

# A FOUR DIMENSIONAL HYPERBOLIC LINK COMPLEMENT IN A STANDARD $S^2 \times S^2$

HEMANTH SARATCHANDRAN

ABSTRACT. Using techniques from the theory of Kirby calculus we give an explicit construction of a four dimensional hyperbolic link complement in a 4-manifold that is diffeomorphic to a standard  $S^2 \times S^2$ .

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
	Acknowledgements	2
2.	How to construct the orientable double cover	2
3.	Example: Double cover of Manifold 35	3
4.	Boundary filling of the orientable double cover of Manifold 35.	14
	References	42

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper continues the study initiated in [7] and [8] on explicitly constructing four dimensional hyperbolic link complements, and identifying their diffeomorphism type. In [8] we used the theory of Kirby calculus to produce an explicit example of a four dimensional hyperbolic link complement in a 4-manifold that was diffeomorphic to the standard 4-sphere. The general procedure for trying to identify the diffeomorphism type of such a hyperbolic link complement is easy enough to describe. One starts with a non-compact finite volume hyperbolic 4-manifold  $M$  with some number of cusps, and builds a Kirby diagram for this manifold. Each cusp cross-section of  $M$  has the structure of a closed flat 3-manifold. In the case that such a cusp cross-section is an  $S^1$ -fibre bundle over a flat surface one can glue in the associated disk bundle to the cusp cross-section producing a closed 4-manifold, which we call a “filling” and denote by  $M_0$ . The original hyperbolic 4-manifold can then be seen to be a codimension two link complement in the filled in 4-manifold. Provided one can understand how the gluing in of the disk bundles is done on the level of the Kirby diagram it is possible to construct a Kirby diagram for the filling. In general this approach to constructing a Kirby diagram for the filling will lead to a very complicated Kirby diagram. This is the stage where Kirby calculus enters the picture. The Kirby diagram for  $M_0$  may have many cancelling pairs of handles, the hope is that by carrying out various handle cancellations and handle slides the diagram of  $M_0$  can be reduced to something much simpler. In cases where  $M_0$  is simply connected it is possible

---

*Date:* April 24, 2022.

to reduce the Kirby diagram of  $M_0$  all the way down to a Kirby diagram of a familiar 4-manifold.

In this paper we carry out this procedure to obtain a Kirby diagram of a filling that can be reduced all the way down to the Kirby diagram of a standard  $S^2 \times S^2$ . The non-compact finite volume hyperbolic 4-manifold we start with is the one numbered 35 in the Ratcliffe-Tschantz census see [6] p.117. It is non-orientable with a total of five cusps. Using work carried out in [7] we show how to produce a Kirby diagram for the orientable double cover of this manifold. Using the techniques outlined in [8] we show how to obtain a Kirby diagram for the filling. From here we employ the exact same Kirby moves we used in [8] to reduce the diagram of the filling all the way down to one of a standard  $S^2 \times S^2$ . The main theorem we prove takes the form:

**Theorem.** (*Theorem 4.1*) *There exists a collection  $L$  of linked 2-tori embedded in a standard  $S^2 \times S^2$  such that the complement  $(S^2 \times S^2) - L$  admits a finite volume hyperbolic geometry.*

This paper relies heavily on the techniques and constructions used in [7] and [8], and should be seen as a continuation of [8]. In view of this we recommend that the reader take a look at those two papers to get an idea of the type of arguments we will be using. In order to stop this paper becoming overly long we have refrained from giving explicit details of certain computations. Many of the computations carried out are analogous to the types of computations we carried out in [8] and we refer the reader to that paper for the details.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Andras Juhasz, Marc Lackenby and John Parker for the comments and corrections they gave on an earlier draft of this work.

#### 2. HOW TO CONSTRUCT THE ORIENTABLE DOUBLE COVER

The main aim of this section is to show the reader how, given a presentation of the fundamental group of a non-orientable Ratcliffe-Tschantz manifold, we can obtain a presentation of the orientable double cover. We will then put this technique to use in the next section for a particular example, showing the reader how to obtain a Kirby diagram for the orientable double cover.

The principle technique in extracting a presentation for the orientable double cover is an easy case of the *Reidemeister-Schreier* rewriting process. Fix a non-orientable Ratcliffe-Tschantz manifold, which from here on in we denote by  $M$ . We know that the orientable double cover of  $M$ , denoted  $\widetilde{M}$ , corresponds to an index 2 subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$ . In fact if we let

$$\phi : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

denote the homomorphism that sends the orientation preserving isometries, making up  $M$ , to 1 and the orientation reversing isometries to  $-1$ . Then we have that  $\ker(\phi) = \pi_1(\widetilde{M})$ , and it is clear that  $\pi_1(\widetilde{M})$  is an index 2 subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$ .

Given a group  $G$  and a finite index subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  we remind the reader of the definition of a transversal to  $H$  in  $G$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A transversal to  $H$  in  $G$  is a subset  $T$  of  $G$  such that

$$G = \bigcup_{t \in T} H \cdot t$$

In our case it is easy to find a transversal we simply take any orientation reversing isometry, call it  $\alpha$ . Then it should be clear that the set  $\{1, \alpha\}$  is a transversal to  $\pi_1(\widetilde{M})$  in  $\pi_1(M)$ . Now, let us fix the finite presentation of  $\pi_1(M) = \langle X | R \rangle$ , recall that the set  $X$  is given by the side pairing transformations that make up  $M$ . Let  $\psi : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow T$  be the map that sends an element  $x \in \pi_1(M)$  to its coset representative. In our case the definition of  $\psi$  can be explicitly given in the form:

- $\psi(x) = 1$  if  $x$  is orientation preserving.
- $\psi(x) = \alpha$  if  $x$  is orientation reversing.

Let  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(\widetilde{M})$  be defined by  $\rho(x) = x \cdot \psi(x)^{-1}$ . In our case the definition of  $\rho$  can also be explicitly written in the form:

- $\rho(x) = x$  if  $x$  is orientation preserving
- $\rho(x) = x \cdot \alpha^{-1}$  if  $x$  is orientation reversing

We then have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** (Reidemeister-Schreier rewriting process). *A presentation of  $\pi_1(\widetilde{M})$  is given by  $\langle X' | R' \rangle$ , where*

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= \{\rho(tx) \neq 1 | t \in T \text{ and } x \in X\} \\ R' &= \{xrx^{-1} | x \in X \text{ and } r \in R\} \end{aligned}$$

and the word  $xrx^{-1}$  is written in terms of elements of  $X'$ .

We would like to remind the reader that the above theorem is actually a very special case of the general rewriting process. As we only need the case of an index two subgroup (since we are dealing with orientable double covers) we thought it best to restrict to this simple case. For the proof of the theorem (and its general form) we refer the reader to [4] p.106.

### 3. EXAMPLE: DOUBLE COVER OF MANIFOLD 35

In this section we are going to show the reader how to obtain a Kirby diagram for the orientable double cover of the manifold numbered 35 in the Ratcliffe-Tschantz census. Each of their manifolds has the hyperbolic 24-cell as a fundamental domain, a self dual 4-dimensional ideal hyperbolic polyhedron. For the basic construction of the hyperbolic 24-cell that we will use we refer the reader to [7] sect.2. For more background information on various properties of the 24-cell we recommend the reader consult [1] Ch.4 and [5] Sect.3. The reader who is not familiar with the Ratcliffe-Tschantz hyperbolic 4-manifolds is advised to look at their paper [6], which is very readable. The reader can also consult [7] where we give a very brief introduction to these manifolds, and explain how they code each of their manifolds. The notation used in this and all other sections is the notation we used in [8].

We start by giving the side pairing information for manifold 35, which we will denote by  $M$ .

The side pairing code for this manifold is **146928**. The explicit side pairings are given as:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(+1,+1,0,0)} &\xrightarrow[k_{(-1,+1,+1,+1)}]{a} S_{(-1,+1,0,0)} & S_{(+1,-1,0,0)} &\xrightarrow[k_{(-1,+1,+1,+1)}]{b} S_{(-1,-1,0,0)} \\ S_{(+1,0,+1,0)} &\xrightarrow[k_{(+1,+1,-1,+1)}]{c} S_{(+1,0,-1,0)} & S_{(-1,0,+1,0)} &\xrightarrow[k_{(+1,+1,-1,+1)}]{d} S_{(-1,0,-1,0)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
S_{(0,+1,+1,0)} \xrightarrow[k_{(+1,-1,-1,+1)}]{e} S_{(0,-1,-1,0)} & S_{(0,+1,-1,0)} \xrightarrow[k_{(+1,-1,-1,+1)}]{f} S_{(0,-1,+1,0)} \\
S_{(+1,0,0,+1)} \xrightarrow[k_{(-1,+1,+1,-1)}]{g} S_{(-1,0,0,-1)} & S_{(+1,0,0,-1)} \xrightarrow[k_{(-1,+1,+1,-1)}]{h} S_{(-1,0,0,+1)} \\
S_{(0,+1,0,+1)} \xrightarrow[k_{(+1,-1,+1,+1)}]{i} S_{(0,-1,0,+1)} & S_{(0,+1,0,-1)} \xrightarrow[k_{(+1,-1,+1,+1)}]{j} S_{(0,-1,0,-1)} \\
S_{(0,0,+1,+1)} \xrightarrow[k_{(+1,+1,+1,-1)}]{k} S_{(0,0,+1,-1)} & S_{(0,0,-1,+1)} \xrightarrow[k_{(+1,+1,+1,-1)}]{l} S_{(0,0,-1,-1)} .
\end{array}$$

The labelling of the sides of this manifold are given in the following table:

$A$	$S_{(+1,+1,0,0)}$	$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$	$A'$	$S_{(-1,+1,0,0)}$	$(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$
$B$	$S_{(+1,-1,0,0)}$	$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$	$B'$	$S_{(-1,-1,0,0)}$	$(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$
$C$	$S_{(+1,0,+1,0)}$	$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$	$C'$	$S_{(+1,0,-1,0)}$	$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$
$D$	$S_{(-1,0,+1,0)}$	$(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$	$D'$	$S_{(-1,0,-1,0)}$	$(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$
$E$	$S_{(0,+1,+1,0)}$	$(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$	$E'$	$S_{(0,-1,-1,0)}$	$(0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$
$F$	$S_{(0,+1,-1,0)}$	$(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$	$F'$	$S_{(0,-1,+1,0)}$	$(0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$
$G$	$S_{(+1,0,0,+1)}$	$(1 + \sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$	$G'$	$S_{(-1,0,0,-1)}$	$(1 - \sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$
$H$	$S_{(+1,0,0,-1)}$	$(-1 + \sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$	$H'$	$S_{(-1,0,0,+1)}$	$(-1 - \sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$
$I$	$S_{(0,+1,0,+1)}$	$(0, 1 + \sqrt{2}, 0)$	$I'$	$S_{(0,-1,0,+1)}$	$(0, -1 - \sqrt{2}, 0)$
$J$	$S_{(0,+1,0,-1)}$	$(0, -1 + \sqrt{2}, 0)$	$J'$	$S_{(0,-1,0,-1)}$	$(0, 1 - \sqrt{2}, 0)$
$K$	$S_{(0,0,+1,+1)}$	$(0, 0, 1 + \sqrt{2})$	$K'$	$S_{(0,0,+1,-1)}$	$(0, 0, -1 + \sqrt{2})$
$L$	$S_{(0,0,-1,+1)}$	$(0, 0, -1 - \sqrt{2})$	$L'$	$S_{(0,0,-1,-1)}$	$(0, 0, 1 - \sqrt{2})$

The twenty four 2-handles are given in the following table:

1.	$A \cap C \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap D \xrightarrow{d} A' \cap D' \xrightarrow{a^{-1}} A \cap C' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} A \cap C$
2.	$A \cap E \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap E \xrightarrow{e} B' \cap E' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap E' \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} A \cap E$
3.	$A \cap F \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap F \xrightarrow{f} B' \cap F' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap F' \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} A \cap F$
4.	$A \cap G \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap H' \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} A \cap H \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap G' \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} A \cap G$
5.	$A \cap I \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap I \xrightarrow{i} B' \cap I' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} A \cap I$
6.	$A \cap J \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap J \xrightarrow{j} B' \cap J' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} A \cap J$
7.	$B \cap C \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap D \xrightarrow{d} B' \cap D' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap C' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} B \cap C$
8.	$B \cap G \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap H' \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} B \cap H \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap G' \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} B \cap G$
9.	$C \cap E \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap F \xrightarrow{f} C \cap F' \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap E' \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} C \cap E$
10.	$C \cap G \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap G \xrightarrow{g} D' \cap G' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap G' \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} C \cap G$
11.	$C \cap H \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap H \xrightarrow{h} D' \cap H' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap H' \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} C \cap H$
12.	$C \cap K \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} C' \cap L' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} C \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} C \cap K$
13.	$D \cap E \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap F \xrightarrow{f} D \cap F' \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap E' \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} D \cap E$
14.	$D \cap K \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} D' \cap L' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} D \cap K$
15.	$E \cap I \xrightarrow{e} E' \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} F \cap I \xrightarrow{f} F' \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} E \cap I$
16.	$E \cap J \xrightarrow{e} E' \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} F \cap J \xrightarrow{f} F' \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} E \cap J$
17.	$E \cap K \xrightarrow{e} E' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} E' \cap L' \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} E \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} E \cap K$
18.	$F \cap L \xrightarrow{f} F' \cap K \xrightarrow{k} F' \cap K' \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} F \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} F \cap L$
19.	$G \cap I \xrightarrow{g} G' \cap J \xrightarrow{j} G' \cap J' \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} G \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} G \cap I$
20.	$G \cap K \xrightarrow{g} G' \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} H' \cap K \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} H \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} G \cap I'$
21.	$G \cap L \xrightarrow{g} G' \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} H' \cap L \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} H \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} G \cap L$
22.	$H \cap J \xrightarrow{h} H' \cap I \xrightarrow{i} H' \cap I' \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} H \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} H \cap J$
23.	$I \cap K \xrightarrow{i} I' \cap K \xrightarrow{k} J' \cap K' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} J \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} I \cap K$
24.	$I \cap L \xrightarrow{i} I' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} J' \cap L' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} J \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} J \cap L$

Recall that there are ten classes of closed flat 3-manifolds denoted by **A**, **B**, **C**, **D**, **E**, **F**, **G**, **H**, **I**, and **J** in the Hantzsche-Wendt notation see [3]. These are denoted by  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_4, \mathcal{G}_5, \mathcal{G}_6, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3$  and  $\mathcal{B}_4$  respectively using the notation of Wolf see [9] Thm.3.5.5, p.117. The first six are the orientable ones, with **A** being the 3-torus, and **B** being the orientable  $S^1$ -fibre bundle over the Klein bottle. The last four are all non-orientable. The manifold  $M$  has five cusps with associated boundary components having code **GGGGH** (or in Wolf's notation  $\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_2$ ). The non-orientable flat 3-manifolds of type **G** and **H** both have **A** as their orientable double cover. This means that the orientable double cover of  $M$  will also have five cusps, each one having Euclidean structure type **A**. Furthermore, from the classification theorem it is known that only **A**, **B**, **G**, **H**, **I**, and **J** are  $S^1$ -fibre bundles over a compact flat surface. In order to carry out a filling we need to work out which parabolic transformation, in the parabolic subgroup associated to each cusp, corresponds

to the  $S^1$ -fibre. The way to do this is to use the same methods carried out in [8] sect.2. We will not give the details of the explicit computations of the parabolic subgroups associated to each cusp, the method is exactly analogous to what we did in [8] sect.2. Instead, we simply give the following table which outlines the translations we will be filling along.

Ideal vertex	Filling translation
$\{(1, 0, 0, 0), (-1, 0, 0, 0)\}$	$c$
$\{(0, 1, 0, 0), (0, -1, 0, 0)\}$	$a$
$\{(0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, -1, 0)\}$	$k$
$\{(0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, -1)\}$	$i$
$\{(\pm 1/2, \pm 1/2, \pm 1/2, \pm 1/2)\}$	$e^{-1}heh^{-1}$

Filling along the boundary components corresponding to these five cusps via the above translations algebraically corresponds to adding the translations as relations to the fundamental group of manifold 35. It is easy to see that a presentation for the fundamental group of manifold 35 is obtained by taking generators corresponding to pairs of sides of  $P$ , and relations given by the 24 codimension 2 equivalence classes. Therefore a presentation for the filling is obtained by adding the relations  $c = a = k = i = e^{-1}heh^{-1} = 1$ . One can then simplify the presentation to obtain  $\langle e, g | e^2, g^2, ege^{-1}g^{-1} \rangle$  (one can do this computation by hand, although it is rather tedious. An easier approach is to use a computer program, for example using **Magma** one can input the presentation of the group and then use the **ReduceGenerators** command to obtain the simplification), thereby concluding that the filled in manifold has fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . It is then clear that the orientable double cover has fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . If we denote the orientable double cover by  $\widetilde{M}$ , then we have that its universal cover is a two fold covering, which we will denote by  $\widetilde{M}_2$ . All the Ratcliffe-Tschantz manifolds have Euler characteristic 1, this implies that  $\widetilde{M}_2$  has Euler characteristic 4. Appealing to the classification theorems of *Donaldson* and *Freedman* we can conclude that the homeomorphism type of  $\widetilde{M}_2$  is determined by one of the four manifolds  $S^2 \times S^2$ ,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2} \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . Using the theory of spin structures one can conclude that  $\widetilde{M}_2$  must be homeomorphic to  $S^2 \times S^2$ . Unfortunately, one cannot conclude anything about the diffeomorphism type of  $\widetilde{M}_2$ . In fact it is unknown if  $S^2 \times S^2$  admits a unique smooth structure so at this point we cannot rule out the case that we are getting an exotic copy of  $S^2 \times S^2$ . In order to understand the diffeomorphism type of  $\widetilde{M}_2$  we will resort to the Kirby calculus. We will construct a Kirby diagram for the orientable double cover  $\widetilde{M}$ , then apply various elementary moves to simplify the diagram, then take the double cover of this simplified diagram and conclude that  $\widetilde{M}_2$  is in fact diffeomorphic to a standard  $S^2 \times S^2$ .

The starting point to obtaining a Kirby diagram for the double cover is to identify the orientation preserving isometries and those that are orientation reversing. Recall, any side pairing transformation is written as the composition  $rk$ , where  $r$  is reflection in the image side and  $k$  is a diagonal matrix. It is clear that  $r$  is orientation reversing (since it is a reflection in a hyperplane), therefore we can conclude that the orientation preserving isometries are those whose  $k$ -part has an odd number of  $-1$ 's, and the orientation reversing isometries are those that have an even number of  $-1$ 's. We then find that the orientation preserving isometries are given by  $a, b, c, d, i, k$ , and the orientation reversing isometries are given by  $e, f, g, h$ .

From section 2, we know that a fundamental domain for the orientable double cover consists of two copies of the 24-cell  $P$  attached along a codimension one side corresponding to one of the orientation reversing isometries. If we take the orientation reversing isometry  $g^{-1}$  then we can think of the fundamental domain of the orientable double cover to consist

of the union  $P \cup g^{-1} \cdot P$ . In order to understand how we obtain the double cover from  $P \cup g^{-1} \cdot P$  we need to work out what the side pairing transformations are. I.e. which side gets paired to which side. Before we do this let us comment on a thought that has possibly crossed the readers mind. Why did we pick  $g^{-1}$  as opposed to  $g$ ? The reason for this will become apparent soon, but for now let us just say that it turns out to make ones life much easier when drawing Kirby diagrams if one chooses  $g^{-1}$  over  $g$ . Appealing to the theory outlined in section 2 we find that if we fix a side pairing transformation  $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ , we have two cases to consider. First, if  $\phi$  is orientation preserving we find that:

$$S \xrightarrow{\phi} S' \quad \text{and} \quad g^{-1}S \xrightarrow{g^{-1}\phi g} g^{-1}S'$$

and if  $\phi$  is orientation reversing then:

$$S \xrightarrow{g^{-1}\phi} g^{-1}S' \quad \text{and} \quad g^{-1}S \xrightarrow{\phi g} S'.$$

From here it is easy to work out what the side pairing transformation for the orientable double cover are.

$$\begin{array}{llll} A \xrightarrow{a} A' & g^{-1}A \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' & B \xrightarrow{b} B' & g^{-1}B \xrightarrow{g^{-1}bg} g^{-1}B' \\ C \xrightarrow{c} C' & g^{-1}C \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' & D \xrightarrow{d} D' & g^{-1}D \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}D' \\ E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}E' & g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{eg} E' & F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}F' & g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{fg} F' \\ G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}G' & g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{gg} G' & H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}h} g^{-1}H' & g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{hg} H' \\ I \xrightarrow{i} I' & g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}I' & J \xrightarrow{j} J' & g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}jg} g^{-1}J' \\ K \xrightarrow{k} K' & g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}kg} g^{-1}K' & L \xrightarrow{l} L' & g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}L' \end{array}$$

The next step is to work out equivalence classes of codimension 2 sides, this is done in the usual way (see [7] p.17 for a detailed explanation of how to do this for any of the Ratcliffe-Tschantz manifolds). One simply takes a codimension 2 side and applies side pairing transformations until one cycles back to the original codimension 2 side. A codimension 2 side is given by the intersection of two distinct codimension 1 sides. We already know which codimension 1 sides in  $P$  intersect, applying the transformation  $g^{-1}$  then tells us which codimension 1 sides intersect in  $g^{-1}P$ . In total we obtain forty eight distinct equivalence classes, with each class containing precisely four distinct codimension 2 sides. The following table collects together all forty eight equivalence classes.

1.	$A \cap C \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap D \xrightarrow{d} A' \cap D' \xrightarrow{a^{-1}} A \cap C' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} A \cap C$
2.	$A \cap E \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}E' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}E' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}e)^{-1}} A \cap E$
3.	$A \cap F \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}F' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}F' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}f)^{-1}} A \cap F$
4.	$A \cap G \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap H' \xrightarrow{(hg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}G' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}g)^{-1}} A \cap G$
5.	$A \cap I \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap I \xrightarrow{i} B' \cap I' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} A \cap I$
6.	$A \cap J \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap J \xrightarrow{j} B' \cap J' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} A \cap J$
7.	$B \cap C \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap D \xrightarrow{d} B' \cap D' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap C' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} B \cap C$
8.	$B \cap G \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap H' \xrightarrow{(hg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}bg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}G' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}g)^{-1}} B \cap G$
9.	$C \cap E \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}F' \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}E' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}e)^{-1}} C \cap E$
10.	$C \cap G \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}G' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}dg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}G' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}g)^{-1}} C \cap G$
11.	$C \cap H \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}h} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}H' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}dg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}H' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} C \cap H$
12.	$C \cap K \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} C' \cap L' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} C \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} C \cap K$
13.	$D \cap E \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}F' \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}E' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}e)^{-1}} D \cap E$
14.	$D \cap K \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} D' \cap L' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} D \cap K$
15.	$E \cap I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}E' \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ig)^{-1}} g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{fg} F' \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} E \cap I$
16.	$E \cap J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}E' \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{fg} F' \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} E \cap J$
17.	$E \cap K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}E' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}E' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}e)^{-1}} E \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} E \cap K$
18.	$F \cap L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}F' \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}kg} g^{-1}F' \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}f)^{-1}} F \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} F \cap L$
19.	$G \cap I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}G' \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}jg} g^{-1}G' \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}g)^{-1}} G \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} G \cap I$
20.	$G \cap K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}G' \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}H' \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} H \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} G \cap K$
21.	$G \cap L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}G' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}lg)^{-1}} g^{-1}H' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} H \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} G \cap L$
22.	$H \cap J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}h} g^{-1}H' \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}H' \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} H \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} H \cap J$
23.	$I \cap K \xrightarrow{i} I' \cap K \xrightarrow{k} J' \cap K' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} J \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} I \cap K$
24.	$I \cap L \xrightarrow{i} I' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} J' \cap L' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} J \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} I \cap L$





25.	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}C \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}D \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}D' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ag)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}C' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}cg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}C'$
26.	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{eg} B' \cap E' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap E' \xrightarrow{(eg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}E$
27.	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{fg} B' \cap F' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap F' \xrightarrow{(fg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}F$
28.	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}H' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} A \cap H \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap G' \xrightarrow{(gg)^{-1}} gA \cap gG$
29.	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ig)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}I$
30.	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}jg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}J$
31.	$g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}C \xrightarrow{g^{-1}bg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}D \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}D' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}C' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}cg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}C'$
32.	$g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}bg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}H' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} B \cap H \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap G' \xrightarrow{(gg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}G$
33.	$g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{fg} C \cap F' \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap E' \xrightarrow{(eg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}E$
34.	$g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{gg} D' \cap G' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap G' \xrightarrow{(gg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}G$
35.	$g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{hg} D' \cap H' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap H' \xrightarrow{(hg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}H$
36.	$g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}cg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}K'$
37.	$g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{fg} D \cap F' \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap E' \xrightarrow{(eg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}E$
38.	$g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}dg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}K'$
39.	$g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{eg} E' \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} F \cap I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}F' \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ig)^{-1}} g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}I$
40.	$g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{eg} E' \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} F \cap J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}F' \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}J$
41.	$g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{eg} E' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} E' \cap L' \xrightarrow{(eg)^{-1}} g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}K'$
42.	$g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{fg} F' \cap K \xrightarrow{k} F' \cap K' \xrightarrow{(fg)^{-1}} g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}lg)^{-1}} g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}L'$
43.	$g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{gg} G' \cap J \xrightarrow{j} G' \cap J' \xrightarrow{(gg)^{-1}} g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ig)^{-1}} g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}I'$
44.	$g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{gg} G' \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} H' \cap K \xrightarrow{hg} g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}K'$
45.	$g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{gg} G' \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} H' \cap L \xrightarrow{(hg)^{-1}} g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}lg)^{-1}} g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}L'$
46.	$g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{hg} H' \cap I \xrightarrow{i} H' \cap I' \xrightarrow{hg} g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}J'$
47.	$g^{-1}I \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}I' \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}kg} g^{-1}J' \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}J \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}I \cap g^{-1}K'$
48.	$g^{-1}I \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}I' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}J' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}J \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}lg)^{-1}} g^{-1}I \cap g^{-1}L'$

As was mentioned earlier, a fundamental domain for the orientable double cover  $\widetilde{M}$  consists of two copies of  $P$  obtained by taking the standard copy of  $P$ , and transforming it across the side  $G$  via the transformation  $g^{-1}$ . This gives another copy of  $P$  on the other side of  $G$ . The union of these two copies of  $P$  joined along the side  $G$  constitutes a fundamental domain for  $\widetilde{M}$ . Recall that the side  $G$  corresponds to the sphere with centre  $(1, 0, 0, 1)$ , and in the handle decomposition the side  $G$  corresponds to the point  $(1 + \sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$ . Since the transformation  $g^{-1} = k_{(-1,1,1,-1)}r$ , where  $r$  is now reflection in the side  $G$ , we can think of that part of the Kirby diagram of  $\widetilde{M}$  coming from the  $g^{-1}P$  piece as being obtained by taking the part coming from  $P$ , applying the transformation  $k_{(-1,1,1,-1)}$  to each component of a 1-handle pair, and then reflecting along a plane parallel to the plane  $y = z = 0$  and lying on the right side of  $(1 + \sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$ . This reflection along a plane parallel to the plane  $y = z = 0$  corresponds to the  $r$  part of the transformation  $g^{-1}$ . Note that the exact centre of the plane parallel to  $y = z = 0$  is not important, for example we can take the centre to be given by the vector  $(3, 0, 0)$  (as long as it lies to the right of  $(1 + \sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$ ). From here it is easy to see how a Kirby diagram for  $\widetilde{M}$  will look like. It will consist of the usual


Kirby diagram corresponding to  $\widetilde{M}$ , and then to the right of that part of the one handle labelled  $G$  it will consist of a diagram obtained by taking the diagram corresponding to  $M$ , applying the transformation  $k_{(-1,1,1,-1)}$ , and then reflecting through a plane parallel to  $y = z = 0$  and centred at  $(3, 0, 0)$ . Our procedure for visualising the Kirby diagram of any one of the Ratcliffe-Tschantz manifolds involved splitting the total diagram into four diagrams, three such diagrams would correspond to those 2-handles that lie in the x-y, x-z and y-z planes, and one more diagram corresponded to those 2-handles that did not all lie in such a plane, there were always six such 2-handles (see [?] sect.4). We can similarly decompose the Kirby diagram of  $\widetilde{M}$  into a collection of four such diagrams. The difference in this case is that each diagram will have two components, one coming from that part of the fundamental domain corresponding to  $P$ , and another coming from that part corresponding to  $g^{-1}P$ .

It is time to show the reader how these diagrams look like. When we constructed Kirby diagrams for any one of the Ratcliffe-Tschantz manifolds (see [7] p.14), each diagram would show six 2-handles with each 2-handle being shown in a particular colour. The situation now is that each diagram will have twelve 2-handles, hence we will need twelve colours to distinguish each 2-handle. So as to avoid confusion right from the start we have included the following table which shows the colours we will be using.

Orange	
Brown	
Turquoise	
Yellow	
Dark Green	
Light Green	
Green	
Pink	
Grey	
Red	
Blue	
Black	

In the following diagrams, for each side  $S$  we denote the component of the 1-handle corresponding to  $g^{-1}S$  by  $S-$ . There are in total twenty four 1-handles.

The following diagram shows that part of the Kirby diagram contained in the x-y plane, with the table following outlining the colouring of the 2-handle.




Colour	Equivalence class
Orange	$g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{hg} H' \cap I \xrightarrow{i} H' \cap I' \xrightarrow{hg} g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}J$
Brown	$A \cap G \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap H' \xrightarrow{(hg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}G' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}g)^{-1}} A \cap G$
Turquoise	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}jg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}J$
Yellow	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ig)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}I$
Dark Green	$g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}bg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}H' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} B \cap H \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap G' \xrightarrow{(gg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}G$
Light Green	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}H' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} A \cap H \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap G' \xrightarrow{(gg)^{-1}} gA \cap gG$
Green	$B \cap G \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap H' \xrightarrow{(hg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}bg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}G' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}g)^{-1}} B \cap G$
Pink	$G \cap I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}G' \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}jg} g^{-1}G' \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}g)^{-1}} G \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} G \cap I$
Grey	$g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{gg} G' \cap J \xrightarrow{j} G' \cap J' \xrightarrow{(gg)^{-1}} g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ig)^{-1}} g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}I$
Red	$A \cap J \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap J \xrightarrow{j} B' \cap J' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} A \cap J$
Blue	$A \cap I \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap I \xrightarrow{i} B' \cap I' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} A \cap I$
Black	$H \cap J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}h} g^{-1}H' \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}H' \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} H \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} H \cap J$

We can see two sets of 1-handles, there are those on the right of  $G$  and those on the left. Just to make sure the reader understands exactly how this diagram is being formed, let us explain why the 1-handle component  $A-$  sits where it does. The 1-handle component  $A-$  corresponds to the side  $g^{-1}A$ , the transformation  $g^{-1}$  consists of two parts the  $r$ -part, which is reflection through the side  $G$  and the  $k$ -part given by the diagonal matrix whose diagonal is  $(-1, +1, +1, -1)$ . The side  $A$  can be identified with its centre vector given by  $(1, 1, 0, 0)$ , which after mapping to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is identified by the co-ordinate  $(1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0)$ . Applying the  $k$  matrix to this vector we obtain the vector  $(-1, 1, 0, 0)$ , this tells us that the  $k$  part of the transformation maps the 1-handle component  $A$  to  $A'$ . We still need to deal with the  $r$ -part, since the side  $G$  has corresponding 1-handle component in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with centre  $(1 + \sqrt{2}, 0, 0)$ , and the  $r$ -part is reflection through the side  $G$ , we see that we need to reflect each centre co-ordinate corresponding to each 1-handle component through a plane parallel to the  $y = z = 0$  plane centred at the point  $(3, 0, 0)$  (any centre vector to the right of  $G$  will do). From here it should be clear that applying  $k$  to  $A$  followed by reflection in the plane parallel to  $y = z = 0$  and centred at  $(3, 0, 0)$  gives us the point where  $A-$  is in the above diagram. The reader can check for him/her-self that the images of all the other 1-handle components are in the places they are shown in the diagram.

The fundamental domain for  $\widetilde{M}$  consists of two copies of  $M$  joined together at the side  $G$ , therefore the total polyhedron that constitutes the fundamental domain will not contain


the side  $G$  and its image  $g^{-1}G'$  as these two sides have been identified. This means that in the Kirby diagram associated to  $\widehat{M}$  we need to kill the 1-handle pair  $G - G'$ , we do this by adding a 2-handle whose attaching circle runs over the 1-handle  $G - G'$  once. It can be seen as the dotted line running from  $G$  to  $G'$  in the above diagram, we have chosen to add this 2-handle so that its attaching circle lies in the x-y and x-z planes.

We move on to show that part of the Kirby diagram that is contained in the x-z plane, the table that follows the diagram shows which 2-handle corresponds to which colour.




Colour	Equivalence class
Orange	$g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}H \xrightarrow{hg} D' \cap H' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap H' \xrightarrow{(hg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}H$
Brown	$C \cap G \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}G' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}dg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}G' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}g)^{-1}} C \cap G$
Turquoise	$g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{gg} G' \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} H' \cap K \xrightarrow{hg} g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}K$
Yellow	$g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}dg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}K$
Dark Green	$g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{gg} G' \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} H' \cap L \xrightarrow{(hg)^{-1}} g^{-1}H \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}lg)^{-1}} g^{-1}G \cap g^{-1}L$
Light Green	$g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}G \xrightarrow{gg} D' \cap G' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap G' \xrightarrow{(gg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}G$
Green	$D \cap K \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} D' \cap L' \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} D \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} D \cap K$
Pink	$C \cap K \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} C' \cap L' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} C \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} C \cap K$
Grey	$g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}cg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}K$
Red	$G \cap K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}G' \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}H' \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} H \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} G \cap K$
Blue	$C \cap H \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap H \xrightarrow{g^{-1}h} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}H' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}dg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}H' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} C \cap H$
Black	$G \cap L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}g} g^{-1}G' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}lg)^{-1}} g^{-1}H' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}h)^{-1}} H \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} G \cap L$

The part contained in the y-z plane is shown in the following diagram.



Colour	Equivalence class
Orange	$g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{eg} E' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} E' \cap L' \xrightarrow{(eg)^{-1}} g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}K$
Brown	$F \cap L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}F' \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}kg} g^{-1}F' \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}f)^{-1}} F \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} F \cap L$
Turquoise	$g^{-1}I \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}I' \cap g^{-1}K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}kg} g^{-1}J' \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}J \cap g^{-1}K' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}kg)^{-1}} g^{-1}I \cap g^{-1}K$
Yellow	$g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{eg} E' \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} F \cap J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}F' \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}J$
Dark Green	$g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{eg} E' \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} F \cap I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}F' \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ig)^{-1}} g^{-1}E \cap g^{-1}I$
Light Green	$g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{fg} F' \cap K \xrightarrow{k} F' \cap K' \xrightarrow{(fg)^{-1}} g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}lg)^{-1}} g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}L$
Green	$I \cap L \xrightarrow{i} I' \cap L \xrightarrow{l} J' \cap L' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} J \cap L' \xrightarrow{l^{-1}} I \cap L$
Pink	$E \cap J \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}E' \cap g^{-1}J' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}J \xrightarrow{fg} F' \cap J' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} E \cap J$
Grey	$g^{-1}I \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ig} g^{-1}I' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}J' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}jg)^{-1}} g^{-1}J \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}lg)^{-1}} g^{-1}I \cap g^{-1}L$
Red	$I \cap K \xrightarrow{i} I' \cap K \xrightarrow{k} J' \cap K' \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} J \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} I \cap K$
Blue	$E \cap K \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}E' \cap g^{-1}L \xrightarrow{g^{-1}lg} g^{-1}E' \cap g^{-1}L' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}e)^{-1}} E \cap K' \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} E \cap K$
Black	$E \cap I \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}E' \cap g^{-1}I' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ig)^{-1}} g^{-1}F \cap g^{-1}I \xrightarrow{fg} F' \cap I' \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} E \cap I$

Finally, we have the 2-handles that do not all lie in one of the above planes. There are twelve in total, six coming from each copy of  $P$  contributing to the fundamental domain (two copies in total).



Colour	Equivalence class
Orange	$g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{fg} D \cap F' \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap E' \xrightarrow{(eg)^{-1}} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}E$
Brown	$A \cap C \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap D \xrightarrow{d} A' \cap D' \xrightarrow{a^{-1}} A \cap C' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} A \cap C$
Turquoise	$g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{fg} C \cap F' \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap E' \xrightarrow{(eg)^{-1}} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}E$
Yellow	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}C \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}D \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}D' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}ag)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}C' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}cg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}C$
Dark Green	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}E \xrightarrow{eg} B' \cap E' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap E' \xrightarrow{(eg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}E$
Light Green	$g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}C \xrightarrow{g^{-1}bg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}D \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}D' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}C' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}cg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}C$
Green	$A \cap E \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap E \xrightarrow{g^{-1}e} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}E' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}E' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}e)^{-1}} A \cap E$
Pink	$C \cap E \xrightarrow{c} C' \cap F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}C \cap g^{-1}F' \xrightarrow{g^{-1}cg} g^{-1}C' \cap g^{-1}E' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}e)^{-1}} C \cap E$
Grey	$g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}ag} g^{-1}A' \cap g^{-1}F \xrightarrow{fg} B' \cap F' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap F' \xrightarrow{(fg)^{-1}} g^{-1}A \cap g^{-1}F$
Red	$B \cap C \xrightarrow{b} B' \cap D \xrightarrow{d} B' \cap D' \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} B \cap C' \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} B \cap C$
Blue	$A \cap F \xrightarrow{a} A' \cap F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}B' \cap g^{-1}F' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}bg)^{-1}} g^{-1}B \cap g^{-1}F' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}f)^{-1}} A \cap F$
Black	$D \cap E \xrightarrow{d} D' \cap F \xrightarrow{g^{-1}f} g^{-1}D \cap g^{-1}F' \xrightarrow{g^{-1}dg} g^{-1}D' \cap g^{-1}E' \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}e)^{-1}} D \cap E$

Recall that our primary interest is to study, via Kirby calculus, a boundary filling of the manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ . Before we take this up in the next section we mention that we have not yet explained how to obtain the 3-handles of the double cover. The procedure is exactly analogous to how we obtained the 3-handles for the examples considered in [7]. We simply take three distinct codimension 1 sides with non-empty intersection, then apply side pairing transformations till we cycle back to the original intersection, this constitutes a 3-handle. As we will be dealing with closed 4-manifolds and hence do not have to worry about the 3 and 4-handles (this is due to a theorem of Laudenbach and Poénaru, see [2] p.116), we will not be showing tables of the 3-handles nor pictures of how they look like.


#### 4. BOUNDARY FILLING OF THE ORIENTABLE DOUBLE COVER OF MANIFOLD 35.

In this section we are going to use elementary moves to reduce the Kirby diagram of  $\widetilde{M}$ , this will help us in identifying the diffeomorphism type of the double cover of  $\widetilde{M}$ . in the [8] sect.4 we explained how all the 2-handles for a filling had a planar framing, furthermore we explained how the attaching maps being reflections or compositions of reflections with inversion in  $S^2$  had the effect that when we pushed components of 2-handles through attaching spheres of 1-handles nothing “wild” could happen i.e. the 2-handle component being pushed through would not twist around the attaching sphere it came out of. These observations all hold true in the case of the orientable double cover of manifold 35, and in fact for all of the Ratcliffe-Tschantz manifolds. We will not go through the details of this as they are completely analogous to what we did for the example considered in [8] sect.4.


We already mentioned that the boundary type associated to each ideal vertex is given by the code **GGGGH** (or in *Wolf's* notation  $\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_2$ ), each of these boundaries are themselves non-orientable, therefore in the orientable double cover they will lift to there own orientable double covers. We also mentioned that we computed a translation in each of the parabolic subgroups associated to each cusp, the translations we obtained were  $c$ ,  $a$ ,  $k$ ,  $i$  and  $e^{-1}heh^{-1}$ . The first four translations are all given by orientation preserving transformations, hence in the double cover they correspond to translations in their respective boundary components. Therefore the associated filling of the corresponding boundary components in the Kirby diagram of  $\widetilde{M}$  will consist of adding four 2-handles running over  $C - C'$ ,  $A - A'$ ,  $K - K'$  and  $I - I'$  once. The translation  $e^{-1}heh^{-1}$  can be written as

$e^{-1}heh^{-1} = (e^{-1}g)(g^{-1}h)(eg)(g^{-1}h^{-1})$ , therefore the corresponding filling of the associated boundary component in  $\widehat{M}$  will involve adding a 2-handle with four components, one running from  $E$  to  $H$ , followed by one running from  $H'-$  to  $E-$ , followed by one running from  $E'$  to  $H'$ , and finally one running from  $H-$  to  $E'-$ .


We move on to showing how the Kirby diagrams look with these added 2-handles. The following diagram shows the x-y plane, by considering a fundamental domain for the ideal vertex class  $\{(0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, -1)\}$  we can replace the translation  $i$  with  $j$ , it will be much easier to use the transformation  $j$  when we apply elementary moves to the Kirby diagram, therefore we make this change from now itself. The reader should notice how the added 2-handles running over  $A - A'$  and  $J - J'$  lie completely in the x-y plane.




The following diagram shows the x-z plane, the reader should note how the added 2-handles running over  $K - K'$  and  $C - C'$  lie entirely in the x-z plane.



The following diagram shows a picture of the y-z plane, this plane also contains the added 2-handles running over  $J - J'$  and  $K - K'$ .



Finally, we have the twelve 2-handles that do not all lie in any one of the above planes.




We have not shown the added 2-handle corresponding to the translation  $e^{-1}h^{-1}eh$  that has components running from  $E$  to  $H$ ,  $H'-$  to  $E-$ ,  $E'$  to  $H'$  and  $H-$  to  $E'-$ . Two of the components, the ones running from  $E$  to  $H$  and  $E'$  to  $H'$ , move from that part of the  $y$ - $z$  plane corresponding to the piece of the Kirby diagram coming from  $P$  to the  $x-y$  plane, hence they run outside the four diagrams we have been showing. Due to this none of the elementary moves we carry out to begin with will affect these two components in any way. Similarly, the two components running from  $H'-$  to  $E-$  and  $H-$  to  $E'-$  move from that part of the  $y$ - $z$  plane corresponding to the piece of the Kirby diagram coming from  $g^{-1}P$  to the piece in the  $x$ - $y$  plane, due to this they will also not be affected by any of the elementary moves to begin with. Therefore we will choose to leave this 2-handle out of our diagrams to start with, towards the end when we start doing handle slides that move between planes we will put this 2-handle back in so the reader can see exactly how it is affected.

We are now in the situation where we have various 2-handles that are running over 1-handles once, and hence we have various handle cancelling pairs. We want to start carrying out several of these cancellations, however we need to be a bit careful when we do so. A few of the 2-handles intersect the other planes, hence when carrying out cancellations/slides we must keep track of how these intersection points move. We remind the reader of our coding system that helps keep track of various intersection points. An intersection point in a diagram will be shown via a black dot, the dot will have a code next to it which is supposed to tell the reader which 2-handle is creating the point of intersection. The code will consist of either four characters or two characters, in the case that it consists of




four characters the first two tell the reader from which plane the 2-handle, creating the intersection point, lies in. The second two characters tell us which 1-handle the 2-handle runs over, in situations where there are multiple 2-handles running over the 1-handles we will always make it apparent as to which 2-handle we are talking about. Finally, in the case that the code consists of just two letters we are to immediately take this to mean that the 2-handle creating the intersection point is residing in the diagram showing the twelve 2-handles that do not all lie in a single plane. The two characters of the code then tell us which 1-handle this 2-handle is running over.


The following shows pictures of the x-y, x-z and y-z planes respectively, with intersection points added




The first cancellation we are going to carry out is to cancel  $G, G'$  – using the black dashed 2-handle in the x-y plane. This will only affect the diagrams in the x-y and x-y planes. The following shows how the diagram in the x-y plane changes.



The diagram in the x-z plane changes as follows.




The next step we take is to cancel  $A, A'$  using the added 2-handle that runs over this 1-handle once. As this 2-handle resides in the x-y plane and the diagram showing the twelve 2-handles that do not all lie in a single plane, it is only these two diagrams that will be affected. However, the reader should keep in mind that this 2-handle creates a point of intersection with the y-z plane, hence there will be some changes to the y-z plane on the level of intersection points. The following picture shows how the x-y plane changes when we carry out this cancellation.



Observe that after carrying out the cancellation we have also carried out two handle slides. When we cancel  $A, A'$  we get a blue 2-handle component that loops back into  $I$ , we push this through  $I$  to come out of  $I'$ , then slide the blue 2-handle off of  $I'$  to give a blue 2-handle running over  $B, B'$  once. We also get a red 2-handle component that loops back into  $J$ , we can perform an analogous slide to obtain a red 2-handle running over  $B, B'$  once. In general, when we carry out such handle cancellations we will also simultaneously


carry out handle slides analogous to the one described above. It should be clear to the reader that we have carried out such handle slides.

The diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that do not all lie in a single plane changes as follows.




The reader should note that once again we have carried out some handle slides, when we cancel  $A, A'$  we obtain a blue 2-handle component that loops back into  $F$ , remember that in the double cover our 1-handle pair is  $F, F'$ , therefore when we push this blue 2-handle component through  $F$  it will come out of  $F'$ , then slide the blue 2-handle off of  $F'$  to obtain a blue 2-handle running over  $B, B'$  once. Similarly when we cancel  $A, A'$  we get a green 2-handle component that loops into  $E$ , and since  $E$  is identified to  $E'$  this component can be pushed through to come out of  $E'$ , we can then slide the green 2-handle into the position shown in the above picture.

The cancellation of  $A, A'$  with the added 2-handle that ran over it once causes the intersection point, in the  $y$ - $z$  plane, labelled  $\mathbf{XY\_AA'}$  to disappear, with many new intersection points appearing. The following picture shows the  $y$ - $z$  plane with the added intersection points coming from the above cancellation.




We move on to cancelling  $C, C'$  using the added 2-handle that passes over it once, and that resides in the  $x$ - $z$  plane and the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane.

The  $x$ - $z$  plane changes as follows:




The reader should observe that we have also carried out a handle slide. Namely, we have pushed the blue 2-handle component that loops back into  $H$  through  $H$  and then slid it off of  $H'$  – to get a blue 2-handle running over  $D-, D'-$  once.


The diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane changes to the following diagram.



The 2-handle that was used to do the cancellation intersected the x-y plane, hence this point of intersection will disappear with some new ones coming in place of it. The following picture shows the coding of these new intersection points, it should be clear as to which components of 2-handle are creating the intersection points.




There were also points of intersection in the y-z plane created by the brown 2-handle component running from  $C$  to  $D$  and  $C'$  to  $D'$ . These will change as follows:




The next step we take is to cancel  $B, B'$  with the red 2-handle in the x-y plane. This cancellation will affect the x-y plane and the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane.

The following picture shows how the x-y plane changes after this cancellation has been carried out. The reader should observe that when we perform this cancellation using the red 2-handle, the blue 2-handle component slides to a zero framed unknot hence cancels a 3-handle and can be deleted from the diagram.



The following picture shows how the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane changes.




The astute reader would have noticed that in the picture above showing the x-y plane, the intersection point labelled  $DD'$  has moved from the right to the left. This is because when we cancelled  $B, B'$  in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane we also moved the brown 2-handle into the position shown above. As this brown 2-handle was intersecting the x-y plane, this intersection point must also move.


Finally, we note that the red 2-handle used to carry out the above cancellation intersected the y-z plane, hence we will get some new points of intersection in this plane. Furthermore,

the moving of the brown 2-handle we did above will cause the two intersection points in the y-z plane labelled  $\mathbf{DD}'$  to disappear.

The following picture shows the structure of the y-z plane after all the above has been carried out.




We can then cancel  $B-, B'-$  with the blue 2-handle in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane. The diagram changes as follows.




Note that the green 2-handle component that originally ran from  $B-$  to  $B'-$  slides to a zero framed unknot and can be immediately deleted from the diagram.


The cancellation also affects the x-y plane, which changes to the following.




The blue 2-handle used to carry out the cancellation intersected the y-z plane, it is clear that the intersection points in the y-z plane change in the following way:




So far we have carried out five different handle cancellation moves. The following pictures collect together how the various diagrams have changed so far. The first picture shows the x-y and x-z planes respectively, and the second shows the y-z plane and the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane.






We move on to cancelling the 1-handle  $A-, A'-$  using the yellow 2-handle in the x-y plane. This cancellation affects the x-y plane and the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane.




The intersection points in the y-z plane change as follows.







We can then cancel  $D, D'$  using the brown 2-handle in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane. The result of this cancellation can be seen in the following picture.




The cancellation also affects the  $x$ - $z$  plane, which changes in the following way.




The intersection points in the  $x$ - $y$  plane change in the following way.




In the x-z plane we have the blue 2-handle that runs over  $D-, D'-$  once, these then form a handle cancellation pair. Carrying out this cancellation, the x-z plane changes to:




The diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane will also be affected by this cancellation and change in the following way.



The intersection points labelled  $C-D-$  and  $C'-D'-$  will disappear from the y-z plane, no new intersection points will appear.




As for the x-y plane, we have that the intersection points labelled  $XZ.D-D'$  will disappear but some new ones corresponding to the yellow 2-handles in the x-z plane, running from  $K-$  to  $L-$  and from  $K'-$  to  $L'-$ , will appear. We will also see intersection points created by the two 2-handles running between  $C-$  and  $C'-$  in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane.




We can then cancel  $C-, C'-$  with the light green 2-handle in the x-z plane that runs over it once. Note that when we carry out this cancellation a few 2-handles can be immediately deleted from our diagrams. Namely, the orange 2-handle in the x-z plane will slide to give a zero framed unknot, and so will the light green and yellow 2-handles in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane. These then each cancel a 3-handle and hence can be deleted from our diagrams.


The following picture shows how the x-z plane changes after this cancellation has been carried out.




The diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane changes in the following way.



The intersection points labelled  $XZ\_C-C'$  and  $C-C'$  in the x-y plane will disappear. The following picture shows the coding of the new ones that come into place.




We move on to cancelling  $J, J'$  with the dashed black 2-handle that resides in the x-y and y-z planes. The x-y plane changes in the following way.




The reader should be aware that when we cancel  $J, J'$  with the dashed black 2-handle we obtain grey and black 2-handle components that loop back into  $G'$  and  $H$ . We can then push these through the pieces of 1-handles they loop back into and then do a handle slide to obtain grey and black 2-handles that run over  $I-, I'-$  once, which can be seen in the above picture.


The y-z plane changes in the following way.



The reader should be aware that, as in the case of the x-y plane, we have carried out some handle slides as well. Namely, the cancellation creates a red 2-handle that loops back into  $K'$  and a green 2-handle that loops back into  $L'$ , we can then slide these into the positions shown. The dashed black 2-handle that we used to cancel  $J, J'$  intersected the x-z plane, hence the cancellation will add some new points of intersection with the x-z plane. The following two diagrams show these new intersection points with the second one being a close up showing the coding of the intersection points in the middle of the diagram.




At this point we stop and collect together pictures of the four diagrams so far. This first picture shows the structure of the x-y and x-z planes, and the second picture shows the structure of the y-z plane and the 2-handles remaining in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane.



The next handle cancellation we carry out is to cancel  $K, K'$  with the black dashed 2-handle that sits in the x-z and y-z planes.


The following pictures shows how the x-z and y-z planes change respectively.




The dashed black 2-handle that was used to cancel  $K, K'$  did not intersect the x-y plane, hence on the level of intersection points the x-y plane does not change.

We can also cancel  $L, L'$  with the green 2-handle that runs over it once in the x-z plane. This cancellation will only affect the x-z and y-z planes. As the 2-handle we are using to perform the cancellation does not intersect the x-y plane we find that the intersection points of the x-y plane remain the same.


The following pictures show how these diagrams look like after the cancellation has taken place.





The reader should note that we have also carried out some handle slides. After performing the above cancellation we get an orange 2-handle component in the  $y$ - $z$  plane that loops back into  $E'$ , and a brown 2-handle component (in the  $y$ - $z$  plane as well) that loops back into  $F$ . We can then perform a handle slide on both these components to obtain orange and brown 2-handles, in the  $y$ - $z$  plane, that run over  $K-, K'-$  once.


We can use either of these 2-handles to perform a cancellation with  $K-, K'-$ , again this will only affect the  $x$ - $z$  and  $y$ - $z$  planes. The following two pictures show how these planes change.




We can also cancel  $L-, L'-$  with the blue 2-handle in the  $y$ - $z$  plane, this cancellation only affects the  $x$ - $z$  and  $y$ - $z$  planes.

The  $x$ - $z$  plane changes to the following diagram.






The y-z plane changes to the following diagram.




This cancellation does not affect the points of intersection in the x-y plane as the 2-handle we used in the cancellation did not intersect the x-y plane.

The next cancellation we undertake is to cancel  $I-, I'-$  with the black 2-handle in the x-y plane. This cancellation only affects the 2-handles in the x-y and y-z plane.


The x-y plane changes to the following diagram:



The y-z plane changes in the following way:




The black 2-handle used to carry out this cancellation intersected the x-z plane, the code was  $\mathbf{XY-I-I'}$ , therefore after the cancellation this intersection point disappears. However, many new intersection points arise from 2-handle components in the y-z plane. The following picture shows the coding of these new intersection points.




We have carried out a further five handle cancellations. This is a good point to stop and take stock of how our four different diagrams, showing the structure of all the 2-handles, look like.

The following picture shows the structure of the x-y and x-z planes respectively after all the above cancellations have been carried out.




The following picture shows the structure of the y-z plane and the structure of the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane after all the above cancellations have been carried out.




We remind the reader that all throughout the above cancellations there was a 2-handle that we were not showing in our diagrams. Namely, the 2-handle corresponding to the translation  $e^{-1}heh^{-1}$ . Recall that this 2-handle had four components running from  $E$  to  $H$ ,  $H'$  to  $E'$ ,  $E'$  to  $H'$  and  $H'$  to  $E'$ . So far, the cancellations we have carried out have all been within the three planes, the x-y, x-z, y-z planes, or the diagram showing the twelve 2-handles not all lying in a single plane. The components of the 2-handle  $e^{-1}heh^{-1}$  each pass between the y-z and x-y planes and so are not affected by any of the cancellations that are carried within the x-y, x-z or y-z planes. As for cancellations done within in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane, two components, namely the ones running from  $E$  to  $H$  and  $H'$  to  $E'$ , are contained in the “inside” of the diagram, and it is easy to see that the cancellations we have carried out so far have not in any way interfered with these two components. As for the two components running from  $E'$  to  $H'$  and  $H'$  to  $E'$ , these run on the “outside” of the diagram, hence it is clear that the cancellations we have done so far have not interfered with these two components.

The next cancellation we are going to carry out is to cancel  $I, I'$  using the red 2-handle that resides in the y-z plane. This cancellation will affect the 2-handles in the y-z and x-y planes.


The y-z plane changes to the following diagram.



The x-y plane changes in the following way.




The 2-handle used to carry out the above cancellation intersected the x-z plane, the following diagram shows how the intersection points in the x-z plane change.




We move on to cancelling  $J-, J'-$  with the orange 2-handle in the x-y plane, this cancellation only affects the 2-handles in the x-y and y-z planes.


The x-y plane changes in the following way



and the y-z plane changes to the following diagram.




The orange 2-handle used in this cancellation intersected the  $x$ - $z$  plane. The intersection points in the  $x$ - $z$  plane changes to the following.




So far, the last few cancellations we have carried out have not interfered with the 2-handles that are left in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane. We want to perform an isotopy of the 2-handles in this diagram.

The first picture shows the original position the 2-handles were in, and the picture following it shows the final position after we carry out the isotopy. It should be clear to the reader how the 2-handles move during this isotopy.




The next cancellation we are going to undertake is to cancel  $H, H'$  – using the red 2-handle in the  $x$ - $z$  plane. This cancellation affects the 2-handles in the  $x$ - $z$  and  $x$ - $y$  planes. It also affects the 2-handle corresponding to the translation  $e^{-1}heh^{-1}$  that we have not been drawing so far.

We start with the x-z plane, the following picture shows how the x-z plane changes after we have carried out this cancellation.




The x-y plane changes in the following way:




Recall that the 2-handle corresponding to the translation  $e^{-1}heh^{-1}$  had in total four components, two of them in particular were such that one ran from  $E$  to  $H$  and another from  $E-$  to  $H'-$ . When we perform the above cancellation these two components come together, giving one component running from  $E$  to  $E-$ .

The following picture shows how this new component runs between  $E$  and  $E-$ .




The cancellation carried out above causes the intersection points in the y-z plane to change. The following picture shows the y-z plane with these new intersection points.




We can then cancel  $H', H-$  with the green 2-handle in the x-y plane. This cancellation affects the 2-handles in the x-y plane and the x-z plane.

The x-y plane changes as follows.




The x-z plane changes to the following.



The translation  $e^{-1}heh^{-1}$  that has been reduced to consisting of one component running from  $E$  to  $E-$ , another running from  $E'$  to  $H'$ , and another running from  $E'-$  to  $H-$ . When we cancel  $H', H-$  with the green 2-handle in the x-y plane the components running from  $E'$  to  $H'$  and  $E'-$  to  $H-$  slide to form one component joining  $E'$  to  $E'-$ .

The following picture shows how this new component runs between  $E'$  and  $E'-$ .



We now have two separate diagrams, the one coming from the x-y and x-z planes that involve 2-handles running over  $G', G-$ , and the 2-handles left in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane, and the 2-handles in the y-z plane, which run over the 1-handles  $E, E'-, E', E-, F, F'-$  and  $F', F-$ . It is easy to see that these two diagrams do not interact with each other in any way. Furthermore, the 2-handles that run over  $G', G-$  (the ones coming from the x-y and x-z planes) do so once. Hence we can use any one of them to form a cancelling pair with  $G', G-$ . Carrying out this cancellation, all other 2-handles running over  $G', G-$  slide to form zero framed unknot's, hence cancel with a 3-handle and can be deleted from the diagram. Thus we are left with the 2-handles running over the 1-handles  $E, E'-, E', E-, F, F'-$  and  $F', F-$ .

We can then cancel  $F', F-$  with the black 2-handle in the y-z plane. This will cause the pink 2-handle in the y-z plane to slide and have two components, one looping back into  $E$  and the other looping back into  $E'-$ . We can then slide one of these components through to obtain a zero framed unknot that cancels a 3-handle. Hence this 2-handle can be deleted


from the diagram.

We can also cancel  $F, F'$  using the dark green 2-handle in the  $y$ - $z$  plane. This cancellation causes the yellow 2-handle in the  $y$ - $z$  plane to slide into a position where it has one component looping back into  $E'$  and another looping back into  $E-$ . We can then perform a handle slide to obtain a yellow coloured zero framed unknot. This then cancels with a 3-handle and can be deleted from the diagram.


The two cancellations we have just carried out also affect the other 2-handles, they reside in the diagram corresponding to the twelve 2-handles that did not all lie in a single plane. It is straightforward to see how they change, the following diagram shows the position they slide into.



We also have an extra 2-handle that we have not shown in the above, it is the 2-handle that corresponded to the translation  $e^{-1}heh^{-1}$ . It has two components, one that runs from  $E$  to  $E-$  and another that runs from  $E'$  to  $E'-$ . The following picture adds this 2-handle to the above diagram.




We can now carry out some handle slides. We can slide the orange 2-handle along the turquoise 2-handle to obtain the following diagram.




We then slide the pink 2-handle along the black 2-handle to obtain the following diagram.







We can then slide the orange 2-handle to a zero framed unknot, which will then cancel a 3-handle. Therefore we can simply delete the orange 2-handle from our diagram. This gives us the following diagram.




We can then slide the pink 2-handle into the following position.




We can also slide the turquoise 2-handle along the black 2-handle to obtain the following diagram.




Then another handle slide produces:



We can then cancel the 1-handle  $E', E-$  with the black 2-handle producing the following diagram.




This is a Kirby diagram for the orientable manifold  $\widetilde{M}$ , which recall is the orientable double cover of  $M$  (manifold 35). Furthermore, it is clear that  $\pi_1(\widetilde{M}) = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . We want to take the double cover of this manifold, which we denote by  $\widetilde{M}_2$ . Recall the procedure to do this, the one skeleton of  $\widetilde{M}$  consists of  $D^4 \cup (E, E' -)$  (the 0-handle union the 1-handle), which is a copy of  $S^1 \times D^3$ . The one skeleton of  $\widetilde{M}_2$  will also consist of a copy of  $S^1 \times D^3$  double covering the one skeleton of  $\widetilde{M}$  in the usual way that  $S^1 \times D^3$  double covers itself. Each of the remaining handles of  $\widetilde{M}$  lift to two handles of  $\widetilde{M}_2$ . This means that the blue 2-handle in the above diagram, that passes over  $E, E' -$  twice, will lift to two 2-handles each passing over the unique 1-handle in  $\widetilde{M}_2$ . The turquoise and pink 2-handles that loop around one component of the blue 2-handle in the above diagram, lift to two copies of each looping around one lift of the blue 2-handle. The following diagram shows how the Kirby diagram of the double cover  $\widetilde{M}_2$  looks like.



We can then cancel  $E, E' -$  with the blue 2-handle, this will cause the diagram to change to.



We can then slide three of the linked circles over the fourth one so that each one gives a zero framed unknot. These each cancel with a 3-handle and we are left with the following diagram.



The diagram shows two zero framed linked 2-handles, which is precisely the Kirby diagram for  $S^2 \times S^2$ . Thus we can conclude that the simply connected closed 4-manifold  $\widetilde{M}_2$ , which is a four fold cover of  $M$  (manifold 35), is diffeomorphic to  $S^2 \times S^2$ . We have thus proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1.** *There exists a collection  $L$  of linked 2-tori embedded in a standard  $S^2 \times S^2$  such that the complement  $(S^2 \times S^2) - L$  admits a finite volume hyperbolic geometry.*

REFERENCES

[1] Coxeter, H.S.M *Regular Complex Polytopes. Second Edition.*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.  
 [2] Gompf, R.E. and Stipsicz, A.I *4-manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Providence, Rhode Island, 1999.

- [3] Hantzsche, W. and Wendt, H. *Dreidimensionale euklidische Raumformen*, Math. Ann. 110 (1935), 593-611.
- [4] Johnson, D.L. *Topics in the Theory of Group Presentations curvature*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, 42, Cambridge, 1990.
- [5] Kerckhoff, S.P. and Storm, P.A. *From the hyperbolic 24-cell to the cuboctahedron*, Geometry & Topology 14 (2010) 13831477.
- [6] Ratcliffe, J.G. and Tschantz, S.T. *The Volume Spectrum of Hyperbolic 4-manifolds*, Experiment. Math. Volume 9, Issue 1 (2000), 101-125.
- [7] Saratchandran, H. *Kirby diagrams and the Ratcliffe-Tschantz hyperbolic 4-manifolds*, ArXiv:math:GT/1503.06722.
- [8] Saratchandran, H. *A four dimensional hyperbolic link complement in a standard  $S^4$* , ArXiv e-prints (2015).
- [9] Wolf, J.A. *Spaces of constant curvature*, McGraw-Hill, United States of America, 1967.

*E-mail address:* `hemanth.saratchandran@maths.ox.ac.uk`