

SMALL CORES IN 3-UNIFORM HYPERGRAPHS

DAVID SOLYMOSI AND JOZSEF SOLYMOSI

ABSTRACT. The main result of this paper is that for any $c > 0$ and for large enough n if the number of edges in a 3-uniform hypergraph is at least cn^2 then there is a core (subgraph with minimum degree at least 2) on at most 15 vertices. We conjecture that our result is not sharp and 15 can be replaced by 9. Such an improvement seems to be out of reach, since it would imply the following case of a long-standing conjecture by Brown, Erdős, and Sós; if there is no set of 9 vertices that span at least 6 edges of a 3-uniform hypergraph then it is sparse.

1. INTRODUCTION

The subject of this paper is finding small cores in 3-uniform hypergraphs in terms of the number of edges. A core is a non-empty subgraph in which every vertex has degree at least two. We introduce the notation

$$\mathbf{core}(n, k) = \min\{t : e(H_n^3) \geq t \Rightarrow H_n^3 \text{ contains a core on at most } k \text{ vertices}\},$$

where H_n^3 is a 3-uniform hypergraph on n vertices, and $e(H_n^3)$ is the number of edges of H_n^3 .

Cores are important objects in the theory of hypergraphs. One direction of research is on finding sharp thresholds for the appearance of cores in random hypergraphs, as in [15], [14], and in [18]. Analyzing cores in hypergraphs turned out to be useful in number theory; in [5] and [1] the authors translate some aspects of Pomerance's problem on prime factorization [17] to hypergraphs where the existence of cores is the key property. More surprisingly cores of hypergraphs appeared in models of protein interaction networks [3, 19]. Algorithmic problems of finding minimum cores were considered in [6]. Minimum cores in terms of the number of edges might be seen as a generalization of the girth problem in graphs, as the girth of a graph is the size of the smallest subgraph with minimum degree 2. For references about the girth problem and for some variations of the possible notation of girth of hypergraphs we refer to [13] Section 4, and [7] Section 4. Since the girth problem, Erdős' girth conjecture, is open for graphs one can expect determining $\mathbf{core}(n, k)$ to be hard. Indeed, most of our results are not sharp and possible improvements would imply or require progress in well know conjectures.

Our main result is closely related to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1 (Brown, Erdős, and Sós). *For every $\ell \geq 3$ and $c > 0$ there exists an $n_0 = n_0(c)$ such that if $n > n_0$ and $e(H_n^3) \geq cn^2$ then there exists a $F_{\ell+3}^3 \subseteq H_n^3$ such that $e(F_{\ell+3}^3) \geq \ell$.*

Research was supported by NSERC and the second author partially supported by ERC Advanced Research Grant AdG. 321104, and by Hungarian National Research Grant NK 104183.

Using the notation of [7] the smallest ℓ such that there is an $F_{\ell+3}^3 \subseteq H_n^3$ is the (-3) -girth of H_n^3 . Sometimes we are also going to use the “ $(\ell + 3, \ell)$ conjecture” notation for a given integer ℓ .

To this date the best bound in this direction is the result of Sárközy and Selkow [21].

Theorem 1.2 (Sárközy and Selkow). *For every $\ell \geq 3$ and $c > 0$ there exists an $n_0 = n_0(c)$ such that if $n > n_0$ and $e(H_n^3) \geq cn^2$ then there exists a $F_{\ell+2+\lceil \log_2 \ell \rceil}^3 \subseteq H_n^3$ such that $e(F_{\ell+2+\lceil \log_2 \ell \rceil}^3) \geq \ell$.*

Their proof relies on Szemerédi’s regularity lemma. In our application we are going to improve their result for the $\ell = 10$ case using a hypergraph regularity lemma of Frankl and Rödl [12]. This result might be of independent interest as this is the first improvement in the last decade in this important problem.

The $\ell = 3$ case was proved by Ruzsa and Szemerédi [20]. We are going to use the following quantitative version:

Theorem 1.3. *For every $c > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if the number of edges is at least cn^2 then there exists δn^3 subgraphs $F_6^3 \subseteq H_n^3$ such that $e(F_6^3) \geq 3$.*

An important part of the paper deals with the range when the size of the hypergraph is $o(n^2)$, where the relation to the Brown, Erdős, and Sós conjecture is clear, however, finding sharp bounds on \mathbf{core} is an interesting open problem for any edge densities. We collect bounds which we were able to obtain for various ranges. These are summarized in Table 1.

2. VERY SMALL k (4 TO 8)

2.1. $k = 4$. The smallest possible core in a 3-uniform hypergraph is the hypergraph $K_4^3 - e$, a clique with one edge removed. (Or equivalently, the unique 3-uniform hypergraph on 4 vertices with 3 edges.) The presence of such a subgraph belongs to the family of classical Turán-type hypergraph problems. The exact Turán density is not known. (The Turán density $\pi(H)$ of a family H of k -graphs is the limit as n tends to infinity of the maximum edge density of an H -free k -graph on n vertices.) The best lower bound density is due to Frankl and Füredi [11], it is $\pi(H) \geq 2/7 = 0.2857\dots$ while the upper bound, 0.2871 is due to Baber and Talbot [2].

In the problem of finding larger ($k \geq 5$) cores we are interested about the magnitude of the bounds, not the constant multipliers. Therefore, we can suppose w.l.o.g. that our 3-uniform hypergraphs are tripartite. It makes our analysis simpler a bit. (A random partition would leave an edge in the tripartite graph with probability $2/9$.)

2.2. $k = 5$. A hypergraph on five vertices with at least four edges will contain a core of size 5 or 4. In a tripartite hypergraph 4 vertices span at most two edges, so a core has size at least 5. The upper and a lower bounds on $\mathbf{core}(n, 5)$ follow from earlier results.

Theorem 2.1. *There are constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$c_1 n^{5/2} \leq \mathbf{core}(n, 5) \leq c_2 n^{5/2}.$$




FIGURE 1

When $e(H_n^3) > n^{5/2}$, we show that a core of size at most 5 must exist. This follows from the existence of a $K_{(2,2,1)}^3$, the complete tripartite graph on 5 vertices, which is a core. The extremal problem for complete tripartite graphs was considered by Erdős in [8]. For the sake of completeness we present the simple calculation showing the upper bound here as we will use similar arguments later. We count the number of edge pairs that intersect in two points (Figure 1a), which is

$$\sum_{\substack{v_i \neq v_j \in H_n^3 \\ i < j}} \binom{\deg(v_i, v_j)}{2},$$

where $\deg(v_i, v_j)$ is the number of edges that contain both v_i and v_j . The number of edges, e , is large enough so that

$$\sum_{\substack{v_i \neq v_j \in H_n^3 \\ i < j}} \binom{\deg(v_i, v_j)}{2} \geq \binom{n}{2} \left(\frac{e}{\binom{n}{2}} \right)^2 \approx \frac{e^2}{n^2} > \binom{n}{3}.$$

The inequality shows that at least two intersecting edge pairs intersect in three points, as seen in Figure 1c. This subgraph containing four edges on five points is a core, so $\text{core}(n, 5) \leq n^{5/2}$.

The more interesting lower bound follows from a nice result of Mubayi who proved in that the maximum number of edges in an r -uniform graph on n vertices without a complete r -graph $K_{(1, \dots, 1, 2, t+1)}$ is $\frac{\sqrt{t}}{r!} n^{r-1/2}$. (For details see Theorem 3.1 in [16].) Mubayi's construction builds on some ideas from Füredi's paper [10].

2.3. $k = 6, 7, 8$. The next three cases have the same, quadratic upper and lower bounds.

Theorem 2.2. *There are constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$c_1 n^2 \leq \text{core}(n, 8) \leq \text{core}(n, 7) \leq \text{core}(n, 6) \leq c_2 n^2.$$

The upper bound follows from a calculation similar to the $k = 5$ case above.

Lemma 2.3.

$$\text{core}(n, 6) < n^2.$$

Proof. If the number of edges, e , is large enough so that

$$\sum_{\substack{v_i \neq v_j \in H_n^3 \\ i < j}} \binom{\deg(v_i, v_j)}{2} \geq \binom{n}{2} \left(\frac{e}{\binom{n}{2}} \right)^2 \approx \frac{e^2}{n^2} > \binom{n}{2},$$

then at least two intersecting edge pairs intersect in the two degree one vertices, as seen in Figure 1b. This subgraph containing four edges on at most 6 vertices is a core, so $\text{core}(n, 6) < n^2$. \square

To prove the lower bound in Theorem 2.2 we give an algebraic construction for H_{3n}^3 with $e(H_{3n}^3) = n^2$ which does not contain a core of size 8 or less.

Lemma 2.4. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\text{core}(n, 8) \geq cn^2.$$

Proof. Let $A, B, C \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for some large prime p , and consider the 3-partite 3-uniform hypergraph over vertices $A \cup B \cup C$ which has edges

$$\{\{a, b, c\} \mid a \in A, b \in B, c \in C, a + b = c\}.$$

Suppose $U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B, W \subseteq C$ are nonempty subsets such that the induced subgraph on $U \cup V \cup W$ is a core. We will show that $|U| + |V| + |W| \geq 9$.

Note that we require at least $2 \cdot \max(|U|, |V|, |W|)$ edges for every vertex to have degree two, but we can only have at most $\min(|U||V|, |U||W|, |V||W|)$ edges, as any two vertices uniquely determine an edge. For $|U| + |V| + |W| < 9$ this leaves us with two cases, when they all have size 2, or when two of them have size 3 and one has size 2.

Let $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_{|U|}\}$, $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_{|V|}\}$, and $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_{|W|}\}$.

If $|U| = |V| = |W| = 2$, we must have 4 edges, so every $\{u_i, v_j\}$ will be contained in an edge. The expressions $u_1 + v_1, u_1 + v_2, u_2 + v_1, u_2 + v_2$ give two distinct values, so $u_1 + v_1 = u_2 + v_2$ and $u_1 + v_2 = u_2 + v_1$. Combining these expressions, $u_2 + v_1 - v_2 + v_1 = u_2 + v_2$, so $(v_1 - v_2) + (v_1 - v_2) = 0$, therefore $v_1 = v_2$ if $2 \nmid p$.

If $|U| = |W| = 3$ and $|V| = 2$, we must have 6 edges, so every $\{u_i, v_j\}$ will be contained in an edge. The expressions $u_1 + v_1, u_1 + v_2, u_2 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, u_3 + v_1, u_3 + v_2$ only give three distinct values. No three of these expressions can be equal, since then u_1, u_2 , and u_3 are not all distinct. We may assume

$$(1) \quad u_1 + v_1 = u_2 + v_2,$$

then $u_1 + v_2 \neq u_2 + v_1$ by the $|U| = |V| = |W| = 2$ case above.

The other two distinct values must correspond to

$$(2) \quad u_1 + v_2 = u_3 + v_1, \text{ and}$$

$$(3) \quad u_2 + v_1 = u_3 + v_2.$$

Now consider (1)-(2)+(1), that is,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 - v_2 + u_2 + v_1 &= u_2 + v_2 - u_3 - v_1 + u_3 + v_2, \\ 3v_1 &= 3v_2, \end{aligned}$$

so $v_1 = v_2$ if $3 \nmid p$.

The case $|V| = |W| = 3$ and $|U| = 2$ is the same as above, and if $|U| = |V| = 3$ and $|W| = 2$ then we can repeat the argument with expressions of the form $u_i + (-w_i)$. \square

3. SMALL k (9 TO 15)

Our main result in this section is to prove that if $e(H_n^3) = \Omega(n^2)$, then H_n^3 contains a core of size at most 15. We conjecture that our result is not sharp and 15 can be replaced by 9. As before, we will suppose w.l.o.g. that H_n^3 is tripartite, with disjoint vertex sets V_1, V_2 , and V_3 so that every edge has one vertex in each class.

3.1. $k = 9$. We conjecture that that if $e(H_n^3) = \Omega(n^2)$, then H_n^3 contains a core of size at most 9. Such improvement seems to be out of reach, since it would imply the $\ell = 6$ case of the Brown, Erdős, Sós conjecture (Conjecture 1.1). In a tripartite core on 9 vertices at least 3 vertices are in the same vertex class, so the number of edges is at least 6 in the core since every vertex has degree at least two. This would imply the (9, 6) conjecture above.

3.2. $k = 10$.

Proposition 3.1. *There is a $c > 0$ such that there are graphs H_n^3 without a core of size 10 with $e(H_n^3) = cn^2$ for arbitrary large n .*

If a core has 10 vertices then at least 4 of the vertices are in the same vertex class so the core has at least 8 edges since every vertex has degree at least two. So, we had 8 edges on 10 vertices. An elegant probabilistic construction shows that such configurations can be avoided in dense linear 3-uniform hypergraphs; Brown, Erdős, and Sós showed in [23] that their conjecture (if true) is sharp. For every ℓ there is a $c > 0$ such that there are arbitrary large 3-uniform hypergraphs on n vertices having at least cn^2 edges, without $\ell + 2$ vertices spanning at least ℓ edges.

3.3. $k = 11$. A core on 11 vertices would also contain at least 8 edges since at least four vertices will be in one of the vertex classes and in a core every vertex has degree at least two. Proving that $\text{core}(n, 11) = o(n^2)$ would again imply the Brown, Erdős, Sós conjecture for the $\ell = 8$ case. (The (11, 8) conjecture.)

3.4. $k = 12$. In the range of core $k \geq 12$ and edge density $O(n^{3/2}) \leq e(H_n^3)$ we can suppose w.l.o.g. that H_n^3 is a linear tripartite hypergraph, i.e. no edges intersect in two vertices. More precisely we show that

Proposition 3.2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a threshold n_0 such that if the number of edge-pairs intersecting in 2 vertices is at least $(1/2 + \varepsilon)n^{3/2}$ in H_n^3 , $n \geq n_0$, then it contains core of size at most 12.*

Proof. Let us define an auxiliary graph G_n where two vertices are connected by an edge iff they are the single vertices of two edges intersecting in two vertices in H_n^3 . This is a simple graph since a double edge here would determine a core on at most 6 vertices in H_n^3 . If graph G_n contains a C_4 then the four defining edge-pairs in H_n^3 would form a core on at most 12 vertices. C_4 -free graphs contain at most $\sim n^{3/2}/2$ edges. (see e.g. in Füredi [9]) \square

This shows that either there is a core on at most 12 vertices, or we can remove $O(n^{3/2})$ edges from H_n^3 (one from each intersecting edge-pair) to make it linear. We will assume that H_n^3 is a tripartite and linear hypergraph.

We can't prove $\text{core}(12) = o(n^2)$ in general, however we can prove it for an important family of linear triple systems. The first open case of Conjecture 1.1 is $\ell = 4$. The conjecture has been proved for triple systems where additional arithmetic structures can be found, like in Szemerédi's theorem on k -term arithmetic progressions and in its generalizations. For such triple systems a general theorem was proved by the second author in [22]. For every $c > 0$ there is a threshold m_0 such that if (Γ_m, \cdot) is a group with $m \geq m_0$ elements, then no matter how we choose cm^2 triples of the form $(a, b, a \cdot b)$, where $a, b \in \Gamma_m$, there will be 7 elements of the group carrying at least four of the selected triples. If $S \subset \Gamma_m \times \Gamma_m$ and the density of S is denoted by κ , (i.e. $\kappa = |S|/m^2$) then the following holds.

Theorem 3.3 (Theorem 6 in [22]). *There is a constant $\mu > 0$ depending on κ only so that the number of (α, β) , (α, γ) , (δ, γ) , (δ, β) quadruples from S such that $\alpha\beta = \delta\gamma$ in the group is at least*

$$\mu e^{\nu\sqrt{\log m}} m^2.$$

It gives a lower bound on the number of $(7, 4)$ configurations; every triple (a, b, ab) is uniquely determined by $(a, b) \in \Gamma_m \times \Gamma_m$. The triples (a, b, ab) , (a, c, ac) , (d, c, dc) , and (d, b, db) determine at most seven elements of Γ_m which are $a, b, c, d, ab = dc, ac$, and db . See fig 2.




FIGURE 2

The last two elements might coincide in which case we are done because it would form a core. We can suppose that the two elements, ac and db are distinct in every $(7, 4)$ configurations. If m is large enough then

$$\mu e^{\nu\sqrt{\log m}} m^2 \gg m^2$$

which guarantees that there will be at least three $(7, 4)$ configurations $(a_i, b_i, a_i b_i)$, (a_i, c_i, ac) , $(d_i, c_i, d_i c_i)$, (d_i, b_i, db) such that $a_i b_i = d_i c_i$, $3 \leq i \leq 1$, (since they were $(7, 4)$ configurations) moreover $a_i c_i = a_j c_j = ac$ and $d_i b_i = d_j b_j = db$, $3 \leq i, j \leq 1$, because there are less than m^2 distinct ac, db pairs. We show that the union of three such $(7, 4)$ configurations contains a core on 12 or less vertices. If two out of the three are edge-disjoint then it is a core because they share the only two degree one vertices of the configuration. The two common elements ac and db with the edge (a, b, ab) determines the $(7, 4)$ configuration; b and db gives d , i.e. $db \cdot b^{-1} = d$. Note that $ab = dc$, so d and ab gives c , $d^{-1} \cdot (dc) = c$. Finally we get a by $(ac) \cdot c^{-1} = a$. So, the $(7, 4)$ configurations cannot share such edge and the same holds to edge (d, c, dc) , by symmetry. The only remaining case (up to symmetry) is when the three configurations share the (a, c, ac) edge and the other edges are distinct. The union of them has degree one in ac , degree three in a and c and degree at least

two everywhere else. If we remove vertex ac and the common edge (a, c, ac) then the remaining triples determine a core on at most 12 vertices (some vertices might coincide).

3.5. $k = 13$. A core on 13 vertices has at least 5 vertices in one vertex class therefore it has at least 10 edges. It is a $(13, 10)$ configuration, so a proof of $\mathbf{core}(n, 13) = o(n^2)$ would imply Conjecture 1.1 for $\ell = 10$. We can only prove the existence of a $(14, 10)$ configuration.

3.6. $k = 14$. A core on 14 vertices contains at least 10 edges (since $\lceil 14 \cdot 2/3 \rceil = 10$). We were unable to prove that $\mathbf{core}(n, 14)$ is $o(n^2)$, however we improve the previously best known bound for the Brown Erdős Sós conjecture by Selkow and Sárközy. We prove that if H_n^3 contains no 14 vertices spanning at least 10 edges then $e(H_n^3) = o(n^2)$. (The bound of Selkow and Sárközy, Theorem 1.2, guarantees 9 edges only on 14 vertices.)

Theorem 3.4. *If H_n^3 contains no subgraph F_{14}^3 such that $e(F_{14}^3) = 10$, then $e(H_n^3) = o(n^2)$.*

Our main tool in proving this theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.3 by Frankl and Rödl [12].

Theorem 3.5 (Removal Lemma for 3-uniform Hypergraphs). *For every $c > 0$ there exists a $c' > 0$ such that if H_n^3 contains at least cn^3 pairwise edge-disjoint cliques K_4^3 , then it contains $c'n^4$ cliques K_4^3 .*




FIGURE 3

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Suppose the hypergraph H_n^3 satisfies $e(H_n^3) = cn^2$ for some $c > 0$. We may assume that H_n^3 is linear and tripartite. We can make it tripartite by a random tri-partitioning as before and we can suppose that no vertex-pair is incident to 10 or more edges since it would give a $(12, 10)$ configuration which is even stronger than what we want. Then the procedure which gives the linear hypergraph is the following: select an edge and remove the edges sharing two vertices with it and continue. In this way we still have at least one tenth of the original edges and the remaining ones form a linear hypergraph.

By Theorem 1.3 we know that there exist δn^3 subgraphs F_6^3 such that $e(F_6^3) = 3$ ($(6, 3)$ configurations). In a tripartite linear hypergraph any such configuration looks like the graph on the left side of Figure 3. A degree one and a degree two vertex in each vertex class. To simplify our arguments below, let us partition all three vertex classes into two further classes. Every vertex selects its subclass independently at random with probability $1/2$. The vertex classes now are V_0, \dots, V_6 .

At least $\delta'n^3$ $(6, 3)$ configurations are distributed into the vertex classes in the same way as in Figure 3 (with $\delta' \sim (1/2)^6\delta$). Let us denote this family of $(6, 3)$ configurations by \mathcal{F}_n .

There are triples of vertices of every F_6^3 that determine the configuration (almost) uniquely, no more than two F_6^3 configurations contain the same triple. This step of the proof is similar to the argument in Lemma ???. We will verify that any three vertices of an F_6^3 from vertex classes V_2, V_3, V_1, V_5 determines the configuration almost uniquely in \mathcal{F}_n . We analyze all four cases when $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ has vertices

- (1) $v_2 \in V_2, v_3 \in V_3$, and $v_1 \in V_1$. From v_1, v_3 we recover v_4 as it is the unique third vertex of an edge of F , Then v_4, v_2 gives v_0 and finally v_0, v_3 determines v_5 . The triple determines the configuration uniquely.
- (2) $v_2 \in V_2, v_3 \in V_3$ and $v_5 \in V_5$. This case is the same as the previous, we just flip the picture changing v_1 with v_5 . The triple determines the configuration uniquely.
- (3) $v_3 \in V_3, v_1 \in V_1$ and $v_5 \in V_5$. It is similar to the previous cases. From v_1, v_3 we recover v_4 . Using v_5, v_3 we get v_0 and from v_0, v_4 we get v_2 . The triple determines the configuration uniquely.
- (4) $v_2 \in V_2, v_1 \in V_1$ and $v_5 \in V_5$. These are the vertices which have degree one in the configuration. If $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_n$ share the three vertices then their union gives a core, a $(9, 6)$ configuration. This would be a great news if we were looking for cores here, however our goal is different now. We can not exclude this case. However if we had three, if $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in \mathcal{F}_n$ sharing the three vertices then their union gives a $(12, 9)$ system. Adding any edge incident to any vertex of $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$ in H_n^3 gives a $(14, 10)$ configuration. So, we can suppose that the triple determines the configuration almost uniquely, with maximum multiplicity of two.

We will construct an auxiliary four-partite three-uniform hypergraph

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(V_2, V_3, V_1, V_5)$$

on vertex sets V_2, V_3, V_1, V_5 . For every configuration $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ we add a clique, K_4^3 , to \mathcal{H} on the vertices of F in V_2, V_3, V_1, V_5 . The number of vertices of \mathcal{H} is $|V_2| + |V_3| + |V_1| + |V_5| = O(n)$ and it is the union of $\delta'n^3$ edge disjoint cliques. No we can apply the Hypergraph Removal Lemma, Theorem 3.5. There is a constant $\delta'' > 0$ which depends on δ only such that \mathcal{H} contains at least $\delta''n^4$ K_4^3 cliques. If n is large enough then there is a clique such that the edges correspond to four different configurations $F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 \in \mathcal{F}_n$, where F_i determined by the vertices in case (i) above. For example if the clique has vertices v_2, v_3, v_1, v_5 then F_3 contains v_3, v_1 and v_5 but not v_2 .

We claim that in this case $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3 \cup F_4$ has at most 14 vertices and at least 10 edges in in H_n^3 . We have the 4 vertices of the clique and every configuration has 3 vertices outside. F_1 and F_3 contain both v_1 and v_3 so they share a common vertex outside of the clique in V_4 . Similarly F_2 and F_3 contain both v_3 and v_5 so they have a common vertex in V_0 . The number of vertices then is at most $4 + 4 \cdot 3 - 2 = 14$. The number of edges is $4 \cdot 3 = 12$ with multiplicity. From the previous argument we see that F_1, F_3 and F_2, F_3 both share an edge. These are the only cases when F_i and F_j share an edge when $i \neq j$. For any $i < j$, F_i and F_j have exactly two common vertices in the clique. If they share an edge (other than what we considered already) then they share an edge which has at least two vertices outside of the clique. It can

not be an edge incident to a vertex in V_1 . Two edges share a clique vertex there, one has multiplicity two and it has two vertices in the core so no more edge can overlap. The two remaining edges can not be the same since one contains a clique vertex in V_1 and the other is not. The same holds for V_5 . Out of the four edges between V_0 and V_4 the edge of F_3 avoids the clique in V_2 , (otherwise it would contain all four vertices of the clique) and the other three share a clique vertex in V_2 , so F_3 can not share edge between V_0 and V_4 with any other configuration. The other three can not share an edge either since any pair of them has a common vertex in in the core outside of V_2 and any $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ can be reconstructed uniquely from its edge in V_0, V_2, V_4 and one more vertex.




FIGURE 4

Thus we get a structure of 10 edges on 14 vertices as seen in Figure 4. \square

3.7. $k = 15$. The following is the first unconditional result with $o(n^2)$ edges.

Theorem 3.6.

$$\text{core}(n, 15) = o(n^2).$$

Proof. We use the subgraphs of 6 vertices with 3 edges which are abundant by Theorem 1.3. We will have $c'n^4$ pairs of these subgraphs which overlap on two degree one vertices. These will be subgraphs on 10 vertices with 6 edges, with two degree one vertices. We remove one edge from each to create $c'n^4$ subgraphs on 9 vertices with 5 edges, with three degree one vertices as seen in Figure 5. Two of these will overlap on these degree one vertices, giving us a core on 15 vertices. \square

4. LARGE k (16 TO \sqrt{n})

In this range, when the number of edges of H_n^3 is at least $n^{3/2+c}$ for some $c > 0$, we show that one can guarantee a core of constant size, i.e. the size of the smallest core depends on c but not on n .




FIGURE 5

Let us define a graph G_m on $m = n^2$ vertices as follows. The vertices are the (ordered) pairs of the vertices H_n^3 . Two vertices, (v_i, v_j) and (v_s, v_t) are connected by an edge in G_m if there is a vertex v_r such that (v_i, v_r, v_s) and (v_j, v_r, v_t) are two distinct edges in H_n^3 . Note that the vertices are not necessarily distinct. Jensen's inequality gives a lower bound on $e(G_m)$ in terms of the average degree in H_n^3 and the number of vertices,

$$e(G_m) \geq \binom{\frac{e(H_n^3)}{n}}{2} n.$$

A cycle in G_m is always a core in H_n^3 . It is yet another hard problem to determine the maximal girth of a graph in terms of the number of edges. We are going to use the asymptotically best known bounds for girth $g = 2s + 1$ see e.g. in [4] (page 1264) or in [13]. If G_m has girth at least g then $m \gtrsim e(G_m)^{s/s+1}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $s > 2$ be an integer. If $e(H_n^3) \gg n^{3/2+1/s}$ then H_n^3 contains a core on at most $3(2s + 1)$ vertices.*

$$\mathbf{core}(n, 3(2s + 1)) = O(n^{3/2+1/s}).$$

The proof follows from the observations above. We expect that much better bounds can be obtained and there is a chance to prove bounds without using the girth inequality in the auxiliary graph.

5. VERY LARGE k (\sqrt{n} TO n)

For this range of k we use a stripping algorithm similar to one commonly used to find cores in random constraint satisfaction problems [15].

Any hypergraph H_n^3 such that $e(H_n^3) \geq n - 2$ contains a core which can be found by repeatedly removing degree one vertices. For our purposes the following randomized step is convenient: Choose $n^{3/2}/\sqrt{e(H_n^3)}$ vertices uniformly at random, and remove the rest. The probability of one edge being present is $((n^{3/2}/\sqrt{e(H_n^3)})/n)^3$, so the expected number of edges among the chosen vertices is $((n^{3/2}/\sqrt{e(H_n^3)})/n)^3 e(H_n^3)$, which is equal to the number of vertices. Thus there exists a subgraph on that many vertices with at least as many edges, so by the stripping process above the existence of a core is guaranteed.

Thus $\mathbf{core}(n, k) \leq n^3/k^2$ in this range.

6. SUMMARY

In the previous sections we considered the $\mathbf{core}(n, k)$ function. One can see more details examining the following variation of core.

$$\mathbf{core}^*(n, k) = \min\{t : e(H_n^3) \geq t \Rightarrow H_n^3 \text{ contains a core on } k \text{ vertices}\},$$

The difference between the two notations is that here we are looking for the threshold where the existence of a core on exactly k vertices is guaranteed.

About the $\mathbf{core}^*(n, k)$ function		
very small k	$k = 4$	$0.2857 \binom{n}{3} \leq \mathbf{core}^*(n, 4) \leq 0.2871 \binom{n}{3}$
	$k = 5$	$\Omega(n^{5/2}) \leq \mathbf{core}^*(n, 5) = O(n^{5/2})$
	$k = 6, 7, 8$	$\Omega(n^2) \leq \mathbf{core}^*(n, k) = O(n^2)$
small k	$k = 9$	conj. $\mathbf{core}^*(n, 9) = o(n^2) \implies BES(\ell = 6)$
	$k = 10$	$\mathbf{core}^*(n, 10) = \Omega(n^2)$
	$k = 11$	conj. $\mathbf{core}^*(n, 11) = o(n^2) \implies BES(\ell = 8)$
	$k = 12$	$\mathbf{core}^*(n, 12) = o(n^2)$ for some triple systems
	$k = 13$	conj. $\mathbf{core}^*(n, 13) = o(n^2) \implies BES(\ell = 10)$
	$k = 14$	conj. $\mathbf{core}^*(n, 14) = o(n^2)$ see Theorem 3.4
	$k = 15$	$\mathbf{core}^*(n, 15) = o(n^2)$ see Theorem 3.6

TABLE 1. $BES(\ell = t)$ means that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $\ell = t$.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful for the helpful discussions with Boris Bukh, Zoltán Füredi, Jie Han, and Dhruv Mubayi. We also thank the referees for the useful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Omar Abuzzahab. The 2-core of a random inhomogeneous hypergraph. Ph.D. dissertation. U. of Pennsylvania 2013 <https://www.math.upenn.edu/grad/dissertations/OmarThesis.pdf>
- [2] Rahil Baber and John Talbot. Hypergraphs do jump. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 20:161–171, 3 2011.
- [3] Gary Bader and Christopher Hogue. An automated method for finding molecular complexes in large protein interaction networks. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 4(1):2, 2003.
- [4] Béla Bollobás. Handbook of combinatorics (vol. 2). chapter Extremal Graph Theory, pages 1231–1292. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1995.
- [5] Ernie Croot, Andrew Granville, Robin Pemantle, and Prasad Tetali. On sharp transitions in making squares *Annals of Mathematics*, Volume 175 (2012), Issue 3, 1507–1550.
- [6] Peter Damaschke. Multiple hypernode hitting sets and smallest two-cores with targets. In Boting Yang, Ding-Zhu Du, and CaoAn Wang, editors, *Combinatorial Optimization and Applications*, volume 5165 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 32–42. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
- [7] David Ellis and Nathan Linial. On regular hypergraphs of high girth. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 21, 2014.
- [8] Paul Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 2(3):183–190, 1964.
- [9] Zoltán Füredi. Graphs without quadrilaterals. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 34(2):187 – 190, 1983.
- [10] Zoltán Füredi. New asymptotics for bipartite Turán numbers. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 75 (1996), no. 1, 144–144
- [11] Peter Frankl and Zoltán Füredi. An exact result for 3-graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 50(0):323 – 328, 1984.
- [12] Peter Frankl and Vojtech Rödl. Extremal problems on set systems. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 20(2):131–164, 2002.

- [13] Zoltán Füredi and Miklós Simonovits. The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems. In *Erdős centennial. On the occasion of Paul Erdős 100th anniversary of his birth*, volume 25 of *Budapest: Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, pages 169–264. Springer Berlin, 2013.
- [14] Svante Janson and Malwina J. Luczak. A simple solution to the k-core problem. *Random Structures Algorithms* 30 (2007), no. 1-2, 50–62.
- [15] Michael Molloy. Cores in random hypergraphs and boolean formulas. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 27(1):124–135, 2005.
- [16] Dhruv Mubayi. Some exact results and new asymptotics for hypergraph Turán numbers *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 11 (2002), no. 3, 299–309.
- [17] Carl Pomerance. The role of smooth numbers in number theoretic algorithms, Proc. International Cong. of Mathematicians (Zürich, 1994), *Birkhäuser* 1 (1995), 411–422.
- [18] Boris Pittel, Joel Spencer, and Nicholas Wormald. Sudden emergence of a giant k-core in a random graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 67 (1996), no. 1, 111–151.
- [19] E. Ramadan, A. Tarafdar, and A. Pothén. A hypergraph model for the yeast protein complex network. In *Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium, 2004. Proceedings. 18th International*, pages 189–197, April 2004.
- [20] Imre Z. Ruzsa and Endre Szemerédi. Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles. In *Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai Combinatorics II: 939–945, 1978*
- [21] Gábor Sárközy and Stanley Selkow. An extension of the Ruzsa-Szemerédi theorem. *Combinatorica*, 25(1):77–84, 2004.
- [22] Jozsef Solymosi. The $(7,4)$ conjecture in finite groups *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0963548314000856ER>
- [23] Paul Erdős William G. Brown and Vera Sós. On the existence of triangulated spheres in 3-graphs, and related problems. *Period. Math. Hung.*, 3:221–228.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO 10 KINGS COLLEGE ROAD,
TORONTO, ONTARIO M5S 3G4, CANADA
E-mail address: `solymosi@cs.toronto.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA 1984 MATHEMATICS ROAD,
VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA V6T 1Z2, CANADA
E-mail address: `solymosi@math.ubc.ca`