

# IS THERE A RAMSEY-HINDMAN THEOREM ?

ETHAN AKIN AND ELI GLASNER

ABSTRACT. We show that there does not exist a joint generalization of the theorems of Ramsey and Hindman, or more explicitly, that the property of containing a symmetric IP-set is not divisible.

## 1. IP AND SIP SETS

We will use  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+, \mathbb{N}$  to stand for the sets of integers, nonnegative integers and positive integers, respectively.

For  $F$  a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $\sigma_F \in \mathbb{Z}$  the sum of the elements of  $F$  with the convention that  $\sigma_\emptyset = 0$ . Of course, if  $F$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $\sigma_F \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Call a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  *symmetric* if  $-A = A$  where  $-A = \{-a : a \in A\}$ . For any subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  let  $A_\pm = A \cup -A$  so that  $A_\pm$  is the smallest symmetric set which contains  $A$ . On the other hand, let  $A_+ = A \cap \mathbb{N}$ , the positive part of  $A$ . Note that if  $A$  is symmetric then  $A = A_\pm$  and  $A \setminus \{0\} = (A_+)_\pm$ .

For subsets  $A_1, A_2$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  we let  $A_1 + A_2 = \{a_1 + a_2 : a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2\}$  and  $A_1 - A_2 = A_1 + (-A_2)$ . If  $A_2 = \{n\}$  we write  $A_1 - n$  for  $A_1 - A_2$ .

Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= \{a_1 - a_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A\} = A - A \\ IP(A) &= \{\sigma_F : F \text{ a finite subset of } A\} \\ SIP(A) &= D(IP(A)) = IP(A) - IP(A) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $0 \in D(A)$  and  $D(A)$  is symmetric and so the same is true of  $SIP(A)$ . If  $0 \in A$  then  $A \subset D(A)$ . In general,  $D(A) \cup A \cup -A = D(A \cup \{0\})$ .

In particular,  $0 = \sigma_\emptyset \in IP(A)$  implies  $IP(A) \subset SIP(A)$ .

---

*Date:* March, 2015.

*Key words and phrases.* Ramsey theorem, Hindman theorem, difference sets, IP-sets, SIP-sets, mild mixing.

*2010 Mathematical Subject Classification* 11B75, 37Bxx, 54H20.

If  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  then  $IP(A) = \{0\} \cup IP(A)_+$  since  $0 = \sigma_\emptyset \in IP(A)$ .  
 $IP(A) = IP(A \cup \{0\}) = IP(A \setminus \{0\})$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $B$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  then*

$$SIP(B) = IP(B_\pm).$$

*If  $A$  is a symmetric subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $A \setminus \{0\}$  nonempty then*

$$SIP(A_+) = IP(A).$$

**Proof:** If  $F \subset B_\pm$  then

$$\sigma_F = \sigma_{F \cap B} + \sigma_{F \cap -B} = \sigma_{F \cap B} - \sigma_{(-F) \cap B}$$

Hence,  $IP(B_\pm) \subset SIP(B)$

For the reverse inclusion, let  $F_1, F_2$  be finite subsets of  $B$ .

$$\sigma_{F_1} - \sigma_{F_2} = \sigma_{F_1 \cup -F_2}$$

since  $F_1$  and  $-F_2$  are disjoint.

If  $A$  is symmetric and  $A \setminus \{0\}$  is nonempty then  $A_+$  is nonempty and the previous result applied to  $B = A_+$  yields the second equation since  $(A_+)_\pm = A \setminus \{0\}$  and  $IP(A) = IP(A \setminus \{0\})$ .  
 $\square$

We say that a subset  $B \subset \mathbb{N}$  is

- a *difference set* if there exists an infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $D(A)_+ \subset B$ .
- an *IP set* if there exists an infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $IP(A)_+ \subset B$ .
- an *SIP set* if there exists an infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $SIP(A)_+ \subset B$ .

Since  $A \setminus \{0\} = (A_+)_\pm$  if  $A$  is a symmetric subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  it follows from Lemma 1.1 that  $B$  is an SIP set iff there exists an infinite symmetric subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $IP(A)_+ \subset B$ .

We next recall the statements of two -now classical- combinatorial theorems (see [5] and [6]):

**Theorem 1.2.** *[Ramsey] Let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . If one colors the set  $D(A)_+$  in finitely many colors then there exists an infinite subset  $L \subset A$  such that  $D(L)_+$  is monochromatic.*

**Theorem 1.3.** *[Hindman] Let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . If one colors the set  $IP(A)_+$  in finitely many colors then there exists an infinite subset  $L \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $IP(L)_+ \subset IP(A)_+$  and  $IP(L)_+$  is monochromatic.*

In view of these two famous and basic theorems it is natural to pose the following question. Suppose a finite coloring of a set of the form  $SIP_+(A) = D(IP(A))_+$  is given, is there an infinite subset  $L \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $SIP_+(L) \subset SIP_+(A)$  and  $SIP_+(L)$  is monochromatic?

In other words the question is: is there a combined Ramsey-Hindman theorem?

In this paper we will show, as expected, that the answer to this question is negative. We show that it fails in a strong sense and, in the process, raise some related dynamics questions. For more details and background see [2] and [1]. We thank Benjy Weiss for his very helpful advice.

## 2. FAMILIES OF SETS

For an infinite set  $Q$  a *family*  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $Q$  is a collection of subsets of  $Q$  which is hereditary upwards. That is,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the power set of  $Q$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subset B$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ . For any collection  $\mathcal{F}_1$  of subsets of  $Q$ , the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{B : A \subset B \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$  is the *family generated by*  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .

The *dual family*

$$\mathcal{F}_1^* = \{B : B \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$$

is indeed a family, and when  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a family we have

$$\mathcal{F}_1^* = \{B : Q \setminus B \notin \mathcal{F}_1\}.$$

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  is *proper* when  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ . The dual of a proper family is proper and  $\mathcal{F}^{**} = \mathcal{F}$ .

Given families  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  the *join*  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_2 = \{A_1 \cap A_2 : A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$ . By the heredity condition  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_2$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_2$  is proper iff  $\mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}_1^*$ . We say that two proper families *meet* when the join is proper.

It is easy to check that for families  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$

- $(\mathcal{F}^*)^* = \mathcal{F}$ .
- $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$  implies  $\mathcal{F}_2^* \subset \mathcal{F}_1^*$ . More generally,  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$  implies  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F}_2^* \subset \mathcal{F}_1^*$ .
- $\mathcal{F}^*$  is proper if  $\mathcal{F}$  is proper.

If  $\mathcal{P}_+$  is the collection of all nonempty subsets of  $Q$  then  $\mathcal{P}_+$  is the largest proper family with dual  $(\mathcal{P}_+)^* = \{Q\}$ , the smallest proper family. The collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of all infinite subsets of  $Q$  is a proper family and the dual  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is the family of all cofinite subsets of  $Q$ .

A family is a *filter* when it is proper and closed under finite intersection. That is,  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Equivalently,  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and so  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter iff  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F}^* \subset \mathcal{F}^*$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter, then  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^*$ .

The dual of a filter is called a *filterdual*. It is sometimes called a *divisible family*. A family is a filterdual when it satisfies what Furstenberg dubbed the *Ramsey Property*:

$$(2.1) \quad A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{F} \quad \implies \quad A_1 \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{or} \quad A_2 \in \mathcal{F}.$$

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $Q$  is *full* if it is proper and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $B \setminus F \in \mathcal{F}$  for any finite  $F \subset Q$  and so  $\mathcal{F}$  is full if  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{B}^* = \mathcal{F}$ . A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is full iff  $\mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{F}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is the smallest full filter while  $\mathcal{P}_+^* = \{Q\}$  is the smallest filter.

If a family  $\mathcal{F}$  is full then

$$\mathcal{F}^* = \{B : B \cap A \text{ is infinite, for all } A \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

and  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is full.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filterdual then, by induction, for all positive integers  $k$ ,  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . We can interpret this in terms of colorings. If one colors a set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  in finitely many colors then there exists  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $B \subset A$  and  $B$  is monochromatic.

Thus, the Ramsey Theorem 1.2 implies that the family of difference sets is a filterdual on  $\mathbb{N}$  and the Hindman Theorem 1.3 says exactly that the family of IP sets is a filterdual on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

If  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  is infinite and  $K$  is a positive integer then  $A \setminus [1, K]$  is infinite and  $IP(A \setminus [1, K])$  is disjoint from  $[1, K]$  and is contained in  $IP(A)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{I}$  the family of IP sets is a full family. If  $A = \{a_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $a_{k+1} > a_k + K$  for all  $k$  then  $D(A)_+$  is disjoint from  $[1, K]$ . Since any infinite set contains such a subsequence it follows that the family of difference sets is full as well. We will see below that the family  $\mathcal{S}$  of SIP sets is also full.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family on  $\mathbb{N}$  then  $\mathcal{F}$  is *invariant* if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $(A+n)_+ = (A+n) \cap \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A proper, invariant family is full since  $A \setminus [1, n] = ((A-n)_+ + n)_+$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of infinite sets, i. e.  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$ , then we let

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma\mathcal{F} &= \{ (A+n)_+ : A \in \mathcal{F}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F} &= \{ A : (A+n)_+ \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\gamma\mathcal{F}$  is the smallest invariant family containing  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  is a largest invariant family contained in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

It is easy to see that the dual of an invariant family is invariant from which it follows that for any family  $\mathcal{F}$

$$(\gamma\mathcal{F})^* = \tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{F}^*).$$

Also, one observes that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter then  $\tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  is an invariant filter contained in  $\mathcal{F}$  and so  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}^*)$  is an invariant filterdual containing the filterdual  $\mathcal{F}^*$ .

### 3. DYNAMICS

We call  $(X, T)$  a *dynamical system* when  $X$  is a compact metric space and  $T$  is a homeomorphism on  $X$ . We review some well-known facts about such systems.

If  $A, B \subset X$  then the *hitting time set* is

$$N(A, B) = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : T^n(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset \} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : A \cap T^{-n}(B) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

If  $A = \{x\}$  then we write  $N(x, B)$  for  $N(A, B)$ . Observe that for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} N(A, T^k(B)) &= N(A, B) + k, \\ N(A, T^{-k}(B)) &\supset (N(A, B) - k)_+ \supset N(A, T^{-k}(B)) \setminus [1, k]. \end{aligned}$$

The system  $(X, T)$  is *topologically transitive* if whenever  $U, V \subset X$  are nonempty and open,  $N(U, V)$  is nonempty. In that case, all such  $N(U, V)$ 's are infinite. A point  $x \in X$  is called a *transitive point* if  $N(x, U)$  is nonempty for every open and nonempty  $U$  in which case, again, the  $N(x, U)$ 's are infinite. We denote by  $Trans_T$  the set of transitive points in  $X$ . The system is topologically transitive iff  $Trans_T$  is nonempty in which case it is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ . The system is *minimal* when  $Trans_T = X$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $U, V \subset X$  are nonempty and open and  $x$  is a transitive point for  $(X, T)$ , then*

$$(3.2) \quad N(U, V) = (N(x, V) - N(x, U))_+.$$

**Proof:** If  $n > m$  and  $T^n(x) \in V, T^m(x) \in U$  then  $T^{n-m}(T^m(x)) = T^n(x) \in V$  implies  $n - m \in N(U, V)$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $k \in N(U, V)$ . Then  $U \cap T^{-k}(V)$  is a nonempty open set and so there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T^m(x) \in U \cap T^{-k}(V)$ . Hence,  $T^m(x) \in U$  and  $T^n(x) \in V$  with  $n - m = k$ .

□

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $U$  be an open set with  $x \in U$  where  $x$  is a recurrent point for  $(X, T)$ . The hitting time set  $N(x, U)$  is an IP set.*

*Assume, in addition, that there exists an involution  $J$  on  $X$  which maps  $T$  to  $T^{-1}$  and fixes  $x$ . That is,  $J^2 = id_X$ ,  $J \circ T = T^{-1} \circ J$  and  $J(x) = x$ . In that case,  $N(x, U)$  is an SIP set.*

**Proof:** We assume  $J$  exists as described above. By intersecting  $U$  and  $J(U)$  we can assume that  $U$  is  $J$  invariant.

Suppose that  $F_N \subset \mathbb{N}$  of cardinality  $N$  such that  $SIP(F_N)_+ \subset N(x, U)$ . That is, for every  $n \in SIP(F_N)_+$ ,  $T^n(x) \in U$ . Since  $J$  fixes  $x$  and  $U$  and maps  $T$  to  $T^{-1}$  it follows that  $T^{-n}(x) \in U$  for all such  $n$  as well. That is,  $T^n(x) \in U$  for all  $n$  in the symmetric finite set  $SIP(F_N)$ . Let  $V = \bigcap_{n \in SIP(F_N)} T^{-n}(U)$ . By symmetry,  $J(V) = V$  and  $V$  is a nonempty open set containing  $x$ . Since  $N(x, V)$  is infinite, there exists  $m \in N(x, V)$  which is larger than any element of  $SIP(F_N)$ . By construction  $T^n(x) \in U$  for all  $n \in SIP(F \cup \{m\})$ . It follows that  $F_{N+1} = F_N \cup \{m\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  has cardinality  $N + 1$  and  $SIP(F \cup \{m\})_+ \subset N(x, U)$ .

Let  $F = \bigcup_N \{F_N\}$ . Since we go from  $F$  to  $IP(F)$  via finite sums, it follows that  $SIP(F) = \bigcup_N \{SIP(F_N)\}$ . Hence,  $SIP(F)_+ \subset N(x, U)$ .

The inductive construction for the more general IP result is similar, but easier, as the dance using symmetry is not required.

□

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $(X, T)$  is (recurrent) topologically transitive and  $U, V \subset X$  are nonempty and open then  $N(U, U)$  is an SIP set and  $N(U, V)$  is the translation of an SIP set.*

**Proof:** Let  $x$  be a transitive point contained in  $U$ . By Proposition 3.1  $N(U, U) = (N(x, U) - N(x, U))_+$  and by Proposition 3.2  $N(x, U)$  is an IP set.

Now let  $n \in N(U, V)$  and let  $U_0 = U \cap T^{-n}(V)$ .  $N(U, T^{-n}(V))$  contains the SIP set  $N(U_0, U_0)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is a full family, (3.1) implies that  $N(U, V)$  is the translate of an SIP set.

□

It is possible to get SIP recurrence under much more general circumstances. E.g. as a direct consequence of a theorem of Glasner ([3, Theorem 1.56]) we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(X, T)$  be a minimal metric dynamical system, then there is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $X_0 \subset X$  such that every  $x \in X_0$  is SIP recurrent.*

However, Proposition 3.2 will take care of what we need. We use it to produce a dynamic example which will prove the following:

**Theorem 3.5.** *The family  $\mathcal{S}$  of SIP sets is not a filterdual.*

**Proof:** We consider the case where  $X$  is the circle  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and with  $a$  a fixed irrational let  $T(x) = x + a$ , the *irrational rotation on the circle*. This is a minimal system and so every point is a transitive point

We can regard the circle as  $X = [-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}]$  with  $-\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ . The involution  $J$  on  $X$  given by  $x \mapsto -x$  fixes 0 and maps  $T$  to  $T^{-1}$ . Hence, if  $U$  is a open set containing 0 then  $N(0, U)$  is an SIP set by Proposition 3.2. If  $b \in X$  then the translation  $x \mapsto x + b$  commutes with  $T$  and maps 0 to  $b$ . It follows that if  $U$  is an open set which contains  $b$  then  $N(b, U)$  is an SIP set.

Let  $U = (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}), U_+ = [0, \frac{1}{8}), U_- = (-\frac{1}{8}, 0]$ . The SIP set  $N(0, U)$  is the union  $N(0, U_+) \cup N(0, U_-)$  and we will show that neither  $N(0, U_+)$  nor  $N(0, U_-)$  is an SIP set. Replacing  $a$  by  $-a$  interchanges the two sets and so it suffices to focus on  $N(0, U_+)$ . We have to show that there is no infinite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $SIP(A)_+ \subset N_T(0, U_+)$

Assume such  $A$  exists. Let

$$M = \sup \{T^t(0) = ta : t \in SIP(A)_+\}.$$

Thus,  $0 < M \leq \frac{1}{8}$ . Given any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a finite subset  $F \subset A_+$  with  $0 < \sigma_F$  and such that  $M - \epsilon < T^{\sigma_F}(0) = \sigma_F a \leq M \leq \frac{1}{8}$ . Since  $A$  is infinite, there exists  $t \in A$  larger than all the elements of  $SIP(F)_+$  and so with  $t > \sigma_F$ . Thus,  $t - \sigma_F, t, t + \sigma_F \in SIP(A)_+ \subset N_T(0, U_+)$ . Thus,  $0 < (t - \sigma_F)a \leq \frac{1}{8}$ . Since  $2\sigma_F a \leq 2M \leq \frac{1}{4}$ , we have  $(t + \sigma_F)a = (t - \sigma_F)a + 2\sigma_F a > 2(M - \epsilon)$ . If  $\epsilon$  is chosen less than  $\frac{M}{2}$  then  $t + \sigma_F \in SIP(A)_+$  with  $(t + \sigma_F)a > M$ . This contradicts the definition of  $M$ .

□

However, the dynamics suggests a further conjecture.

A dynamical system  $(X, T)$  is called  $\mathcal{F}$  topologically transitive for a proper family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  if for all  $U, V \subset X$  open and nonempty  $N(U, V) \in \mathcal{F}$ . From (3.1) it follows that every translate of  $N(U, V)$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}$  and so  $N(U, V) \in \tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$ . That is, an  $\mathcal{F}$  topologically transitive family is automatically a  $\tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$  topologically transitive family.

A system  $(X, T)$  is called *mild mixing* if it is  $\mathcal{S}^*$  topologically transitive. Glasner and Weiss [4, Theorem 4.11, page 614] (and also, independently, Huang and Ye [7]) prove the following.

**Theorem 3.6.**  *$(X, T)$  is mild mixing iff for every topologically transitive system  $(Y, S)$  the product system  $(X \times Y, T \times S)$  is topologically transitive.*

**Proof:** Suppose  $U_1, V_1 \subset X$  and  $U_2, V_2 \subset Y$  are open and nonempty. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $U_3 = U_2 \cap S^{-n}(V_2) \subset Y$  is open and nonempty. By Corollary 3.3  $N(U_2, S^{-n}(V_2)) \supset N(U_3, U_3)$  is an SIP set. Because  $(X, T)$  is mild mixing  $N(U_1, T^{-n}(V_1))$  is an  $SIP^*$  set. Because  $\mathcal{S}$  is a full family the intersection is infinite. The intersection is  $N(U_1 \times U_2, (T \times S)^{-n}(V_1 \times V_2))$  and so by (3.1),  $N(U_1 \times U_2, V_1 \times V_2)$  is infinite. Thus, the product is topologically transitive.

If  $(X, T)$  is not mild mixing then there exist  $U, V \subset X$  open and nonempty and an SIP set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N(U, V) \cap A = \emptyset$ . The result then follows a construction of Glasner-Weiss which shows

**Theorem 3.7.** *If  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  is an SIP set then there exists a topologically transitive system  $(Y, S)$  and  $G \subset Y$  a nonempty open set such that  $N(G, G) \subset A$ .*

□

**Corollary 3.8.** *The product of any collection of mild mixing systems is mild mixing.*

**Proof:** If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are mild mixing homeomorphisms and  $S$  is topologically transitive, then  $T_2 \times S$  is transitive and so  $T_1 \times T_2 \times S$  is transitive. Hence,  $T_1 \times T_2$  is mild mixing.

By induction a finite product of mild mixing systems is mild mixing.

An infinite product times  $S$  is the inverse limit of finite products times  $S$  and the inverse limit of transitive systems is transitive. It follows that the infinite product is mild mixing.

□

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the family on  $\mathbb{N}$  generated by  $\{N(U, V) : (X, T) \text{ mild mixing and } U, V \subset X \text{ open and nonempty}\}$ . From Corollary 3.8 it follows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a filter. Because  $\mathcal{S}^*$  transitivity implies  $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*)$  transitivity it follows that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ .

By the Hindman Theorem,  $\mathcal{J}$  the family of IP sets is a filterdual and so  $\mathcal{J}^*$  is a filter. It then follows that  $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{J}^*) = (\gamma(\mathcal{J}))^*$  is a filter.

We know from the above example that  $\mathcal{S}^*$  is not a filter, but it might still be true that  $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*)$  is a filter. This would be true if  $\mathcal{M} = \tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ . In that case,  $\gamma\mathcal{S}$  would be a filterdual. In the example itself,  $N(0, U_+)$  is not an SIP set, but if  $T^k(0) \in (-\frac{1}{8}, 0)$  then 0 is in the interior of



$T^k(U_+)$  and so  $N(0, T^k(U_+))$  is an SIP set. From (3.1) it follows that  $N(0, U_+)$  is the translate of an SIP set.

It is to this question that we now turn. As we will see this conjecture fails as well.

#### 4. SIP SETS AND THEIR REFINEMENTS

Let  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b = 2e + 1$  so that  $b$  is an odd number greater than 1. Define  $\alpha_b : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $\alpha_b(n) = b^{n-1}$ . The  $b$  expansion of an integer  $t$  is the sum  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \epsilon_n \alpha_b(n) = t$  such that:

- $|\epsilon_n| \leq e$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $\epsilon_n = 0$  for all but finitely many  $n$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Every integer in  $\mathbb{Z}$  has a unique  $b$  expansion.*

**Proof:** By the Euclidean Algorithm every integer  $t$  can be expressed uniquely as  $\epsilon + bs$  with  $|\epsilon| \leq e$ . It follows by induction that every integer  $t$  with  $|t| < \frac{1}{2}(b^k - 1)$  has an expansion with  $\epsilon_n = 0$  for  $n \geq k$ . There are  $b^k$  such integers and the same number of expansions. So by the pigeonhole principle the expansions are unique.

□

We will only need the  $b = 3$  expansions with  $e = 1$  so that each  $\epsilon_n = -1, +1$  or  $0$ . We will write  $\alpha$  for  $\alpha_3$  so that  $\alpha(n) = 3^{n-1}$ . From Proposition 4.1 we obviously have

$$\mathbb{Z} = SIP(\alpha(\mathbb{N})).$$

The *length*  $r(t)$  of  $t$  is the number of nonzero  $\epsilon_i$ 's in the expansion of  $t$ . With  $r = r(t)$  we let  $j_1(t), \dots, j_r(t)$  be the corresponding indices written in increasing order. That is,

- $j_1(t) < \dots < j_r(t)$  and  $\epsilon_{j_i(t)} = \pm 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r = r(t)$ .
- $t = \sum_{i=1}^r \epsilon_{j_i(t)} \alpha(j_i(t))$ .

We call this representation the *reduced expansion* and  $j_1(t), \dots, j_r(t)$  the *indices* of  $t$ .

Notice that 0 has length 0 and equals the empty sum.

Because  $3^{n+1} > 1 + 3 + \dots + 3^n$  it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad t > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \epsilon_{j_r(t)} = 1.$$

**Definition 4.2.** Assume that  $j_1(t), \dots, j_r(t)(t)$  and  $j_1(s), \dots, j_r(s)(s)$  are the indices of the reduced expansions for  $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(a) Call  $t$  of *positive type* (or of *negative type*) if  $\epsilon_{j_1(t)}\epsilon_{j_r(t)}$  is positive (resp. is negative). So  $t$  is of positive type if coefficients of its first and last indices have the same sign. By convention we will say that 0 is of positive type.

(b) We will write  $t \succ s$  if  $j_1(t) > j_r(s)$ , that is, the indices for  $t$  are larger than all of the indices of  $s$ . We will say that  $t$  is *beyond*  $s$  when  $t \succ s$ .

If  $t > 0$  then  $\epsilon_{j_r(t)} = 1$ , and so  $t$  is of positive type (or negative type) if  $\epsilon_{j_1(t)}$  is positive (resp.  $\epsilon_{j_1(t)}$  is negative).

Notice that if  $j_r(s) = n + 1$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad t \succ s \quad \iff \quad t \succ 3^n \quad \iff \quad t \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{n+1}},$$

Now we turn to SIP sets.

**Definition 4.3.** (a) We call a strictly increasing function  $k : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  a *+function*.

(b) If  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are +functions we say that  $k_2$  *directly refines*  $k_1$  if  $k_2(\mathbb{N}) \subset k_1(\mathbb{N})$ . We say that  $k_2$  *refines*  $k_1$  if  $IP(k_2(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(k_1(\mathbb{N}))$ .

Clearly, direct refinement implies refinement and each relation is transitive.

For an infinite subset  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  there is a unique +function  $k_A$  such that  $k_A(\mathbb{N}) = A$ , i. e. the function which counts the elements of  $A$  in increasing order.

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $k$  is a +function, then for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a +function  $k_1$  such that*

- $k_1$  refines  $k$ .
- $k_1(n) \succ 3^{N-1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof:** By induction we can assume that  $k(n) \succ 3^{N-2}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (the condition is vacuous when  $N = 1$ ). This means that  $j_1(k(n)) \geq N$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Case 1: There is an infinite set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $j_1(k(n)) > N$  for all  $n \in A$ . Let  $k_1$  be the +function with  $k_1(\mathbb{N}) = k(A)$ . Then  $k_1$  is a direct refinement of  $k$  and  $j_1(k_1(n)) \geq N + 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i. e.  $k_1(n) \succ 3^{N-1}$  for all  $n$ .

Case 2: There is an infinite set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $j_1(k(n)) = N$  and  $\epsilon_{j_1(k(n))} = \delta = -1$  for all  $n \in A$ , or there is an infinite set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $j_1(k(n)) = N$  and  $\epsilon_{j_1(k(n))} = \delta = +1$  for all  $n \in A$ .

Let  $\tilde{k}$  be the +function with  $\tilde{k}(\mathbb{N}) = k(A)$ , a direct refinement of  $k$  and  $\tilde{k}(n) \equiv \delta 3^{N-1} \pmod{3^N}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now define

$$k_1(n) = \tilde{k}(3n-2) + \tilde{k}(3n-1) + \tilde{k}(3n).$$

Clearly,  $IP(k_1(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(\tilde{k}(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(k(\mathbb{N}))$  and so  $k_1$  refines  $k$ . For all  $n$ ,  $k_1(n) \equiv 3\delta 3^{N-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3^N}$ . Thus,  $k_1(n) \succ 3^{N-1}$  for all  $n$ .

□

**Theorem 4.5.** *If  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  is a translate of an SIP set then there exists  $t_0 \in A$  and +function  $k$  such that*

- (i)  $k(1) \succ t_0$ .
- (ii)  $k(n+1) \succ k(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (iii) *Either  $k(n)$  is of positive type for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , or else  $k(n)$  is of negative type for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*
- (iv)  $t_0 + SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))_+ = (t_0 + SIP(k(\mathbb{N})))_+ \subset A$ .

**Proof:** There exists  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$  and a +function  $k_0$  such that  $(SIP(k_0(\mathbb{N})) + u)_+ \subset A$ .

For sufficiently large  $N_0$ ,  $t_0 = u + \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} k_0(n) > 0$  and so lies in  $A$ .

Let  $k_0^+$  be the direct refinement of  $k_0$  with  $k_0^+(\mathbb{N}) = k_0([N_0 + 1, \infty))$ .

Hence,

$$(4.3) \quad (t_0 + SIP(k_0^+(\mathbb{N})))_+ \subset A.$$

Now we repeatedly apply Lemma 4.4.

Let  $N_1 > j_r(t_0)$ .

Choose  $k_1$  a +function which refines  $k_0^+$  and with  $k_1(n) \succ 3^{N_1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $k_1(1) \succ t_0$ . So from (4.3) we have

$$(4.4) \quad (t_0 + SIP(k_1(\mathbb{N})))_+ \subset A.$$

Let  $k_1^+$  be the direct refinement of  $k_1$  with  $k_1^+(\mathbb{N}) = k_1([2, \infty))$ .

Let  $N_2 > j_r(k_1(1))$  and choose  $k_2$  a +function which refines  $k_1^+$  and with  $k_2(n) \succ 3^{N_2}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $k_2(1) \succ k_1(1)$ . Furthermore,

$$(4.5) \quad IP[\{k_1(1)\} \cup IP(k_2(\mathbb{N}))] \subset IP[\{k_1(1)\} \cup IP(k_1^+(\mathbb{N}))] = IP(k_1(\mathbb{N})).$$

Inductively, let  $k_q^+$  be the direct refinement of  $k_q$  with  $k_q^+(\mathbb{N}) = k_q([2, \infty))$  and let  $N_{q+1} > j_r(k_q(1))$ . Choose  $k_{q+1}$  a refinement of  $k_q^+$  with  $k_{q+1}(n) \succ 3^{N_{q+1}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $k_{q+1}(1) \succ k_q(1)$  and

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} IP[\{k_q(1)\} \cup IP(k_{q+1}(\mathbb{N}))] &\subset IP[\{k_q(1)\} \cup IP(k_q^+(\mathbb{N}))] = IP(k_q(\mathbb{N})), \\ IP[\{k_1(1), \dots, k_q(1)\} \cup IP(k_{q+1}(\mathbb{N}))] &\subset IP(k_1(\mathbb{N})). \end{aligned}$$

Now define  $\tilde{k}(n) = k_n(1)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Either  $\tilde{k}(n)$  is of positive type infinitely often or of negative type infinitely often (or both). So we can choose a direct refinement  $k$  of  $\tilde{k}$  so that, (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. In addition,

$$IP(k(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(\tilde{k}(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(k_1(\mathbb{N})).$$

Clearly,  $k(n+1) \succ k(n) \succ t_0$  and from (4.6) it follows that  $IP(\tilde{k}(\mathbb{N})) \subset IP(k_1(\mathbb{N}))$  and so from (4.4)  $[t_0 + SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))]_+ \subset A$ .

Since  $k(n) \succ t_0$  for all  $n$  it follows from (4.2) that  $t \succ t_0$  for all  $t \in SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))$ . Hence, if  $t \in SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))$  is negative then  $t_0 + t$  is negative. Thus,  $[t_0 + SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))]_+ = t_0 + [SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))]_+$ , completing the proof of (iv).

□

**Remark:** Since  $N_1$  can be chosen arbitrarily large it follows that  $SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))_+$  and hence  $t_0 + SIP(k(\mathbb{N}))_+$  can be chosen disjoint from an arbitrary finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\gamma\mathcal{S}$  are full families.

For two distinct numbers  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  define

$$(4.7) \quad \delta(n, m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } nm > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } nm < 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we define the *sign change count* to be the function  $z : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  so that if  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  has reduced expansion with indices  $j_1(t), \dots, j_{r(t)}(t)$  then

$$(4.8) \quad z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r(t)-1} \delta(\epsilon_{j_i}, \epsilon_{j_{i+1}}).$$

In particular, if the length is one then the sum is empty and so  $z(3^{n-1}) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For a positive integer  $K$  let  $\pi_K : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/K\mathbb{Z}$  be the quotient map mod  $K$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *If  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  is a translate of an SIP set then for every odd number  $K$ ,  $\pi_K \circ z : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/K\mathbb{Z}$  is surjective.*

**Proof:** Fix  $K$ . Since it is odd, 2 and  $-2$  generate the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/K\mathbb{Z}$ .

By Theorem 4.5 we can choose  $t_0 \in A$  and a  $+$ -function  $k$  which satisfies the four conditions of the theorem.

Let  $s_0 = t_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{2K+1} k(n)$ . Since  $k(n+1) \succ k(n) \succ t_0$  for all  $n$  we can regard the sequence  $\{k(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  as a sequence of disjoint ascending blocks in  $IP(\alpha)$ .

Since each  $k(n)$  is positive, each  $\epsilon_{j_{r_n}(k(n))}$  is positive, where  $r_n = r(k(n))$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, K - 1$  let

$$s_i = t_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{2i} (-1)^{n+1} k(n) + \sum_{n=2i+1}^{2K+1} k(n).$$

That is, moving from  $s_{i-1}$  to  $s_i$  we reverse the sign of the block  $k(2i)$  keeping the remaining blocks fixed. Clearly  $s_i \in A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, K - 1$ .

Case 1- Every  $k(n)$  is of positive type. Each  $\epsilon_{j_1(k(n))}$  is positive.

Moving from  $s_{i-1}$  to  $s_i$  increases  $z$  by exactly 2 because the  $++$  transition from  $j_{r_{2i-1}}(k(2i-1))$  to  $j_1(k(2i))$  is replaced by a  $+ -$  transition and the  $++$  transition from  $j_{r_{2i}}(k(2i))$  to  $j_1(k(2i+1))$  is replaced by a  $- +$  transition. Thus,  $\pi_K(z(s_i)) = \pi_K(z(s_{i-1})) + 2 \pmod{K}$ . Since 2 generates the cyclic group,  $\pi_K \circ z$  is surjective.

Case 2- Every  $k(n)$  for  $n > 1$  is of negative type. Each  $\epsilon_{j_1(k(n))}$  is negative. This time the  $+ -$  transition from  $j_{r_{2i-1}}(k(2i-1))$  to  $j_1(k(2i))$  is replaced by a  $++$  transition and the  $+ -$  transition from  $j_{r_{2i}}(k(2i))$  to  $j_1(k(2i+1))$  is replaced by a  $--$  transition. Thus, in this case,  $\pi_K(z(s_i)) = \pi_K(z(s_{i-1})) - 2 \pmod{K}$ . Again  $\pi_K \circ z$  is surjective.

□

We can now deduce the following:

**Theorem 4.7.** *If  $A$  is any SIP subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  (including  $\mathbb{N}$  itself), then  $A$  can be partitioned by two sets neither of which contains a translate of an SIP set. Thus, the family of translated SIP sets in  $\mathbb{N}$  is not a filterdual.*

**Proof:** With  $K = 3$ , the sign count map  $z : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  determines a coloring of  $\mathbb{N}$  and in any translated SIP set contained in  $IP(k)_+$  all three colors occur.

In particular, let

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{ t \in \mathbb{N} : z(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \}, \\ A_1 &= \mathbb{N} \setminus A_0 = \{ t \in \mathbb{N} : z(t) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Neither  $A_0$  nor  $A_1$  contains a translate of an SIP set. It follows that both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are elements of the dual  $(\gamma\mathcal{S})^* = \tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ .

□

In general the congruence classes  $\pmod{K}$  of  $z(t)$  (for  $K$  odd) define a decomposition of  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $K$  elements, each a member of  $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*) \subset \mathcal{S}^*$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*)$  fail to be filters in a very strong way.

## 5. DYNAMICS AGAIN

We defined the family  $\mathcal{M}$  generated by the sets  $N(U, V)$  with  $(X, T)$  mild mixing and  $U, V \subset X$  open and nonempty. We saw that  $\mathcal{M}$  is an invariant filter contained in  $\tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ . Now that we know that the latter is not a filter, we see that the inclusion is proper. Can we find another possible description of the sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ ?

For a proper family  $\mathcal{F}$  on an infinite set  $Q$  we define the *sharp dual*  $\mathcal{F}^\#$  by

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{F}^\# = \{ A \subset Q : A \cap B \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a proper family on an infinite set  $Q$ .*

- (a)  $\mathcal{F}^\#$  is a filter contained in  $\mathcal{F} \cap (\mathcal{F}^*)$ . It is full if  $\mathcal{F}$  is full.
- (b)  $\mathcal{F}^\# = (\mathcal{F}^*)^\#$ .
- (c) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter, then  $\mathcal{F}^\# = \mathcal{F}$ . In particular,  $(\mathcal{F}^\#)^\# = \mathcal{F}^\#$ .
- (d) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filterdual then  $\mathcal{F}^\# = \mathcal{F}^*$ .

**Proof:** (a) Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is proper,  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$  and so  $A \in \mathcal{F}^\#$  implies  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $A \in \mathcal{F}^*$ . Also,  $Q \in \mathcal{F}$  and so  $A = A \cap Q \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

If  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}^\#$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $(A_1 \cap A_2) \cap B = A_1 \cap (A_2 \cap B) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}^\#$ .

If  $A$  is a cofinite set and  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $\mathcal{F}$  is full. Thus,  $A \in \mathcal{F}^\#$ . That is,  $\mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{F}^\#$ . Since the latter is a filter, it is full.

(b) If  $A \in \mathcal{F}^\#, B_1 \in \mathcal{F}^*, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $(A \cap B_1) \cap B = (A \cap B) \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $B$  was arbitrary,  $A \cap B_1 \in \mathcal{F}^*$ . Since  $B_1$  was arbitrary,  $A \in (\mathcal{F}^*)^\#$ . The reverse inclusion follows from  $(\mathcal{F}^*)^* = \mathcal{F}$ .

(c) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter, then  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$  and so  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^\#$ . From (a) it follows that  $\mathcal{F}^\# \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

(d) This follows from (b) and (c).

□

**Theorem 5.2.**  $\mathcal{M} \subset (\gamma\mathcal{S})^\# = (\tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{S}^*))^\#$ .

**Proof:** The equation follows from Proposition 5.1 (b).

Now let  $(X, T)$  be mild mixing and  $U, V \subset X$  be open and nonempty. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  be a translation of an SIP set. We show that  $N(U, V) \cap A$  is the translation of an SIP set.

By the Glasner Weiss construction Theorem 3.7 there exists a topologically transitive system,  $(Y, S)$ ,  $G \subset Y$  open and nonempty and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $N(G, S^{-n}(G)) \setminus [1, |n|]$  is contained in  $A$ . It follows that  $N(U, V) \cap A$  contains  $N(U, V) \cap N(G, T^{-n}(G) \setminus [1, |n|])$ . Because

$(X \times Y, T \times S)$  is topologically transitive,  $N(U, V) \cap N(G, T^{-n}(G)) = N(U \times G, V \times T^{-n}(G))$  is the translation of an SIP set by Corollary 3.3. As  $\gamma\mathcal{S}$  is a full family, it follows that  $N(U, V) \cap A$  is in  $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ .

□

Our final conjecture is that  $\mathcal{M} = (\gamma\mathcal{S})^\#$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Akin, *Recurrence in topological dynamical systems: Furstenberg families and Ellis actions*, Plenum Press, New York, 1997.
2. H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory*, Princeton university press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.
3. E. Glasner, *Ergodic theory via joinings*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, AMS, **101**, 2003.
4. E. Glasner and B. Weiss, *On the interplay between measurable and topological dynamics*, Handbook of dynamical systems. Vol. **1B**, 597–648, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006.
5. R. Graham, B. L. Rothchild and J. H. Spencer, *Ramsey theory*, John Wiley & sons, 1980.
6. N. Hindman, *Finite sums from sequences within cells of a partition of  $N$* , J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A **17**, (1974), 1–11.
7. W. Huang and X. Ye, *Topological complexity, return times and weak disjointness*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24**, (2004), 825–846.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, THE CITY COLLEGE, 137 STREET AND CONVENT AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY, NY 10031, USA

*E-mail address:* ethanakin@earthlink.net

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEL-AVIV UNIVERSITY, RAMAT AVIV, ISRAEL

*E-mail address:* glasner@math.tau.ac.il