

An Elementary Proof of the Restricted Invertibility Theorem*

Daniel A. Spielman
 Department of Computer Science
 Program in Applied Mathematics
 Yale University

Nikhil Srivastava
 Department of Computer Science
 Yale University

May 28, 2018

Abstract

We give an elementary proof of a generalization of Bourgain and Tzafriri's Restricted Invertibility Theorem, which says roughly that any matrix with columns of unit length and bounded operator norm has a large coordinate subspace on which it is well-invertible. Our proof gives the tightest known form of this result, is constructive, and provides a deterministic polynomial time algorithm for finding the desired subspace.

1 Introduction

In this note we study the following well-known theorem of Bourgain and Tzafriri.

Theorem 1 (Restricted Invertibility [3]). *There are universal constants $c, d > 0$, such that whenever L is a linear operator on ℓ_2^n with $\|Le_i\| = 1$ for the canonical basis vectors $\{e_i\}_{i \leq n}$, one can find a subset $\sigma \subset [n]$ of cardinality*

$$|\sigma| \geq cn / \|L\|_2^2$$

for which

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in \sigma} a_i Le_i \right\|^2 \geq d \sum_{i \in \sigma} |a_i|^2 \quad (1)$$

for all scalars $\{a_i\}_{i \in \sigma}$.

This theorem has had significant applications in the local theory of Banach spaces and in the study of convex bodies in high dimensions. It is also considered a step towards the resolution of the famous Kadison-Singer conjecture, which asks if there exists a partition of $[n]$ into a constant number of subsets $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ for which (1) holds. Recently, the theorem has attracted attention in numerical analysis due to its connection with the column subset selection problem, which

*This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grants CCF-0634904, CCF-0634957 and CCF-0915487. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

seeks to select a ‘representative’ subset of columns from a given matrix. In particular, Tropp [6] has developed a randomized polynomial time algorithm which finds the subset σ efficiently.

Bourgain and Tzafriri’s proof of Theorem 1 uses probabilistic and functional analytic techniques and is non-constructive. In the original paper the theorem was shown to hold for $c = d \sim \frac{1}{10^{72}}$. Later on [4], the same authors proved it for $c = c(\epsilon) = c'\epsilon^2$ and $d = (1 + \epsilon)^{-1}$ for every $0 < \epsilon < 1$, where c' is a universal (tiny) constant. They were interested in the case when ϵ is small; the quadratic dependence of $c(\epsilon)$ on ϵ was shown to be necessary in [2]. In another regime, modern methods can be used to obtain the constants $c = 1/128$ and $d = 1/8\sqrt{2\pi}$ [5, 6].

In this note, we present a short proof that uses only basic linear algebra, achieves much better constants, and contains a deterministic $O(n^4)$ time algorithm for finding the set σ . Our method of proof involves building σ iteratively using a ‘barrier’ potential function. Such a method was used by Batson and the authors in [1] to construct linear size spectral sparsifiers of graphs.

Specifically, we prove the following generalization of Theorem 1, in which $\|\cdot\|_2$ refers to the spectral (i.e., operator) norm and $\|\cdot\|_F$ refers to the Frobenius (i.e., Hilbert-Schmidt) norm.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sum_i v_i v_i^T = I$, and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let $L : \ell_2^n \rightarrow \ell_2^n$ be a linear operator. Then there is a subset $\sigma \subset [m]$ of size $|\sigma| \geq \left\lceil \epsilon^2 \frac{\|L\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2} \right\rceil$ for which $\{Lv_i\}_{i \in \sigma}$ is linearly independent and*

$$\lambda_{\min} \left(\sum_{i \in \sigma} (Lv_i)(Lv_i)^T \right) > \frac{(1 - \epsilon)^2 \|L\|_F^2}{m},$$

where λ_{\min} is computed on $\text{span}\{Lv_i\}_{i \in \sigma}$.

This form of generalization was introduced by Vershynin [7] in his study of contact points of convex bodies via John’s decompositions of the identity. It says that given any such decomposition and any $L : \ell_2^n \rightarrow \ell_2^n$, there is a part of the decomposition on which L is well-invertible whose size is proportional to the stable rank $\frac{\|L\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2}$.

The original form of Bourgain and Tzafriri’s theorem follows quickly from Theorem 2 with constants

$$c(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2 \quad \text{and} \quad d(\epsilon) = (1 - \epsilon)^2$$

by taking $\{v_i\}$ from the standard basis $\{e_i\}_{i \leq n}$ and assuming $\|Le_i\| = 1$. This dominates previous bounds in all regimes, for ϵ small and large.

2 Proof of the Theorem

We will build the matrix $A = \sum_{i \in \sigma} (Lv_i)(Lv_i)^T$ by an iterative process that adds one vector to σ in each step. The process will be guided by the potential function¹

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_b(A) &= \sum_i (Lv_i)^T (A - bI)^{-1} (Lv_i) \\ &= \text{Tr} [L^T (A - bI)^{-1} L] \quad \text{since} \quad \sum_i v_i v_i^T = I, \end{aligned}$$

¹ This potential function was inspired by Stieltjes transform, which appears in the analysis of the eigenvalues of random matrices. However, we are unaware of a formal connection. This potential function is also related to, but is not identical to, the logarithmic barrier function used in Interior Point Algorithms for Linear Programming.

where the *barrier* b is a real number that varies from step to step.

Initially $A = 0$, the barrier is at $b = b_0 > 0$, and the potential is

$$\Phi_{b_0}(0) = \text{Tr} [L^T(0 - b_0 I)^{-1}L] = -\text{Tr} [L^T L] / b_0 = -\frac{\|L\|_F^2}{b_0}.$$

Each step of the process involves adding some rank-one matrix ww^T to A where $w \in \{Lv_i\}_{i \leq m}$ (if $w = Lv_j$ then this corresponds to adding j to σ) and shifting the barrier towards zero by some fixed amount $\delta > 0$, without increasing the potential. Specifically, we want

$$\Phi_{b-\delta}(A + ww^T) \leq \Phi_b(A).$$

We will maintain the invariant that after k vectors have been added, A has exactly k nonzero eigenvalues, all greater than b . Keeping the potential small (in fact, sufficiently negative) will ensure that there is a suitable vector to add at each step.

In any step of the process, we are only interested in vectors w which add a new nonzero eigenvalue that is greater than $b' = b - \delta$. These are identified in the following lemma, where the notation $A \succeq B$ means that $A - B$ is positive semidefinite.

Lemma 3. *Suppose $A \succeq 0$ has k nonzero eigenvalues, all greater than $b' > 0$. If $w \neq 0$ and*

$$w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w < -1 \tag{2}$$

then $A + ww^T$ has $k + 1$ nonzero eigenvalues greater than b' .

Proof. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$ be the nonzero eigenvalues of A , and let $\lambda'_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda'_{k+1}$ be the $k + 1$ largest eigenvalues of $A + ww^T$. As the latter matrix is obtained from A by the addition of a rank one positive semi-definite matrix, their eigenvalues interlace [1]:

$$\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq \lambda'_{k+1}.$$

Consider the quantity

$$\text{Tr} [(A - b'I)^{-1}] = \sum_{i \leq k} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - b'} + \sum_{i > k} \frac{1}{0 - b'},$$

where we have written the positive and negative terms in the sum separately. By the Sherman-Morrisson formula,

$$\text{Tr} [(A + ww^T - b'I)^{-1}] - \text{Tr} [(A - b'I)^{-1}] = -\frac{w^T(A - b'I)^{-2}w}{1 + w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w}. \tag{3}$$

Since $w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w < -1$, the denominator in the right-hand term is negative. The numerator is positive since $A - b'I$ is non-singular and $(A - b'I)^{-2} \succeq 0$. So, the right-hand side of (3) is positive.

On the other hand, a direct evaluation of this difference yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \text{Tr} [(A + ww^T - b'I)^{-1}] - \text{Tr} [(A - b'I)^{-1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda'_{k+1} - b'} - \frac{1}{0 - b'} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda'_i - b'} - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_i - b'} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda'_{k+1} - b'} + \frac{1}{b'} \quad \text{since } \frac{1}{\lambda'_i - b'} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i - b'} \leq 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ by interlacing.} \end{aligned}$$

As $\lambda'_{k+1} \geq 0$, this is only possible if $\lambda'_{k+1} > b'$, as desired. \square

The updated potential after one step, as the barrier moves from b to $b' = b - \delta$, can be calculated using the Sherman-Morrisson formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{b'}(A + ww^T) &= \text{Tr} [L^T(A - b'I + ww^T)^{-1}L] \\
&= \text{Tr} [L^T(A - b'I)^{-1}L] - \frac{\text{Tr} [L^T(A - b'I)^{-1}ww^T(A - b'I)^{-1}L]}{1 + w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w} \\
&= \text{Tr} [L^T(A - b'I)^{-1}L] - \frac{w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}LL^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w}{1 + w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w} \\
&= \Phi_{b'}(A) - \frac{w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}LL^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w}{1 + w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w}.
\end{aligned}$$

To prevent an increase in potential, we want choose a w such that

$$\Phi_{b'}(A) - \frac{w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}LL^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w}{1 + w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w} \leq \Phi_b(A). \quad (4)$$

We can now determine how small we need the potential to be in order to guarantee that a suitable w , which will allow us to keep on going, always exists.

Lemma 4. *Suppose A has k nonzero eigenvalues, all of which are greater than b , and let Q be the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of A . If*

$$\Phi_b(A) \leq -m - \frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta} \quad (5)$$

and

$$0 < \delta < b \leq \delta \frac{\|QL\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2} \quad (6)$$

then there exists a vector $w \in \{Lv_i\}_{i \leq m}$ for which $A + ww^T$ has $k+1$ nonzero eigenvalues greater than $b' = b - \delta$ and $\Phi_{b'}(A + ww^T) \leq \Phi_b(A)$.

Proof. ² The vectors satisfying both of the inequalities (2) and (4) are precisely those w for which

$$\begin{aligned}
&w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}LL^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w \\
&\leq (\Phi_b(A) - \Phi_{b'}(A)) \cdot (-1 - w^T(A - b'I)^{-1}w).
\end{aligned}$$

We can show that such a w exists by taking the sum over all $w \in \{Lv_i\}_{i \leq m}$ and ensuring that the inequality holds in the sum, i.e., that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Tr} [L^T(A - b'I)^{-1}LL^T(A - b'I)^{-1}L] \\
&\leq (\Phi_b(A) - \Phi_{b'}(A)) \cdot (-m - \text{Tr} [L^T(A - b'I)^{-1}L]).
\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let $\Delta_b := \Phi_b(A) - \Phi_{b'}(A)$. From the assumption $\Phi_b(A) \leq -m - \frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta}$ we immediately have

$$\text{Tr} [L^T(A - b'I)^{-1}L] = \Phi_b(A) - \Delta_b \leq -m - \frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta} - \Delta_b$$

²We would like to thank Pete Casazza for pointing out an important mistake in an earlier version of this proof.

and so (7) will follow from

$$\mathrm{Tr} [L^T (A - b'I)^{-1} L L^T (A - b'I)^{-1} L] \leq \Delta_b \cdot \left(\frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta} + \Delta_b \right). \quad (8)$$

Noting that $LL^T \preceq \|L\|_2^2 I$, we can bound the left hand side as

$$\mathrm{Tr} [L^T (A - b'I)^{-1} L L^T (A - b'I)^{-1} L] \leq \|L\|_2^2 \mathrm{Tr} [L^T (A - b'I)^{-2} L]. \quad (9)$$

Let P be the projection onto the image of A and let Q be the projection onto its kernel, so that $P + Q = I$. Let $\Phi_{b'}^P(A) = \mathrm{Tr} [L^T P (A - b'I)^{-1} P L]$ and $\Phi_{b'}^Q(A) = \mathrm{Tr} [L^T Q (A - b'I)^{-1} Q L]$ be the potentials computed on these subspaces. Since $P, Q, A, (A - b'I)^{-1}$, and $(A - b'I)^{-2}$ are mutually diagonalizable, we can write

$$\Phi_{b'}(A) = \Phi_{b'}^P(A) + \Phi_{b'}^Q(A), \quad \Delta_b = \Delta_b^P + \Delta_b^Q, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr} [L^T (A - b'I)^{-2} L] = \mathrm{Tr} [L^T P (A - b'I)^{-2} P L] + \mathrm{Tr} [L^T Q (A - b'I)^{-2} Q L].$$

As $P(A - b'I)^{-1} P \succeq 0$ and $P(A - bI)^{-1} P \succeq 0$, it is easy to check that

$$(b - b')P(A - b'I)^{-2} P \preceq P(A - bI)^{-1} P - P(A - b'I)^{-1} P$$

which immediately gives

$$\|L\|_2^2 \mathrm{Tr} [L^T P (A - b'I)^{-2} P L] \leq \Delta_b^P \frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta}. \quad (10)$$

Thus, by (8), (9), and (10), we are done if we can show that

$$\|L\|_2^2 \mathrm{Tr} [L^T Q (A - b'I)^{-2} Q L] \leq (\Delta_b^P + \Delta_b^Q) \cdot \left(\frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta} + \Delta_b \right) - \Delta_b^P \frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta}.$$

Taking into account that $\Delta_b^P, \Delta_b^Q \geq 0$, this is implied by the statement

$$\|L\|_2^2 \mathrm{Tr} [L^T Q (A - b'I)^{-2} Q L] \leq \Delta_b^Q \cdot \left(\frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta} + \Delta_b^Q \right). \quad (11)$$

We now compute $\mathrm{Tr} [L^T Q (A - b'I)^{-2} Q L] = \frac{\|QL\|_F^2}{b'^2}$ and

$$\Delta_b^Q = \mathrm{Tr} [L^T Q ((A - bI)^{-1} - (A - b'I)^{-1}) Q L] = \delta \frac{\|QL\|_F^2}{bb'}$$

which upon substituting and rearranging reduces (11) to

$$\|L\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\delta \|QL\|_F^2}{b}$$

which we have assumed in (6). □

Proof of Theorem 2. We set

$$b_0 = \frac{(1 - \epsilon)\|L\|_F^2}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{(1 - \epsilon)\|L\|_2^2}{\epsilon m}.$$

Requirement (5) of Lemma 4 is satisfied at the beginning of the process as

$$\Phi_{b_0}(0) = -\frac{\|L\|_F^2}{b_0} = -m - \frac{\|L\|_2^2}{\delta}.$$

To verify that requirement (6) is satisfied initially, first note that the theorem is vacuously true if $\epsilon^2 \frac{\|L\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2} < 1$. Assuming the converse and recalling that $\epsilon < 1$, we may show $\frac{\|L\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2} \geq 1/\epsilon$ which implies that $\delta < b_0$. The inequality

$$b_0 \leq \delta \frac{\|QL\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2}$$

is initially true as $A = 0$ and so $Q = \text{Proj}_{\ker(A)} = I$.

As long as condition (6) is satisfied, we may apply Lemma 4 to add a vector to σ while maintaining $\Phi_b(A) \leq \Phi_{b_0}(0)$. The left-hand inequality in (6) will be satisfied after the first $t - 1$ steps if

$$\delta < b = b_0 - (t - 1)\delta \quad \iff \quad t\delta < b_0.$$

This inequality is satisfied for all $t \leq \epsilon^2 \frac{\|L\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2}$ as

$$\epsilon^2 \frac{\|L\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2} \delta = \frac{\epsilon(1 - \epsilon)\|L\|_F^2}{m} < b_0.$$

The right-hand inequality in (6) will always be satisfied if it is satisfied initially as the Frobenius norm $\|QL\|_F^2$ decreases by at most $\|L\|_2^2$ in each step. Taking $t = \left\lceil \epsilon^2 \frac{\|L\|_F^2}{\|L\|_2^2} \right\rceil$ steps leaves the barrier at

$$b_0 - \delta t \geq \frac{(1 - \epsilon)\|L\|_F^2}{m} - \epsilon^2(1 - \epsilon) \frac{\|L\|_F^2}{\epsilon m} = \frac{(1 - \epsilon)^2\|L\|_F^2}{m}$$

which is the promised bound. □

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Kate Juschenko, Roman Vershynin and especially Pete Casazza for helpful comments and corrections to an earlier version of this manuscript.

References

- [1] Joshua D. Batson, Daniel A. Spielman, and Nikhil Srivastava. Twice-Ramanujan sparsifiers. In *STOC '09: Proceedings of the 41st annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 255–262, New York, NY, USA, 2009. ACM.
- [2] K. Berman, H. Halpern, V. Kaftal, and G. Weiss. Matrix norm inequalities and the relative Dixmier property. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 11:28–48, 1988.

- [3] J. Bourgain and L. Tzafriri. Invertibility of large submatrices with applications to the geometry of Banach spaces and harmonic analysis. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 57:137–224, 1987.
- [4] J. Bourgain and L. Tzafriri. On a problem of Kadison and Singer. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 420:1–43, 1991.
- [5] P. Casazza and J. Tremain. Revisiting the Bourgain-Tzafriri Restricted Invertibility Theorem. *Operators and Matrices*, 3:97–110, 2009.
- [6] Joel A. Tropp. Column subset selection, matrix factorization, and eigenvalue optimization. In *SODA '09: Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual ACM -SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 978–986, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2009. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- [7] R. Vershynin. John’s decompositions: Selecting a large part. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 122:253–277, 2001.