

THE GENERALIZED ZERO-MODE SUPERSYMMETRY SCHEME AND THE CONFLUENT ALGORITHM

ALONSO CONTRERAS-ASTORGA[†] and AXEL SCHULZE-HALBERG[‡]

[†] Department of Mathematics and Actuarial Science, Indiana University Northwest, 3400 Broadway, Gary IN 46408, USA, e-mail: aloncont@iun.edu

[‡] Department of Mathematics and Actuarial Science and Department of Physics, Indiana University Northwest, 3400 Broadway, Gary IN 46408, USA, e-mail: axgeschu@iun.edu, xbataxel@gmail.com

Abstract

We show the relationship between the mathematical framework used in recent papers by H.C. Rosu, S.C. Mancas and P. Chen (2014) [1–3] and the second-order confluent supersymmetric quantum mechanics. In addition, we point out several immediate generalizations of the approach taken in the latter references. Furthermore, it is shown how to apply the generalized scheme to the Dirac and to the Fokker-Planck equation.

PACS No.: 03.65.Ge

Keywords: confluent SUSY algorithm, zero-mode SUSY scheme, spectral problem

1 Introduction

In their recent work [1–3], Rosu et al. present and discuss several interesting examples of quantum potentials and their associated zero-energy solutions that are constructed through a method inspired by the quantum-mechanical supersymmetry (SUSY) formalism [4]. In general, the latter formalism provides a method for the construction of solvable spectral problems that are governed by the Schrödinger equation. There is a vast amount of literature on the general topic, for a general overview the reader may refer to the reviews [5–7]. The general SUSY formalism splits into two different algorithms, which are usually referred to as the standard algorithm and the confluent algorithm. The standard algorithm is a direct generalization of the Darboux–Crum transformation [8, 9] whereas the confluent case can be seen as ingenious iterations of the mentioned transformation. Both algorithms serve the same purpose, but they are technically inequivalent and as such lead to different results. Despite being less known than its standard counterpart, the confluent SUSY algorithm has been subject to studies in a variety of articles. The first applications of this algorithm are presented in [10–15], while for recent progress the reader may refer to [16–22] and references therein.

The main purpose of this work is to show the close relationship between the mathematical method used in [1–3], which for the sake of simplicity we will call “Zero-mode SUSY scheme”, and the second-order confluent SUSY algorithm.

In section 2 we will present the second-order confluent SUSY algorithm and its relationship with the zero-mode SUSY scheme, also we will comment on some important features that the zero-mode SUSY scheme could take from the confluent SUSY algorithm. The focus of section 3 is to apply and extend the results of the previous section to the Schrödinger, Dirac and Fokker-Planck equations. Finally, in section 4 our concluding remarks will be presented.

2 Second-order confluent SUSY algorithm

In the following we will develop the confluent, second-order SUSY algorithm in order to show its close relationship with the zero-mode SUSY scheme, also we will point some important properties of the confluent algorithm that can generalize the zero-mode scheme. To this end, let us start out with the Schrödinger equation

$$\Psi'' + (\epsilon - V_1) \Psi = 0, \quad (1)$$

where the prime stands for the derivative with respect to the position, ϵ for the stationary energy and V_1 denotes a time independent potential. We will now apply a first-order SUSY-transformation to the solution Ψ of (1), given by

$$\bar{\Psi} = -\frac{u'}{u} \Psi + \Psi', \quad (2)$$

where the transformation function u is a solution of

$$u'' + (\lambda - V_1) u = 0. \quad (3)$$

This is the same equation as (1), but for a stationary energy λ (called factorization energy) that is allowed to be different from ϵ . Observe further that Ψ and u must be linearly independent in order to avoid vanishing of (2). The function $\bar{\Psi}$ then solves the Schrödinger equation

$$\bar{\Psi}'' + (\epsilon - V_2) \bar{\Psi} = 0, \quad \text{where } V_2 = V_1 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log(u). \quad (4)$$

In the next step we apply a first-order SUSY transformation to the new equation (4), which requires another transformation function \bar{u} to generate the function

$$\hat{\Psi} = -\frac{\bar{u}'}{\bar{u}} \bar{\Psi} + \bar{\Psi}'. \quad (5)$$

Let us choose the factorization energy of \bar{u} to be the same λ as for u in (3), such that \bar{u} must solve

$$\bar{u}'' + (\lambda - V_2) \bar{u} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Our iteration of the two transformations (2), (5) is referred to as confluent SUSY algorithm, because the factorization energy is maintained constant [14]. While in the present case we are performing a transformation of second-order (two iterations), the confluent SUSY algorithm can be applied for arbitrarily high order [21]. Now, the function $\hat{\Psi}$ must be a solution of the following Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{\Psi}'' + (\epsilon - V_3) \hat{\Psi} = 0, \quad \text{where } V_3 = V_2 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log(\bar{u}). \quad (7)$$

In order to construct the potential V_3 , we recall that a particular solution of equation (6) is given by $\bar{u}_p = 1/u$. Application of the reduction-of-order formula then yields the general solution of (6) in the form

$$\bar{u} = c_1 \bar{u}_p + c_2 \bar{u}_p \int \frac{1}{\bar{u}_p^2} dt = \frac{c_1}{u} + \frac{c_2}{u} \int u^2 dt, \quad (8)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary constants. Note that in order to obtain (8) we use the fact that the differential equation (6) does not contain a term with first order derivative, in these cases the

Wronskian of the two linearly independent solutions is constant, and without loss of generality we set this constant equal to one since it can be absorbed in the coefficient c_2 . We can now use the representation of V_2 in (4) and the explicit form (8) to determine the transformed potential V_3 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
V_3 &= V_2 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log(\bar{u}) \\
&= V_1 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log(u) - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log(\bar{u}) \\
&= V_1 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log\left(\frac{c_1}{c_2} + \int^x u^2 dt\right), \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

note that every ratio c_1/c_2 defines a different potential. Let us now recall that the corresponding expression for the transformed potential was obtained in [1,2]. Adopting the notation used in the latter reference, this potential reads

$$V_{1\gamma} = V_1 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log\left(\gamma + \int^x \Psi_0^2 dt\right), \tag{10}$$

where γ is an arbitrary constant and Ψ_0 solves (1) for $\epsilon = 0$ (zero-energy solution). If we now compare (9) and (10), we observe that $V_{1\gamma}$ for $\gamma = c_1/c_2$ is a special case of V_3 . While Ψ_0 can be interpreted as a transformation function of our first SUSY transformation (2) for the factorization energy $\lambda = 0$, its counterpart u in (9) does not carry any constraints regarding its factorization energy. In particular, if our initial Schrödinger equation admits a discrete spectrum, Ψ_0 represents the ground state solution, while u can stand for the ground state, an excited state, or any other solution of (3). Let us now construct a solution to the Schrödinger equation (7). To this end, we must distinguish the cases $\epsilon = \lambda$ and $\epsilon \neq \lambda$. In the first case $\epsilon = \lambda$ we know that $\hat{\Psi}_p = 1/\bar{u}$ is a particular solution of (7). Upon application of the reduction-of-order formula we obtain its general solution in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Psi} &= \hat{C}_1 \hat{\Psi}_p + \hat{C}_2 \hat{\Psi}_p \int^x \frac{1}{\hat{\Psi}_p^2} dt \\
&= \frac{\hat{C}_1}{\bar{u}} + \frac{\hat{C}_2}{\bar{u}} \int^x \bar{u}^2 dt \\
&= \frac{u}{\frac{c_1}{c_2} + \int^x u^2 dt} \left[C_1 + C_2 \int^x \frac{1}{u^2} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_2} + \int^t u^2 ds \right)^2 dt \right]. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary constants. Since every ratio c_1/c_2 defines a potential (9) and as a consequence a Schrödinger equation (7), this parameter is also found in its solutions [13]. We will now compare this expression to the corresponding result that was given in [1,2]. It reads

$$\Psi_{0\gamma} = \frac{\Psi_0}{\gamma + \int^x \Psi_0^2 dt}. \tag{12}$$

It is straightforward to see that (12) is a special case of (11) if we employ the settings $c_1/c_2 = \gamma$, $C_1 = 1$, $C_2 = 0$ and further identify $u = \Psi_0$. In the context of spectral problems, (12) is known as the “missing state” solution, because its particular form can be associated with a spectral value that has possibly been removed or added by the SUSY transformation. In

general, all solutions resulting from a confluent SUSY transformation, can be expressed in terms of Wronskians, in particular for higher-order cases [21]. As an example, let us mention the Wronskian representation for the solution (12):

$$\Psi_{0\gamma} = \frac{W_{\Psi_0, u_1, v_0}}{W_{\Psi_0, u_1}}, \quad (13)$$

where v_0 and Ψ_0 solve the same equation with $W_{v_0, \Psi_0} = 1$. The function u_1 is a solution of the inhomogeneous equation

$$u_1'' - V_1 u_1 = -\Psi_0.$$

Evaluation of (13) leads to the identity [7]

$$\Psi_{0\gamma} = \frac{\Psi_0}{W_{\Psi_0, u_1}} = \frac{\Psi_0}{\gamma + \int^x \Psi_0^2 dt},$$

which coincides with (12) for a constant of integration γ .

In the second case, when $\epsilon \neq \lambda$, the solutions Ψ of equation (1) are transformed as follows:

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{W_{u, u_1, \Psi}}{W_{u, u_1}}, \quad (14)$$

where u_1 satisfies the inhomogeneous equation

$$u_1'' + (\lambda - V_1) u_1 = -u.$$

The reader might refer to [20, 21, 23] for further information about the general concept of Wronskian representation. Let us now summarize the benefits of embedding the zero-mode SUSY scheme into the context of the confluent SUSY algorithm. The principal advantage is that the SUSY confluent algorithm provides the possibility of constructing quantum potentials using a transformation function u shown in (9) that does not need to be the zero-energy mode of the initial Schrödinger equation (1), but can be any solution of the latter equation. In spectral problems, this feature can be used for creating and annihilating bound states that lie above the ground state level, and also to generate isospectral systems [10, 11, 24, 25]. Furthermore, it is straightforward to extend the scheme used in the latter two references to the case of higher-order SUSY transformations, which can be either confluent or a mixture between confluent and conventional transformations. Such scenarios have been studied in [21].

3 Applications

We will now present applications of the generalized SUSY scheme that was introduced in section 2. The focus of our applications will be twofold. We show how our scheme can be used for models not governed by a Schrödinger equation, and we choose the transformation function u to solve (3) for $\lambda \neq 0$. In the following applications we will adopt the notation $\gamma = c_1/c_2$ in (9) and (11) as a parameter that characterizes the transformation.

3.1 Dirac equation for an inverted harmonic oscillator potential

In this example we consider the following stationary, two-component Dirac equation in one spatial dimension

$$i \sigma_2 \Phi' + (V_0 - E) \Phi = 0, \quad (15)$$

where σ_2 stands for the second Pauli matrix, E denotes a real-valued number, and the spinor $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)^T$ represents the solution. Furthermore, we assume the potential V_0 to be of pseudoscalar form, that is,

$$V_0 = m \sigma_3 + q_0 \sigma_1, \quad (16)$$

for a constant, positive mass m , Pauli matrices σ_1, σ_3 , and a function q_0 that is given by

$$q_0 = -x^2. \quad (17)$$

This function can be interpreted as an inverted oscillator potential. In order to construct a solution to the Dirac equation (15), we write it in components

$$\Phi_1' + x^2 \Phi_1 + (E + m) \Phi_2 = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\Phi_2' - x^2 \Phi_2 - (E - m) \Phi_1 = 0, \quad (19)$$

where we took the form (16) of the potential and its parametrizing function (17) into account. The system (18), (19) does not admit closed-form solutions except in the case $|E| = m$, which we will focus on from now on. We find the general solution of the system to be

$$\Phi_1 = k_1 \exp\left(\int^x q_0 dt\right) - 2m \exp\left(\int^x q_0 dt\right) \int^x \Phi_2 \exp\left(\int^t -q_0 ds\right) dt \quad (20)$$

$$\Phi_2 = k_2 \exp\left(-\int^x q_0 dt\right), \quad (21)$$

introducing two arbitrary constants k_1 and k_2 . On substituting (17), this solution simplifies to

$$\Phi_1 = k_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3} x^3\right) + \frac{2}{3} k_2 m \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3} x^3\right) x E_{\frac{2}{3}}\left(-\frac{2}{3} x^3\right) \quad (22)$$

$$\Phi_2 = k_2 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3} x^3\right),$$

where E stands for the exponential integral function [26]. We will now apply the SUSY transformation scheme that was introduced in section 2 [22]. Since the latter scheme starts from a second-order equation of Schrödinger type, we must first convert the system (18), (19) into such an equation. Solving (18) for Φ_2 and substitution of the resulting expression into (19) leads to

$$\Phi_1'' + (-x^4 + 2x) \Phi_1 = 0. \quad (23)$$

Let us note that without incorporation of the explicit form (17), the latter equation would have the form

$$\Phi_1'' + (q_0^2 + q_0') \Phi_1 = 0. \quad (24)$$

This will become important below, when we need to reverse the steps of passing from the Dirac equation to its Schrödinger counterpart. The second-order equation (23) can be compared with (1), yielding the identifications

$$\Psi = \Phi_1 \quad \epsilon = 0 \quad V_0 = x^4 - 2x,$$

recall that Φ_1 is given in (22). In order to perform the SUSY transformation (11), we must provide a transformation function u that is a solution of equation (23). As such, u must have

the form (22) or it can be any special case of it for a particular choice of parameters k_1 and k_2 . Due to the length of the expressions involved we omit to state the full form of the transformed function (11). Instead, we employ the following parameter setting

$$k_1 = 1, \quad C_1 = -1/10, \quad \gamma = -1/10, \quad k_2 = C_2 = 0. \quad (25)$$

For these parameters, the function $\hat{\Psi}$ takes the form

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{3 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3} x^3\right)}{3 + 10 x E_{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{2}{3} x^3\right)}, \quad (26)$$

note that E represents the exponential integral function [26]. The corresponding potential V_3 that is given in (9) reads for the present case

$$V_3 = x^4 - 2x - \frac{120 x^2 \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3} x^3\right)}{3 + 10 x E_{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{2}{3} x^3\right)} + \frac{1800 \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3} x^3\right)}{\left[3 + 10 x E_{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{2}{3} x^3\right)\right]^2}. \quad (27)$$

The solution (26) and its potential (27) are displayed in figure 1. Note that both solution and potential are bounded at the origin and nonsingular on the positive real semi-axis. As in the case of the function $\hat{\Psi}$, the general expression for V_3 is too large to be displayed here.




Figure 1: The solution (26) in the left plot and the corresponding potential (27) in the right plot.

Now that we have completed the confluent SUSY scheme, we must relate (26) and (27) with a transformed Dirac equation that has the form (15). To this end, we first recall that $\hat{\Psi}$ is a solution to (7) for the potential V_3 . If we can rewrite the latter potential as

$$V_3 = q_1^2 + q_1',$$

then q_1 will be the parametrizing function of the transformed pseudoscalar potential in a Dirac equation of the form (15). It is well-known that q_1 can be constructed by means of the setting

$$q_1 = \frac{\hat{\Psi}'}{\hat{\Psi}}.$$

Note that the function $\hat{\Psi}$ was determined, but we only displayed the particular case (26) here. Following this idea, we show the explicit form of q_1 for the setting $k_2 = 0$

$$q_1 = -x^2 + \frac{30 \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3} x^3\right)}{3 + 10 x E_{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\frac{2}{3} x^3\right)}. \quad (28)$$




Figure 2: The parametrizing function q_0 (dashed curve), together with its transformed counterpart q_1 for the settings $k_1 = 1$, $\gamma = -0.1$, $C_2 = 0$ (solid curve).

Figure 2 shows a particular case of the function q_1 , together with its initial partner function q_0 .

It remains to find the solution of the Dirac equation for the pseudoscalar potential parametrized by the function q_1 , that is,

$$i \sigma_2 \hat{\Phi}' + (V_1 - E) \hat{\Phi} = 0, \quad V_1 = m \sigma_3 + q_1 \sigma_1, \quad (29)$$

where we assume that $|E| = m$. Since the latter Dirac equation has the same form as (15), its solutions must be of the form (20), (21), where q_0 is to be replaced by q_1 , as shown in (28). Since the integrals in the resulting expression cannot be resolved, we omit to show the combination of (28) and (20), (21). Hence, the application of the SUSY scheme to the pair of Dirac equations (15), (29) is complete.

3.2 Schrödinger equation for a constant potential

We will now pick up an example that was presented in [2] and show that we can generalize it considerably when using the full scheme introduced in section 2. Let us consider the Schrödinger equation (1) for the setting $V_1 = c^2$, where c is an arbitrary real number:

$$\Psi'' + (\epsilon - c^2) \Psi = 0. \quad (30)$$

This equation has the following general solution

$$\Psi = k_1 \exp\left(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) + k_2 \exp\left(-\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right), \quad (31)$$

where k_1 and k_2 stand for arbitrary constants. In the work [2], the following parameter setting was considered

$$u = \exp(-c x), \quad \epsilon = 0, \quad C_1 = 1, \quad C_2 = 0. \quad (32)$$

Here, the function u is a special case of (31) for zero energy. As a result of (32), the following transformed potential (9) and corresponding solution (11) were obtained

$$V_3 = c^2 + \frac{8 c^2}{2 c \gamma \exp(2 c x) - 1} + \frac{8 c^2}{[2 c \gamma \exp(2 c x) - 1]^2}, \quad (33)$$

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{2 c \exp(c x)}{2 c \gamma \exp(2 c x) - 1}. \quad (34)$$

This potential and the zero-energy solution coincide exactly with the finding in [2], except for some minor algebraic simplifications. We are now ready to construct a generalized version of both (33) and (34). While the approach presented in [2] requires the function u in (32) to be a zero-energy solution of the initial equation (30), our scheme allows to drop this requirement. We will now use the complete solution (31) to evaluate (9) and (11):

$$V_3 = c^2 - \frac{4(c^2 - \epsilon) \left[k_1^2 \exp\left(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) - k_2^2 \exp\left(-2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) \right]}{2\gamma\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} + k_1^2 \exp\left(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) + 4k_1 k_2 \sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x - k_2^2 \exp\left(-2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right)} + \frac{4(c^2 - \epsilon) \left[k_1^2 \exp\left(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) + k_2^2 \exp\left(-2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) + 2k_1 k_2 \right]^2}{\left[2\gamma\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} + k_1^2 \exp\left(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) - k_2^2 \exp\left(-2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) + 4k_1 k_2 \sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x \right]^2} \quad (35)$$

and

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} \left[k_1 \exp\left(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) + k_2 \exp\left(-\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) \right]}{\left[2\gamma\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} + k_1^2 \exp\left(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) - k_2^2 \exp\left(-2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) + 4k_1 k_2 \sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x \right]} \times \left\{ C_1 + C_2 \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon}} \right)^3 \left[k_1^2 \exp\left(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) - k_2^2 \exp\left(-2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right) - 8k_1 k_2 (c^2 - \epsilon) x^2 - 4\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} \left(k_1 k_2 + 2\gamma\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} \right) x + \frac{4(c^2 - \epsilon)(2k_1 k_2 x + \gamma)^2}{k_1 k_2 + k_2^2 \exp\left(-\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right)} \right] \right\}, \quad (36)$$

note that we leave the energy ϵ and the constants k_1, k_2 undetermined. The last two expressions are very general and some particular values for the parameters involved can considerably reduce the expressions or be interesting in the physical point of view.

Potentials with one bound state In equation (33) can be seen a family of potentials characterized by the parameter γ and (34) presents its only bound state at zero energy. Now let us consider the following setting

$$u = \exp\left(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x\right), \quad \epsilon < c^2, \quad C_1 = 1, \quad C_2 = 0. \quad (37)$$

The potentials obtained after the confluent SUSY transformation and its bound state are

$$V_3 = c^2 - \frac{8(c^2 - \epsilon)}{2\gamma\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} \exp(-2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x) + 1} + \frac{8(c^2 - \epsilon)}{\left[2\gamma\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} \exp(-2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x) + 1 \right]^2}, \quad (38)$$

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} \exp(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}{2\gamma\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} + \exp(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}. \quad (39)$$

In order to avoid singularities in the new potential γ has to be a positive constant. The function (39) is the only bound state of the system. Figure 3 shows the graph of the potential $V_1 = c^2$ (dotted curve) and two potentials V_3 with different parameters. In the first case we use a zero-mode solution as transformation function (dashed curve) and then a solution of (3) where $\lambda = 0.6$ (solid curve). In both cases the systems have a bound state associated to $\epsilon = 0$ and $\epsilon = 0.6$, respectively.




Figure 3: The constant potential $V_1 = 1$ (dotted curve) and the potentials V_3 with the parameters $\epsilon = 0$, $\gamma = 200$ (dashed curve) and $\epsilon = 0.6$, $\gamma = 200$ (solid curve).

Hyperbolic case Simplification of (35) and (36) considering $k_1 = k_2 = C_1 = 1$, $C_2 = 0$ and also $\epsilon < c^2$ gives the following results

$$V_3 = c^2 - \frac{16(c^2 - \epsilon) \cosh(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x) \sinh(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}{\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon}(\gamma + 2x) + \sinh(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)} + \frac{16(c^2 - \epsilon) \cosh^4(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}{\left[\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon}(\gamma + 2x) + \sinh(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)\right]^2}, \quad (40)$$

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} \cosh(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}{\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon}(\gamma + 2x) + \sinh(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}, \quad (41)$$

where for the sake of simplicity we introduced hyperbolic functions. The family of potentials is singular for all the values of γ and as a consequence no bound states can be found.

Trigonometric case When the parameter ϵ is greater than the potential $V_1 = c^2$ the exponential functions in (35) and (36) can be expressed as trigonometric functions. With the following setting

$$u = \sin(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x), \quad \epsilon > c^2, \quad C_1 = 1, \quad C_2 = 0, \quad (42)$$

the transformed potential and the corresponding solution of the Schrödinger equation are

$$V_3 = c^2 - \frac{8(c^2 - \epsilon) \sin(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}{2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon}(2\gamma + x) - \sin(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)} + \frac{32(c^2 - \epsilon) \sin^4(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}{\left[2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon}(2\gamma + x) - \sin(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)\right]^2}, \quad (43)$$

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{4\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} \sin(\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}{2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon}(2\gamma + x) - \sin(2\sqrt{c^2 - \epsilon} x)}. \quad (44)$$

If we consider the whole real axis as domain then there are no regular potentials V_3 obtained with the confluent SUSY transformation.

3.3 Fokker-Planck equation with constant diffusion coefficient

The SUSY scheme introduced in section 2 can also be applied to certain cases of the Fokker-Planck equation, as will be shown in this subsection [27, 28]. Let us consider the following

equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (U' f) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty). \quad (45)$$

This Fokker-Planck equation (45) has a constant diffusion coefficient and a drift function U that we assume to depend on the spatial variable only. We will comment on boundary and initial conditions once we have constructed the transformed equation and its solutions. In order to apply our SUSY algorithm, we must convert (45) into a Schrödinger equation of the form (1). To this end, we rewrite the solution f as follows:

$$f = \exp(-U - k t) \Psi, \quad (46)$$

where k is a real constant and Ψ is a function independent of the time variable. Substitution of (46) into equation (45) and simplification gives the following result

$$\Psi'' + [2k - (U')^2 + U''] \Psi = 0, \quad (47)$$

This matches our Schrödinger equation (1) if the following settings are employed

$$\epsilon = 2k \quad V_1 = (U')^2 - U''.$$

In the next step we specify the drift function U in (45) as follows:

$$U = \frac{x^2}{2}. \quad (48)$$

Let us now rewrite equation (47) using the explicit form (48) of U :

$$\Psi'' + [2k - x^2 + 1] \Psi = 0. \quad (49)$$

The general solution of this equation is given by

$$\Psi = k_1 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) H_k(x) + k_2 \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) H_{-k-1}(ix), \quad (50)$$

where k_1, k_2 are free constants and H stands for the Hermite function [26]. Recall that for an index that is a nonnegative integer, the Hermite function becomes a polynomial. In the next step, we must choose a solution u of (49) that enters in both the potential (9) and the corresponding function (11). We pick the following special case of (50)

$$u = \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) H_k(x), \quad (51)$$

where k is a nonnegative integer. Substitution of (51) into (9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} V_3 = & x^2 - 1 + \frac{2 \exp(-2x^2) H_k^4(x)}{\left[\gamma + \int^x \exp(-t^2) H_k^2(t) dt\right]^2} \\ & + \frac{4 \exp(-x^2) x H_k^2(x) - 8k \exp(-x^2) H_{k-1}(x) H_k(x)}{\gamma + \int^x \exp(-t^2) H_k^2(t) dt}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

The solution of our Schrödinger equation (7) can be found by inserting (51) into (11). We get

$$\hat{\Psi} = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) H_k(x)}{\gamma + \int^x \exp(-t^2) H_k^2(t) dt} \left\{ C_1 + C_2 \int^x \frac{\exp(-t^2)}{H_k^2(t)} \left[\gamma + \int^t \exp(-s^2) H_k^2(s) ds \right]^2 dt \right\}.$$

(53)

In the last step we need to convert (53) into the solution of a Fokker-Planck equation, the drift function of which is determined by (52). To this end, let us introduce the following quantity

$$V = -\log(\hat{\Psi}), \quad (54)$$

where $\hat{\Psi}$ is defined in (53). It is then straightforward to verify that the Schrödinger equation (7) for the potential (52) can be rewritten as follows

$$\hat{\Psi}'' + [-(V')^2 + V''] \hat{\Psi} = 0. \quad (55)$$

Note that for the sake of clarity we did not include the full form of V , which can be obtained from combining (53) and (54). Now, comparison of (55) with (47) with (54) shows that the function

$$g = \exp(-V) \hat{\Psi} = \hat{\Psi}^2, \quad (56)$$

is a time-independent solution of the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (V'g) = 0. \quad (57)$$

We observe that (54) is the transformed drift function, while the corresponding solution (56) is obtained by squaring $\hat{\Psi}$ in (53). Since the integrals in the latter expression cannot be resolved in their general form, we will now restrict ourselves to a particular case. Let us employ the settings

$$\gamma = -0.9, \quad C_1 = -0.25 \quad C_2 = 0 \quad k = 0. \quad (58)$$

This gives the transformed drift function as

$$V = -\log \left[\frac{5 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)}{18 - 10 \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}(x)} \right], \quad (59)$$

where the symbol erf stands for the error function [26]. The left plot in figure 4 shows the graphs of the drift functions V and U , as given in (59) and (48), respectively.

Inspection of expression (59) shows that the transformed drift function V can be defined on the whole real line. The same holds for the associated solution of the transformed Fokker-Planck equation (57), see the right plot in figure 4. In addition, we observe that this function vanishes at the infinities. A study of expression (54) indicates that for $\gamma \in (-\infty, 0)$ when $k = 0$ and $C_1 < 0$ will lead us to a regular drift function V .

Let us now choose a set of parameters that is different from (58)

$$\gamma = 0.1, \quad C_1 = 1, \quad C_2 = 0, \quad k = 1. \quad (60)$$

For these settings, the transformed drift function reads

$$V = -\log \left[\frac{20 x \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)}{-20 x + \exp(x^2) [1 + 10 \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}(x)]} \right]. \quad (61)$$

Similar to the previous case, the left and right plots in figure 5 show the drift functions (48), (61) and the associated solutions of (45), (57), respectively. We observe that the transformed solutions can be defined on the positive half-axis, vanishing at zero and at positive infinity.




Figure 4: Left plot: the transformed drift function (59) (solid curve), together with its initial counterpart (48) (dashed curve) for the settings (58). Right plot: solutions of the Fokker-Planck equations (57) (solid curve) for $t = 0$ and (45) (dashed curve).




Figure 5: Left plot: the transformed drift function (61) (solid curve), together with its initial counterpart (48) (dashed curve) for the settings (60). Right plot: solutions of the Fokker-Planck equations (57) (solid curve) for $t = 0$ and (45) (dashed curve).

Furthermore, a study of equation (54) under the set of parameters $C_1 = 1$, $C_2 = 0$, $k = 1$ shows that when $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ the only singularity of the drift function V is in the origin.

Before we conclude this example, let us show some further solutions in figure 6 that fulfill boundary conditions on the whole real axis (left plot) and the positive half-axis (right plot).

We observe that these solutions correspond to excited states of the Schrödinger equation (7), which are not accessible through the method introduced in [1,2], but only after its generalization presented in section 2.

4 Concluding remarks

We have shown the close relationship existing between the zero-mode supersymmetry scheme and the confluent SUSY algorithm of second order. With this relationship we were able to take advantage of some features of the confluent algorithm in order to generalize the results of H. Rosu et. al. (2014) [1–3]. One of these features is the fact that there is no need to use only the zero-mode solution in order to perform the transformation. Moreover, we applied the second-order SUSY confluent algorithm to three different equations. First, we took a one dimensional Dirac equation for an inverted harmonic oscillator potential and performed a confluent SUSY transformation in order to generate a new Dirac equation. As a second example a Schrödinger




Figure 6: Solutions of the Fokker-Planck equations (57) (solid curve) for $t = 0$ and (45) (dashed curve) for the settings $C_1 = 20$, $C_2 = 0$, $k = 2$, $\gamma = 20$ (left plot) and $C_1 = 20$, $C_2 = 0$, $k = 3$, $\gamma = 100$ (right plot).

equation with constant potential was taken as an initial potential to generate new ones using no zero-mode transformation functions. Finally, we showed how to apply the second order confluent algorithm to the Fokker-Planck equation using not only the ground state but also excited states as transformation functions to generate new Fokker-Planck equations and its solutions.

Acknowledgments

ACA acknowledges CONACYT fellowship 207577.

References

- [1] H.C. Rosu, S.C. Mancas and P. Chen, “Shifted one-parameter supersymmetric family of quartic asymmetric double-well potentials”, *Ann. Phys.* 349 (2014) 33-42
- [2] H.C. Rosu, S.C. Mancas and P. Chen, “One-parameter families of supersymmetric isospectral potentials from Riccati solutions in function composition form”, *Ann. Phys.* 343 (2014), 87-102
- [3] H.C. Rosu, S.C. Mancas and P. Chen, “Comment on ‘Branched Hamiltonians and supersymmetry’ ”, arXiv:1409.5365v1 [math-ph]
- [4] B. Mielnik, “Factorization method and new potentials with the oscillator spectrum”, *J. Math. Phys.* 25 (1984), 3387-3389
- [5] F. Cooper, A Khare and U. Sukhatme, “Supersymmetry and Quantum Mechanics”, *Phys. Rep.* 251 (1995), 267-388
- [6] D.J. Fernandez C. and N. Fernandez-Garcia, “Higher-order supersymmetric quantum mechanics”, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 744 (2005), 236-273
- [7] D.J. Fernandez C., “Supersymmetric quantum mechanics”, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1287 (2010), 3-36
- [8] M.G. Darboux “Sur une proposition relative aux equations lineaires” *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci.* 94 (1882) 1456-1459
- [9] M.M. Crum, “Associated Sturm-Liouville systems” *Q. J. Math.* 6 (1955) 121-127

- [10] D. Baye, “Phase-equivalent potentials for arbitrary modifications of the bound spectrum”, *Phys. Rev. A* 48 (1993), 2040-2047
- [11] J.-M. Sparenberg and D. Baye, “Supersymmetric transformations of real potentials on the line”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 28 (1995), 5079-5095
- [12] A.A. Stahlhofen, “Completely transparent potentials for the Schrödinger equation”, *Phys. Rev. A Phys.* 51 (1995), 934-943
- [13] L.J. Boya, H. Rosu, A.J. Segui-Santonja, F.J. Vila, “Strictly isospectral supersymmetry and Schroedinger general zero modes”, *Nuovo Cimento B* 113 (1998) 409-414
- [14] B. Mielnik, L.M. Nieto and O. Rosas-Ortiz “The finite difference algorithm for higher order supersymmetry”, *Phys. Lett. A* 269 (2000), 70-78
- [15] H.C. Rosu, “Multiple parameter structure of Mielnik’s isospectrality in unbroken SUSYQM”, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 39 (2000) 105-114
- [16] D.J. Fernandez C. and E. Salinas-Hernandez, “The confluent algorithm in second order supersymmetric quantum mechanics”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 36 (2003), 2537-2543
- [17] D.J. Fernandez C. and E. Salinas-Hernandez, “Second-order supersymmetric quantum mechanics for confluent factorization energies”, *Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 185 (2005), 255-260
- [18] D.J. Fernandez C. and E. Salinas-Hernandez, “Wronskian formula for confluent second-order supersymmetric quantum mechanics”, *Phys. Lett. A* 338 (2005), 13-18
- [19] D.J. Fernandez C. and E. Salinas-Hernandez, “Hyperconfluent third-order supersymmetric quantum mechanics”, *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* 44 (2011), 365302 (11 pp)
- [20] D. Bermudez, D.J. Fernandez and N. Fernandez-Garcia, “Wronskian differential formula for confluent supersymmetric quantum mechanics”, *Phys. Lett. A* 376 (2012), 692-696
- [21] A. Schulze-Halberg, “Wronskian representation for confluent supersymmetric transformation chains of arbitrary order”, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* 128 (2013), 68 (17pp)
- [22] A. Contreras-Astorga and A. Schulze-Halberg, “The confluent supersymmetry algorithm for Dirac equations with pseudoscalar potentials”, *J. Math. Phys.* 55 (2014), 103506 (16 pp)
- [23] V.G. Bagrov and B.F. Samsonov, “Darboux transformation, factorization, and supersymmetry in one-dimensional quantum mechanics”, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 104 (1995), 1051-1060
- [24] A. Contreras-Astorga and D.J. Fernandez C., “Supersymmetric partners of the trigonometric Pöschl-Teller potentials”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 41 (2008), 475303 (18 pp)
- [25] B. Midya, “Nonsingular potentials from excited state factorization of a quantum system with position-dependent mass”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 44 (2011) 435306 (10pp)
- [26] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, “Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables”, (Dover Publications, New York, 1964)
- [27] H. C. Rosu, “Supersymmetric Fokker-Planck strict isospectrality”, *Phys. Rev. E* 56 (1997), 2269-2271
- [28] A. Schulze-Halberg, J. Morales Rivas, J.J. Pea Gil, J. Garca-Ravelo, P. Roy, “Exact solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation from an nth order supersymmetric quantum mechanics approach”, *Phys. Lett. A* 373 (2009), 1610-1615