

# Discrete Conley Index for Zero-dimensional Basic Sets

Mariana Gesualdi Villapouca <sup>1</sup>

Ketty A. de Rezende <sup>2</sup>

*Instituto de Matemática e Estatística,  
Universidade Federal Fluminense,  
24.020-140 - Niterói - RJ, Brasil*

*Departamento de Matemática,  
Universidade Estadual de Campinas,  
13.083-859- Campinas - SP, Brazil*

## Abstract

A theorem is established where the computation of the discrete Conley index for zero dimensional basic sets is given with respect to the dynamical information contained in the associated structure matrices. A classification of the reduced homology Conley index of a zero dimensional basic set in terms of its Jordan real form is presented.

**Key words:** Conley index, Dynamical systems, Homology theory and Diffeomorphisms.  
**MSC2010 subject classification:** Primary: 37B30; 37B10; 37C05. Secondary: 37D15; 37D05; 37B35.

## Introduction

The algebraic and topological techniques in dynamical systems have played a significant role as can be verified, for example, in Morse Theory and more recently in Conley's Index Theory. This theory was introduced by C. Conley in the late seventies and has been further developed in a variety of contexts. Initially defined for continuous dynamical systems on compact sets, see [3], [9] and [10] and later for discrete dynamical systems.

The Conley index was originally defined for isolated invariant sets  $S$  of continuous flows and defined to be the homotopy type of the pointed space obtained from a filtration pair associated to  $S$ . It was then proven that the Conley index is independent of the choice of the filtration pair and is a homotopy invariant. This is precisely the difficulty in the discrete case since there are examples where the homotopy type of the filtration pair of  $S$  may depend on the choice of the pair.

Motivated by this difficulty several definitions were presented for the discrete case. See [5], [6], [8] and [13]. The version we adopt herein is due to Richeson [7] and called the **reduced homological Conley index**. The reason behind this

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by Capes

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by CNPq under grant 302592/2010-5 and by FAPESP under grant 2012/18780-0.

choice is twofold: firstly it is computationally easier to work with and secondly, it is the form used in the definition of connection matrix pairs.

This index will be defined more precisely in Section 1. It is defined for an isolated invariant set  $S$  of a continuous map  $f$  as

$$Con_*(S) = (CH_*(S), \chi_*(S)),$$

where  $CH_*(S) = \bigcap_{n>0} ((f_P)_*)^n(H_*(N_L, [L]))$  and  $\chi_*(S) : CH_*(S) \rightarrow CH_*(S)$  is an isomorphism induced by  $(f_P)_*$ . The map  $(f_P)_*$  is the homology induced map of the pointed space map  $f_P : N_L \rightarrow N_L$  associated to the filtration pair  $P = (N, L)$ .

The Conley index has the crucial property of continuation which guarantees the invariance of the index under small  $C^0$  perturbations of the system. See [5] and [7]. The continuation property together with the fact that fitted diffeomorphisms are  $C^0$ -dense on the set of diffeomorphisms on closed manifolds, see [12], motivated our investigation of the discrete Conley index for zero dimensional basic sets.

In this article, our main result Theorem 2.1 establishes a relation between a homological invariant, namely the Conley index, and a dynamical invariant obtained from the structure matrix of a zero dimensional basic set. We then proceed to characterize the isomorphism of the Conley index of a zero dimensional basic set by using the real Jordan form of its structure matrix, Theorem 3.1. Furthermore, by using Theorem 2.1, we obtain an alternative formulation for the results on the homology Zeta function and on Morse inequalities of diffeomorphisms with hyperbolic chain recurrent sets on closed manifolds found in [4].

## 1 Background

### 1.1 Diffeomorphism with hyperbolic chain recurrent set and zero dimensional basic sets

In this section we will present some basic definitions and theorems on the dynamical behaviour of diffeomorphisms  $f : M \rightarrow M$  where  $M$  is a closed manifold with metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism. A point  $x \in M$  is called **chain recurrent** if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x_1 = x, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n = x$  (with  $n = n(\varepsilon)$ ) such that

$$d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall 1 \leq i < n.$$

Define **chain recurrent set**,  $\mathcal{R}(f)$ , as the set of all chain recurrent points of  $f$ .

By Smale's spectral decomposition, Theorem 1.1, one has that if  $\mathcal{R}(f)$  is hyperbolic it admits a basic set decomposition.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism. A compact  $f$ -invariant set  $\Lambda$  is said to have a **hyperbolic structure** provided that the tangent bundle of  $M$

restricted to  $\Lambda$  can be written as a Whitney sum  $T_\Lambda M = E^u \oplus E^s$  of subbundles  $Df$ -invariant, and there are constants  $C > 0$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , such that

$$\|Df^n(v)\| \leq C\lambda^n \|v\|, \forall v \in E^s, n \geq 0$$

and

$$\|Df^n(v)\| \geq C^{-1}\lambda^{-n} \|v\|, \forall v \in E^u, n \geq 0$$

**Theorem 1.1 (Smale's Spectral Decomposition, [11]).** Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism such that its chain recurrent set  $\mathcal{R}(f)$  has a hyperbolic structure. Then  $\mathcal{R}(f)$  is a finite disjoint union of compact invariant sets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_n$  and each  $\Omega_i$  contains an orbit of the system which is dense in  $\Omega_i$ .

The  $\Omega_i$ 's of Theorem 1.1 are called **basic sets** of  $f$ , and the fiber dimension of the bundle  $E_{\Omega_i}^u$  is called the **index** of  $\Omega_i$ .

Given a zero dimensional basic set  $\Omega$  of a diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  with hyperbolic chain recurrent set, one can associate to the dynamics of  $f$  in  $\Omega$ , a matrix with 0, 1 and  $-1$  entries, called the structure matrix.

In order to define the structure matrix one needs the relation between a zero dimensional basic set and its subshift of finite type. We refer the reader to [1].

**Definition 1.3.** The **subshift of finite type** determined by a finite set  $\mathcal{S}$  and the relation  $\rightarrow$  is a homeomorphism  $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma \subset \prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}$  is defined as  $\Sigma = \{s = (\dots, s_{-1}, s_0, s_1, \dots) \mid s_i \rightarrow s_{i+1} \text{ for all } i\}$  and  $\sigma(s) = s'$  where  $s'_i = s_{i-1}$ , so  $\sigma$  shifts to the right.

The subshift of finite type  $\sigma$  determined by  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\rightarrow$  is called a **vertex shift** associated to the matrix  $A$  if one numbers the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  from 1 to  $n$  ( $n$  is the cardinality of  $\mathcal{S}$ ) and the matrix  $A_{n \times n}$  is given as follows:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s_i \rightarrow s_j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Bowen proves in [1] that if  $\Omega$  is a zero dimensional basic set of a diffeomorphism  $f$  with hyperbolic  $\mathcal{R}(f)$ , then  $f$  restricted to  $\Omega$  is topologically conjugate to a vertex shift  $\sigma(G) : \Sigma_G \rightarrow \Sigma_G$  by  $h : \Sigma_G \rightarrow \Omega$  that has the property that the locally constant function

$$\Delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Df_x : E_x^u \rightarrow E_{f(x)}^u \text{ preserves orientation,} \\ -1 & \text{if } Df_x : E_x^u \rightarrow E_{f(x)}^u \text{ reverses orientation} \end{cases}$$

is constant in  $h(C(k))$  for each  $k$ , where  $C(k) = \{c \in \Sigma_G \mid c_0 = k\}$ . Hence, one defines  $\Delta_k$  as the value of  $\Delta(x)$  in  $h(C(k))$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Define the **structure matrix**  $A$  for a basic set  $\Omega$  by  $A_{jk} = \Delta_k G_{jk}$ .

Next we present a result of Bowen and Franks [2] which associates a structure matrix to a homological invariant.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism with hyperbolic chain recurrent set with basic sets  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=0}^n$ , then a **filtration associated to  $f$**  is a collection of submanifolds  $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_n = M$  such that

1.  $f(M_i) \subset \text{int}(M_i)$ ;
2.  $\Omega_i = \bigcap_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f^n(M_i \setminus M_{i-1})$ .

The existence of a filtration follows easily from the existence of a smooth Lyapunov function  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for the diffeomorphism  $f$ , [4].

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $h : V \rightarrow V$  and  $h' : V' \rightarrow V'$  be two endomorphisms where  $V$  and  $V'$  are vector spaces.

1. Define the **nonnilpotent part**  $h^+$  of  $h$  as the map induced by  $h$  in the quotient space  $\frac{V}{V_0}$  where  $V_0 = \{v \in V \mid h^k(v) = 0 \text{ for some } k > 0\}$ .
2. One says that  $h$  and  $h'$  are **conjugate** if there exists an isomorphism

$$\Psi : V \rightarrow V' \text{ such that } h' \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ h.$$

**Theorem 1.2** ([2]). Suppose that the diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  has hyperbolic chain recurrent set,  $\{M_j\}$  is a filtration associated to  $f$  and,  $\Omega_i$  is a zero dimensional basic set of index  $u$ . If  $A$  is a structure matrix  $n \times n$  for  $\Omega_i$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field, then

1. The nonnilpotent part  $A^+$  of  $A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  is conjugate to the nonnilpotent part  $f_{*u}^+$  de  $f_{*u} : H_u(M_i, M_{i-1}; \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_u(M_i, M_{i-1}; \mathbb{F})$ , and
2. the map  $f_{*k} : H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}; \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}; \mathbb{F})$  is nilpotent for all  $k \neq u$ .

## 1.2 Reduced homological Conley index

Let  $U$  be an open subset of a locally compact metric space  $X$  and  $f : U \rightarrow X$  a continuous map.

Define the **maximal invariant subset** of  $N$ ,  $\text{Inv}(N)$  as the set of points  $x \in N$  such that there exists a complete orbit  $\{x_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \subset N$  with  $x_0 = x$  and  $f(x_n) = x_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ . One says that a set  $S$  is an **isolated invariant set** if there exists an isolating neighborhood  $N$  (i.e., a compact  $N$  with  $\text{Inv}(N) \subset \text{int}(N)$ ) such that  $S = \text{Inv}(N)$ .

Given an isolated invariant set  $S$  there exists a pair of compact spaces  $(N, L)$  with  $L \subset N$  contained in the interior of the domain of  $f$  with the following properties:

1.  $\overline{N \setminus L}$  is an isolating neighborhood of  $S$ ,
2.  $L$  is a neighborhood of  $N^- = \{x \in N \mid f(x) \notin \text{int}(N)\}$  in  $N$ ,
3.  $f(L) \cap \overline{N \setminus L} = \emptyset$

See [5]. This compact pair is called **filtration pair**.

Let  $P = (N, L)$  be a filtration pair of an isolated invariant set  $S$  of a continuous map  $f$ . The map  $f$  induces a continuous base-point preserving map  $f_P : N_L \rightarrow N_L$  with the property  $[L] \subset \text{int} f^{-1}([L])$ , where  $N_L$  is the quotient space  $N/L$  and  $[L]$  is the collapsed set that is taken as base point (see [5]). This map is the **pointed space map associated to  $P$** .

**Definition 1.7.** Define **reduced homological Conley index** of  $S$  as

$$\text{Con}_*(S) = (CH_*(S), \chi_*(S)),$$

where  $CH_*(S) = \bigcap_{n>0} ((f_P)_*)^n(H_*(N_L, [L]))$  and  $\chi_*(S) : CH_*(S) \rightarrow CH_*(S)$  is the automorphism induced by  $(f_P)_* : H_*(N_L, [L]) \rightarrow H_*(N_L, [L])$  which is the homology induced map of the pointed space map  $f_P : N_L \rightarrow N_L$ .

Henceforth, whenever we mention the Conley index we mean the reduced homological Conley index defined above.

## 2 The Conley Index and the Structure Matrix

In this section we prove the relation between the Conley index isomorphism of a zero dimensional basic set with the nonnilpotent part of its structure matrix.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $M$  be a closed manifold. Suppose  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism with hyperbolic chain recurrent set,  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=0}^n$  are basic sets of  $f$  and  $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is an associated filtration. Then

1.  $P_i = (M_i, M_{i-1})$  is a filtration pair for  $\Omega_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, n$ .
2.  $f_{*k} : H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}) \rightarrow H_k(M_i, M_{i-1})$  and  $(f_{P_i})_{*k} : H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]) \rightarrow H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}])$  are conjugate for all  $k$ , where  $f_{P_i}$  is the pointed space map associated to  $P_i = (M_i, M_{i-1})$ .

*Proof.* 1. Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth Lyapunov function such that  $M_i = \phi^{-1}((-\infty, c_i])$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Then  $M_i$  is compact and

$$\text{Inv}(\overline{M_i \setminus M_{i-1}}) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(\overline{M_i \setminus M_{i-1}}) = \Omega_i,$$

$M_i^- = \{x \in M_i \mid f(x) \notin \text{int} M_i\} = \emptyset \subset M_{i-1}$ , and  $f(M_{i-1}) \cap \overline{M_i \setminus M_{i-1}} = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $(M_i, M_{i-1})$  is a filtration pair for  $\Omega_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, n$ .

2. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q \\ \frac{N}{L} & \xrightarrow{f_P} & \frac{N}{L} \end{array}$$

is comutative ( $q : N \rightarrow \frac{N}{L}$  is a quotient map), it follows that  $q \circ f = f_P \circ q$ . Therefore,  $q_* \circ f_* = (f_P)_* \circ q_*$ , i.e.,  $f_*$  is conjugate to  $(f_P)_*$ . ■

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $M$  be a closed manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism. Suppose  $f$  has a hyperbolic chain recurrent set and  $\Omega_i$  is a zero dimensional basic set of index  $u$ . If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  structure matrix for  $\Omega_i$ , and  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field, then

1.  $\chi_u(\Omega_i)$  is conjugate to the nonnilpotent part  $A^+$  of  $A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ ;
2.  $Con_k(\Omega_i) = (0, 0)$  for all  $k \neq u$ .

where  $Con_*(\Omega_i) = (CH_*(\Omega_i), \chi_*(\Omega_i))$  is the Conley index of  $\Omega_i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{M_j\}$  be a filtration associated to  $f$ . It is well known that  $A^+$  is conjugate to the nonnilpotent part  $f_{*u}^+$  of  $f_{*u} : H_u(M_i, M_{i-1}) \rightarrow H_u(M_i, M_{i-1})$  (see Theorem 1.2). By Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$f_{*k} : H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}) \rightarrow H_k(M_i, M_{i-1})$$

and

$$(f_P)_{*k} : H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]) \rightarrow H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}])$$

are conjugate for all  $k$  and consequently  $f_{*u}^+$  and  $(f_P)_{*u}^+$  are also conjugate.

We will show that  $(f_P)_{*u}^+ = \chi_u(\Omega_i)$ . Since,  $(H_u(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]))_0$  is the set

$$\{v \in H_u(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]) \mid (f_P)_{*u}^k(v) = 0 \text{ for some } k > 0\}$$

then  $(H_u(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]))_0 = gKer((f_P)_{*u})$ . Hence,

$$\frac{H_u(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}])}{(H_u(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]))_0} = \frac{H_u(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}])}{gKer((f_P)_{*u})} = (gIm(f_P)_{*u}) = CH^*(\Omega_i).$$

Therefore,  $\chi_u(\Omega_i) = (f_P)_{*u}^+$  and this proves item 1.

It is well known that the map  $f_{*k}$  is nilpotent for all  $k \neq u$  (see Theorem 1.2). Hence,  $(f_P)_{*k}$  is also nilpotent for all  $k \neq u$ . Thus,

$$CH_k(\Omega_i) = \frac{H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}])}{gKer((f_P)_{*k})} \approx 0, \forall k \neq u,$$

which implies that  $\chi_k(\Omega_i) = 0$  for all  $k \neq u$ . ■

**Remark 2.1.** An immediate consequence of our Theorem 2.1 and the Lemma 6.2 of [4] is that if  $\dim W^u(\Omega_i) < k$  or  $\dim W^s(\Omega_i) < (\dim M) - k$ , then  $Con_k(\Omega_i) = (0, 0)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Note that any Smale diffeomorphism on a closed manifold satisfies Theorem 2.1. Moreover, if this diffeomorphism is Morse-Smale we have that  $\chi_u(\Omega_i)$  is conjugate to

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

**Example 2.1.** We will compute the Conley index of a Smale Horseshoe, Figure 1, embedded in  $S^2$  using Theorem 2.1.




Figure 1: Smale's Horseshoe

A structure matrix of the basic set  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(Q)$  of index 1 is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the set of handles  $H = H_0 \cup H_1$ .

Observe that  $A^+ = 0$ , since  $A^2 = 0$ . Hence, the Conley index of Smale's Horseshoe is

$$Con_q(\Lambda) = (0, 0), \forall q.$$

Observe that the advantage of this approach is that we do not make use of the filtration pair nor the pointed space map in order to compute the Conley index. We make use solely of the dynamical information contained in the structure matrix of Smale's Horseshoe.

### 3 The Conley Index and the Real Jordan Form of a Structure Matrix

In this section, we show that the isomorphism  $\chi^*$ , of the Conley index of a zero dimensional basic set of a diffeomorphism with hyperbolic chain recurrent set, is conjugate to the nonnilpotent part of an associated structure matrix. From a practical point of view, working with the structure matrix in its real Jordan form makes the computation of the nilpotent and nonnilpotent part of the matrix effortless. The information of the nonnilpotent part of this matrix is easily retrieved by a quick analysis of the non zero eigenvalues and the nilpotent part by the zero eigenvalues.

We prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $M$  be a closed manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow M$  a diffeomorphism with a hyperbolic chain recurrent set and  $\Omega$  a zero dimensional basic set of index  $u$ . Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  structure matrix for  $\Omega$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$  their eigenvalues pairwise disjoint, then  $\chi_u(\Omega)$  is conjugate to the real Jordan form of matrix  $A$  after removing the Jordan blocks corresponding to zero eigenvalue. In other words,  $\chi_u(\Omega)$  is conjugate to

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. \ J &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2 & & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } r = n \text{ and } \lambda_i \neq 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, n. \\
 2. \ J &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \lambda_{r_0-1} & & \\ & & & \lambda_{r_0+1} & \\ 0 & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } r = n \text{ and } \lambda_{r_0} = 0. \\
 3. \ J &= \begin{pmatrix} J_{\lambda_1} & & & & 0 \\ & J_{\lambda_2} & & & \\ & & J_{\lambda_3} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & J_{\lambda_r} \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } r < n \text{ and } \lambda_i \neq 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, r.
 \end{aligned}$$









where  $C_i = \binom{k}{i} = \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-(i-1))}{i!}, \forall i = 1, \dots, p-1$ . If  $k \geq p$ , then  $C_i \neq 0$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, p-1$  and if  $k < p$ , then there exists  $k \leq i_0 < p$  such that  $C_i \neq 0$  if  $i = 1, \dots, i_0$  and  $C_i = 0$  for  $i \geq i_0$ . Hence,  $gKerJ(1) = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  and thus we conclude that  $J(1)^+ = J(1)$ .

Note that the matrix  $J(2)$  is nilpotent, consequently  $gKerJ(2) = \mathbb{F}^p$ , which implies that  $J(2)^+ = 0$ .

Finally, we will prove that  $J(3)^+ = J(3)$ . Indeed, since

$$J(3)^k = \begin{pmatrix} D^k & C_1 D^{k-1} & \cdots & C_{p'-2} D^{k-(p'-2)} & C_{p'-1} D^{k-(p'-1)} \\ 0 & D^k & \cdots & C_{p'-3} D^{k-(p'-3)} & C_{p'-2} D^{k-(p'-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & D^k & C_1 D^{k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D^k \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $p' = \frac{p}{2}$  and  $C_i = \binom{k}{i} = \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-(i-1))}{i!}, \forall i = 1, \dots, p'-1$ , we have that  $\det(J(3)^k) = \det(D^k) \cdots \det(D^k) \neq 0$ . This follows because  $\det D^k \neq 0$  which is easily proved by induction on  $k$ . In this way, the columns of  $J(3)^k$  form a linearly independent set. Therefore,  $gKerJ(3) = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ , which implies that  $J(3)^+ = J(3)$ .  $\blacksquare$

In what follows we present an example which confirms the practicability of the computation of the Conley index using Theorem 3.1, specially in the cases of larger structure matrices.

**Example 3.1.** Consider four rectangles  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  and  $H_4$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and the diffeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  shown in Figure 2, where  $f(H_1) = V_1, f(H_2) = V_2, f(H_3) = V_3$  and  $f(H_4) = V_4$ .

A structure matrix of the basic set  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^4 H_i \right)$  of index 1 is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

when we take as a set of handles  $H = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3 \cup H_4$ .

Since the real Jordan form of  $A$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$




Figure 2: fitted diffeomorphism

we have by Theorem 3.1, that  $\chi_1(\Lambda)$  is conjugate to  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and therefore,

$$\text{Con}_q(\Lambda) = \begin{cases} \left( \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), & q = 1 \\ (0, 0), & q \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

## 4 Conley Index, Homology Zeta Function and Morse Inequalities

In this section we present the characterization of the homology Zeta function and of the Morse inequalities found in [4], using the isomorphism  $\chi_*$  of the Conley index.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $M$  be a closed manifold. Suppose  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism with hyperbolic chain recurrent set and  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=0}^n$  all the basic sets of  $f$ , then the homology Zeta function of  $f|_{\Omega_i}$  is

$$Z_i(f) = \prod_{k=0}^{\dim M} \det(I - \chi_k(\Omega_i)t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$$

where  $Con_k(\Omega_i) = (CH_k(\Omega_i), \chi_k(\Omega_i))$  is the Conley index with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  of the basic sets  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\forall i = 0, \dots, l$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{M_i\}$  be a filtration associated to  $f$ . We proved in Lemma 2.1, that

$$(f_P)_{*k} : H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]; \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$f_{*k} : H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}; \mathbb{R})$$

are conjugated by the isomorphism  $q_{*k} : H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]; \mathbb{R})$

induced by the quotient map  $q : M_i \rightarrow \frac{M_i}{M_{i-1}}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I - (f_P)_{*k}t) &= \det(I - (q_{*k} \circ f_{*k} \circ q_{*k}^{-1})t) \\ &= \det(I - (q_{*k} \circ (f_{*k}t) \circ q_{*k}^{-1})) \\ &= \det(q_{*k} \circ (I - f_{*k}t) \circ q_{*k}^{-1}) \\ &= \det(q_{*k}) \det(I - f_{*k}t) \det(q_{*k})^{-1} \\ &= \det(I - f_{*k}t) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, there exists a base  $H_k(M_i/M_{i-1}, [M_{i-1}]; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$[(f_P)_{*k}]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} (f_P)_{*k}^+ & 0 \\ * & (f_P)_{*k}^- \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $(f_P)_{*k}^-$  is the nilpotent part of  $(f_P)_{*k}$ . Hence,

$$\det(I - (f_P)_{*k}t) = \det(I - (f_P)_{*k}^+t) \cdot \det(I - (f_P)_{*k}^-t) = \det(I - (f_P)_{*k}^+t),$$

follows since  $(f_P)_{*k}^-$  being nilpotent implies that  $\det(I - (f_P)_{*k}^-t) = 1$ .

Therefore,

$$\det(I - \chi_k(\Omega_i)t) = \det(I - (f_P)_{*k}^+t) = \det(I - (f_P)_{*k}t) = \det(I - f_{*k}t). \quad \blacksquare$$

By using our Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 6.5 of [4], we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** If  $f : M \rightarrow M$  has hyperbolic chain recurrent set and their basic sets are homologically split at  $q$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then there exists an integer polynomial  $P(t)$  such that

$$P(t)^{(-1)^q} \prod_{u(i) \leq q} Z_i(f) = \prod_{k=0}^q \det(I - \chi_k(M)t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$$

where

$$Z_i(f) = \prod_{k=0}^{\dim M} \det(I - \chi_k(\Omega_i)t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$$

and  $Con_k(\Omega_i) = (CH_k(\Omega_i), \chi_k(\Omega_i))$  is the Conley index with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  of the basic set  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\forall i = 0, \dots, l$ .

*Proof.* Since  $P = (M, \emptyset)$  is a filtration pair of  $M$ , we have that  $f_{*k} : H_k(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_k(M; \mathbb{R})$  which is induced by  $f$  and  $(f_P)_{*k} : H_k(M, \emptyset; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_k(M, \emptyset; \mathbb{R})$  which is induced by  $f_P$  are isomorphic and therefore  $\det(I - f_{*k}t) = \det(I - \chi_k(M)t)$ .  
■

The construction of the following diffeomorphism of Example 4.1 can be found in [4].

**Example 4.1.** Consider the diffeomorphism  $f : T^2 \rightarrow T^2$  illustrated in Figure 3.

The diffeomorphism  $f$  will have an attracting fixed point  $p$ , a repelling fixed point  $\infty$  in the deleted disc  $D_\infty$  and is constructed in such a way that the two strips  $H = h_1 \cup h_2$  form a set of hyperbolic handles of  $f$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{R}(f) = \{p\} \cup \Lambda \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(H)$ .

The structure matrices of  $p$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $\infty$  are respectively  $A_0 = (1)$ ,  $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A_2 = (1)$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.1, the Conley indices of the basic sets of  $f$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Con}_q(p) &= \begin{cases} (\mathbb{R}, Id), & q = 0 \\ (0, 0), & q \neq 0 \end{cases}, & \text{Con}_q(\infty) &= \begin{cases} (\mathbb{R}, Id), & q = 2 \\ (0, 0), & q \neq 2 \end{cases} \\ \text{Con}_q(\Lambda) &= \begin{cases} \left( \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), & q = 1 \\ (0, 0), & q \neq 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Proposition 4.1, the homology Zeta functions associated to the basic sets are

$$\begin{aligned} Z_p(f) &= \det(I - \chi_0(p)t)^{-1} \det(I - \chi_1(p)t) \det(I - \chi_2(p)t)^{-1} \\ &= (1-t)^{-1} (1) (1) = (1-t)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\Lambda(f) &= \det(I - \chi_0(\Lambda)t)^{-1} \det(I - \chi_1(\Lambda)t) \det(I - \chi_2(\Lambda)t)^{-1} \\ &= (1) \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t \\ t & 1-t \end{pmatrix} (1) = (1-t) + t^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\infty(f) &= \det(I - \chi_0(\infty)t)^{-1} \det(I - \chi_1(\infty)t) \det(I - \chi_2(\infty)t)^{-1} \\ &= (1) (1) (1-t)^{-1} = (1-t)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Now, since

$$\text{Con}_q(T^2) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{R}, Id), & q = 0 \\ \left( \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right), & q = 1 \\ (\mathbb{R}, Id), & q = 2 \\ (0, 0), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$




Figure 3: Smale diffeomorphism

and the basic sets of  $f$  are homologically split at 1 over  $\mathbb{R}$  we have that, by Proposition 4.2, there exists an integer polynomial  $P(t)$  such that

$$P(t)^{(-1)} \prod_{u(i) \leq 1} Z_i(f) = \prod_{k=0}^1 \det(I - \chi_k(M)t)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$$



Furthermore, we have that  $P(t) = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\prod_{u(i) \leq 1} Z_i(f) = \prod_{k=0}^1 \det (I - \chi_k(M)t)^{(-1)^{k+1}},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{u(i) \leq 1} Z_i(f) &= Z_0(f)Z_1(f) \\ &= (1-t)^{-1} (t^2 - t + 1) \\ \prod_{k=0}^1 \det (I - \chi_k(M)t)^{(-1)^{k+1}} &= \det (I - \chi_0(T^2)t)^{-1} \det (I - \chi_1(T^2)t) \\ &= (1-t)^{-1} (t^2 - t + 1) \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] R. Bowen, *Topological entropy and Axiom A*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, 23-41.
- [2] R. Bowen and J. Franks, *Homology for zero-dimensional nonwandering sets*, Annals of Mathematics **106** (1977), no. 1, 73-92.
- [3] C. Conley, *Isolated invariant sets and Morse index*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, n. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1978.
- [4] J. M. Franks, *Homology and dynamical systems*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, n. 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [5] J. M. Franks and D. S. Richeson, *Shift equivalence and the Conley Index*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **352** (2000), no. 7, 3305-3322.
- [6] M. Mrozek, *Leray functor and cohomological Conley index for discrete dynamical systems*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **318** (1990), no. 1, 149-178.
- [7] D. S. Richeson, *Connection matrix pairs*, Banach Center Publications **47** (1999), 219-232.
- [8] J. W. Robbin and D. Salamon, *Dynamical systems, shape theory and Conley index*, Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems **8\*** (1988), 375-393.
- [9] D. Salamon, *Connected simple systems and Conley index of isolated invariant sets*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **291** (1985), no. 1, 1-41.

- [10] \_\_\_\_\_, *Morse Theory, Conley index and Floer homology*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 113-140.
- [11] S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 797-817.
- [12] M. Shub and D. Sullivan, *Homology and dynamical systems*, Topology **14** (1975), 109-132.
- [13] A. Szymczak, *The Conley index for discrete semidynamical systems*, Topology and its Applications **66** (1995), 215-240.