The Number of 1...d-Avoiding Permutations of Length d+r for SYMBOLIC d but Numeric r

By Shalosh B. EKHAD, Nathaniel SHAR, and Doron ZEILBERGER

Dedicated to Ira Martin GESSEL (b. April 9, 1951), on his millionth₂ birthday

Preface: How many permutations are there of length googol+30 avoiding an increasing subsequence of length googol?

This number is way too big for our physical universe, but the number of permutations of length googol+30 that *contain* at least one increasing subsequence of length googol is a certain integer that may be viewed in http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimPDF/gessel64.pdf. Hence the number of permutations of length googol+30 avoiding an increasing subsequence of length googol is (googol+30)! minus the above small number.

Counting the "Bad Guys"

Recall that thanks to Robinson-Schensted ([Rob][Sc]), the number of permutations of length n that do **not** contain an increasing subsequence of length d is given by

$$G_d(n) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \#rows(\lambda) < d}} f_{\lambda}^2 \quad ,$$

where λ denotes a typical Young diagram, and f_{λ} is the number of Standard Young tableaux whose shape is λ .

Hence the number of permutations of length n that **do** contain an increasing subsequence of length d is

$$B_d(n) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \#rows(\lambda) > d}} f_{\lambda}^2 .$$

Since the total number of permutations of length n is n! ([B]), if we know how to find $B_d(n)$, we would know immediately $G_d(n) = n! - B_d(n)$, at least if we leave n! alone as a factorial, rather than spell it out.

Recall that the Hook Length formula (see [Wiki]) tells you that if λ is a Young diagram then

$$f_{\lambda} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{c \in \lambda} h(c)} \quad ,$$

where the product is over all the n cells of the Young diagram, and the hook-lenght, h(c), of a cell c = (i, j), is $(\lambda_i - i) + (\lambda'_j - j) + 1$, where λ' is the conjugate diagram, where the rows become columns and vice-versa.

Let r be a fixed integer, then for symbolic d, valid for $d \ge r - 1$, any Young diagram with at least d rows, and with d + r cells, can be written, for some Young diagram $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$, with $\le r$ cells, (where we add zeros to the end if the number of parts of μ is less than r) as

$$\lambda = (1 + \mu_1, \dots, 1 + \mu_r, 1^{d-r+r'})$$
,

where $r' = r - |\mu|$. For such a shape λ , with at least d rows,

$$\prod_{c \in \lambda} h(c) = \left(\prod_{c \in \mu} h(c) \right) \cdot \left((d + r' + \mu_1)(d + r' - 1 + \mu_2) \cdots (d + r' - r + 1 + \mu_r) \right) \cdot (d - r + r')! \quad .$$

Hence f_{λ} , that is (d+r)! divided by the above, is a certain specific number times a certain polynomial in d. Since, for a specific, numeric, r, there are only finitely many Young diagrams with at most r cells, the computer can find all of them, compute the polynomial corresponding to each of them, square it, and add-up all these terms, getting an explicit polynomial expression, in the variable d, for $B_d(d+r)$, the number of permutations of length d+r that contain an increasing subsequence of length d. As we said above, from this we can find $G_d(d+r) = (d+r)! - B_d(d+r)$, valid for $symbolic\ d \ge r-1$.

$B_d(d+r)$ for r from 0 to 30

$$B_d(d) = 1 \quad ,$$

$$B_d(d+1) = d^2 + 1 \quad ,$$

$$B_d(d+2) = \frac{1}{2}d^4 + d^3 + \frac{1}{2}d^2 + d + 3 \quad ,$$

$$B_d(d+3) = \frac{1}{6}d^6 + d^5 + \frac{5}{3}d^4 + \frac{2}{3}d^3 + \frac{19}{6}d^2 + \frac{31}{3}d + 11 \quad ,$$

$$B_d(d+4) = \frac{1}{24}d^8 + \frac{1}{2}d^7 + \frac{25}{12}d^6 + \frac{19}{6}d^5 + \frac{29}{24}d^4 + 9d^3 + \frac{247}{6}d^2 + \frac{395}{6}d + 47 \quad ,$$

$$B_d(d+5) = \frac{1}{120}d^{10} + \frac{1}{6}d^9 + \frac{31}{24}d^8 + \frac{14}{3}d^7 + \frac{823}{120}d^6 + \frac{67}{30}d^5 + \frac{653}{24}d^4 + \frac{959}{6}d^3 + \frac{10459}{30}d^2 + \frac{3981}{10}d + 239$$

For $B_d(d+r)$ for r from 6 up to 30, see

http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/oGessel64a.

Sequences

The sequence $G_3(n)$ is the greatest celeb in the kingdom of combinatorial sequences [the subject of an entire book([St]) by Ira Gessel's illustrious academic father, Richard Stanley], the superfamous A000108 in Neil Sloane's legendary database ([Sl]). $G_4(n)$, while not in the same league as the Catalan sequence, is still moderately famous, A005802. $G_5(n)$ is A047889, $G_6(n)$ is A047890, $G_7(n)$ is A052399, $G_8(n)$ is A072131, $G_9(n)$ is A072132, $G_{10}(n)$ is A072133, $G_{11}(n)$ is A072167, but $G_d(n)$ for $d \ge 12$ are absent (for a good reason, one must stop somewhere!). Also the flattened version of the double-sequence, $\{G_d(n)\}$, for $1 \le d \le n \le 45$ is A047887. Using the

polynomials $B_d(d+r)$, we computed the first 2d+1 terms of $G_d(n)$ for $d \leq 30$. See http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/oGessel64b.

But this method can only go up to 2d + 1 terms of the sequence $G_d(n)$, and of course, the first d-1 terms are trivial, namely n!. Can we find the first 100 terms (or whatever) for the sequences $G_d(n)$ for d up to 20, and beyond, **efficiently?**

Encore: Efficient Computer-Algebra Implementation of Ira Gessel's AMAZING Determinant Formula

Recall Ira Gessel's [G] famous expression for the generating function of $G_d(n)/n!^2$, canonized in the bible ([W], p. 996, Eq. (5)). Here it is:

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{G_d(n)}{n!^2} x^{2n} = \det(I_{|i-j|}(2x))_{i,j=1,\dots,d} ,$$

in which $I_{\nu}(t)$ is (the modified Bessel function)

$$I_{\nu}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}t)^{2j+\nu}}{j!(j+\nu)!}$$
 .

Can we use this to compute the first 100 terms of, say, $G_{20}(n)$?

While computing numerical determinants is very fast, computing symbolic ones is a different story. First, do not get scared by the "infinite" power series. If we are only interested in the first N terms of $G_d(n)$, then it is safe to truncate the series up to t^{2N} , and take the determinant of a $d \times d$ matrix with polynomial entries. If you use the vanilla determinant in a computer-algebra system such as Maple, it would be very inefficient, since the degree of the determinant is much larger than 2N. But a little cleverness can make things more efficient. The Maple package Gessel64, available free of charge from

http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/Gessel64

accompanying this article, has a procedure SeqIra(k,N) that computes the first N terms of $G_k(n)$, using a division-free algorithm (see [Rot]) over an appropriate ring to compute the determinant in Gessel's famous formula.

SeqIra:=proc(k,N) local ira,t,i,j, R:

R := table():
R['0'] := 0:
R['1'] := 1:
R['+'] := '+':

end:

In the above code, procedure Iv(v,t,N) computes the truncated modified Bessel function that shows up in Gessel's determinant, and it is short enough to reproduce here:

```
Iv:=proc(v,t,N) local j: add(t**(2*j+v)/j!/(j+v)!,j=0..trunc((N-v)/2)+1): end:
```

Using this procedure, the first-named author computed (in 4507 seconds) the first 100 terms of each of the sequences $G_d(n)$ for $3 \le d \le 20$, and could have gone much further.

See http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/oGessel64c

HAPPY 64th BIRTHDAY, IRA!

References

- [B] Rabbi Levi Ben Gerson, Sefer Maaseh Hoshev, Avignon, 1321.
- [G] I. Gessel, Symmetric functions and P-recursiveness, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A 53 (1990), 257-285; http://people.brandeis.edu/~gessel/homepage/papers/dfin.pdf .
- [Rob] G. de B. Robinson, On the representations of S_n , Amer. J. Math. **60** (1938), 745-760.
- [Rot] G. Rote, Division-Free Algorithms for the Determinant and Pfaffian: Algebraic and Combinatorial Approaches, Computational Discrete Mathematics: Advanced Lectures (2001), 119-135.
- [Sc] C. E. Schensted, Largest increasing and decreasing subsequences, Canad. J. Math 13 (1961), 179-191.
- [Sl] N.J.A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences; http://oeis.org
- [St] R. P. Stanley, "Catalan Numbers", Cambridge University Press, 2015.

[Wiki] The Wikipedia Foundation, *Hook Length Formula*; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hook_length_formula .

[W] H. S. Wilf, Mathematics, an experimental science, in: "Princeton Companion to Mathematics",(W. Timothy Gowers, ed.), Princeton University Press, 2008, 991-1000;http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/akherim/HerbMasterpieceEM.pdf

Shalosh B. Ekhad, c/o D. Zeilberger, Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA.

Nathaniel Shar, Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA.

nshar at math dot rutgers dot edu ; http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~nbs48/ .

Doron Zeilberger, Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA. zeilberg at math dot rutgers dot edu ; http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/.

Published in The Personal Journal of Shalosh B. Ekhad and Doron Zeilberger (http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/pj.html) and arxiv.org.

April 9, 2015