

Compressed Pattern-Matching with Ranked Variables in Zimin Words

Radosław Głowinski^{a,*}, Wojciech Rytter^{b,a,1,*}

^a*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Nicolaus Copernicus University,
Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland
(+48) (56) 611-3410, fax (+48) (56) 611-2987*

^b*Department of Mathematics, Computer Science and Mechanics,
University of Warsaw,
Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland
fax: (+48)(22) 55-44-200
glowir@mat.umk.pl, rytter@mimuw.edu.pl*

Abstract

Zimin words are very special finite words which are closely related to the pattern-avoidability problem. This problem consists in testing if an instance of a given pattern with variables occurs in almost all words over any finite alphabet. The problem is not well understood, no polynomial time algorithm is known and its NP-hardness is also not known. The pattern-avoidability problem is equivalent to searching for a pattern (with variables) in a Zimin word. The main difficulty is potentially exponential size of Zimin words. We use special properties of Zimin words, especially that they are highly compressible, to design efficient algorithms for special version of the pattern-matching, called here *ranked matching*. It gives a new interpretation of Zimin algorithm in compressed setting. We discuss the structure of rankings of variables and compressed representations of values of variables. Moreover, for a ranked matching we present efficient algorithms to find the shortest instance and the number of valuations of instances of the pattern.

1. Introduction

The research on pattern avoidability started in late 70's in the papers by Bean, Ehrenfeucht and McNulty [?], and independently by Zimin [?]. In the avoidability problem two disjoint finite alphabets, $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$ and $V = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ are given, the elements of A are letters (constants) and the elements of V are variables. We denote the empty word by ϵ . A pattern π is a sequences of variables. The language of a pattern with respect to an alphabet A consists of words $h(\pi)$, where h is any non-erasing morphism from V^* to A^+ . We say that word w encounters pattern π (or pattern occurs in this word) when there exists a morphism h , such that $h(\pi)$ is a subword of w . In the other case w avoids π .

The pattern π is unavoidable on A if every long enough word over A encounters π , otherwise it is avoidable on A . If π is unavoidable on every finite A then π is said to be unavoidable.

Example.

The pattern $\alpha\alpha$ is avoidable on a three letters alphabet, see [?], however it is unavoidable on any smaller alphabet. However $\alpha\beta\alpha$ is unavoidable on any alphabet.

The crucial role in avoidability problems play the words introduced by Zimin [?], called Zimin words, and denoted here by Z_k .

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: glowir@mat.umk.pl (Radosław Głowinski), rytter@mimuw.edu.pl (Wojciech Rytter)

¹Supported by grant no. N206 566740 of the National Science Centre.

Definition 1. (of Zimin words) *Let*

$$Z_1 = 1, Z_k = Z_{k-1} k Z_{k-1}$$

Example.

$$Z_1 = 1, Z_2 = 121, Z_3 = 1213121, Z_4 = 121312141213121$$

Observe that these words are exponentially long, however they have a very simple structure implying many useful properties. Define the Zimin morphism

$$\mu(1) = 121, \text{ and } (\forall i > 1) \mu(i) = i + 1 .$$

Fact.

- The morphism μ generates next Zimin word by mapping each letter according to μ . In other words: $Z_k = \mu(Z_{k-1})$.
- Each Zimin word, considered as a pattern, is unavoidable. Moreover it is a longest unavoidable pattern over k -th letter alphabet. There exists only one (up to letter permutation) unavoidable pattern of length $2^k - 1$ over a k -th letter alphabet and it is Z_k .

The main property of Zimin words is that the avoidability problem is reducible to pattern-matching in Zimin words, see [?], [?].

Lemma 1. π is an unavoidable pattern if and only if π occurs in Z_k , where k is the number of distinct symbols occurring in π .

2. Compact representation of pattern instances

One of the basic properties of Zimin words and their factors is related to the concept of *interleaving* introduced below.

Definition 2. A sequence u is j -interleaved iff for each two adjacent elements of u exactly one equals j .

The Zimin word Z_k can be alternatively defined as follows:

- (A) Z_k starts with 1 and ends with 1;
- (B) $|Z_k| = 2^k - 1$;
- (C) For each $1 \leq j \leq k$ after removing all elements smaller than j the obtained sequence is j -interleaved

Lemma 2. If $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}^+$, then u is a factor of Z_k iff it satisfies the condition (C).

Proof. We induction on k . For $k = 1$ it is obvious. Assume it is true for $j < k$ and $k > 1$.

Remove all letters 1 from u , we obtain u' , then change each letter i to $i - 1$, in this way we get a word u'' , which also satisfies the condition (C). By inductive assumption u'' is a factor of Z_{k-1} . We add letter 1 at the beginning and at the end of $\mu(u'')$ unless it is already there and denote obtained sequence as v . However u is a factor of v and $\mu(Z_{k-1}) = Z_k$. Consequently u is a factor of Z_k as a factor of v . This completes the proof. \square

This gives a simple linear time algorithm to check if an explicitly given sequence is a factor of Z_k . However we are dealing with patterns, and instances of the pattern can be exponential with respect to the length of the pattern and the number of distinct variables. The instance is given by values of each variable which are factors of Z_k . Hence we introduce compact representation of factors of Zimin words.

We partition u into $w_1 m w_2$, where m is a highest number in w (in every subword of Zimin word the highest number occurs exactly once). Then for each element i of w_1 , respectively w_2 , we remove i if there are larger elements to the left and to the right of this element. In other words if there is a factor $s \alpha i \beta t$, with $i < s, i < t$, we remove the element i . Denote by $compress(u)$ the result of removing all redundant i in u .

Observation.

$compress(u)$ uniquely encodes a factor of a Zimin word.

Example.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & compress(2141213121512131) = & 24531 & & & \\
 & & compress(Z_4) = compress(121312141213121) = & 1234321 & & & \\
 & \alpha & & \beta & & \gamma & & \beta \\
 1\ 2 & \boxed{1\ 3\ 1\ 2} & \boxed{1\ 4\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1} & \boxed{2\ 1\ 5\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1\ 2} & \boxed{1\ 4\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 1} & 2\ 1 & & \\
 & 1\ 3\ 2 & 1\ 4\ 3\ 1 & 2\ 5\ 3\ 2 & 1\ 4\ 3\ 1 & & &
 \end{array}$$

Figure 1: Example of a compact representation. In the first line there is a pattern, in the second uncompressed valuation of variables and in the third compressed valuation.

Fact.

For any $u \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}^+$ the first and the last elements of u and $compress(u)$ are respectively equal. Notice that compressed representation of any subword of Z_k has at most $2 * k - 1$ letters and the representation of all variables requires $O(k^2)$ memory (under the assumption that only $O(1)$ space is necessary for representing each number).

For a valuation (morphism) h of the variables by its ranking function R_h we mean the function which assigns to each variable x_i its rank: $R_h(x_i)$ denotes the maximal letter in $h(x_i)$.

For a pattern π and a given valuation of variable by $\pi_{(i)}$ we mean the pattern with variables of ranks smaller than i removed from π .

By $\mathcal{V}_i(\pi)$ we define the set of variables from π with rank i .

For two strings u, w we write $u \leq w$ iff u is a subword of w . By $\pi \rightarrow_h Z_k$ we mean that $h(\pi) \leq Z_k$ and by $\pi \rightarrow Z_k$ we mean that for some morphism $h, h(\pi) \leq Z_k$.

Now we present the algorithm to determine if the concatenation of compact representations z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k is a Zimin subword. Let m be the maximal number that occurs in any representation. For each i we know that z_i encodes some Zimin subword therefore we have to check connections between z_i and z_{i+1} with $i \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$.

If there is exactly one occurrence of '1' between each two consecutive representations ('1' occurs at the end of the first representation or at the beginning of the second) then we remove all occurrences of '1' from all representations (it can only appear at the beginning or the end of a representation). Similarly we check for occurrences of '2' and so on up to $m - 1$. If during the process we obtain empty representation we remove it from further consideration. If we can't find such occurrences it means that the concatenation is not a

Zimin subword. If there is only one representation left (others are empty) we know that this is a Zimin subword. An idea of algorithm is given below. If we finish with the empty sequence then we accept the input.

Reduction Algorithm

while the sequence is nonempty **do**

1. check if there is exactly one occurrence of 'i' between representations of each two consecutive variables;
2. remove all occurrences of 'i' from all representations;
3. remove variables with empty representation;
4. increase i ;

Denote by $compress(\pi)$ the pattern in which the variables replaced by their compressed representations. Of course $|compress(\pi)| \leq n k$, where k is the maximal rank of a variable.

Theorem 1.

- (a) For z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k with length l we can check if concatenation $z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot \dots \cdot z_k \leq Z_m$ in time $O(l)$.
- (b) Assume we are given a pattern π of size n with k variables and a compact representation of values of the variables. Then we can check if the given compressed instance of π occurs in Z_k in time $O(compress(\pi))$.
- (c) Assume we are given compressed representation of all variables. If the pattern is a (unique) valid instance of a factor y of Z_n then we can compute the compressed representation of y in time $O(compress(\pi))$.

Proof. The point (a) follows from an easy list implementation of the informal reduction algorithm. The point (b) follows directly from point (a). The proof of point (c) works as follows. We rewrite the pattern as a sequence of compressed representation of variables. For each element we compute the first larger element to the left and to the right. It corresponds to the first larger neighbor problem, known to be solvable in linear time. Then we start with leftmost element and generate the sequence y' of first larger right neighbors. Then we start with the right end of the whole sequence and generate the sequence y'' of first larger left neighbors. The compressed representation of y is the concatenation $y' y''$. \square

We show now an alternative algorithm using extended compressed representations. Each compressed representation can be treated as a concatenation of letters and smaller Zimin words, for example the value of 2531 is $2 Z_1 5 Z_2 3 Z_1 2$. It is called the *extended compressed representation*. Generally, if the (previous) compressed representation is:

$$z = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j > i_{j+1} > i_{j+2} > \dots > i_r$$

then we change the boundary letters 1 to Z_1 , if there are such letters, afterwards we change every i_p , for $i < j$ to $Z_{i_p-1} i_p$ and every j_p to $Z_{j_p-1} j_p$. Denote by $Ext(x)$ the extended compressed representation of the variable x .




Figure 2: Tree illustrations of two compressed representations. The second one corresponds to Z_5 .

Example.

We continue previous example. We have

$$Ext(\alpha) = Ext(132) = Z_1 3 Z_1 2, \quad Ext(\beta) = Ext(1431) = Z_1 4 Z_2 3 Z_1,$$

$$Ext(\gamma) = Ext(2532) = 2 Z_1 5 Z_2 3 Z_1 2$$

Let $Ext(\pi)$ be the extended compressed representation of the pattern π resulting by substituting extended representations of variables. For example, with values of variables from the previous example, we have:

$$Ext(\alpha \beta \gamma \beta) = Z_1 3 Z_1 2 Z_1 4 Z_2 3 Z_1 2 Z_1 5 Z_2 3 Z_1 2 Z_1 4 Z_2 3 Z_1$$

We can scan this sequence from left to right and replace every factor $Z_{i-1} i Z_{i-1} i$ by Z_i until no change are allowed. Finally we get the extended compressed representation of the whole sequence, if it is a sequence corresponding to a factor of Z_n . In our case after the first scan we get

$$Z_1 3 Z_2 4 Z_2 3 Z_2 5 Z_2 3 Z_2 4 Z_2 3 Z_1$$

After the next scan we get:

$$Z_1 3 Z_2 4 Z_3 5 Z_3 4 Z_2 3 Z_1$$

which is an extended compressed representation of the factor of a Zimin word corresponding to (non-extended) representation $(1, 3, 4, 5, 4, 3, 1)$. Instead of scanning many time the extended pattern we can use a kind of a parsing tree, which gives us the natural order of reductions.




Figure 3: The Cartesian tree is a natural parsing tree for a sequence of letters and smaller Zimin words, after the reduction we get extended compressed representation of the whole sequence, if its is a factor of Z_n .




Figure 4: After bottom-up reductions of the previous tree we get a representation of a factor of Z_5 .

A Cartesian tree is a natural parsing tree for extended compressed sequence. The Cartesian tree is a useful data structure computable in linear time. For a sequence of ordered elements we construct the tree as follows. The root is the largest element. The left son is the largest element to the left of the root, and its subtree is a Cartesian tree of the elements to the left of the root. Similarly for the right part of the sequence. In our case we assume that the priority of Z_i is the same as the letter i . The Cartesian tree corresponding to our sequence from the previous example is shown below.

3. Some properties of Zimin words and free sets

The ranking sequence associated with π is the sequence of ranks of consecutive variables in π .

Assume for a while that our pattern π is a permutation of n variables and we ask for the set of possible ranking sequences.

The ranking sequence has many useful properties:

1. Between every two occurrences of the same number a in ranking sequence there should be a number larger than a .
2. The ranking function is not necessarily injective (one to one).
3. If x_1x_2 and x_1x_3 are subwords of a pattern π , $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in V$ and $\text{rank}(x_3) < \text{rank}(x_2) < \text{rank}(x_1)$ and there exists a morphism φ that morphs p into Z_k then $\varphi(x_3)$ is a proper prefix of $\varphi(x_2)$.

Let $\bar{r}(\pi, h)$ be the set of ranks of variables in π for the valuation h . For example, for a pattern $\pi = \alpha\beta\alpha\gamma\beta\alpha$ and a valuation $h(\alpha) = 1, h(\beta) = 2, h(\gamma) = 31$ ranking sequence is $(1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1)$ and $\bar{r}(\pi, h) = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

The following three facts are consequences of the proof of Zimin theorem (see [?] for details).

Lemma 3.

1. If pattern π is unavoidable then there exists a morphism h such that $h(\pi)$ occurs in Z_k and $\min \bar{r}(\pi, h) = 1$.
2. If $\pi \rightarrow_h Z_k$ and $\min \bar{r}(\pi, h) = j + 1 > 1$ then there exists morphism g such that $\pi \rightarrow_g Z_k$ and $\bar{r}(\pi, g) = \{r - j : r \in \bar{r}(\pi, h)\}$.
3. If $\pi \rightarrow Z_k$ then there exists morphism h such that the set of ranks is an interval: $\bar{r}(\pi, h) = \{1, \dots, m\}$, for some $1 \leq m \leq k$.

We present Zimin algorithm based on free sets and σ -deletions.

Definition 3. $F \subseteq V$ is a **free set** for $\pi \in V^+$ if and only if there exist sets $A, B \subseteq V$ such that $F \subseteq B \setminus A$ where, for all $xy \leq \pi, x \in A$ if and only if $y \in B$.

Definition 4. The mapping σ_F is a **σ -deletion** of π if and only if $F \subseteq V$ is a free set for π and $\sigma_F : V \rightarrow V \cup \{\epsilon\}$ is defined by

$$\sigma_F(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin F \\ \epsilon & \text{if } x \in F \end{cases}$$

The proof of the following fact can be found in [?], Zimin's algorithm is based on this fact.

Lemma 4. π is an unavoidable pattern if and only if π can be reduced to ϵ by a sequence of σ -deletions.

Unfortunately it is insufficient to remove only singleton free sets. There are patterns, which require the removing more than one element free sets, for example the pattern

$$\alpha\beta\alpha\gamma\alpha'\beta\alpha\gamma\alpha\beta\alpha'\gamma\alpha'\beta\alpha'$$

Therefore we can have exponentially many choices for free sets.

Lemma 5. *If $\pi \rightarrow Z_k$ then $R_1(\pi)$ is a free set.*

Proof. To satisfy the definition of a free set we need to give sets A and B , such that $R_1(\pi) \subset B \setminus A$ and all predecessors of variables from B are in A , all successors of variables from A are in B . We put all variables starting with 1 as the set B and all variables that do not end with 1 as set A . \square

Lemma 6. *If $\pi \rightarrow Z_k$ then $\pi_{(2)} \rightarrow Z_{k-1}$.*

Proof. If $\pi \rightarrow Z_k$ then there exists morphism h , such that $h(\pi)$ is a subword of Z_k . $\mathcal{V}_1(\pi)$ is a set of variables x , such that $h(x) = 1$. We shall notice that if we remove all 1 from Z_k we obtain Z_{k-1} . We define a new morphism g for all variables from $\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_1$ as $g(x) = f(h(x))$, where f is a function that removes all occurrences of 1 from a word. Now we will show that $g(\pi_{(2)})$ is a subword of Z_{k-1} . We see that $g(\pi_{(2)}) = f(h(\pi_{(2)})) = f(h(\pi))$ because π differs from $\pi_{(2)}$ only in variables that equal 1. So occurrence $g(\pi_{(2)})$ equals $h(\pi)$ with all 1 deleted and $g(\pi_{(2)})$ is a subword of Z_{k-1} . \square

Theorem 2. *A pattern π occurs in Z_k if and only if $\mathcal{V}_1(\pi)$ is a free set and $\pi_{(2)} \rightarrow Z_{k-1}$.*

Proof. „ \Rightarrow ” This is a consequence of Lemma 3 and Lemma 6.

„ \Leftarrow ” It follows from proof of Zimin theorem and can be found in [?]. \square

4. Ranked pattern-matching

It is not known (and rather unlikely true) if the pattern-matching in Zimin words is solvable in polynomial time. We introduce the following polynomially solvable version of this problem.

Compressed Ranked Pattern-Matching in Zimin Words:

Input: given a pattern π with k variables and the ranking function R

Output: a compressed instance of an occurrence of π in Z_k with the given ranking function, or information that there is no such valuation, the values of variables are given in their compressed form

The algorithm for the ranked pattern matching can be used as an auxiliary tool for pattern-matching without any ranking function given. We can just consider all *sensible* ranking functions. It gives an exponential algorithm since we do not know what the rank sequence is. Although exponential, the set of *sensible* ranking sequences can be usefully reduced due to special properties of realizable rankings.

4.1. Application of 2-SAT

In one iteration we have not only to check if the set of variables of the smallest rank i is a free set but we have to compute which of them start/end with a letter i . However in a given iteration the letter i can be treated as '1'.

In our algorithms we will use the function $FirstLast(\pi, W)$ which solves an instance of 2-SAT problem. It computes which variables should start-finish with the smallest rank letter, under the assumption that variables from W equal the smallest rank letter, to satisfy local properties of the Zimin word.

In the function we can treat the smallest rank letter as 1. In Zimin word there are no two adjacent '1'. This leads to the fact: for any adjacent variables xy from π either x ends with '1' or y starts with '1'. If for a given pattern we know that some variables start with '1' (or end with '1') we deduce information about successors of this variable (or predecessors). For example if we have a pattern $\beta\alpha\beta\gamma$ and we know that α starts with '1' we deduce that β does not end with '1' and then deduce that γ starts with '1'. For a given set of variables that start and end with '1' we can deduce information about all other variables in linear time (with respect to the length of the pattern).

For every variable x from the pattern we introduce two logic variables: x^{first} is true iff x starts with '1', x^{last} is true iff x ends with '1'. Now for any adjacent variables xy we create disjunctions $x^{last} \vee y^{first}$ and $\neg x^{last} \vee \neg y^{first}$. If we write the formula

$$F = (x_1^{last} \vee x_2^{first}) \wedge (\neg x_1^{last} \vee \neg x_2^{first}) \wedge (x_2^{last} \vee x_3^{first}) \wedge (\neg x_2^{last} \vee \neg x_3^{first}) \wedge \dots \\ \dots \wedge (x_{n-1}^{last} \vee x_n^{first}) \wedge (\neg x_{n-1}^{last} \vee \neg x_n^{first})$$

we have an instance of 2-SAT problem. For the variables $y_1, \dots, y_s \in W$, that we know that valuate as '1', we expand our formula to $F \wedge y_1^{first} \wedge y_1^{last} \wedge \dots \wedge y_l^{first} \wedge y_s^{last}$.

Example.

If for a pattern $\beta\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha$ we know that valuation of α will be '1' we produce formula

$$(\beta^{first} \vee \alpha^{last}) \wedge (\neg\beta^{first} \vee \neg\alpha^{last}) \wedge (\alpha^{first} \vee \beta^{last}) \wedge \\ \wedge (\neg\alpha^{first} \vee \neg\beta^{last}) \wedge (\beta^{first} \vee \gamma^{last}) \wedge (\neg\beta^{first} \vee \neg\gamma^{last}) \wedge \\ \wedge (\gamma^{first} \vee \alpha^{last}) \wedge (\neg\gamma^{first} \vee \neg\alpha^{last}) \wedge (\alpha^{first}) \wedge (\alpha^{last})$$

In the general case there can be many solutions of the formula but in our example the only solution is: $\alpha^{first} = \alpha^{last} = \gamma^{first} = true$, $\beta^{first} = \beta^{last} = \gamma^{last} = false$, which means that α starts and ends with '1', β starts and ends with non-'1', γ starts with '1' and ends with non-'1'. A positive solution to this problem is necessary for the existence of a valuation val of the variables from pattern π , such that $val(\pi) \leq Z_k$ and each variable x starts (ends) with '1' iff x^{first} is true (resp. x^{last} is true) in the solution.

It is well known that 2-SAT can be computed efficiently, consequently:

Lemma 7. *We can execute $FirstLast(\pi, W)$ in linear time.*

4.2. The algorithm: compressed and uncompressed versions

Now we present two versions of the algorithm deciding if pattern π occurs in Zimin word with given rank sequence and computing the values of variables, if there is an occurrence. First of these algorithms uses uncompressed valuations of variables and uses exponential space and second one operates on compressed valuations. If the answer is positive algorithms give valuations of variables, ie. morphism val such that $val(\pi) \leq Z_k$.

Denote by $alph(\pi)$ the set of symbols (variables) in π . Let π be the pattern with given rank sequence which maximal rank equals K , $|\pi| = n$, $|alph(\pi)| = k$. We define the operation $firstdel(i, s)$, $lastdel(i, s)$ of removing the first, last letter from s , respectively, if this letter is i (otherwise nothing happens), similarly define $firstinsert(i, s)$, $lastinsert(i, s)$: inserting letter i at the beginning or at the end of s if there is no i .

In general, maximal rank can occur multiple times, but in this case, because of properties mentioned earlier in this paper, the pattern with this rank sequence is avoidable and cannot occur in Zimin word. Both algorithms assume that there is only one occurrence of the maximal rank (we denote by x_K the variable with the maximal rank).

Algorithm 1: Uncompressed-Embedding(π, Z_K)

```
1  $K :=$  maximal rank;
2  $\mathcal{V}_i$  is the set of variables of rank  $i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq K$ ;
3  $val(x_K) := 1$ ;
4 for  $i = K - 1$  downto 1 do
5   foreach  $x \in \mathcal{V}_i$  do
6      $val(x) := 1$ ;
7     if  $FirstLast(\pi_{(i)}, \mathcal{V}_i)$  then
8       comment: we know now which variables in  $\pi_{(i)}$  start/finish
9         with  $i$  due to evaluation of a corresponding 2SAT
10      foreach  $x \in \mathcal{V}_{i+1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{V}_K$  do
11         $val(x) := \mu(val(x))$ ;
12        if  $not(x^{first})$  then  $val(x) := firstdel(1, val(x))$ ;
13        if  $not(x^{last})$  then  $val(x) := lastdel(1, val(x))$ ;
14        if  $x^{first}$  then  $val(x) := firstinsert(1, val(x))$ ;
15        if  $x^{last}$  then  $val(x) := lastinsert(1, val(x))$ ;
16      else return false;
```

The next algorithm is a space-efficient simulation of the previous one.

We are not using the morphism μ , instead of that the values of variables are maintained in a compressed form, we are adding to the left/right decreasing sequence of integers.

Algorithm 2: Compressed-Embedding(π, Z_K)

```
1  $K :=$  maximal rank;
2  $\mathcal{V}_i$  is the set of variables of rank  $i$ ;
3 for  $1 \leq i \leq K$  do
4    $val(x_K) := K$ ;
5 for  $i = K - 1$  downto 1 do
6   foreach  $x \in \mathcal{V}_i$  do
7      $val(x) := k$ ;
8     if  $FirstLast(\pi_{(i)}, \mathcal{V}_i)$  then
9       foreach  $x \in \mathcal{V}_{i+1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{V}_K$  do
10        if  $x^{first}$  then  $val(x) := firstinsert(i, val(x))$ ;
11        if  $x^{last}$  then  $val(x) := lastinsert(i, val(x))$ ;
12        else return false;
```

Example Below we present an example of the Uncompressed-Embedding algorithm for

$$\pi = \delta \alpha \gamma \beta \lambda \gamma \alpha \delta \alpha \gamma \beta \alpha$$

with the rank sequence 4 1 3 2 5 3 1 4 1 3 2 1

$$\underbrace{\lambda}_{1}$$

First we set the variable with the highest rank. $val(\lambda) = 1$

$$\underbrace{\delta\lambda\delta}_{121}$$

$i = 4$. We set $val(\delta) = 1$ and morph $val(\lambda) = 121$.

From solution of 2-SAT we know that δ starts and ends with '1',
 λ starts and ends with non-'1', we set $val(\lambda) = 2$.

$$\underbrace{\delta\gamma}_{121} \underbrace{\lambda\gamma}_{3} \underbrace{\delta\gamma}_{121}$$

$i = 3$. We have $val(\gamma) = 1, val(\delta) = 121, val(\lambda) = 3$.

From solution of 2-SAT: γ starts and ends with '1',
 δ and λ start and end with non-'1',
therefore we set $val(\lambda) = 3, val(\delta) = 2$

$$\underbrace{\delta}_{121} \underbrace{\gamma\beta}_{3} \underbrace{\lambda}_{121} \underbrace{\gamma}_{4} \underbrace{\delta}_{121} \underbrace{\gamma\beta}_{3} \underbrace{\lambda}_{121}$$

$i = 2$: $val(\beta) = 1, val(\gamma) = 121, val(\delta) = 3, val(\lambda) = 4$.

From solution of 2-SAT: β starts and ends with '1', γ, δ start with '1',
end with non-'1', λ starts and ends with non='1'

Therefore $val(\gamma) = 12, val(\delta) = 13, val(\lambda) = 4$.

$$\underbrace{\delta\alpha}_{1213} \underbrace{\gamma}_{1214} \underbrace{\beta}_{1213} \underbrace{\lambda}_{121} \underbrace{\gamma\alpha}_{5} \underbrace{\delta\alpha}_{1213} \underbrace{\gamma}_{1214} \underbrace{\beta\alpha}_{1213} \underbrace{\lambda}_{121}$$

$i = 1$: $val(\alpha) = 1, val(\beta) = 121, val(\gamma) = 1213,$
 $val(\delta) = 1214, val(\lambda) = 5$.

From solution of 2-SAT: α, λ start and ends with '1',
 β starts with 1, ends with non-'1', γ, δ start and end with non-'1'.

Finally we have valuation of variables, such that $val(\pi) \leq Z_5$.

$$12131 \underbrace{\delta}_{214} \underbrace{\alpha}_{1} \underbrace{\gamma}_{213} \underbrace{\beta}_{12} \underbrace{\lambda}_{151} \underbrace{\gamma}_{213} \underbrace{\alpha}_{1} \underbrace{\delta}_{214} \underbrace{\alpha}_{1} \underbrace{\gamma}_{213} \underbrace{\beta}_{12} \underbrace{\alpha}_{1}$$

Example

Now we present an example of the *Compressed – Embedding* algorithm for a different pattern. Now the function val will be a compact representation instead of the full representation used in the last algorithm. We take:

$$\pi = \alpha \gamma \beta \delta \eta \alpha \gamma \beta \zeta \eta \alpha$$

and the ranking sequence

$$1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 4 \ 5 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 6 \ 5 \ 1$$

First we set the variable with the highest rank. $val(\zeta) = 6$

For $i = 5$ we have $\pi_{(5)} = \eta\zeta\eta$

We set $val(\eta) = 5$ and solve 2-SAT for

$$F = (\eta^{last} \vee \zeta^{first}) \wedge (\neg\eta^{last} \vee \neg\zeta^{first}) \wedge (\zeta^{last} \vee \eta^{first}) \wedge \\ \wedge (\neg\zeta^{last} \vee \neg\eta^{first}) \wedge (\eta^{first}) \wedge (\eta^{last}).$$

From $FirstLast(\pi_{(5)}, \eta)$ we know that ζ_{first} and ζ_{last} are false, therefore we don't change $val(\zeta)$

$i = 4$. $\pi_{(4)} = \delta\eta\zeta\eta$

We set $val(\delta) = 4$ and execute $FirstLast(\pi_{(4)}, \delta)$. There are two solutions, we choose one of them and obtain $\eta^{first} = \eta^{last} = 0$ and $\zeta^{first} = \zeta^{last} = 1$, therefore we change $val(\zeta) = 464$.

$i = 3$: $\pi_{(3)} = \gamma\delta\eta\gamma\zeta\eta$.

We set $val(\gamma) = 3$ and execute $FirstLast(\pi_{(3)}, \gamma)$. We know that $\delta^{first} = \delta^{last} = 0$, $\eta^{first} = 1$, $\eta^{last} = 0$ and $\zeta^{first} = \zeta^{last} = 0$, therefore we only add 3 at the beginning of $val(\eta)$, ie. $val(\eta) = 35$

$i = 2$: $\pi_{(2)} = \gamma\beta\delta\eta\gamma\beta\zeta\eta$.

We set $val(\beta) = 2$ and execute $FirstLast(\pi_{(2)}, \beta)$. We know that $\eta^{first} = \eta^{last} = 1$ and rest of logic variables equal 0.

We only add 2 at the beginning and end of $val(\eta)$ ($val(\eta) = 2352$)

$i = 1$: $\pi_{(1)} = \pi = \alpha\gamma\beta\delta\eta\alpha\gamma\beta\zeta\eta\alpha$.

We set $val(\alpha) = 1$ and execute $FirstLast(\pi_{(1)}, \alpha)$. We know that $\gamma^{last} = \delta^{first} = \eta^{first} = \zeta^{first} = 1$ and rest of logic variables equal 0.

We add 1 at the beginning of δ, η, ζ and at the end of γ .

We change: $val(\gamma) = 31$, $val(\delta) = 14$, $val(\eta) = 12352$, $val(\zeta) = 1464$.

Finally we have the compressed valuation of the variables (below we show full representations):

α	β	γ	δ	η	ζ
$\boxed{1}$	$\boxed{2}$	$\boxed{31}$	$\boxed{14}$	$\boxed{12352}$	$\boxed{1464}$
1	2	31	14	13121512	141213121612131214

Our algorithms rely on the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and the pattern $\pi_{(i+1)}$ occurs in Z_{k-i} . Pattern $\pi_{(i)}$ (equal $\pi_{(i+1)}$ with additional variables from \mathcal{V}_i) occurs in Z_{k-i+1} iff the corresponding 2-SAT problem has a solution. Moreover every immersion of $\pi_{(i)}$, such that valuations of variables from \mathcal{V}_i equal '1', corresponds to the solution of 2-SAT problem, such that valuation of every variable satisfies logic constraints on first and last character.*

Proof. " \Leftarrow "

First we observe that solution of 2-SAT problem guarantees that \mathcal{V}_i is a free set. We put $A = \{v \in \pi_{(i)} : v^{last} = 0\}$ and $B = \{v \in \pi_{(i)} : v^{first} = 1\}$, which satisfy Definition 3. From Theorem 2 $\pi_{(i)}$ occurs in Z_{k-i+1} . Now we use Zimin morphism μ on variables from $\pi_{(i+1)}$ and set every variable from \mathcal{V}_i to '1', then we modify (adding or removing '1' at the beginning or end) every valuation accordingly to logic constraints from the 2-SAT solution. Similarly as in the Zimin theorem proof ([?]) we see that properties of A and B guarantee that modified valuations concatenate into proper immersion in Z_{k-i+1} .

" \Rightarrow "

We have immersion of $\pi_{(i)}$ in Z_{k-i+1} with valuation $val(v)$, such that for $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$ $val(v) = 1$. We give a solution to 2-SAT problem as follows: for every variable v from $\pi_{(i)}$ we set $v^{first} = 1$ iff $val(v)$ starts with '1', $v^{last} = 1$ iff $val(v)$ ends with '1'. Because Zimin word is 1-interleaved this solution is correct. \square

Theorem 3. *The compressed ranked pattern matching in Zimin words can be solved in time $O(n * k)$ and (simultaneously) space $O(n + k^2)$, where n is the size of the pattern and k is the highest rank of a variable. A compressed instance of the pattern can be constructed within the same complexities, if there is any solution.*

Proof. We use the *Compressed – Embedding* algorithm. First we embed $\pi_{(k)}$ into Z_1 . Then we check for a subsequent i , $k - 1 \geq i \geq 1$, if it is possible to embed $\pi_{(i)}$ having embedding of $\pi_{(i+1)}$ using suitable 2-SAT and Lemma 8. Non-existence of immersion of $\pi_{(i)}$ implies that whole π does not occur into Z_k . Otherwise we get compressed valuation of variables, such that $val(\pi) \leq Z_k$.

We will consider time complexity of the Compressed-Embedding algorithm. Because $|V_1| \cup |V_2| \cup \dots \cup |V_k| = k$ first **for each** loop executes exactly k times during whole execution.

We solve 2-SAT problem exactly $k - 1$ times for $\pi_{(i)}$ (length of formula is linear with respect to $|\pi_{(i)}|$) and for every i $|\pi_{(i)}| \leq |\pi| = n$. That gives complexity $O(n * k)$ for this step.

We execute second **for each** loop $k - 1$ times. In each iteration we have:

$$|\mathcal{V}_{i+1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{V}_K| \leq |\mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{V}_K| = k$$

Hence complexity for this step is $O(k^2)$.

Finally, the algorithm has time complexity $O(k + n * k + k^2) = O(n * k)$, because $k \leq n$.

We need to remember the pattern of size n and actual compact representations of the variables ($O(k^2)$). In each step we generate 2-SAT formula which has size $O(n)$. The algorithm needs $O(n + k^2)$ memory. \square

5. Different valuations

We can obtain different valuations of the variables by choosing different solutions to our 2-SAT formula.

We change the way of deciding which variable valuation starts or ends with '1' because finding all solutions to 2-SAT problem is in $\#P$. We will use adjacency graph $AG(\pi)$, i.e. graph with vertex set containing two copies of variables set. For each variable v there are two vertices in AG - v^L and v^R . There is an edge between v^L and w^R if and only if vw is a subword of π . This is a bipartite graph, one part contains elements of the form x^L and the other elements x^R .

We will value vertices of AG with 1 or 0. Variable v^L will have value 1 iff. valuation of v ends with '1'. Variable v^R will have value 1 iff. valuation of v starts with '1'. Whenever we know a vertex value we can give values to all vertices from the same connected component (because Zimin word is 1-interleaved).

5.1. Shortest instance

Let π be an unavoidable pattern with given rank sequence. π occurs in Z_K because it is unavoidable. By choosing shorter valuations for some variables during the algorithm we can generate such valuation val , that $val(\pi)$ is the shortest among all possible valuations.

After i -th step of algorithm we have valuation val of $\pi_{(i+1)}$ such that $val(\pi_{(i+1)}) \leq Z_{i-1}$. Then we morph valuations with morphism μ ($val := \mu(val)$) and obtain $val(\pi_{(i+1)}) \leq Z_i$. Finally we try to insert variable with rank i and manipulate starting and ending '1'. It is easy to see that to obtain shortest $val(\pi_{(i)})$ we need to try to remove left '1' from valuation of $first(\pi_{(i)})$ (first variable) and right '1' from $last(\pi_{(i)})$ (last variable). Manipulating starting and ending '1' of variables that are in the inside $\pi_{(i)}$ (that are not first or last variable) will not change the length of whole valuation. That is because if we remove '1' from one variable it will be added to its neighbour and length will be the same.

Let v be the first variable of $\pi_{(i)}$. If rank of v is i (it is the variable that we add in this step) then we set its valuation to '1' and cannot manipulate. If rank of v is larger than i and v^L is not in the connected

component of newly add variable then we can set $v^L = 0$ (then it's valuation will not start with '1') and our valuation of $\pi_{(i)}$ will be shorter.

Analogously we can deal with last variable of $\pi_{(i)}$ - w . In this case we have to check if w^R is not in the connected component of newly added variable and set $w^R = 0$ (so it will not end with '1').

By managing first and last variable during the algorithm execution we can obtain the shortest valuation of π .

To update the algorithm we only need to change function `FirstLast`. It solved the 2SAT formula to find out which variable valuations start or end with '1'. Now we will use adjacency graph AG .

Let π be a pattern and W set of variables, AG be the adjacency graph for π , v the first letter of π , and w the last letter. We define $W^R = \{x^R; x \in W\}$ and $W^L = \{x^L; x \in W\}$. Function $valuate(x, i), x \in AG, i \in \{0, 1\}$ sets a proper value of all vertices in the connected component of x . If element x already has a value different than i then function returns false. In the other case $valuate(x, i)$ sets value of elements in the connected component of x : every element in the same part (of bipartite graph) as x is set to i and every element in the opposite part is set to the opposite value. The time complexity of $valuate(x, i)$ is $O(l)$, where l is the number of elements in the connected component of x .

Algorithm 3: *ShortestFirstLast*(π, W)

```

1 Generate adjacency graph  $AG$  for  $\pi$ ;
2 foreach  $x \in W$  do
3   if not  $valuate(x^L, 1)$  then return false;
4   if not  $valuate(x^R, 1)$  then return false;
5 if  $v^L$  has no value then  $valuate(v^L, 0)$ ;
6 if  $w^R$  has no value then  $valuate(w^R, 0)$ ;
7 foreach  $x^L$  in  $AG$  that has no value do
8    $valuate(x^L, 0)$ ;
9 return true;

```

Theorem 4. For a given ranked pattern the compressed shortest instance (if there is any instance) and the number of instances of the ranked pattern occurring in Z_k can be constructed in time $O(n * k)$ and (simultaneously) space $O(n + k^2)$.

Proof. To construct compressed shortest instance we need to use *ShortestFirstLast* instead of *FirstLast* in *Compressed – Embedding* algorithm. To count the number of instances we need to know how many connected components are not valuated after valuating the AG with newly added variables in each step. Each unvaluated connected component gives us two possibilities. If after last step of algorithm we know that there were l unvaluated components in all steps then there are 2^l instances.

We will consider the time complexity. We will show that we are able to run *ShortestFirstLast* in time $O(n)$ (same as the original *FirstLast*).

We generate adjacency graph for the subsequence of π , it has at most $2 * k$ vertices and $n - 1$ edges, therefore it takes $O(n)$ time. Function $valuate$ visits or sets value to each element of AG exactly once during the whole algorithm, because during each call it processes one connected component. Total time of all $valuate$ calls is equal to time needed to generate all connected components (which is linear).

Finally time complexity of *ShortestFirstLast* is $O(n)$.

The AG has size $O(k^2)$ therefore whole *Compressed – Embedding* algorithm uses $O(n + k^2)$ memory. \square