

# PARASASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH CONSTANT PARAHOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE

SIMEON ZAMKOVY

ABSTRACT. In this paper paraSasakian manifolds with constant paraholomorphic sectional curvature are considered.

MSC: 53C15, 53C50, 53C25, 53C26, 53B30

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Paracontact metric manifolds	3
3. Identities	6
4. Paraholomorphic sectional curvature	7
5. The PC-Bochner curvature tensor	10
6. Proof of Theorem 1.1	12
References	13

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A Riemannian manifold is said to admit the axiom of planes if there exists a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold tangent to any 2-dimensional section at every point of the manifold. It is said to admit the free mobility if there exists an isometry which carries any point and any frame attached to the point to any other point and any other frame attached to the point [2].

It is well known that a Riemannian manifold satisfies the axiom of planes or the free mobility if and only if it is of constant curvature [2]. A similar result for complex manifolds is proved in [11]. More precisely, a Kähler manifold with constant holomorphic curvature admits either of the so-called axiom of holomorphic planes and the holomorphic free mobility, and conversely. For a para-Kähler manifold, the concept of paraholomorphic free mobility and the axiom of paraholomorphic planes are given in [4, 10].

Some results on manifolds with constant nonvanishing paraholomorphic sectional curvature are given in [1] and [4]. The general expression for the metric and the almost product structure of the para-Kähler space form in normal coordinates is given in [3].

---

*Date:* 1st November 2018.

The geometry of almost contact manifolds is a natural extension of the almost Hermitian geometry in the odd dimensional case. Almost contact manifolds satisfying the the axiom of planes or the axiom of free mobility are considered in [9]. It is proved that a Sasakian manifold satisfies the axiom of  $C$ -holomorphic planes or the one of  $C$ -holomorphic free mobility if and only if it is of constant  $C$ -holomorphic curvature. Similarly, the geometry of almost paracontact manifolds is a natural extension of the almost paraHermitian geometry in the odd dimensional case [13, 5].

A paracontact metric manifold  $M$  is a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional manifold equipped with a 1-form  $\eta$ , a tensor field  $\varphi$  of type  $(1, 1)$ , a vector field  $\xi$  satisfying  $\eta(\xi) = 1$ ,  $\varphi\xi = 0$  and  $\varphi^2 = id - \eta \otimes \xi$ , and a compatible metric  $g$  satisfying  $g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$  and  $g(X, \varphi Y) = d\eta(X, Y)$ , where  $d\eta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X) - \eta([X, Y]))$ . The manifold  $M^{(2n+1)}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is said to be a paraSasakian manifold if in addition  $N_\varphi(X, Y) = 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi$ , where

$$N_\varphi(X, Y) = [\varphi X, \varphi Y] - \varphi[\varphi X, Y] - \varphi[X, \varphi Y] + \varphi^2[X, Y].$$

An example of paracontact metric manifold take the hyperbolic Heisenberg group  $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$  [5], where the group law is given by

$$(p'', t'') = (p', t') \circ (p, t) = (p' + p, t' + t - \sum_{k=1}^n (u'_k v_k - v'_k u_k)),$$

for  $p, p' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $p = (u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n)$  and  $p' = (u'_1, v'_1, \dots, u'_n, v'_n)$ . Take  $U_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k} + v_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ,  $V_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_k} - u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ,  $\xi = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  as a basis of left-invariant vector fields. Define  $\eta_{\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}} = \frac{1}{2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (u_k dv_k - v_k du_k)$ , the tensor field  $\varphi$  of type  $(1, 1)$  by  $\varphi U_k = V_k$ ,  $\varphi V_k = U_k$ ,  $\varphi \xi = 0$  and the pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g = \eta_{\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}} \otimes \eta_{\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^n ((du_k)^2 - (dv_k)^2) = d\eta_{\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}}(\cdot, \varphi \cdot)$ . Then the vector fields  $\{U_1, \dots, U_n, V_1, \dots, V_n, \xi\}$  form an orthonormal basis for the paracontact metric structure,  $g(U_i, U_i) = -g(V_i, V_i) = 1 = g(\xi, \xi)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . It is easy to check that  $N_\varphi - 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$  and hence the paracontact metric structure is a paraSasakian structure.

As another example [5], take  $\{x_0, y_0, \dots, x_n, y_n\}$  to be the standard coordinate system in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ . The standard para-Kähler structure  $(\mathbb{I}, g)$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad \mathbb{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right) = -g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) = \delta_{ij}, \quad g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) = 0,$$

where  $i, j = 0, \dots, n$ . The Levi-Civita connection preserves the fundamental 2-form defined by  $g(\cdot, \mathbb{I} \cdot)$ . Consider the hypersurface

$$\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1) = \{(x_0, y_0, \dots, x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \mid x_0^2 + \dots + x_n^2 - y_0^2 \dots - y_n^2 = 1\}.$$

$\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)$  carries a natural paracontact metric structure inherited from the standard embedding in the para-Kähler manifold  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \mathbb{I}, g)$ . We take

$$\eta_{\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)} = \sum_{j=0}^n (x_j dy_j - y_j dx_j)$$

noting that here  $N = \sum_{j=0}^n \left( x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right)$  is the unit normal vector field and  $\xi = \mathbb{I}N = \sum_{j=0}^n \left( x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$ . For any vector field  $X$  tangent to  $\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)$  we put  $\varphi X = \mathbb{I}X - \eta_{\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)}(X)N$ . Then we have  $\varphi^2 X = X - \eta_{\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)}(X)\xi$ ,  $\varphi\xi = 0$ ,  $\eta_{\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)}(\varphi X) = 0$  and  $\eta_{\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)}(X) = g(\xi, X)$ . The hyperboloid  $\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)$  is a totally umbilical hypersurface with the second fundamental form equal to minus identity, so we obtain a paraSasakian structure,  $d\eta_{\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)}(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y)$ .

The above construction does not work for hyperboloids  $\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(r)$  for  $r$  different from 1. In fact, for such hyperboloids we shall obtain a paracontact metric structure, but  $d\eta_{\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(r)}$  would be equal to  $\frac{1}{r}g(\cdot, \varphi\cdot)$ . However this flaw could be rectified by a  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic transformation (see 2.5 below).

The main purpose of this article is to prove the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional ( $n > 1$ ) connected, simply connected paraSasakian manifold with constant paraholomorphic sectional curvature  $k$ .*

- i). If  $k = 3$ , then  $M$  is locally isomorphic to the hyperbolic Heisenberg group  $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}$ ;*
- ii). If  $k \neq 3$ , then  $M$  is locally isomorphic to the hyperboloid  $\mathbf{H}(k)$ , obtained from  $\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)$  through  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic transformation, for which  $\eta_{\mathbf{H}(k)} = -\frac{4}{k-3}\eta_{\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)}$ .*

**Acknowledgement** I would like to thank Stefan Ivanov for his useful comments. This work was partially supported by Contract 082/2009 with the University of Sofia "St. Kl. Ohridski". The author also acknowledges support from the European Operational program HRD through contract BGO051PO001/07/3.3-02/53 with the Bulgarian Ministry of Education.

## 2. PARACONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

A smooth pseudo-Riemannian manifold  $M^{(2n+1)}$  is said to be a *paracontact metric manifold* if it is equipped with a tensor field  $\varphi$  of type  $(1, 1)$ , a vector field  $\xi$ , a 1-form  $\eta$  and pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$  satisfying the following compatibility conditions

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \varphi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \\ (ii) \quad & \eta(\xi) = 1 \quad \varphi^2 = id - \eta \otimes \xi. \\ (iii) \quad & g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) \\ (iv) \quad & g(X, \varphi Y) = d\eta(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\eta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X) - \eta([X, Y]))$ .

Let  $\mathbb{D} = Ker \eta$  be the horizontal distribution generated by  $\eta$ . The tensor field  $\varphi$  induces an almost paracomplex structure on each fibre on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Recall that an almost paracomplex structure on a  $2n$ -dimensional manifold is a  $(1,1)$ -tensor  $J$  such that  $J^2 = 1$  and the eigensubbundles  $T^+, T^-$ , corresponding to the eigenvalues  $1, -1$  of  $J$  respectively, have equal dimension  $n$ .

The Nijenhuis tensor  $N_J$  of  $J$ , given by  $N_J(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY] + [X, Y]$ , is the obstruction for the integrability of the eigensubbundles  $T^+, T^-$ . If  $N_J = 0$  then the almost paracomplex structure is called paracomplex or integrable.

We recall that the paracontact metric manifold  $M^{(2n+1)}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is said to be a *paraSasakian manifold*, if  $N_\varphi(X, Y) = 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi$ .

**Remark 2.1.** [13] A paracontact metric manifold  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is paraSasakian if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad (\nabla_X \varphi)Y = -g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X,$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the Levi-Civita connection determined by  $g$ .

Since  $\mathbb{D}$  is orientable by the paracomplex structure  $\varphi$ , the manifold  $M$  is orientable exactly when the canonical line bundle  $E = \{\eta \in \Lambda^1 : Ker \eta = \mathbb{D}\}$  is orientable. Any two contact forms  $\bar{\eta}, \eta \in E$  are connected by

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{\eta} = \alpha\eta,$$

for some non-vanishing positive smooth function  $\alpha$  on  $M$ .

Let  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a paracontact metric manifold. We consider a paracontact form  $\bar{\eta} = \alpha\eta$  and define the structure tensors  $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{g})$  corresponding to  $\bar{\eta}$  using the condition:

( $\star$ ) For each point  $x$  of  $M$ , the action of  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  are identical on  $\mathbb{D}_x$

Under condition ( $\star$ ) the transformation  $\eta \rightarrow \bar{\eta} = \alpha\eta$  induces a transformation of the structure tensors by

$$(i) \quad \bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\xi + \zeta), \quad \zeta = -\frac{1}{2\alpha}\varphi X(\alpha),$$

$$(ii) \quad g(X, \bar{\varphi}Y) = g(X, \varphi Y) + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(X(\alpha) - \xi(\alpha) \cdot \eta(X))\eta(Y),$$

$$(iii) \quad \bar{g}(X, Y) = \alpha(g(X, Y) - \eta(X)Y(\zeta) - \eta(Y)X(\zeta)) + \alpha(\alpha - 1 + |\zeta|^2)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

We call the transformation of the structure tensors given by (2.4) a *gauge transformation of paracontact pseudo-Riemannian structure* (or paracontact conformal transformation)[13]. When  $\alpha$  is constant the transformation is said to be  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic. More explicitly a  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic transformation is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{\varphi} = \varphi, \quad \bar{\xi} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\xi, \quad \bar{\eta} = \alpha\eta, \quad \bar{g} = \alpha g + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta \otimes \eta, \quad \alpha = const > 0.$$

*Theorem 4.8* in [13] shows that the  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic transformations preserve the paraSasakian structure.

**Definition 2.2.** [13] A paracontact structure  $(\eta, \varphi, \xi)$  is said to be *integrable* if the almost paracomplex structure  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{D}}$  satisfies the conditions

$$(2.6) \quad N_\varphi(X, Y) = 0, \quad [\varphi X, Y] + [X, \varphi Y] \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}) \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}).$$

**Definition 2.3.** [5] A paracontact manifold with an integrable paracontact structure is called a *para CR-manifold*.

In order to prove the main theorem we shall need the notions of the canonical paracontact connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and of the tensor field  $h$  defined in [13]. Let  $M$  be a paracontact metric manifold. We define

$$(2.7) \quad h = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_\xi \varphi$$

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + \eta(X) \varphi Y + \eta(Y) (\varphi X - \varphi h X) + \\ &+ g(X, \varphi Y) \cdot \xi - g(hX, \varphi Y) \cdot \xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

The tensor field  $h$  has the properties:

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\varphi X + \varphi h X, \quad \varphi h + h \varphi, \quad \text{tr} h = h \xi = 0, \quad X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

The torsion of the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is given by

$$(2.10) \quad T(X, Y) = \eta(X) \varphi h Y - \eta(Y) \varphi h X + 2g(X, \varphi Y) \xi, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

On a paracontact metric manifold the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  has the properties

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla} \eta &= 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} \xi = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} g = 0, \\ (\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi) Y &= (\nabla_X \varphi) Y + g(X - hX, Y) \xi - \eta(Y) (X - hX), \\ T(\xi, \varphi Y) &= -\varphi T(\xi, Y), \quad Y \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}) \quad \text{or} \quad Y \in \Gamma(TM) \\ T(X, Y) &= 2d\eta(X, Y) \xi = 2g(X, \varphi Y), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $T(\xi, Y) = h(Y)$  is the horizontal part of the torsion and  $T(X, Y) = 2d\eta(X, Y) \xi$  is the vertical part of the torsion.

For example, the hyperbolic Heisenberg group is flat with respect to the canonical paracontact connection.

The curvature tensor  $\tilde{R} = [\tilde{\nabla}, \tilde{\nabla}] - \tilde{\nabla}_{[\cdot]} \tilde{\nabla}$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is related to the curvature  $R = [\nabla, \nabla] - \nabla_{[\cdot]} \nabla$  of the Levi-Civita connection by

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{ijk}^l &= R_{ijk}^l + \nabla_i \varphi_k^l \eta_j - \nabla_j \varphi_k^l \eta_i + 2\varphi_{ij} \varphi_k^l - \varphi_s^l \nabla_j \xi^s \eta_i \eta_k + \varphi_s^l \nabla_i \xi^s \eta_j \eta_k + \\ &+ \xi^l \nabla_i \eta_s \varphi_k^s \eta_j - \xi^l \nabla_j \eta_s \varphi_k^s \eta_i - \xi^l R_{ijk}^s \eta_s - \eta_k R_{ijs}^l \xi^s + \nabla_j \eta_k \nabla_i \xi^l - \nabla_i \eta_k \nabla_j \xi^l. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for the Ricci tensors  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  of  $\nabla$  we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{jk} = \mathbf{r}_{jk} - 2g_{jk} + 2\eta_j \eta_k - \mathbf{r}_{js} \eta_k \xi^s - R_{jsrk} \xi^s \xi^r - \nabla_r \eta_k \nabla_j \xi^r.$$

The canonical paracontact connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  preserves the structural tensors  $g$ ,  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . However it does not preserve the tensor  $\varphi$ . More precisely we have (Theorem 4.10 [13]):

$$\tilde{\nabla} \varphi = 0 \iff M \text{ is para CR-manifold.}$$

Let us require  $M$  to be a paraSasakian manifold. According to [13], we have  $h = 0$ . Now, from *Remark 2.1*,  $h = 0$  and the equalities (2.11), we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{\nabla} \eta = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} \xi = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} \varphi = 0.$$

Hence,  $M$  is a para CR-manifold.

*Theorem 4.11* in [13] shows that the horizontal part ( $T(\xi, Y) = h(Y)$  (for  $Y \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D})$ ) of the torsion vanishes if and only if  $M$  is a paraSasakian manifold.

### 3. IDENTITIES

Let us fix local coordinates  $(x^1, \dots, x^{2n+1})$ . We shall use the Einstein summation convention. In local coordinates the equations (2.1) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_r \xi^r &= 1, & \varphi_r^i \xi^r &= 0, & \eta_r \varphi_j^r &= 0, & \varphi_r^i \varphi_j^r &= \delta_j^i - \xi^i \eta_j, \\ g_{rs} \varphi_j^r \varphi_k^s &= -g_{jk} + \eta_j \eta_k, & g_{jr} \xi^r &= \eta_j. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M^{(2n+1)}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a paraSasakian manifold. Then we have the following identities ([13])

$$(3.15) \quad \nabla_i \eta_j = \varphi_{ij} \iff \nabla_i \xi^j = -\varphi_i^j$$

$$(3.16) \quad \nabla_r \varphi_{si} = \eta_i g_{rs} - \eta_s g_{ri} \iff \nabla_r \varphi_i^s = \eta_i \delta_r^s - \xi^s g_{ri},$$

where  $\varphi_{ij} = -\varphi_{ji} = g_{il} \varphi_j^l$ . In this case, the vector field  $\xi$  is a unit Killing vector field.

From (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$(3.17) \quad \nabla_k \nabla_i \eta_j = g_{ik} \xi^j - \eta_i g_{kj} \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla_k \nabla_i \xi^j = g_{ik} \xi^j - \eta_i \delta_k^j.$$

Using the Ricci formula, we get

$$(3.18) \quad R_{kisl} \xi^l = g_{ks} \eta_i - g_{is} \eta_k \quad \text{or} \quad R_{kis}^l \eta_l = g_{ks} \eta_i - g_{is} \eta_k.$$

From (3.16) and the Ricci identities, we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad \varphi_j^a \varphi_i^b R_{ablk} = -R_{jilk} - \varphi_{ik} \varphi_{lj} + \varphi_{il} \varphi_{kj} - g_{kj} g_{il} + g_{lj} g_{ik}$$

Transvecting (3.19) with  $\varphi_m^j \varphi_h^l$ , we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_m^b \varphi_h^l R_{bilk} - \varphi_i^b \varphi_h^l R_{bmlk} &= g_{km} g_{ih} - g_{mh} g_{ik} + g_{ik} \eta_m \eta_h - \\ &\quad - g_{mk} \eta_i \eta_h + \varphi_{hm} \varphi_{ik} - \varphi_{km} \varphi_{ih}. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\varphi_i^b \varphi_h^l R_{bmlk} = -\varphi_i^b \varphi_h^l (R_{mlbk} + R_{lbmk}) = \varphi_i^b \varphi_h^l R_{blmk} - \varphi_i^b \varphi_h^l R_{mlbk}$$

and (3.19), we get

$$(3.21) \quad \varphi_i^b \varphi_h^l R_{bmlk} - \varphi_h^b \varphi_i^l R_{bmlk} = -R_{ihmk} - g_{ki} g_{mh} + g_{mi} g_{hk} + \varphi_{hm} \varphi_{ki} - \varphi_{hk} \varphi_{mi}.$$

## 4. PARAHOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE

Let  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a paraSasakian manifold. Let  $p$  be any point on  $M$ . We consider  $\mathbb{D}$  as a subspace of  $T_p(M)$ , the tangent space of  $M$  at  $p$ , whose elements are orthogonal to  $\xi$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{D} = Ker \eta = \{v \in T_p(M) | g(\xi, v) = 0\}.$$

Let  $u$  be any unit vector of  $\mathbb{D}$ , i.e.,  $g(u, u) = \varepsilon_u$ , where  $\varepsilon_u = \pm 1$ . By  $\xi$ -section, we mean the section determined by  $\xi$  and  $u$ .

The sectional curvature determined by the  $\xi$ -section is said to be  $\xi$ -sectional curvature. Denoting it by  $k(\xi, u)$ , we get

$$k(\xi, u) = \varepsilon_u \varepsilon_\xi R_{kjhi} u^k \xi^j \xi^h u^i = \frac{R_{kjhi} u^k \xi^j \xi^h u^i}{g_{ki} u^k u^i g_{jh} \xi^j \xi^h}.$$

Using (3.17) and  $\varepsilon_\xi = 1$ , we get

$$k(\xi, u) = -\varepsilon_u (g_{ki} \eta_j - g_{ij} \eta_k) u^k \xi^j u^i.$$

By assumption,

$$g_{ij} \xi^i u^j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_{ik} u^i u^k = \varepsilon_u,$$

and hence we have

$$k(\xi, u) = -1.$$

Thus we obtain the following

**Proposition 4.1.** *In a paraSasakian manifold the  $\xi$ -sectional curvature is always equal to -1.*

Next we consider the sectional curvature determined by two orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

If  $v$  is any unit vector (not necessarily in  $\mathbb{D}$ ) such that  $\varphi v$  is not isotropic, then  $\varphi v$  lies on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Furthermore any element of  $\mathbb{D}$  can be written in this form.

If the section is determined by  $\varphi v$  and  $\varphi^2 v$ , which are orthogonal to each other, we call the section *paraholomorphic*, and the sectional curvature determined by it — *paraholomorphic sectional curvature*. In such case we have

$$(4.22) \quad k = \frac{R_{dcab}(\varphi v)^d (\varphi^2 v)^c (\varphi^2 v)^a (\varphi v)^b}{g_{dc}(\varphi v)^d (\varphi v)^c g_{ba}(\varphi^2 v)^b (\varphi^2 v)^a}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a paraSasakian manifold of dimension  $2n+1$ ,  $n > 1$ . If the paraholomorphic sectional curvature is independent on the paraholomorphic section at a point, then the curvature tensor has the form*

$$(4.23) \quad R_{mjhl} = \frac{k-3}{4} (g_{ml} g_{hj} - g_{jl} g_{hm}) + \frac{k+1}{4} (g_{hm} \eta_j \eta_l + g_{lj} \eta_m \eta_h - g_{lm} \eta_j \eta_h - g_{hj} \eta_l \eta_m + \varphi_{hj} \varphi_{ml} - \varphi_{hm} \varphi_{jl} + 2\varphi_{mj} \varphi_{hl}),$$

where  $k$  is a constant.

*Proof.* From identity (4.22), using (3.17), we have

$$(4.24) \quad k = -\frac{(\varphi_k^d \varphi_i^b R_{djhb} - \eta_j \eta_h (g_{ki} - \eta_k \eta_i)) v^k v^j v^h v^i}{(g_{kj} - \eta_k \eta_j)(g_{ih} - \eta_i \eta_h) v^k v^j v^h v^i}.$$

Now if we assume that  $k$  is independent on the choice of the paraholomorphic section at  $p \in M$ , then (4.24) is equivalent to

$$(4.25) \quad (\varphi_k^d \varphi_i^b R_{djhb} - \eta_j \eta_h (g_{ki} - \eta_k \eta_i) + k(g_{kj} - \eta_k \eta_j)(g_{ih} - \eta_i \eta_h)) v^k v^j v^h v^i = 0$$

for any vector  $v$ . From here, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\varphi_k^d \varphi_i^b R_{djhb} + \varphi_j^d \varphi_i^b R_{dhkb} + \varphi_h^d \varphi_i^b R_{dkjb}) &= -2(\varphi_{ik} \varphi_{jh} + \varphi_{ij} \varphi_{hk} + \varphi_{ih} \varphi_{kj}) - 2k(g_{kj} g_{ih} + \\ &+ g_{hj} g_{ki} + g_{ij} g_{kh}) - 6(k+1)\eta_i \eta_j \eta_k \eta_h + (1+2k)(g_{ij} \eta_k \eta_h + g_{ih} \eta_k \eta_j + g_{ik} \eta_j \eta_h + g_{kh} \eta_i \eta_j + \\ &+ g_{hj} \eta_k \eta_i + g_{kj} \eta_i \eta_h) + (g_{ij} \eta_k \eta_h + g_{ih} \eta_k \eta_j + g_{ik} \eta_j \eta_h - g_{kh} \eta_i \eta_j - g_{hj} \eta_k \eta_i - g_{kj} \eta_i \eta_h) \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (3.20).

Using (4.24) and (4.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3\varphi_k^d \varphi_i^b R_{djhb} &= R_{kijh} - R_{jihk} + 3(g_{ki} g_{hj} - g_{ih} g_{kj}) - k(g_{ji} g_{hk} + g_{kj} g_{hi} + g_{ki} g_{hj}) + \\ &+ (k+1)(g_{ij} \eta_k \eta_h + g_{ih} \eta_k \eta_j + g_{ik} \eta_j \eta_h + g_{kh} \eta_i \eta_j + g_{kj} \eta_i \eta_h) + (k-2)g_{hj} \eta_k \eta_i - \\ &- 3\varphi_{ij} \varphi_{hk} - 3(k+1)\eta_i \eta_j \eta_k \eta_h. \end{aligned}$$

Contracting the last equation with  $\varphi_m^i \varphi_l^k$  and using (3.17) and (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_m^i \varphi_l^k R_{ijhk} &= 3R_{lghm} + R_{lmjh} + (k+2)\varphi_{hm} \varphi_{jl} - (k+1)\varphi_{mj} \varphi_{hl} - (k-3)g_{ml} g_{hj} - \\ &- 2g_{mj} g_{hl} - g_{jl} g_{hh} - (k+1)\eta_m \eta_j \eta_l \eta_h + k g_{ih} \eta_l \eta_m + (k+1)g_{lm} \eta_h \eta_j. \end{aligned}$$

The skew-symmetric part of the last equation with respect to  $m$  and  $j$ , and (3.20) yield

$$(4.26) \quad 4R_{mjhl} = (k-3)(g_{ml} g_{hj} - g_{jl} g_{hm}) + (k+1)(g_{hm} \eta_j \eta_l + g_{lj} \eta_m \eta_h - g_{lm} \eta_j \eta_h - \\ - g_{hj} \eta_l \eta_m + \varphi_{hj} \varphi_{ml} - \varphi_{hm} \varphi_{jl} + 2\varphi_{mj} \varphi_{hl}).$$

Contracting the last equation with  $g^{ml}$ , we obtain

$$(4.27) \quad 2\mathbf{r}_{jh} = [n(k-3) + k+1]g_{hj} - (n+1)(k+1)\eta_j \eta_h,$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = g^{kl} R_{kijl}$  is the Ricci tensor.<sup>1</sup>

Moreover contracting the last equation with  $g^{jh}$ , we have

$$(4.28) \quad 2\mathbf{s} = n(2n+1)(k-3) + n(k+1),$$

where  $\mathbf{s} = g^{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij}$  is the scalar curvature. On the other hand, from the second Bianchi identity, we get

$$(4.29) \quad \nabla_i(\mathbf{s}) - 2g^{jh} \nabla_j(\mathbf{r}_{ih}) = 0.$$

Substituting (4.27) and (4.28) into (4.29), we obtain

$$(4.30) \quad (n-1)\nabla_i(\mathbf{s}) + \eta_i \xi^j \nabla_j(\mathbf{s}) = 0.$$

Transvecting (4.30) with  $\xi^i$ , we get

$$\xi^j \nabla_j(\mathbf{s}) = 0$$

---

<sup>1</sup>From here,  $M$  is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold (see *Definition 5.4*).



and hence (4.30) implies

$$\nabla_i(\mathbf{s}) = 0 \quad (n > 1).$$

Thus, the paraholomorphic sectional curvature  $k$  is a constant.  $\square$

A paraSasakian manifold is said to be of *constant paraholomorphic curvature*, denoted by  $M(k)$ , if the paraholomorphic sectional curvature  $k$  is constant on the manifold.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a paraSasakian manifold with constant paraholomorphic sectional curvature  $k$ . Then applying a  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic transformation on  $M$ , we obtain a paraSasakian structure  $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$  on  $M$ , for which the paraholomorphic sectional curvature is constant and equal to  $\frac{k-3}{\alpha} + 3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \eta, \xi, g)$  be a paraSasakian manifold with constant paraholomorphic sectional curvature  $k$ . Suppose that  $M^{2n+1}(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{g})$  is obtained from  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \eta, \xi, g)$  through a  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic transformation. Using the formulas [13], we get

$$(4.31) \quad \bar{g}_{jk} = \alpha g_{jk} + \alpha(\alpha - 1)\eta_j\eta_k,$$

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{ijk}^l &= R_{ijk}^l - (\alpha - 1)(2\varphi_k^l\varphi_{ij} - \varphi_i^l\varphi_{jk} + \varphi_j^l\varphi_{ik}) + \\ &+ (\alpha - 1)(\nabla_j\varphi_i^l\eta_k + \nabla_j\varphi_k^l\eta_i - \nabla_i\varphi_j^l\eta_k - \nabla_i\varphi_k^l\eta_j) + (\alpha - 1)^2(\delta_j^l\eta_i\eta_k - \delta_i^l\eta_j\eta_k), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.33) \quad \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{jk} = \mathbf{r}_{jk} + 2(\alpha - 1)g_{jk} - 2(\alpha - 1)((2n + 1) + n(\alpha - 1))\eta_j\eta_k,$$

$$(4.34) \quad \bar{\mathbf{s}} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\mathbf{s} + 2n\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}.$$

Since  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \eta, \xi, g)$  is paraSasakian, the identities (3.15), (3.16) imply

$$(4.35) \quad \bar{R}_{ijk}^l = R_{ijk}^l - (\alpha - 1)(2\varphi_k^l\varphi_{ij} - \varphi_i^l\varphi_{jk} + \varphi_j^l\varphi_{ik}) + (\alpha^2 - 1)(\delta_j^l\eta_i\eta_k - \delta_i^l\eta_j\eta_k),$$

From (4.23), (4.31) and (4.35) we get

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{mjhl} &= \frac{\bar{k} - 3}{4}(\bar{g}_{ml}\bar{g}_{hj} - \bar{g}_{jl}\bar{g}_{hm}) + \frac{\bar{k} + 1}{4}(\bar{g}_{hm}\bar{\eta}_j\bar{\eta}_l + \bar{g}_{lj}\bar{\eta}_m\bar{\eta}_h - \bar{g}_{lm}\bar{\eta}_j\bar{\eta}_h - \\ &\quad - \bar{g}_{hj}\bar{\eta}_l\bar{\eta}_m + \bar{\varphi}_{hj}\bar{\varphi}_{ml} - \bar{\varphi}_{hm}\bar{\varphi}_{jl} + 2\bar{\varphi}_{mj}\bar{\varphi}_{hl}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{k} = \frac{k-3}{\alpha} + 3$ .  $\square$

The hyperboloid  $\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)$  has sectional curvature equal to minus one [12]. In particular, its paraholomorphic sectional curvature is also minus one. Thus, applying a  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic transformation on  $\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)$  (i.e.,  $\bar{\eta} = \alpha\eta$ ), we obtain a paraSasakian manifold with constant paraholomorphic sectional curvature  $k = -\frac{4}{\alpha} + 3$ . Let us denote this manifold by  $\mathbf{H}(k)$ .

## 5. THE PC-BOCHNER CURVATURE TENSOR

For a paraSasakian manifold we define the tensor  $\mathbf{B}$

$$(5.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{ijkl} = & R_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{2n+4}(\mathbf{r}_{ik}g_{jl} - \mathbf{r}_{jk}g_{il} + \mathbf{r}_{jl}g_{ik} - \mathbf{r}_{il}g_{jk} + \mathbf{r}_{sk}\varphi_i^s\varphi_{jl} - \\ & - \mathbf{r}_{sk}\varphi_j^s\varphi_{il} + \mathbf{r}_{sl}\varphi_j^s\varphi_{ik} - \mathbf{r}_{sl}\varphi_i^s\varphi_{jk} + 2\mathbf{r}_{sj}\varphi_i^s\varphi_{kl} + 2\mathbf{r}_{sl}\varphi_k^s\varphi_{ij} - \mathbf{r}_{ik}\eta_j\eta_l + \\ & + \mathbf{r}_{jk}\eta_i\eta_l - \mathbf{r}_{jl}\eta_i\eta_k + \mathbf{r}_{il}\eta_j\eta_k) - \frac{k+2n}{2n+4}(\varphi_{ik}\varphi_{jl} - \varphi_{jk}\varphi_{il} + 2\varphi_{ij}\varphi_{kl}) + \\ & + \frac{k-4}{2n+4}(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{jk}g_{il}) - \frac{k}{2n+4}(g_{ik}\eta_j\eta_l - g_{jk}\eta_i\eta_l + g_{jl}\eta_i\eta_k - g_{il}\eta_j\eta_k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = -\frac{s-2n}{2n+2}$ .

By straightforward computations from the identity (5.37) we obtain the following

**Lemma 5.1.** *The tensor  $\mathbf{B}$  has identities*

$$(5.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{ijkl} = -\mathbf{B}_{jikl}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{ijkl} = \mathbf{B}_{klij}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{ijkl} + \mathbf{B}_{jkil} + \mathbf{B}_{kijl} = 0, \quad g^{il}\mathbf{B}_{ijkl} = 0, \\ \xi^i\mathbf{B}_{ijkl} = 0, \quad \mathbf{B}_{sjkl}\varphi_i^s = -\mathbf{B}_{iskl}\varphi_j^s. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *The tensor  $\mathbf{B}$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{D}$ -homothetic transformations, i.e., if  $\bar{\eta} = \alpha\eta$ , then  $\alpha^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{B}$ .*

*Proof.* The claim of the Lemma is direct consequence of identities (4.31 – 4.34).  $\square$

**Definition 5.3.** The tensor  $\mathbf{B}$  is said to be paracontact Bochner curvature tensor (PC-Bochner curvature tensor for short).

**Definition 5.4.** If the Ricci tensor of a paraSasakian manifold  $M$  is of the form

$$Ric(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

$a$  and  $b$  being constant, then  $M$  is called an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

Using the PC-Bochner curvature tensor we have

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \eta, \xi, g)$  be a paraSasakian manifold. Then  $M$  is with constant paraholomorphic sectional curvature if and only if it is  $\eta$ -Einstein and with vanishing PC-Bochner tensor.*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is with a constant paraholomorphic sectional curvature  $k$ , then from equality (4.23) we obtain

$$(5.39) \quad \mathbf{r}_{jh} = \frac{(k-3)n+k+1}{2}g_{jh} - \frac{(k+1)(n+1)}{2}\eta_j\eta_h,$$

$$(5.40) \quad \frac{(k-3)n}{2} = \frac{s-2n}{2n+2}$$

and hence  $M$  is  $\eta$ -Einstein.

Using (4.23) and (5.39) we have that

$$(5.41) \quad R_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{2n+4}(\mathbf{r}_{ik}g_{jl} - \mathbf{r}_{jk}g_{il} + \mathbf{r}_{jl}g_{ik} - \mathbf{r}_{il}g_{jk} + \mathbf{r}_{sk}\varphi_i^s\varphi_{jl} -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mathbf{r}_{sk}\varphi_j^s\varphi_{il} + \mathbf{r}_{sl}\varphi_j^s\varphi_{ik} - \mathbf{r}_{sl}\varphi_i^s\varphi_{jk} + 2\mathbf{r}_{sj}\varphi_i^s\varphi_{kl} + 2\mathbf{r}_{sl}\varphi_k^s\varphi_{ij} - \mathbf{r}_{ik}\eta_j\eta_l + \\
& + \mathbf{r}_{jk}\eta_i\eta_l - \mathbf{r}_{jl}\eta_i\eta_k + \mathbf{r}_{il}\eta_j\eta_k) = \frac{(3-k)n}{2} + 2n (\varphi_{ik}\varphi_{jl} - \varphi_{jk}\varphi_{il} + 2\varphi_{ij}\varphi_{kl}) - \\
& - \frac{(3-k)n}{2} - 4 (g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{jk}g_{il}) + \frac{(3-k)n}{2} (g_{ik}\eta_j\eta_l - g_{jk}\eta_i\eta_l + g_{jl}\eta_i\eta_k - g_{il}\eta_j\eta_k).
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.41) and (5.40), we obtain  $\mathbf{B} = 0$ .

Since  $M$  is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold, we have that

$$(5.42) \quad \mathbf{r}_{jk} = ag_{jk} + b\eta_j\eta_k,$$

where  $a = \frac{s}{2n} + 1 = \text{const.}$  and  $b = -\frac{s}{2n} - (2n + 1) = \text{const.}$  [13]. Put  $\mathbf{B} = 0$  into (5.37) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.43) \quad R_{mjhl} = & \frac{k-3}{4}(g_{ml}g_{hj} - g_{jl}g_{hm}) + \frac{k+1}{4}(g_{hm}\eta_j\eta_l + g_{lj}\eta_m\eta_h - g_{lm}\eta_j\eta_h - \\
& - g_{hj}\eta_l\eta_m + \varphi_{hj}\varphi_{ml} - \varphi_{hm}\varphi_{jl} + 2\varphi_{mj}\varphi_{hl}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{s+3n^2+n}{n(n+1)}$  is a constant.  $\square$

If  $M$  is para CR-manifold, we may introduce the paracontact conformal curvature tensor  $W^{pc}$  [5], which is an analogue of the Chern-Moser tensor. It is defined by [5]

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{pc}(X, Y, Z, W) = & \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) - \frac{\tilde{s}}{4(n+1)(n+2)}(g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W)) + \\
& + \frac{\tilde{s}}{4(n+1)(n+2)}(F(X, Z)F(Y, W) - F(Y, Z)F(X, W) + 2F(X, Y)F(Z, W)) + \\
& + \frac{1}{2(n+2)}(g(X, Z)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(X, W) + g(Y, W)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(X, Z) - g(X, W)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(Y, Z)) + \\
& + \frac{1}{2(n+2)}(F(X, Z)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(Y, \varphi W) - F(Y, Z)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(X, \varphi W) + F(Y, W)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(X, \varphi Z) - F(X, W)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(Y, \varphi Z)) \\
& + \frac{1}{2(n+2)}(2F(X, Y)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(Z, \varphi W) + 2F(Z, W)\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(X, \varphi Y)),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Note that the paracontact conformal curvature tensor  $W^{pc}$  is invariant under paracontact conformal transformations (*Theorem 1.1* in [5]). *Theorem 1.2* in [5] and *Corollary 1.3* in [5] show that if  $M$  is a para CR-manifold, the paracontact conformal curvature tensor  $W^{pc} = 0$  if and only if  $M$  is locally paracontact conformal to the standard flat paracontact metric structure, with respect to the canonical paracontact connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , on the hyperbolic Heisenberg group  $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}$  or  $M$  is locally paracontact conformal to the hyperboloid  $\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{2n+1}(1)$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a paraSasakian manifold. If the vector fields  $X, Y, Z, W$  lie in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then  $\mathbf{B}(X, Y, Z, W) = W^{pc}(X, Y, Z, W)$ .*

*Proof.* For the paraSasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  from identities (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain

$$(5.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = & R(X, Y, Z, W) - F(X, Z)F(Y, W) + F(Y, Z)F(X, W) - \\ & - 2F(X, Y)F(Z, W) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W) + g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\eta(W) + \\ & + g(X, W)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - g(Y, W)\eta(X)\eta(Z), \quad X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(5.45) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(X, Y) = \mathbf{r}(X, Y) - 2g(X, Y) + 2(n+1)\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Besides,  $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{s} - 2n$ .

For  $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbb{D}$  the PC-Bochner curvature tensor has the form

$$(5.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(X, Y, Z, W) = & R(X, Y, Z, W) + \frac{1}{2(n+2)}(g(X, Z)\mathbf{r}(Y, W) - \\ & - g(Y, Z)\mathbf{r}(X, W) + g(Y, W)\mathbf{r}(X, Z) - g(X, W)\mathbf{r}(Y, Z)) + F(X, Z)\mathbf{r}(Y, \varphi W) - \\ & - F(Y, Z)\mathbf{r}(X, \varphi W) + F(Y, W)\mathbf{r}(X, \varphi Z) - F(X, W)\mathbf{r}(Y, \varphi Z) + 2F(X, Y)\mathbf{r}(Z, \varphi W) + \\ & + 2F(Z, W)\mathbf{r}(X, \varphi Y) - \frac{k+2n}{2n+4}(F(X, Z)F(Y, W) - F(Y, Z)F(X, W) + \\ & + 2F(X, Y)F(Z, W)) + \frac{k-4}{2n+4}(g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W)). \end{aligned}$$

From equalities (5.44) and (5.45), for  $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbb{D}$ , we get

$$(5.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = & R(X, Y, Z, W) - F(X, Z)F(Y, W) + \\ & + F(Y, Z)F(X, W) - 2F(X, Y)F(Z, W) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.48) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{r}}(X, Y) = \mathbf{r}(X, Y) - 2g(X, Y), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{s} - 2n.$$

From (5.46), (5.47) and (5.48), we obtain  $\mathbf{B}(X, Y, Z, W) = W^{pc}(X, Y, Z, W)$ .  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Suppose that  $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a paraSasakian manifold with constant paraholomorphic sectional curvature  $k$ . From equalities (3.15), (3.16) and identities (4.23), (2.12), we obtain

$$(6.49) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{mjhl} = & \frac{k-3}{4}(g_{ml}g_{hj} - g_{jl}g_{hm} + g_{hm}\eta_j\eta_l + g_{lj}\eta_m\eta_h - g_{lm}\eta_j\eta_h - \\ & - g_{hj}\eta_l\eta_m + \varphi_{hj}\varphi_{ml} - \varphi_{hm}\varphi_{jl} + 2\varphi_{mj}\varphi_{hl}). \end{aligned}$$

- i). If  $k = 3$ , then  $\tilde{R}_{mjhl} = 0$  and Theorem 4.2 of [5] shows that  $M$  is locally isomorphic to the hyperbolic Heisenberg group  $\mathcal{H}^{2n+1}$ ;

- ii). Let  $k \neq 3$ . We shall adopt the following convention:  $x, \tilde{\nabla}, \tilde{R}, \dots$  shall stand for points, connection and tensors of the manifold  $M$ . On the other hand,  $x^*, \tilde{\nabla}^*, \tilde{R}^*, \dots$  shall stand for points, connection and tensors of the manifold  $\mathbf{H}(k)$ .

First, let us note that  $\tilde{\nabla}T = 0$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{R} = 0$ . Indeed, the first one follows from the fact that the horizontal part of the torsion  $T$  is zero and the identities (2.14). The second one is yielded by the identities (2.14) and the fact that the curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}$  has the form (6.49). Thus we have that the manifold  $M$  and the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  are analytic [8].

For any point  $x$  of  $M$  and  $x^*$  of  $\mathbf{H}(k)$ , let  $(e_1, \dots, e_n, \varphi e_1, \dots, \varphi e_n, \xi)$  and  $(e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*, \varphi e_1^*, \dots, \varphi e_n^*, \xi^*)$  be orthonormal basis at  $x$  and  $x^*$  respectively. We define a linear isomorphism  $F : T_x(M) \rightarrow T_{x^*}(\mathbf{H}(k))$  by  $F e_i = e_i^*$ ,  $F \varphi e_i = \varphi e_i^*$  ( $i = 1 \dots n$ ) and  $F \xi = \xi^*$ . Then we have  $F \varphi = \varphi^* F$ . Since both parabolomorphic sectional curvatures are equal to  $k$ ,  $F$  maps  $\tilde{R}$  into  $\tilde{R}^*$ ,  $F$  being consider as map of tensor algebra. The covariant derivatives of  $\varphi$  and  $\xi$  are written in terms of  $\varphi, \xi, g$  and hance the covariant derivative of  $R$  is expressed by  $\varphi, \xi$  and  $g$ , that is,  $F$  maps  $(\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{R})_x$  into  $(\tilde{\nabla}^* \tilde{R}^*)_{x^*}$ . Likewise, we see that  $F$  maps  $(\tilde{\nabla}^l \tilde{R})_x$  into  $(\tilde{\nabla}^{l*} \tilde{R}^*)_{x^*}$  for every positive integer  $l$ . Then we have an isomorphism  $f$  of  $M$  onto  $\mathbf{H}(k)$  such that  $f(x) = x^*$  and the differential of  $f$  at  $x$  is  $F$  (e.g. Theorem 7.2, p. 259 in [8]). We then have that  $(\tilde{\nabla} \xi)_x$  is mapped to  $(\tilde{\nabla}^* \xi^*)_{x^*}$ . Thus we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}^*(f\xi))_{x^*} = f \cdot ((\tilde{\nabla} \xi)_x) = F((\tilde{\nabla} \xi)_x) = (\tilde{\nabla}^* \xi^*)_{x^*}.$$

Since  $f$  is an isomorphism,  $f\xi$  is also Killing vector field. By  $(f\xi)_{x^*} = \xi_{x^*}^*$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}^*(f\xi)_{x^*} = (\tilde{\nabla}^* \xi^*)_{x^*}$ , we get  $f\xi = \xi^*$ . Because  $\varphi$  and  $\eta$  ( $\varphi^*$  and  $\eta^*$ ) are determined by  $g$  and  $\xi$  ( $g^*$  and  $\xi^*$ ),  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $M$  and  $\mathbf{H}(k)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bejan, *Structuri hiperbolice pe diverse spatii fibrat*, Ph.D. Thesis, Iași, 1990. 1
- [2] É. Cartan, *Leçons sur la géométrie des espaces de Riemann*, Gauthier-Villars, 1963. 1
- [3] P.M. Gadea, A. Montesinos Amilibia, *Spaces of constant parabolomorphic sectional curvature*, Pacific J. Math. 136(1989), 85-101. 1
- [4] G. Ganchev, A. Borisov, *Isotropic sections and curvature properties of hyperbolic Kähler manifolds*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S) 38(1985), 183-192. 1
- [5] S. Ivanov, D. Vassilev, S. Zamkovoy, *Conformal paracontact curvature and the local flatness theorem*, arXiv:0707.3773. 2, 5, 11, 12
- [6] S. Kaneyuki, M. Konzai, *Paracomplex structures and affine symmetric spaces*, Tokyo J. Math. 8(1985), 301-318.
- [7] S. Kaneyuki, F. L. Willams, *Almost paracontact and parahodge structures on manifolds*, Nagoya Math. J. 99(1985), 173-187.
- [8] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol. I, 1963. 13
- [9] K. Ogiue, *On almost contact manifolds admitting axiom of planes or axiom of free mobility*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. Vol 16, Number 4 (1964), 223-232. 2
- [10] E. Reyes, A. Montesinos Amilibia, P.M. Gadea, *Projective torsion and curvature, axiom of planes and free mobility for almost product manifolds*, Ann. Polon. Math. 48(1988), 307-330. 1

- [11] K. Yano, I. Mogi, *On real representations of Kählerian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 61(1955), 170-189. 1
- [12] J. A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, Publish or Perish, Inc., Boston, Mass., 1977. 9
- [13] S. Zamkovoy, *Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds*, to appear in Ann Glob Anal Geom. 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11

(Zamkovoy) UNIVERSITY OF SOFIA "ST. KL. OHRIDSKI", FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND  
INFORMATICS, BLVD. JAMES BOURCHIER 5, 1164 SOFIA, BULGARIA  
*E-mail address:* zamkovoy@fmi.uni-sofia.bg