

# KUMMER CONGRUENCES ARISING FROM THE MIRROR SYMMETRY OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

ADELE LOPEZ

ABSTRACT. In the genus 1 case, mirror symmetry reduces to the statement that a certain family of generating functions, relating to an elliptic curve, are quasimodular. In their proof of this fact, Kaneko and Zagier used a related family of generating functions  $A_n(\tau)$ , which they show to be quasimodular. We show that these  $A_n$ 's also satisfy Kummer-type congruences. Additionally, we show that for a prime  $p$ , the  $p$ th power coefficients of  $A_n$   $p$ -adically converge to zero, for specific values of  $n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mirror symmetry is a special relation between Calabi-Yau manifolds. It concerns the generating function

$$(1.1) \quad F_g(t) = \sum_d N_{g,d} q^d,$$

which counts the (suitably adjusted) numbers  $N_{g,d}$  of holomorphic curves of genus  $g$  and degree  $d$  on a Calabi-Yau manifold  $X$ . These  $F_g(t)$  are symplectic manifold invariants of  $X$ . Mirror symmetry conjectures that these  $F_g(t)$  have an alternative interpretation as complex manifold invariants of a family of ‘mirror’ Calabi-Yau manifolds  $Y_t$ . This has been proven in the case where the Calabi-Yau manifolds have genus 1, where both  $X$  and the  $Y_t$ 's are elliptic curves. In this case, it reduces to the statement that these  $F_g(t)$  have a modularity property, specifically, that they are quasimodular [Dij95].

The space of quasimodular forms  $\widetilde{M}_*(\Gamma_1)$  of level 1 is the graded ring generated by the Eisenstein series  $E_2$ ,  $E_4$  and  $E_6$ , and graded by assigning weight  $k$  to  $E_k$ . If we replace  $E_2(\tau)$  (which is not modular), with  $E_2^*(\tau) = E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi \operatorname{Im}(\tau)}$  (which is modular, but not holomorphic), we obtain a weak harmonic Maass form, of which the quasimodular form is the holomorphic part. Kaneko and Zagier proved [KZ95] that the generating functions arising from the genus one case of mirror symmetry are quasimodular, along with a related set of generating functions, by considering the following generalization of the Jacobi theta function:

$$(1.2) \quad \Theta(X, q, \zeta) = \prod_{m>0} (1 - q^m) \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\ n \text{ odd}}} (1 - e^{n^2 X/8} q^{n/2} \zeta) (1 - e^{-n^2 X/8} q^{n/2} \zeta^{-1})$$

as a formal power series in  $X$  and  $q^{1/2}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]$ . A family of quasimodular forms,  $A_n(q)$ , may now be derived from this. Let  $\Theta_0(X, q)$  be the coefficient of  $\zeta^0$  in  $\Theta(X, q, \zeta)$

---

*Date:* October 11, 2018.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11F30, 11F33; Secondary: 14J33.

The author thanks the NSF for their generous support.

considered as a Laurent series in  $\zeta$ . Now expand  $\Theta_0(X, q)$  as a Taylor series in  $X$ :

$$(1.3) \quad \Theta_0(X, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(q) X^{2n}.$$

These  $A_n(q)$ 's are our objects of interest. They are quasimodular forms of weight  $6n$  and level 1, as proved by Kaneko and Zagier in their paper. This fact can then be used to prove that the  $F_g(\tau)$ 's, which are the coefficients of  $X^{2g-2}$  in  $\log \Theta_0$ , are quasimodular [KZ95]. (We can think of these  $A_n$ 's as 'counting' the number of not necessarily connected covers of an elliptic curve  $E$ , with Euler number  $2 - 2g$  and degree  $d$ .) Here we prove that the  $A_n$ 's (once normalized to have coprime integer coefficients) also satisfy Kummer-type congruences.

**Example.** For instance, letting  $\bar{A}_n$  denote the normalized  $A_n$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_2(q) &= q^2 + 80q^3 + 1230q^4 + 9248q^5 + 46020q^6 + 174624q^7 + 549704q^8 + \dots \\ &\equiv q^2 + 3q^5 + 4q^7 + 3q^{10} + 3q^{12} + 2q^{13} + q^{15} + q^{20} + 4q^{21} + 2q^{23} + \dots \pmod{5} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_4(q) &= q^2 + 6560q^3 + 1673310q^4 + 98704448q^5 + 2504270340q^6 + \dots \\ &\equiv q^2 + 3q^5 + 4q^7 + 3q^{10} + 3q^{12} + 2q^{13} + q^{15} + q^{20} + 4q^{21} + 2q^{23} + \dots \pmod{5} \end{aligned}$$

are congruent modulo 5, and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_3(q) &= q^2 + 728q^3 + 45990q^4 + 968240q^5 + 10876740q^6 + 81037296q^7 + \dots \\ &\equiv q^2 + q^8 + 2q^5 + 6q^6 + 5q^8 + 3q^9 + q^{11} + 5q^{12} + 2q^{14} + 3q^{15} + \dots \pmod{9} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_6(q) &= q^2 + 531440q^3 + 2176254990q^4 + 998066826848q^5 + \dots \\ &\equiv q^2 + q^8 + 2q^5 + 6q^6 + 5q^8 + 3q^9 + q^{11} + 5q^{12} + 2q^{14} + 3q^{15} + \dots \pmod{9} \end{aligned}$$

are congruent modulo 9.

In general, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For a prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $s$ , if  $2i \equiv 2j \pmod{\phi(p^s)}$ , then for  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\bar{A}_i(q) \equiv \bar{A}_j(q) \pmod{p^s},$$

where  $\phi$  is Euler's totient function.

*Remark.* These congruences are not a trivial consequence of the Kummer-type congruences that are well known to hold for the Eisenstein series. We will demonstrate this in the last section.

*Remark.* The author was unable to find a conceptual proof of this theorem from the theory of elliptic curves and mirror symmetry.

In addition to the relative congruences relating these quasimodular forms, the  $p$ th power coefficients tend  $p$ -adically to zero. This follows from Serre's theory of  $p$ -adic modular forms [Ser73]. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $k \geq 1$  and  $p$  is a prime less than 7 or  $6k \equiv 4, 6, 8, 10, 14 \pmod{p-1}$ , then  $p$ -adically, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_k(p^n) = 0,$$

where  $a_k(i)$  is the  $i$ th coefficient of  $\overline{A}_k$ .

**Example.** The 3rd power coefficients for  $\overline{A}_3$  begin with:

$$\begin{aligned} a_3(3^1) &= 728 & &= 2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13, \\ a_3(3^2) &= 2028730080 & &= 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 89 \cdot 281, \\ a_3(3^3) &= 1747100845087920 & &= 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 617 \cdot 187275523, \\ a_3(3^4) &= 1249380857829754167840 & &= 2^5 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 794953 \cdot 824956519. \end{aligned}$$

The increasing powers of 3 illustrate the fact that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_3(3^n) = 0$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks Ken Ono for suggesting this problem, and for his helpful advice.

## 2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

Here we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* This result is a consequence of equation (5.9) in Dijkgraaf [Dij95]. For completeness, we will provide the full argument here.

We'll begin by considering the second product from our expression of  $\Theta(X, q, \zeta)$  in (1.2). First, replace  $n$  with  $r = n/2$ , and factor it into two infinite products. This product becomes

$$(2.1) \quad \prod_{r \in I} (1 - e^{r^2 X/2} q^r \zeta) \prod_{s \in I} (1 - e^{-s^2 X/2} q^s \zeta^{-1}),$$

where  $I$  is the set of positive half-integers.

This product has a combinatorial interpretation. A term of this double product can be computed by taking two finite subsets  $A, B$  of  $I$ , and restricting the product to  $r \in A$  and  $s \in B$ . For our purposes, we only need to consider the terms which are constant with respect to  $\zeta$ , as these are the only terms used in the definition of the  $A_n$ 's. These terms are precisely the ones for which  $|A| = |B|$ . Also, note that the exponent of  $q$  in the term is counting the combined sum of all the elements of  $A$  and  $B$ .

Consider pairs of sets  $A, B \subset I$  with the same cardinality and the same combined sum  $d$ . We can construct a unique partition of  $d$  from each distinct pair of sets, and vice-versa. Thus, we can arrange the terms of interest into a sum over partitions as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell \in \text{Partitions}(d)} e^{\lambda(\ell)X} q^d,$$

where

$$\lambda(\ell) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{r \in A} r^2 - \sum_{s \in B} s^2 \right),$$

with  $A$  and  $B$  being the sets of half-integers corresponding to the partition  $\ell$ .

Now, let's relate all this back to the  $A_n$ 's. From the above reasoning, we can see that  $\Theta_0(X, q)$  from (1.3) becomes

$$(2.3) \quad \Theta_0(X, q) = \prod_{m>0} (1 - q^m) \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell \in \text{Partitions}(d)} e^{\lambda(\ell)X} q^d.$$

Expand the exponential as a Taylor series to get

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta_0(X, q) = \prod_{m>0} (1 - q^m) \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell \in \text{Partitions}(d)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \lambda(\ell)^k X^k q^d.$$

Hence, we have that

$$(2.5) \quad A_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2n)!} \prod_{m>0} (1 - q^m) \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell \in \text{Partitions}(d)} \lambda(\ell)^{2n} q^d.$$

Since for any odd integer  $a$ ,  $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ,  $\lambda(\ell)$  is always an integer. Considering pairs of a partition and its conjugate, we see that the sum of the  $\lambda(\ell)^k$ 's for the partitions of a given number will either be zero for odd  $k$ , and even for even  $k$ . Thus, the normalized  $A_n$ 's are described by

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{A}_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{m>0} (1 - q^m) \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell \in \text{Partitions}(d)} \lambda(\ell)^{2n} q^d.$$

It is simple to check that the coefficient of  $q^2$  in  $\bar{A}_n$  is one for every  $n > 0$ .

The theorem then follows from Euler's generalization of Fermat's little theorem. □

The second theorem is a simple consequence of Serre's work on  $p$ -adic modular forms [Ser73].

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Since quasimodular forms are generated by  $E_2$ ,  $E_4$ , and  $E_6$ , and since  $E_2$  is a  $p$ -adic modular form of weight 2 [Ser73], it follows from Kaneko and Zagier [KZ95] that  $A_k$  is a  $p$ -adic modular form of weight  $6k$ . The theorem then follows from Theorem 7 in Serre [Ser73]. □

We now will demonstrate the fact that Theorem 1.1 is not a trivial consequence of the Kummer congruences for the Eisenstein series.

**Example.** Recall that  $\bar{A}_2 \equiv \bar{A}_4 \pmod{5}$ . We will convert this into a relation of Eisenstein series. Since the quasimodular forms of level 1 are generated by the Eisenstein series  $E_2$ ,  $E_4$ , and  $E_6$ , we can compute

$$\bar{A}_2(q) = \frac{-875E_2^6 + 2220E_2^4E_4 - 1791E_2^2E_4^2 + 1050E_4^3 + 580E_2^3E_6 - 1788E_2E_4E_6 + 604E_6^2}{447897600},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{A}_4(q) = & (-7072690625E_2^{12} + 29791020000E_2^{10}E_4 - 17984909250E_2^8E_4^2 - 41175027180E_2^6E_4^3 \\ & - 73855453833E_2^4E_4^4 + 692323272900E_2^2E_4^5 + 41478466500E_4^6 + 33993155000E_2^9E_6 \\ & - 298920573000E_2^7E_4E_6 + 920662991640E_2^5E_4^2E_6 - 1574832872088E_2^3E_4^3E_6 \\ & - 887970913200E_2E_4^4E_6 + 70320075000E_2^6E_6^2 - 283741244640E_2^4E_4E_6^2 \\ & + 1785182642712E_2^2E_4^2E_6^2 + 189036658800E_4^3E_6^2 - 189291716320E_2^3E_6^3 \\ & - 405118626528E_2E_4E_6^3 + 17175744112E_6^4)/60183678025728000. \end{aligned}$$

If we subtract these, renormalize, and reduce the coefficients of the polynomial in  $E_2, E_4,$  and  $E_6$  modulo 5, we get the following:

$$2(E_2^4E_4^4 + E_2^3E_4^3E_6 + E_2^2E_4^2E_6^2 + E_2E_4E_6^3 + E_6^4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

This congruence follows from the fact that  $E_4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $E_6 \equiv E_2 \pmod{5}$ . However, it is not obvious that  $\overline{A}_2 \equiv \overline{A}_4 \pmod{5}$  *a priori*.

#### REFERENCES

- [Dij95] Robbert Dijkgraaf, *Mirror symmetry and elliptic curves*, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 149–163. MR 1363055 (96m:14072)
- [KZ95] Masanobu Kaneko and Don Zagier, *A generalized Jacobi theta function and quasimodular forms*, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 165–172. MR 1363056 (96m:11030)
- [Ser73] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta  $p$ -adiques*, Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 191–268. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 350. MR 0404145 (53 #7949a)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, EMORY UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30322

*E-mail address:* `adele.lopez@emory.edu`