector field of the Lee form θ . Then*

- (1) M is fibered over S^1 and each fiber is endowed with a coupled $\text{SU}(3)$ -structure given by the restriction of $(\omega = i_X \varphi, \psi_+ = d\omega)$ to the fiber.
- (2) M has a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure $\hat{\varphi}$ such that $d\hat{\varphi} = -\hat{\theta} \wedge \hat{\varphi}$, where $\hat{\theta}$ is a 1-form with integral periods.

Proof. (1) First of all, observe that since the closed 1-form θ is nowhere vanishing, we can consider the foliation \mathcal{F}_θ generated by the integrable distribution $\ker(\theta)$. We prove now that the pair $(\omega = i_X \varphi, \psi_+ = d\omega)$ defines a coupled $\text{SU}(3)$ -structure when restricted to each

leaf of this foliation, to do this we consider the tangent space to each point of the leaves of the foliation and apply Proposition 6.1.

Under our hypothesis, we have a positive 3-form φ such that $d\varphi = -\theta \wedge \varphi$, $X = \theta^\#$ and $\theta(X)\varphi = d\omega + \theta \wedge \omega$, where $\omega = i_X \varphi$ and $\theta(X)$ is a nonzero constant (see Proposition 4.4). Let L be a leaf of the foliation \mathcal{F}_θ , then for any $p \in L$ we have

$$T_p L = \{Y_p \in T_p M \mid \theta_p(Y_p) = 0\} \subset T_p M$$

and since $\theta(\cdot) = g_\varphi(X, \cdot)$, we also have

$$\ker(\theta) = \langle X \rangle^\perp.$$

Thus, $T_p L = \langle X_p \rangle^\perp$ is a six-dimensional subspace of $T_p M$. Moreover, we can suppose that X is normalized since it is nowhere zero, then $\theta(X) = |X|^2 = 1$ and by Proposition 6.1 we have that the pair $((i_{X_p} \varphi)|_{T_p L}, \varphi|_{T_p L})$ defines an $SU(3)$ -structure on $T_p L$. Now,

$$(i_{X_p} \varphi)|_{T_p L} = \omega_p|_{T_p L}$$

and for any choice of tangent vectors $Q_p, Y_p, Z_p \in T_p L$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p(Q_p, Y_p, Z_p) &= (d\omega_p + \theta_p \wedge \omega_p)(Q_p, Y_p, Z_p) \\ &= (d\omega_p)(Q_p, Y_p, Z_p), \end{aligned}$$

since θ_p evaluated on any vector of $T_p L$ is zero. We then obtain that

$$\varphi|_{T_p L} = d\omega|_{T_p L}.$$

Summarizing, the pair $(\omega, d\omega)$ defines a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure when restricted to each leaf L of the foliation.

Let us now observe that M is fibered over S^1 , since it is compact and there is a globally defined closed 1-form θ which is nowhere vanishing. Using the same argument as in [19], we can approximate the 1-form θ by $q\hat{\theta}$ for some integer q and some 1-form $\hat{\theta}$ with integral periods. Indeed, using the fact there is a bijection between $H^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and the set of homotopy classes of maps from M into the circle, Tischler showed that there exists a smooth map $\pi : M \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\hat{\theta} = \pi^*(dt)$, where dt is the length form on S^1 . The form $q\hat{\theta}$ (and therefore $\hat{\theta}$) has no zeroes since it is close to θ . Thus $\pi^*(dt)$ has no zeros and then π is a submersion. Since M is compact, $\pi : M \rightarrow S^1$ is a fibration. The fibers are defined by the equation $\hat{\theta} = 0 = q\hat{\theta}$, which is close to the equation $\theta = 0$. Thus the tangent spaces to the fibers are close to the tangent spaces to the leaves.

We can now show that the restriction of ω and $d\omega$ to the fibers of π defines a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure. Let p be a point in a fiber F of π and let L be the leaf of the foliation \mathcal{F}_θ such that $p \in L$. Using normal coordinates in M with respect to the Riemannian metric g_φ , we get a diffeomorphism Φ from a neighborhood D_F of p in the fiber F to a neighborhood D_L of p in the leaf L such that $\Phi(p) = p$ and Φ_{*p} is arbitrarily close to the identity. Since $(\omega, d\omega)$ defines a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure when restricted to the leaf L , there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^6\}$ of $T_p^* L$ such that

$$\omega = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56}, \quad \psi_+ = e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}.$$

Considering the basis $\{f^1 = \Phi^* e^1, \dots, f^6 = \Phi^* e^6\}$ of $T_p^* F$, we have that $(\Phi^*(\omega), \Phi^*(d\omega))$ defines a coupled $SU(3)$ -structure on $T_p F$.

(2) Note that from Lemma 6.3 and using the fact that X is normalized we obtain

$$|\omega|^2 = 3|X|^2 = 3.$$

Define the 3-form $\hat{\varphi} := d\omega + q\hat{\theta} \wedge \omega$, it is a positive 3-form. Indeed, using Lemma 6.2 and the previous observation we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\varphi} - \varphi|^2 &= |(q\hat{\theta} - \theta) \wedge \omega|^2 \\ &\leq 3|q\hat{\theta} - \theta|^2|\omega|^2 \\ &= 9|q\hat{\theta} - \theta|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\hat{\varphi} - \varphi\|^2 = \int_M |\hat{\varphi} - \varphi|^2 * 1 \leq 9 \int_M |q\hat{\theta} - \theta|^2 * 1 = 9 \|q\hat{\theta} - \theta\|^2.$$

Observe that $\|\hat{\varphi} - \varphi\|$ is arbitrary small since $\|q\hat{\theta} - \theta\|$ is, therefore the 3-form $\hat{\varphi}$ is positive since it lies in an arbitrary small neighborhood of the positive 3-form φ and being a positive 3-form is an open condition. Therefore, since $d\hat{\varphi} = -q\hat{\theta} \wedge \hat{\varphi}$, the 3-form $\hat{\varphi}$ defines a new locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure with associated Lee form $q\hat{\theta}$, which is a 1-form with integral periods. \square

Remark 6.5. By the previous theorem we have that $M = N_\nu$ is the mapping torus of a six-dimensional manifold, but the diffeomorphism ν in general does not preserve the coupled SU(3)-structure on the fiber.

Note that the compact locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifold $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ obtained in Example 5.2 satisfies the assumptions of previous theorem. Indeed, it admits a locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structure φ such that $\mathcal{L}_X \varphi = 0$, where X is the g_φ -dual vector field of the Lee form θ . Therefore, M is fibered over S^1 and each fiber is endowed with a coupled SU(3)-structure given by the restriction of $(-\omega, d(-\omega))$ to the fiber.

Acknowledgments. This work has been partially supported by (Spanish) MINECO Project MTM2011-28326-C02-02, Project UPV/EHU ref. UFI11/52 and by (Italian) Project PRIN ‘‘Variet a reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica’’, Project FIRB ‘‘Geometria Differenziale e Teoria Geometrica delle Funzioni’’, and by GNSAGA of INdAM.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bande, G., Kotschick, D.: Contact pairs and locally conformally symplectic structures. Harmonic maps and differential geometry. 85–98, Contemp. Math., **542**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [2] Banyaga, A.: On the geometry of locally conformal symplectic manifolds. Infinite dimensional Lie groups in geometry and representation theory. (Washington, DC, 2000), 7991, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [3] Bazzoni, G., Fern andez, M., Mu oz, V.: Non-formal co-symplectic manifolds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 6, 4459–4481.
- [4] Bock, C.: On Low-Dimensional Solvmanifolds. arXiv:0903.2926 (preprint).
- [5] Bryant, R. L.: Metrics with exceptional holonomy. Ann. of Math. **126** (1987), 525–576.

- [6] Bryant, R. L.: Some remarks on G_2 -structures. Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005, 75–109, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2006.
- [7] Cortes, V., Leistner, T., Schäfer, L., Schulte-Hengesbach, F.: Half-flat structures and special holonomy. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **102** (2011), no. 1, 113–158.
- [8] Fernández, M., Gray, A.: Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2 . Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **132** (1982), 19–45.
- [9] Fernández, M., Ugarte, L.: A differential complex for locally conformal calibrated G_2 -manifolds. Illinois J. Math. **44** (2000), 363–390.
- [10] Fino, A., Raffero, A.: Einstein locally conformal calibrated G_2 -structures. Math. Z., **280**(3-4), 1093–1106, 2015.
- [11] Friedrich, T., Ivanov, S.: Killing spinor equations in dimension 7 and geometry of integrable G_2 -manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. **48** (2003), no. 1, 1–11.
- [12] Ivanov, S., Parton, M., Piccinni, P.: Locally conformal parallel G_2 and $Spin(7)$ manifolds. Math. Res. Lett. **13** (2006), no. 2-3, 167–177.
- [13] Gorbachevic, V. V., Oniscik, A. L., Vinberg, E. B.: Foundations of Lie theory and Lie transformation groups. Springer, 1997.
- [14] Li, H.: Topology of co-symplectic/co-Kähler manifolds. Asian J. Math., **12** (2008), no. 4, 527–543.
- [15] Lin, C.: Laplacian Solitons and Symmetry in G_2 -geometry. J. Geom. Phys., vol. 64, 2013, pp. 111–119.
- [16] Manero, V.: Closed G_2 forms and special metrics. PhD Thesis (2015), Univ. Basque Country, Bilbao, available at
- [17] Schulte-Hengesbach, F.: Half-flat structures on Lie groups. Ph. D. Thesis (2010), Hamburg, available at <http://www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/schulte-hengesbach/diss.pdf>.
- [18] Raffero, A.: Half-flat structures inducing Einstein metrics on homogeneous spaces. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **48**(1), 57–73, 2015.
- [19] Tischler, D.: On fibering certain foliated manifolds over S^1 . Topology **9** (1970), 153–154.
- [20] Vaisman, I.: Locally conformal symplectic manifolds. Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 8 (1985), 521–536.
- [21] Verbitsky, M.: An intrinsic volume functional on almost complex 6-manifolds and nearly Kähler geometry. Pacific J. Math. **235** (2008), no. 2, 323–344.

(MARISA FERNÁNDEZ) UNIVERSIDAD DEL PAÍS VASCO, FACULTAD DE CIENCIA Y TECNOLOGÍA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, APARTADO 644, 48080 BILBAO, SPAIN
E-mail address: `marisa.fernandez@ehu.es`

(ANNA FINO, ALBERTO RAFFERO) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “G. PEANO”, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY
E-mail address: `annamaria.fino@unito.it`, `alberto.raffero@unito.it`