

Preprint, arXiv:0812.1724

ON BIALOSTOCKI'S CONJECTURE FOR ZERO-SUM SEQUENCES

SONG GUO AND ZHI-WEI SUN*

ABSTRACT. Let n be a positive even integer, and let a_1, \dots, a_n and w_1, \dots, w_n be integers satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. A conjecture of Bialostocki states that there is a permutation σ on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_{\sigma(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. In this paper we confirm the conjecture when w_1, \dots, w_n form an arithmetic progression with even common difference.

1. INTRODUCTION

A finite sequence S of terms from an (additive) abelian group is said to have zero-sum if the sum of the terms of S is zero. In 1961 P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv [3] proved that any sequence of $2n - 1$ terms from an abelian group of order n contains an n -term zero-sum subsequence. This celebrated EGZ theorem is an important result in combinatorial number theory and it has many different generalizations [5, 6, 7, 8] including Sun's recent extension involving covering systems.

The following theorem is called the weighted EGZ theorem. It was conjectured by Y. Caro [2] and proved by D. J. Grykiewicz [4].

Theorem 1.1 (Weighted EGZ Theorem). *Let n be a positive integer and let $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n w_k = 0$. If $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n-1}$ is a sequence of elements from \mathbb{Z}_n , then $\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_{j_k} = 0$ for some distinct $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, 2n - 1\}$.*

Recently Bialostocki raised the following challenging conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (Bialostocki [1, Conjecture 14]). *Let n be a positive even integer. Suppose that a_1, \dots, a_n and w_1, \dots, w_n are zero-sum sequences with terms from \mathbb{Z}_n . Then there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_{\sigma(k)} = 0$, where S_n denotes the symmetric group of all permutations on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.*

The conjecture has been verified for $n = 2, 4, 6, 8$. It fails for $n = 3, 5, 7, \dots$. For example, $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\} = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\} = \mathbb{Z}_3$ gives a counter-example for $n = 3$.

In this paper we mainly establish the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let n be a positive even integer, and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Then there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n k a_{\sigma(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n/2}$. Consequently, if $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ form an arithmetic progression with even common difference, then $\sum_{k=1}^n w_k a_{\sigma(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for some $\sigma \in S_n$.*

We are going to present two lemmas in the next section and then give our proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.

Key words and phrases. Zero-sum sequence, permutation, Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B75; Secondary 05A05, 20D60.

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (grant 10871087) of China.

2. TWO LEMMAS

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n = mq$ with $m, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $m \geq 2$. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be a divisor of q , and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, there is a partition I_1, \dots, I_m of $[1, n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for each $s = 1, \dots, m$ we have $|I_s| = q$ and*

$$d \mid \sum_{i \in I_s} a_i \implies |\{a_i \bmod d : i \in I_s\}| = 1.$$

Proof. By induction on m , it suffices to show that there exists an $I \subseteq [1, n]$ with $|I| = q$ such that for each $J \in \{I, [1, n] \setminus I\}$ we have $|\{a_j \bmod d : j \in J\}| = 1$ or $\sum_{j \in J} a_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$. To achieve this we distinguish three cases.

Case 1. $|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in [1, n]\}| = 1$.

In this case, $I = [1, q]$ works for our purpose.

Case 2. $|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in [1, n]\}| = 2$.

Suppose that

$$\{a_i \bmod d : i \in [1, n]\} = \{r \bmod d, r' \bmod d\},$$

where $r, r' \in [0, d-1]$, $r \not\equiv r' \pmod{d}$, and $a_i \equiv r \pmod{d}$ for at least $n/2$ values of $i \in [1, n]$. Choose $I_0 \subseteq \{i \in [1, n] : a_i \equiv r \pmod{d}\}$ with $|I_0| = q \leq n/2$. Let $i_0 \in I_0$ and $j_0 \in \bar{I}_0 = [1, n] \setminus I_0$ with $a_{j_0} \equiv r' \pmod{d}$. When $\sum_{j \in \bar{I}_0} a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, we have both

$$\sum_{i \in (I_0 \setminus \{i_0\}) \cup \{j_0\}} a_i \equiv 0 - r + r' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$$

and

$$\sum_{j \in (\bar{I}_0 \setminus \{j_0\}) \cup \{i_0\}} a_j \equiv 0 - r' + r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}.$$

Thus, there always exists an $I \subseteq [1, n]$ with $|I| = q$ such that

$$|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in I\}| = 1 \text{ or } \sum_{i \in I} a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d},$$

and also $\sum_{j \in \bar{I}} a_j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$.

Case 3. $|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in [1, n]\}| > 2$.

As $n \geq 2q \geq 2q - 1$, by the EGZ theorem there is an $I_0 \subseteq [1, n]$ with $|I_0| = q$ such that $\sum_{i \in I_0} a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. For $\bar{I}_0 = [1, n] \setminus I_0$, we clearly have $|\bar{I}_0| = (m-1)q$. Set $b = a_1 + \dots + a_n \equiv \sum_{j \in \bar{I}_0} a_j \pmod{q}$.

Suppose that $a_j - a_i \equiv 0$ or $b \pmod{d}$ for any $i \in I_0$ and $j \in \bar{I}_0$. Then

$$|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in I_0\}| \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\{a_j \bmod d : j \in \bar{I}_0\}| \leq 2.$$

If $i_1, i_2 \in I_0$, $j \in \bar{I}_0$ and $a_j \not\equiv a_{i_1}, a_{i_2} \pmod{d}$, then $a_j - a_{i_1} \equiv b \equiv a_j - a_{i_2} \pmod{d}$ and hence $a_{i_1} \equiv a_{i_2} \pmod{d}$. So, if $|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in I_0\}| = 2$ then $\{a_j \bmod d : j \in \bar{I}_0\} \subseteq \{a_i \bmod d : i \in I_0\}$ which contradicts $|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in I_0\}| > 2$. Similarly, if $|\{a_j \bmod d : j \in \bar{I}_0\}| = 2$ then we also have a contradiction. When

$$|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in I_0\}| = |\{a_j \bmod d : j \in \bar{I}_0\}| = 1,$$

we cannot have $|\{a_i \bmod d : i \in [1, n]\}| > 2$.

By the above, there are $i_0 \in I_0$ and $j_0 \in \bar{I}_0$ such that

$$a_{j_0} - a_{i_0} \not\equiv 0, b \pmod{d}.$$

Set

$$I = (I_0 \setminus \{i_0\}) \cup \{j_0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{I} = [1, n] \setminus I = (\bar{I}_0 \setminus \{j_0\}) \cup \{i_0\}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_i = \sum_{i \in I_0} a_i - a_{i_0} + a_{j_0} = 0 - a_{i_0} + a_{j_0} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$$

and

$$\sum_{j \in \bar{I}} a_j = \sum_{j \in \bar{I}_0} a_j - a_{j_0} + a_{i_0} \equiv b + a_{i_0} - a_{j_0} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}.$$

Note that $|I| = q$ and $|\bar{I}| = (m-1)q$.

Combining the above and using the induction argument, we see that the desired result holds for any $m = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n = p^\alpha$, where p is an odd prime and α is a positive integer. If $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $|\{a_k \pmod{p} : k \in [1, n]\}| = 1$, then there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n ka_{\sigma(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.*

Proof. If $a := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then there is an $l \in [1, n]$ such that $al + \sum_{k=1}^n ka_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^n ka_{\sigma(k)} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n (k+l)a_k \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n ka_k + la \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha},$$

where $\sigma(k)$ is the least positive residue of $k-l$ modulo n .

In the case $a_1 \equiv \dots \equiv a_n \pmod{p}$, it is clear that

$$\sum_{k=1}^p ka_k \equiv a_1 \sum_{k=1}^p k = a_1 p \frac{p+1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus we have the desired result for $\alpha = 1$.

Now let $\alpha > 1$ and assume the desired result with α replaced by $\alpha - 1$. As mentioned above, the desired result holds if $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Suppose that $a_1 \equiv \dots \equiv a_n \pmod{p}$ and set $b_k = (a_k - a_1)/p$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. In light of Lemma 2.1, there exists a partition $I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_p$ of $[1, n]$ with $|I_1| = \dots = |I_p| = p^{\alpha-1}$ such that for any $s = 1, \dots, p$ either $|\{b_k \pmod{p} : k \in I_s\}| = 1$ or $\sum_{k \in I_s} b_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By the induction hypothesis, there are one-to-one mappings $\sigma_s : [1, p^{\alpha-1}] \rightarrow I_s$ ($s = 1, \dots, p$) such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} kb_{\sigma_s(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha-1}} \quad \text{for all } s = 1, \dots, p.$$

For $s \in [1, p]$ and $t \in [1, p^{\alpha-1}]$ define $\sigma(p^{\alpha-1}(s-1) + t) = \sigma_s(t)$. Then $\sigma \in S_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n ka_{\sigma(k)} &= \sum_{k=1}^n ka_1 + p \sum_{k=1}^k kb_{\sigma(k)} \\ &= \frac{p^\alpha(p^\alpha + 1)}{2} a_1 + p \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{t=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} (p^{\alpha-1}(s-1) + t) b_{\sigma_s(t)} \\ &\equiv p \sum_{s=1}^p \sum_{t=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} tb_{\sigma_s(t)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the induction step and we are done. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use induction on $\nu(n)$, the total number of prime divisors of n .

In the case $\nu(n) = 1$, clearly $n = 2$ and the desired result holds trivially.

Now let $\nu(n) > 1$ and assume the desired result for those even positive integers with less than $\nu(n)$ prime divisors.

Case 1. $n = 2^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \geq 2$.

By the EGZ theorem, there is an $I \subseteq [1, n]$ with $|I| = n/2 = 2^{\alpha-1}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha-1}}$. Note that for $\bar{I} = [1, n] \setminus I$ we also have

$$\sum_{j \in \bar{I}} a_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - \sum_{i \in I} a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha-1}}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, for some one-to-one mappings $\sigma_0 : [1, n/2] \rightarrow I$ and $\sigma_1 : [1, n/2] \rightarrow \bar{I}$ we have

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\alpha-1}} ka_{\sigma_0(k)} \equiv 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\alpha-1}} ka_{\sigma_1(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^{\alpha-1}} (2k-1)a_{\sigma_1(k)} \equiv 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\alpha-1}} ka_{\sigma_1(k)} - \sum_{j \in \bar{I}} a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha-1}}.$$

For $k \in [1, n/2]$ and $r \in [0, 1]$ define $\sigma(2k-r) = \sigma_r(k)$. Then $\sigma \in S_n$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n ja_{\sigma(j)} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\alpha-1}} ka_{\sigma_0(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\alpha-1}} (2k-1)a_{\sigma_1(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Thus we have the desired result for $n = 2^\alpha$.

Case 2. n has an odd prime divisor p .

Write $n = p^\alpha m$ with $\alpha, m > 0$ and $p \nmid m$. With the help of Lemma 2.1 there is a partition $I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_m$ of $[1, n]$ with $|I_1| = \dots = |I_m| = p^\alpha$ such that for each $s = 1, \dots, m$ either $|\{a_i \pmod{p} : i \in I_s\}| = 1$ or $\sum_{i \in I_s} a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Combining this with Lemma

2.2, we see that for each $s \in [1, m]$ there is a one-to-one mapping $\sigma_s : [1, p^\alpha] \rightarrow I_s$ such that $\sum_{t=1}^{p^\alpha} ta_{\sigma_s(t)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}$.

Set $b_s = \sum_{k \in I_s} a_k$ for $s = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$\sum_{s=1}^m b_s = \sum_{k \in I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_m} a_k = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

As $2 \mid m$ and $\nu(m) < \nu(n)$, by the induction hypothesis, for some $\tau \in S_m$ we have

$$2 \sum_{s=1}^m sb_{\tau(s)} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

and hence

$$2 \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{p^\alpha} sa_{\sigma_{\tau(s)}(t)} = 2 \sum_{s=1}^m sb_{\tau(s)} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

Note also that

$$\sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{p^\alpha} ta_{\sigma_{\tau(s)}(t)} = \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{p^\alpha} ta_{\sigma_s(t)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}.$$

Therefore

$$2 \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{p^\alpha} (p^\alpha s + mt)a_{\sigma_{\tau(s)}(t)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha m}.$$

As p^α is relatively prime to m ,

$$\{p^\alpha s + mt : s \in [1, m] \text{ and } t \in [1, p^\alpha]\}$$

is a complete system of residues modulo $n = p^\alpha m$. For any $k \in [1, n]$, there are unique $s \in [1, m]$ and $t \in [1, p^\alpha]$ such that $k \equiv p^\alpha s + mt \pmod{n}$, and we define $\sigma(k) = \sigma_{\tau(s)}(t)$. Then $\sigma \in S_n$ and also

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n ka_{\sigma(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

This concludes the induction step.

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bialostocki, *Some problems in view of recent developments of the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem*, Integers **7** (2007), no.2, # A07, 10 pp (electronic).
- [2] Y. Caro, *Zero-sum problems—a survey*, Discrete Math. **152** (1996), 93–113.
- [3] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv, *Theorem in additive number theory*, Bull. Res. Council Israel **10F** (1961), 41–43.
- [4] D. J. Grynkiewicz, *A weighted Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem*, Combinatorica **26** (2006), 445–453.
- [5] D. J. Grynkiewicz, *On the number of m -term zero-sum subsequences*, Acta Arith. **121** (2006), 275–298.
- [6] Y. O. Hamidoune, O. Ordaz and A. Ortuño, *On a combinatorial theorem of Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **7** (1998), 403–412.
- [7] Z. W. Sun, *Zero-sum problems for abelian p -groups and covers of the integers by residue classes*, Israel J. Math. (2009), in press.

- [8] R. Thangadurai, *Non-canonical extensions of Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem*, *Integers* **2** (2002), #A07, 14 pp (electronic).

(SONG GUO) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUAIYIN TEACHERS COLLEGE, HUIAIAN 223300, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: guosong77@hytc.edu.cn

(ZHI-WEI SUN) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: zwsun@nju.edu.cn