

UNIQUOTIENT ELEMENTS IN SMALL CHARACTERISTIC, III

G. LUSZTIG

0. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic exponent $p \geq 1$. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{k} and let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . Note that G acts on G and on \mathfrak{g} by the adjoint action. Let \mathcal{U}_G be the variety of unipotent elements of G . Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the variety of nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{g} . In [L2], we have proposed a definition of a partition of \mathcal{U}_G into smooth locally closed G -stable pieces which are indexed by the unipotent classes in the group over \mathbf{C} of the same type as G and which in many ways seem to depend very smoothly on p ; moreover we studied in detail the pieces of \mathcal{U}_G for types A and C . In [L3] we have studied in detail the pieces of \mathcal{U}_G for types B and D ; however the definition in [L3] was not based on the proposal of [L2] (which involved the partial order of unipotent classes).

In this paper we propose another general definition of the pieces of \mathcal{U}_G which is not based on the partial order of unipotent classes and we show that this new definition unifies the definitions in [L2], [L3] in the sense that for types A, C it can be identified with the definition in [L2] while for types B, D it can be identified with the definition in [L3]. The idea of the new definition is as follows. One needs to consider a grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_n \mathfrak{g}_n$ analogous to the one associated to a nilpotent element over \mathbf{C} by the Morozov-Jacobson theorem. Let G_0 be the closed connected subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_0 . Now G_0 acts naturally on \mathfrak{g}_2 . The main ingredient in the definition of a piece is the definition of a suitable open G_0 -invariant subset $\mathfrak{g}_2^!$ of \mathfrak{g}_2 . When $p = 1$ or $p \gg 0$, the subset $\mathfrak{g}_2^!$ is by definition the unique open G_0 -orbit in \mathfrak{g}_2 . But this definition is not correct for general p . Now note that when $p = 1$ the centralizer in G of any element of the open G_0 -orbit in \mathfrak{g}_2 is contained in $G_{\geq 0}$, the parabolic subgroup of G whose Lie algebra is $\sum_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ (a result of Kostant [K]). Based on this, we propose to define (for general p) the set $\mathfrak{g}_2^!$ as the set of all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ such that the centralizer of x in G is contained in $G_{\geq 0}$. It turns out that (at least in types A, B, C, D) this condition gives exactly the unique open G_0 -orbit in \mathfrak{g}_2 when $p = 1$ or $p \gg 0$, while in general it defines

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation

a subset of \mathfrak{g}_2 which is a union of possibly several G_0 -orbits (their number is a power of 2) but which is exactly what is needed to define the pieces of \mathcal{U}_G .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we give the definition of the sets $\mathfrak{g}_2^!$ and show that in types A, B, C, D these sets can be identified with certain explicit subsets of \mathfrak{g}_2 considered in [L2], [L3]. In Section 2 we use the sets $\mathfrak{g}_2^!$ to define the pieces of \mathcal{U}_G . In the appendix (written by the author and T. Xue) we define (at least in types A, B, C, D) a partition of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ into smooth locally closed G -stable pieces which are indexed by the unipotent classes in the group over \mathbf{C} of the same type as G (using again the subsets $\mathfrak{g}_2^!$ defined in Section 1).

Notation. The cardinal of a finite set X is denoted by $|X|$.

Errata to [L1].

On p.206, the last sentence in 6.8, "For classical types...classes", should be removed.

Errata to [L2].

On p.452 line -5 replace $\Pi \cup \Theta$ by Θ .

On p.452 line -4 replace $\Pi \cup \tilde{\Theta}$ by $\tilde{\Theta}$.

Errata to [L3].

On p.774 line 6: replace "an (injective)" by "a".

On p.778, line 13: replace last T^a by $T^a x$.

On p.779, line 10: after "(b) and (c)" insert: "and $f_a = f_{-a}$ for all a ".

On p.797, remove last line of 4.2 and last line of 4.3.

CONTENTS

1. The sets $\mathfrak{g}_2^!$.

2. The pieces in the unipotent variety of G .

Appendix (by G. Lusztig and T. Xue): The pieces in the nilpotent variety of \mathfrak{g} .

1. THE SETS $\mathfrak{g}_2^!$

1.1. Let \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{W} be "the maximal torus" and "the Weyl group" of G and let $Y_G = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, \mathbf{T})$. Note that \mathbf{W} acts naturally on \mathbf{T} and on Y_G .

Let G' be a connected reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{C} of the same type as G . In particular we have canonically $Y_G = Y_{G'}$ compatibly with the \mathbf{W} -actions. Note that G acts by conjugation on $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G)$; similarly, G' acts by conjugation on $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, G')$. We have canonically $G \backslash \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G) = \mathbf{W} \backslash Y_G$, $G' \backslash \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, G') = \mathbf{W} \backslash Y_{G'}$. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{G'}$ be the set of all $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, G')$ such that for some homomorphism of algebraic groups $h : SL_2(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow G'$ we have $h \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = f(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$. Let \mathfrak{D}_G be the set of all $\delta \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G)$ such that the image of δ in $G \backslash \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G) = \mathbf{W} \backslash Y_G = \mathbf{W} \backslash Y_{G'} = G' \backslash \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, G')$ can be represented by an element in $\mathfrak{D}_{G'} \subset \text{Hom}(\mathbf{C}^*, G')$. (See [L2, 1.1].) Note that \mathfrak{D}_G is a union of G -orbits. The G' -orbits in $\mathfrak{D}_{G'}$ were classified by Dynkin. They form a finite set in natural bijection with the set of G -orbits in \mathfrak{D}_G .

Let G^{der} be the derived group of G . The simply connected covering $\tilde{G}^{der} \rightarrow G^{der}$ induces a bijection $\mathfrak{D}_{\tilde{G}^{der}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_G$. This follows from the analogous assertion for G' which is immediate.

1.2. Let $\delta \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G)$. For any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ we set

$$\mathfrak{g}_i^\delta = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; \text{Ad}(\delta(a))x = a^i x \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{k}^*\}.$$

We have a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i^\delta$. For $i \in \mathbf{N}$ we set $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\delta = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}; j \geq i} \mathfrak{g}_j^\delta$; note that $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\delta$ is the Lie algebra of a well defined closed connected subgroup $G_{\geq i}^\delta$ of G . We have $\dots \subset G_{\geq 2}^\delta \subset G_{\geq 1}^\delta \subset G_{\geq 0}^\delta$ and $G_{\geq 0}^\delta$ is a parabolic subgroup of G with unipotent radical $G_{\geq 1}^\delta$ and with Levi subgroup G_0^δ (with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0^δ); moreover, for any i , $G_{\geq i}^\delta$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{\geq 0}^\delta$.

We set $\mathfrak{g}_{< 0}^\delta = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}; j < 0} \mathfrak{g}_j^\delta$; note that $\mathfrak{g}_{< 0}^\delta$ is the Lie algebra of a well defined closed connected subgroup $G_{< 0}^\delta$ of G . We have $G_{< 0}^\delta \cap G_{\geq 0}^\delta = \{1\}$.

For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ let $G_x = \{g \in G; \text{Ad}(g)x = x\}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_2^\delta; G_x \subset G_{\geq 0}^\delta\}.$$

For $h \in G_0^\delta$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $G_{\text{Ad}(h)x} = hG_x h^{-1}$; hence $\text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$ is a union of orbits for the Ad-action of G_0^δ on \mathfrak{g}_2^δ .

In 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 we will describe explicitly the set $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$ in a number of cases.

1.3. In this subsection we fix a \mathbf{k} -vector space V of finite dimension and we assume that $G = GL(V)$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \text{End}(V)$. A \mathbf{Z} -grading $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} V_i$ of V is said to be *good* if $\dim V_i = \dim V_{-i} \geq \dim V_{-i-2}$ for all $i \geq 0$. If a good \mathbf{Z} -grading (V_i) is given and $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, we set

$$V_{\geq a} = \sum_{j; j \geq a} V_j,$$

$$\text{End}(V)_a = \{A \in \mathfrak{g}; A(V_r) \subset V_{r+a} \quad \forall r \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

$$\text{End}(V)_{\geq a} = \{A \in \mathfrak{g}; A(V_{\geq r}) \subset V_{\geq r+a} \quad \forall r \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

To give an element $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ is the same as to give a good grading $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} V_i$ of V (δ is given in terms of the \mathbf{Z} -grading by $\delta(a)|_{V_r} = a^r$ for all $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $r \in \mathbf{Z}$.)

In the remainder of this subsection we fix $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ and let (V_i) be the corresponding good grading of V . Then $\mathfrak{g}_i^\delta = \text{End}(V)_i$. Let $\text{End}(V)_2^0$ be the set of all $A \in \text{End}(V)_2$ such that $A^n : V_{-n} \rightarrow V_n$ is an isomorphism for any $n \geq 0$. It is easy to see that $\text{End}(V)_2^0 \neq \emptyset$. The following result will be proved in 1.6.

$$(a) \quad \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \text{End}(V)_2^0.$$

1.4. In this subsection we fix a \mathbf{k} -vector space V of finite even dimension with a fixed nondegenerate symplectic form $(,) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ and we assume that $G = Sp(V) = \{T \in GL(V); T \text{ preserves } (,)\}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}(V) = \{T \in \text{End}(V); (Tv, v') + (v, Tv') = 0 \quad \forall v, v' \in V\}$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s}(V)$.

A \mathbf{Z} -grading $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} V_i$ of V is said to be *s-good* if it is good (see 1.3), $\dim V_i$ is even for any even i and $(V_i, V_j) = 0$ whenever $i + j \neq 0$.

To give an element $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ is the same as to give an *s-good* grading $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} V_i$ of V (δ is given in terms of the \mathbf{Z} -grading by $\delta(a)|_{V_r} = a^r$ for all $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $r \in \mathbf{Z}$.)

In the remainder of this subsection we fix $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ and let (V_i) be the corresponding s -good grading of V . Let $\mathfrak{s}(V)_i = \mathfrak{s}(V) \cap \text{End}(V)_i$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_i^\delta = \mathfrak{s}(V)_i$ for any i . Let $\mathfrak{s}(V)_2^0 = \mathfrak{s}(V)_2 \cap \text{End}(V)_2^0$ (notation of 1.3). The following result will be proved in 1.7.

$$(a) \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \mathfrak{s}(V)_2^0.$$

1.5. In this subsection we fix a \mathbf{k} -vector space V of finite dimension with a fixed nondegenerate quadratic form $Q : V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ with associate symmetric bilinear form $(,) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$. (Recall that $(v, v') = Q(v + v') - Q(v) - Q(v')$ for $v, v' \in V$ and that the nondegeneracy of Q means that, if \mathcal{R} is the radical of $(,)$, then $\mathcal{R} = 0$ if $p \neq 2$ and $Q : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is injective if $p = 2$.) We assume that $G = SO(V)$, the identity component of $O(V) = \{T \in GL(V); Q(T(v)) = Q(v) \ \forall v \in V\}$. Let $\mathfrak{o}(V) = \{T \in \text{End}(V); (Tv, v) = 0 \ \forall v \in V, T|_{\mathcal{R}} = 0\}$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(V)$.

A \mathbf{Z} -grading $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} V_i$ of V is said to be *o-good* if it is good (see 1.3), $\dim V_i$ is even for any odd i , $(V_i, V_j) = 0$ whenever $i + j \neq 0$ and $Q|_{V_i} = 0$ whenever $i \neq 0$.

To give an element $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ is the same as to give an *o-good* grading $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} V_i$ of V (δ is given in terms of the \mathbf{Z} -grading by $\delta(a)|_{V_r} = a^r$ for all $a \in \mathbf{k}^*, r \in \mathbf{Z}$.)

In the remainder of this subsection we fix $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ and let (V_i) be the corresponding *o-good* grading of V . Let $\mathfrak{o}(V)_i = \mathfrak{o}(V) \cap \text{End}(V)_i$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_i^\delta = \mathfrak{o}(V)_i$ for any i . Let $\mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$ be the set of all $A \in \mathfrak{o}(V)_2$ such that

(i) for any odd $n \geq 1$, $A^n : V_{-n} \rightarrow V_n$ is an isomorphism;

(ii) for any even $n \geq 0$, $A^{n/2} : V_{-n} \rightarrow V_0$ is injective and the restriction of Q to $A^{n/2}(V_{-n})$ is nondegenerate.

Note that the equality $\mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0 = \mathfrak{o}(V)_2 \cap \text{End}(V)_2^0$ (notation of 1.3) holds when $p \neq 2$, but not necessarily when $p = 2$. The following result will be proved in 1.8.

$$(a) \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0.$$

1.6. We prove 1.3(a). Generally, x_k will denote an element of V_k . Let $A \in \text{End}(V)_2 - \text{End}(V)_2^0$. The transpose $A^* : V^* \rightarrow V^*$ of A carries V_i^* to V_{i-2}^* .

Assume first that $A : V_{-i} \rightarrow V_{-i+2}$ is not injective for some $i \geq 2$. Then $A^* : V_{-i+2}^* \rightarrow V_{-i}^*$ is not surjective. Since $\dim V_{-i+2} \geq \dim V_{-i}$ it follows that $A^* : V_{-i+2}^* \rightarrow V_{-i}^*$ is not injective. We can find $e_{-i} \in V_{-i} - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_{-i} = 0$ and $\xi_{-i+2} \in V_{-i+2}^* - \{0\}$ such that $A^*\xi_{-i+2} = 0$. Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$B(\sum_k x_k) = \sum_{k \neq -i} x_k + (x_{-i} + \xi_{-i+2}(x_{-i+2})e_{-i}).$$

We have $B \in G_{<0}^\delta - \{1\}$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} BA(\sum_k x_k) - AB(\sum_k x_k) &= \sum_{k \neq -i} Ax_{k-2} + (Ax_{-i-2} + \xi_{-i+2}(Ax_{-i})e_{-i}) \\ &- \sum_{k \neq -i} x_k - (Ax_{-i} + \xi_{-i+2}(x_{-i+2})Ae_{-i}) \\ &= (A^*\xi_{-i+2})(x_{-i})e_{-i} - \xi_{-i+2}(x_{-i+2})Ae_{-i} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

Assume next that $A : V_i \rightarrow V_{i+2}$ is not surjective for some $i \geq 0$. Since $\dim V_i \geq \dim V_{i+2}$, $A : V_i \rightarrow V_{i+2}$ is not injective. Moreover $A^* : V_{i+2}^* \rightarrow V_i^*$ is not injective. We can find $e_i \in V_i - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_i = 0$ and $\xi_{i+2} \in V_{i+2}^* - \{0\}$ such that $A^*\xi_{i+2} = 0$. Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$B(\sum_k x_k) = \sum_{k \neq i} x_k + (x_i + \xi_{i+2}(x_{i+2})e_i).$$

We have $B \in G_{<0}^\delta - \{1\}$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} BA(\sum_k x_k) - AB(\sum_k x_k) &= \sum_{k \neq i} Ax_{k-2} + (Ax_{i-2} + \xi_{i+2}(Ax_i)e_i) \\ &- \sum_{k \neq i} x_k - (Ax_i + \xi_{i+2}(x_{i+2})e_i) = (A^*\xi_{i+2})(x_i)e_i - \xi_{i+2}(x_{i+2})e_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

We now assume that $A : V_{-i} \rightarrow V_{-i+2}$ is injective for any $i \geq 2$ and $A : V_i \rightarrow V_{i+2}$ is surjective for any $i \geq 0$. For some $n > 0$, $A^n : V_{-n} \rightarrow V_n$ is not an isomorphism hence $A^{*n} : V_n^* \rightarrow V_{-n}^*$ is not an isomorphism. We can find $e_{-n} \in V_{-n} - \{0\}$ such that $A^n e_{-n} = 0$. We can find $\xi_n \in V_n^* - \{0\}$ such that $A^{*n}\xi_n = 0$. For any $j \geq 0$ we set $e_{2j-n} = A^j e_{-n} \in V_{2j-n}$, $\xi_{n-2j} = A^{*j}\xi_n \in V_{n-2j}^*$. Note that $e_n = 0, \xi_{-n} = 0$. Also, $e_m \neq 0$ if $m \leq 0, m = n \pmod{2}$ and $\xi_m \neq 0$ if $m \geq 0, m = n \pmod{2}$. Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$B(\sum_k x_k) = \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} x_k + \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (x_{2j-n} + \xi_{2j-n+2}(x_{2j-n+2})e_{2j-n}).$$

We have $B \in G_{<0}^\delta$. If n is even then the term corresponding to $j = n/2 - 1$ is $x_{-2} + \xi_0(x_0)e_{-2}$ and $\sum_k x_k \mapsto \xi_0(x_0)e_{-2}$ is $\neq 0$ since $e_{-2} \neq 0, \xi_0 \neq 0$. If n is odd then the term corresponding to $j = (n-1)/2$ is $x_{-1} + \xi_1(x_1)e_{-1}$ and $\sum_k x_k \mapsto \xi_1(x_1)e_{-1}$ is $\neq 0$ since $e_{-1} \neq 0, \xi_1 \neq 0$. Thus $B \neq 1$ so that $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} BA(\sum_k x_k) - AB(\sum_k x_k) &= \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} Ax_{k-2} + \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (Ax_{2j-n-2} + \xi_{2j-n+2}(Ax_{2j-n})e_{2j-n}) \\ &- \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} Ax_k - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (Ax_{2j-n} + \xi_{2j-n+2}(x_{2j-n+2})e_{2j-n}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (A^*\xi_{2j-n+2})(x_{2j-n})e_{2j-n} - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} \xi_{2j-n+2}(x_{2j-n+2})e_{2j-n} \\ &= \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} \xi_{2j-n}(x_{2j-n})e_{2j-n} - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} \xi_{2j-n+2}(x_{2j-n+2})e_{2j-n+2} \\ &= \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} \xi_{2j-n}(x_{2j-n})e_{2j-n} - \sum_{j \in [1, n]} \xi_{2j-n}(x_{2j-n})e_{2j-n} \\ &= \xi_{-n}(x_{-n})e_{-n} - \xi_n(x_n)e_n = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $x_{-n} = 0, e_n = 0$. Thus $BA = AB$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

We have shown that $\text{End}(V)_2 - \text{End}(V)_2^0 \subset \text{End}(V)_2 - \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

Conversely, let $A \in \text{End}(V)_2^0$. We show that $A \in \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$. Let $B \in G$ be such that $AB = BA$. It is enough to show that $B \in G_{\geq 0}^{\delta}$. We argue by induction on $\dim V$. If $V = 0$ the result is clear. Assume now that $V \neq 0$. Let m be the largest integer ≥ 0 such that $V_m \neq 0$. If $m = 0$ we have $G_{\geq 0}^{\delta} = G$ and the result is clear. Assume now that $m \geq 1$. We have $A^m V = V_m$, $\ker(A^m : V \rightarrow V) = V_{\geq -m+1}$. Since $BA = AB$ we have $B(A^m V) = A^m V$, $B(\ker(A^m : V \rightarrow V)) = \ker(A^m : V \rightarrow V)$. Hence $B(V_m) = V_m$ and $B(V_{\geq -m+1}) = V_{\geq -m+1}$. Hence B induces an automorphism $B' : V' \rightarrow V'$ where $V' = V_{\geq -m+1}/V_m$. We have canonically $V' = V_{-m+1} \oplus V_{-m+2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{m-1}$ and $\text{End}(V')_2, \text{End}(V')_2^0$ are defined in terms of this (good) grading. Now A induces an element $A' \in \text{End}(V')_2^0$ and we have $B'A' = A'B'$. By the induction hypothesis, for any $i \in [-m+1, m-1]$, the subspace $V_i + V_{i+1} + \dots + V_{m-1}$ of V' is B' -stable. Hence the subspace $V_i + V_{i+1} + \dots + V_{m-1} + V_m$ of V is B -stable. We see that $B \in G_{\geq 0}^{\delta}$. This completes the proof of 1.3(a).

1.7. We prove 1.4(a). Generally, x_k will denote an element of V_k .

Let $A \in \mathfrak{s}(V)_2 - \mathfrak{s}(V)_2^0$. Assume first that $A : V_{-i} \rightarrow V_{-i+2}$ is not injective for some $i > 2$. Then $A : V_{i-2} \rightarrow V_i$ is not surjective and since $\dim V_{i-2} \geq \dim V_i$, we see that $A : V_{i-2} \rightarrow V_i$ is not injective. We can find $e_{-i} \in V_{-i} - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_{-i} = 0$. We can find $e_{i-2} \in V_{i-2} - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_{i-2} = 0$. Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$B\left(\sum_k x_k\right) = \sum_{k \neq -i, i-2} x_k + (x_{-i} + (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})e_{-i}) + (x_{i-2} + (e_{-i}, x_i)e_{i-2})$$

where $x_k \in V_k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (B(\sum_k x_k), B(\sum_k x'_k)) - (\sum_k x_k, \sum_k x'_k) \\ &= (x_{-i} + (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})e_{-i}, x'_i) + (x_i, x'_{-i} + (e_{i-2}, x'_{-i+2})e_{-i}) \\ &+ (x_{i-2} + (e_{-i}, x_i)e_{i-2}, x'_{-i+2}) + (x_{-i+2}, x'_{i-2} + (e_{-i}, x'_i)e_{i-2}) \\ &+ \sum_{k \neq -i+2, -i, i-2} (x_{-k}, x'_k) - \sum_k (x_{-k}, x'_k) \\ &= (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})(e_{-i}, x'_i) + (x_i, e_{-i})(e_{i-2}, x'_{-i+2}) \\ &+ (e_{-i}, x_i)(e_{i-2}, x'_{-i+2}) + (x_{-i+2}, e_{i-2})(e_{-i}, x'_i) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $B \in Sp(V)$. More precisely, $B \in G_{<0}^\delta - \{1\}$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& BA\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - AB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) \\
&= \sum_{k \neq -i, i-2} Ax_{k-2} + (Ax_{-i-2} + (e_{i-2}, Ax_{-i})e_{-i}) + (Ax_{i-4} + (e_{-i}, Ax_{i-2})e_{i-2}) \\
&- \sum_{k \neq -i, i-2} Ax_k - (Ax_{-i} + (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})Ae_{-i}) - (Ax_{i-2} + (e_{-i}, x_i)Ae_{i-2}) \\
&= -(Ae_{i-2}, x_{-i})e_{-i} - (Ae_{-i}, x_{i-2})e_{i-2} - (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})Ae_{-i} - (e_{-i}, x_i)Ae_{i-2} = 0. \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

Next we assume that $A : V_{-2} \rightarrow V_0$ is not injective. We can find $e_{-2} \in V_{-2} - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_{-2} = 0$. Note that $K' = \ker(A : V_0 \rightarrow V_2) \neq 0$. (Indeed $A : V_0 \rightarrow V_2$ is the transpose of $A : V_{-2} \rightarrow V_0$ hence is not surjective. But $\dim V_0 \geq \dim V_2$ hence $K' \neq 0$.) We can find $e_0 \in V_0 - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_0 = 0$. Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$B\left(\sum_k x_k\right) = \sum_{k \neq -2, 0} x_k + (x_{-2} + (e_0, x_0)e_{-2}) + (x_0 + (e_{-2}, x_2)e_0).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (B\left(\sum_k x_k\right), B\left(\sum_k x'_k\right)) - \left(\sum_k x_k, \sum_k x'_k\right) \\
&= (x_0 + (e_{-2}, x_2)e_0, x'_0 + (e_{-2}, x'_2)e_0) + (x_{-2} + (e_0, x_0)e_{-2}, x'_2) \\
&+ (x_2, x'_{-2} + (e_0, x'_0)e_{-2}) + \sum_{k \neq -2, 0, 2} (x_{-k}, x'_k) + \sum_k (x_{-k}, x'_k) \\
&= (x_0, (e_{-2}, x'_2)e_0) + ((e_{-2}, x_2)e_0, x'_0) + ((e_0, x_0)e_{-2}, x'_2) + (x_2, (e_0, x'_0)e_{-2}) \\
&= (x_0, e_0)(e_{-2}, x'_2) + (e_{-2}, x_2)(e_0, x'_0) + (e_0, x_0)(e_{-2}, x'_2) + (x_2, e_{-2})(e_0, x'_0) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $B \in Sp(V)$. More precisely, $B \in G_{<0}^\delta$. We have $B \neq 1$ since $\sum_k x_k \mapsto (e_{-2}, x_2)e_0 \neq 0$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& BA\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - AB\left(\sum_k x'_k\right) \\
&= \sum_{k \neq -2, 0} Ax_{k-2} + (Ax_{-4} + (e_0, Ax_{-2})e_{-2}) + (Ax_{-2} + (e_{-2}, Ax_0)e_0) \\
&- \sum_{k \neq -2, 0} Ax_k - (Ax_{-2} + (e_0, x_0)Ae_{-2}) - (Ax_0 + (e_{-2}, x_2)Ae_0) \\
&= -(Ae_0, x_{-2})e_{-2} - (Ae_{-2}, x_0)e_0 - (e_0, x_0)Ae_{-2} - (e_{-2}, x_2)Ae_0 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

We now assume that $A : V_{-i} \rightarrow V_{-i+2}$ is injective for any $i \geq 2$ and that for some even $n > 0$, $A^n : V_{-n} \rightarrow V_n$ is not an isomorphism. The kernel of this map is the radical of the symplectic form $x, x' \mapsto (x, A^n x')$ on V_{-n} hence it has even codimension in V_{-n} ; but then it also has even dimension since $\dim V_{-n}$ is even; since this kernel is non-zero it has dimension ≥ 2 . Thus we can find e_{-n}, f_{-n} linearly independent in V_{-n} such that $A^n e_{-n} = 0, A^n f_{-n} = 0$. For $j \geq 0$ we set $e_{2j-n} = A^j e_{-n}, f_{2j-n} = A^j f_{-n}$. We have $e_n = 0, f_n = 0$. Also e_m, f_m are linearly independent in V_m if $m \leq 0$ is even. For $j \in [0, n]$ we have $(e_{2j-n}, e_{n-2j}) = 0, (f_{2j-n}, f_{n-2j}) = 0, (e_{2j-n}, f_{n-2j}) = 0, (f_{2j-n}, e_{n-2j}) = 0$. (The last of these equalities is equivalent to $(A^j f_{-n}, A^{n-j} f_{-n}) = 0$ that is to $(f_{-n}, A^n f_{-n}) = 0$ which follows from $A^n f_{-n} = 0$. The other three equalities are proved in a similar way.) Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\sum_k x_k\right) &= \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} x_k \\ &+ \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (x_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) e_{2j-n} \\ &- (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) f_{2j-n}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &(B\left(\sum_k x_k\right), B\left(\sum_k x'_k\right)) - \left(\sum_k x_k, \sum_k x'_k\right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [1, n-1]} (x_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) e_{2j-n} \\ &- (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) f_{2j-n}, x'_{n-2j} + (-1)^{n-j} (f_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) e_{n-2j} \\ &- (-1)^{n-j} (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) f_{n-2j}) \\ &+ (x_{-n} + (f_{n-2}, x_{2-n}) e_{-n} - (e_{n-2}, x_{2-n}) f_{-n}, x'_n) \\ &+ (x_n, x'_{-n} + (f_{n-2}, x'_{2-n}) e_{-n} - (e_{n-2}, x'_{2-n}) f_{-n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k \neq -n, 2-n, \dots, n-2, n} (x_k, x'_{-k}) - \sum_k (x_k, x'_{-k}) \\
& = \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{n-j} (x_{2j-n}, (f_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) e_{n-2j} \\
& \quad - (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) f_{n-2j}) + \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) e_{2j-n} \\
& \quad - (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) f_{2j-n}, x'_{n-2j}) \\
& = \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{n-j} (x_{2j-n}, e_{n-2j}) (f_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{n-j} (x_{2j-n}, f_{n-2j}) (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) (e_{2j-n}, x'_{n-2j}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) (f_{2j-n}, x'_{n-2j}) \\
& \quad = - \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^j (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) (f_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^j (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) (f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{j-1} (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) (f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{j-1} (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) (f_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $B \in Sp(V)$. More precisely, $B \in G_{<0}^\delta$. We have $B \neq 1$ since $x_1 \mapsto (f_{-1}, x_1)e_{-1} - (e_{-1}, x_1)f_{-1}$ is $\neq 0$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& BA \left(\sum_k x_k \right) - AB \left(\sum_k x_k \right) \\
& = \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} Ax_{k-2} + \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (Ax_{-n-2+2j} \\
& \quad + (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} - (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n}) f_{2j-n}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} Ax_k \\
& \quad - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (Ax_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) A e_{2j-n} \\
& \quad - (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) A f_{2j-n})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j ((f_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n})e_{2j-n} - (e_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n})f_{2j-n}) \\
&- \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j ((f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2})Ae_{2j-n} - (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2})Af_{2j-n}) \\
&= - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^{j-1} ((f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n})e_{2j-n} + (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n})f_{2j-n}) \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j (-(f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2})e_{2j-n+2} + (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2})f_{2j-n+2}) \\
&= \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^{j-1} ((f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n})e_{2j-n} - (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n})f_{2j-n}) \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{j-1} (-(f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n})e_{2j-n} + (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n})f_{2j-n}) \\
&= -(f_n, x_{-n})e_{-n} - (e_n, x_{-n})f_{-n} + (-1)^n (f_{-n}, x_n)e_n - (-1)^n (e_{-n}, x_n)f_n = 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $f_n = 0, e_n = 0$. Thus $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

We now assume that $A : V_{-i} \rightarrow V_{-i+2}$ is injective for any $i \geq 2$ and that for some odd $n > 0$, $A^n : V_{-n} \rightarrow V_n$ is not an isomorphism. We can find $e_{-n} \in V_{-n} - \{0\}$ such that $A^n e_{-n} = 0$. For any $j \geq 0$ we set $e_{2j-n} = A^j e_{-n} \in V_{2j-n}$. Note that $e_n = 0$. Also $e_m \neq 0$ if $m \leq 0$ is odd. We have $(e_{2j-n}, e_{n-2j}) = 0$ for $j \in [0, n]$. Indeed we must show that $(A^j e_{-n}, A^{n-j} e_{-n}) = 0$ that is, $(e_{-n}, A^n e_{-n}) = 0$. This follows from $A^n e_{-n} = 0$. Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&B\left(\sum_k x_k\right) \\
&= \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} x_k + \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (x_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2})e_{2j-n}).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(B(\sum_k x_k), B(\sum_k x'_k)) - (\sum_k x_k, \sum_k x'_k) \\
&= \sum_{j \in [1, n-1]} (x_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2})e_{2j-n}, \\
&x'_{n-2j} + (-1)^{n-j} (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2})e_{n-2j}) + (x_{-n} + (e_{n-2}, x_{2-n})e_{-n}, x'_n) \\
&+ (x_n, x'_{-n} + (e_{n-2}, x'_{2-n})e_{-n}) + \sum_{k \neq 0, 1, \dots, n-1} (x_{-k}, x'_k) - \sum_k (x_{-k}, x'_k)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{n-j} (x_{2j-n}, e_{n-2j}) (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j ((e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) (e_{2j-n}, x'_{n-2j})) \\
&= \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^j (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2}) \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{j-1} ((e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) (e_{2j-n-2}, x'_{n-2j+2})) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $B \in Sp(V)$. More precisely, $B \in G_{<0}^\delta$. We have $B \neq 1$ since $x_1 \mapsto \pm(e_{-1}, x_1)e_{-1}$ is $\neq 0$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&BA\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - AB\left(\sum_k x'_k\right) = \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} Ax_{k-2} \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (Ax_{2j-n-2} + (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n}) \\
&- \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} Ax_k \\
&- \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (Ax_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) Ae_{2j-n}) \\
&= - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j (Ae_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} \\
&- \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) Ae_{2j-n} \\
&= - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^j (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} \\
&= -(e_n, x_{-n})e_{-n} + (-1)^n (e_{-n}, x_n)e_n = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

We have shown that $\mathfrak{s}(V)_2 - \mathfrak{s}(V)_2^0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_2^\delta - \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

Conversely, let $A \in \mathfrak{s}(V)_2^0$. Let $B \in G$ be such that $AB = BA$. It is enough to show that $B \in G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. Since $A \in \text{End}(V)_2^0$ we see from the proof in 1.6 that $B(V_{\geq i}) = V_{\geq i}$ for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. In particular, $B \in G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. This completes the proof.

1.8. We prove 1.5(a). Generally, x_k will denote an element of V_k . Let $A \in \mathfrak{o}(V)_2 - \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$.

Assume that $A : V_{-i} \rightarrow V_{-i+2}$ is not injective for some $i > 2$. Then $A : V_{i-2} \rightarrow V_i$ is not surjective and since $\dim V_{i-2} \geq \dim V_i$, we see that $A : V_{i-2} \rightarrow V_i$ is not injective. We can find $e_{-i} \in V_{-i} - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_{-i} = 0$. We can find $e_{i-2} \in V_{i-2} - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_{i-2} = 0$. Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$B\left(\sum_k x_k\right) = \sum_{k \neq -i, i-2} x_k + (x_{-i} + (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})e_{-i}) + (x_{i-2} - (e_{-i}, x_i)e_{i-2}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} QB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - Q\left(\sum_k x_k\right) &= Q(x_0) + (x_{-i} + (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})e_{-i}, x_i) \\ &+ (x_{i-2} - (e_{-i}, x_i)e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2}) + \sum_{k>0, k \neq i-2, i} (x_{-k}, x_k) - Q(x_0) - \sum_{k>0} (x_{-k}, x_k) \\ &= ((e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})e_{-i}, x_i) - ((e_{-i}, x_i)e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2}) \\ &= (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})(e_{-i}, x_i) - (e_{-i}, x_i)(e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $B \in O(V)$. More precisely, $B \in G_{<0}^\delta$. We have $B \neq 1$ since $x_{-i+2} \mapsto (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})e_{-i}$ is $\neq 0$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} BA\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - AB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) &= \sum_{k \neq -i, i-2} Ax_{k-2} + (Ax_{-i-2} + (e_{i-2}, Ax_{-i})e_{-i}) + (Ax_{i-4} - (e_{-i}, Ax_{i-2})e_{i-2}) \\ &- \sum_{k \neq -i, i-2} Ax_k - (Ax_{-i} + (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})Ae_{-i}) - (Ax_{i-2} - (e_{-i}, x_i)Ae_{i-2}) \\ &= (e_{i-2}, Ax_{-i})e_{-i} - (e_{-i}, Ax_{i-2})e_{i-2} - (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})Ae_{-i} + (e_{-i}, x_i)Ae_{i-2} \\ &= -(Ae_{i-2}, x_{-i})e_{-i} + (Ae_{-i}, x_{i-2})e_{i-2} - (e_{i-2}, x_{-i+2})Ae_{-i} + (e_{-i}, x_i)Ae_{i-2} = 0. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Hence $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

Next we assume that $A : V_{-2} \rightarrow V_0$ is not injective that is, $K := \ker(A : V_{-2} \rightarrow V_0) \neq 0$. We can find $e_{-2} \in V_{-2} - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_{-2} = 0$. Note that $K' := \ker(A : V_0 \rightarrow V_2)$ is $\neq 0$. (If $\dim V$ is odd and $p = 2$ we have $0 \neq \mathcal{R} \subset K'$. If $\dim V$ is even or if $p \neq 2$ then $A : V_0 \rightarrow V_2$ is the transpose of $-A : V_{-2} \rightarrow V_0$ hence is not surjective. But $\dim V_0 \geq \dim V_2$ hence again $K' \neq 0$.) We can find $e_0 \in V_0 - \{0\}$ such that $Ae_0 = 0$. Let $I' = \{x \in V_2; (x, K) = 0\}$. Note that $I' \neq V_2$. Define a linear function $f : V_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ by $f(x_2) = (e_{-2}, x_2)\sqrt{Q(e_0)}$ where $\sqrt{Q(e_0)}$ is a fixed square root of $Q(e_0)$. Now $f = 0$ on I' since $e_{-2} \in K$. Hence f induces a linear function $f' : V_2/I' \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$. Note that $K = (V_2/I')^*$ canonically. There is a unique linear function $\gamma' : V_2/I' \rightarrow K$ such that $(\gamma'(x_2), x'_2) = -f'(x_2)f'(x'_2)$ for all $x_2, x'_2 \in V_2/I'$. Hence there is a

unique linear function $\gamma : V_2 \rightarrow V_{-2}$ such that $(\gamma(x_2), x'_2) = -f(x_2)f(x'_2)$ for all x_2, x'_2 in V_2 and $\gamma(V_2) \subset K$, $\gamma(I') = 0$. Hence $A\gamma(V_2) = 0$. Since $AV_0 \subset I'$, we have $\gamma AV_0 = 0$. Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$B\left(\sum_k x_k\right) = \sum_{k \neq -2, 0} x_k + (x_{-2} + (e_0, x_0)e_{-2} + \gamma(x_2)) + (x_0 - (e_{-2}, x_2)e_0).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} QB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - Q\left(\sum_k x_k\right) &= Q(x_0) + (e_{-2}, x_2)^2 Q(e_0) - (e_0, x_0)(e_{-2}, x_2) + (x_{-2}, x_2) \\ &+ (e_0, x_0)(e_{-2}, x_2) + (\gamma(x_2), x_2) + \sum_{k>0, k \neq 2} (x_{-k}, x_k) - Q(x_0) - \sum_{k>0} (x_{-k}, x_k) \\ &= f(x_2)^2 + (\gamma(x_2), x_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $B \in O(V)$. More precisely, $B \in G_{<0}^\delta$. We have $B \neq 1$ since $x_2 \mapsto (e_{-2}, x_2)e_0$ is $\neq 0$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} BA\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - AB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) &= \sum_{k \neq -2, 0} Ax_{k-2} + (Ax_{-4} + (e_0, Ax_{-2})e_{-2} + \gamma(Ax_0)) + (Ax_{-2} - (e_{-2}, Ax_0)e_0) \\ &- \sum_{k \neq -2, 0} Ax_k - (Ax_{-2} + (e_0, x_0)Ae_{-2} + A\gamma(x_2)) - (Ax_0 - (e_{-2}, x_2)Ae_0) \\ &= -(Ae_0, x_{-2}, e_0)e_{-2} + \gamma(Ax_0) - (Ae_{-2}, x_0)e_0 - (e_0, x_0)Ae_{-2} - A\gamma(x_2) \\ &+ (e_{-2}, x_2)Ae_0 = \gamma(Ax_0) - A\gamma(x_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

We now assume that $A : V_{-i} \rightarrow V_{-i+2}$ is injective for any $i \geq 2$ and that for some $n > 0$, and some $\xi \in A^n(V_{-2n}) - \{0\}$ we have $(\xi, A^n(V_{-2n})) = 0$, $Q(\xi) = 0$. We can write $\xi = A^n e_{-2n}$ for a unique $e_{-2n} \in V_{-2n} - \{0\}$. For any $j \geq 0$ we set $e_{-2n+2j} = A^j e_{-2n} \in V_{-2n+2j}$. Thus $e_0 = \xi$ and $(e_0, A^n V_{-2n}) = 0$, $Q(e_0) = 0$. We show that $e_{2n} = 0$. Indeed, $(V_{-2n}, e_{2n}) = (V_{-2n}, A^n e_0) = \pm(A^n V_{-2n}, e_0) = 0$. For $j \in [0, 2n]$ we have:

$$(e_{-2n+2j}, e_{2n-2j}) = 0.$$

This follows from $(A^j e_{-2n}, A^{2n-j} e_{-2n}) = \pm(A^{2n} e_{-2n}, e_{-2n}) = (e_{2n}, e_{-2n}) = 0$.

Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\sum_k x_k\right) &= \sum_{k \notin \{-2n+2h; h \in [0, 2n-1]\}} x_k \\ &+ \sum_{j \in [0, 2n-1]} (x_{-2n+2j} + (-1)^j (e_{2n-2j-2}, x_{-2n+2j+2})e_{-2n+2j}). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& QB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - Q\left(\sum_k x_k\right) \\
&= Q(x_0 + (e_{-2}, x_2)e_0) + \sum_{j \in [1, n-1]} (x_{-2n+2j} + (-1)^j (e_{2n-2j-2}, x_{-2n+2j+2})e_{-2n+2j}, \\
& \quad x_{2n-2j} + (-1)^{2n-j} (e_{-2n+2j-2}, x_{2n-2j+2})e_{2n-2j}) \\
& \quad + (x_{-2n} + (e_{2n-2}, x_{-2n+2})e_{-2n}, x_{2n}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{k > 0; k \neq 2, 4, \dots, 2n} (x_{-k}, x_k) - Q(x_0) - \sum_{k > 0} (x_{-k}, x_k) \\
& \\
&= Q(e_0) + (e_0, x_0)(e_{-2}, x_2) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [1, n-1]} (-1)^j (e_{2n-2j}, x_{-2n+2j})(e_{-2n+2j-2}, x_{2n-2j+2}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [1, n-1]} (-1)^j (e_{2n-2j-2}, x_{-2n+2j+2})(e_{-2n+2j}, x_{2n-2j}) \\
& \quad + (e_{2n-2}, x_{-2n+2})(e_{-2n}, x_{2n}) - Q(x_0) - \sum_{k > 0} (x_{-k}, x_k) \\
& \\
&= \sum_{j \in [2, n-1]} (-1)^j (e_{2n-2j}, x_{-2n+2j})(e_{-2n+2j-2}, x_{2n-2j+2}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [1, n-2]} (-1)^j (e_{2n-2j-2}, x_{-2n+2j+2})(e_{-2n+2j}, x_{2n-2j}) \\
&= \sum_{j \in [2, n-1]} (-1)^j (e_{2n-2j}, x_{-2n+2j})(e_{-2n+2j-2}, x_{2n-2j+2}) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \in [2, n-1]} (-1)^{j-1} (e_{2n-2j}, x_{-2n+2j})(e_{-2n+2j-2}, x_{2n-2j+2}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $B \in SO(V)$. More precisely, $B \in G_{<0}^\delta$. We have $B \neq 1$ since $x_2 \mapsto$

$(e_{-2}, x_2)e_0$ is $\neq 0$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& BA\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - AB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) \\
&= \sum_{k \notin \{-2n+2h; h \in [0, 2n-1]\}} Ax_{k-2} \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [0, 2n-1]} (Ax_{-2n+2j-2} + (-1)^j (Ax_{-2n+2j}, e_{2n-2j-2})e_{-2n+2j}) \\
&- \sum_{k \notin \{-2n+2h; h \in [0, 2n-1]\}} Ax_k \\
&- \sum_{j \in [0, 2n-1]} (Ax_{-2n+2j} + (-1)^j (x_{-2n+2j+2}, e_{2n-2j-2})e_{-2n+2j+2}) \\
&= \sum_k Ax_k + \sum_{j \in [0, 2n-1]} (-1)^j (Ax_{-2n+2j}, e_{2n-2j-2})e_{-2n+2j}) \\
&- \sum_k Ax_k - \sum_{j \in [0, 2n-1]} (-1)^j (x_{-2n+2j+2}, e_{2n-2j-2})e_{-2n+2j+2} \\
&= - \sum_{j \in [0, 2n-1]} (-1)^j (x_{-2n+2j}, Ae_{2n-2j-2})e_{-2n+2j}) \\
&- \sum_{j \in [1, 2n]} (-1)^{j-1} (x_{-2n+2j}, e_{2n-2j})e_{-2n+2j} \\
&= -(x_{-2n}, e_{2n})e_{-2n} - (-1)^n (x_{2n}, e_{-2n})e_{2n} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $e_{2n} = 0$. Hence $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

We now assume that $A : V_{-i} \rightarrow V_{-i+2}$ is injective for any $i \geq 2$ and that for some odd $n > 0$, $A^n : V_{-n} \rightarrow V_n$ is not an isomorphism. The kernel of this map is the radical of the symplectic form $x, x' \mapsto (x, A^n x')$ on V_{-n} hence it has even codimension in V_{-n} ; but then it also has even dimension since $\dim V_{-n}$ is even; since this kernel is non-zero it has dimension ≥ 2 . Thus we can find e_{-n}, f_{-n} linearly independent in V_{-n} such that $A^n e_{-n} = 0, A^n f_{-n} = 0$. For $j \geq 0$ we set $e_{2j-n} = A^j e_{-n}, f_{2j-n} = A^j f_{-n}$. We have $e_n = 0, f_n = 0$. Also e_m, f_m are linearly independent in V_m if $m < 0$ is odd. For $j \in [0, n]$ we have $(e_{2j-n}, e_{n-2j}) = 0, (f_{2j-n}, f_{n-2j}) = 0, (e_{2j-n}, f_{n-2j}) = 0, (f_{2j-n}, e_{n-2j}) = 0$. (The last of these equalities is equivalent to $(A^j f_{-n}, A^{n-j} f_{-n}) = 0$ that is, to $(f_{-n}, A^n f_{-n}) = 0$ which follows from $A^n f_{-n} = 0$. The other three equalities are proved in a similar

way.) Define $B \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\sum_k x_k\right) &= \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} x_k + \\ &\sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (x_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2})) e_{2j-n} \\ &- (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) f_{2j-n}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &QB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - Q\left(\sum_k x_k\right) \\ &= Q(x_0) + \sum_{j \in [1, (n-1)/2]} (x_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2})) e_{2j-n} \\ &- (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) f_{2j-n}, \\ &x_{n-2j} + (-1)^{n-j} (f_{2j-n-2}, x_{n-2j+2}) e_{n-2j} - (-1)^{n-j} (e_{2j-n-2}, x_{n-2j+2}) f_{n-2j} \\ &+ (x_{-n} + (f_{n-2}, x_{2-n}) e_{-n} - (e_{n-2}, x_{2-n}) f_{-n}, x_n) \\ &+ \sum_{k > 0; k \neq -n, 2-n, \dots, n-2} (x_{-k}, x_k) + Q(x_0) - \sum_{k > 0} (x_{-k}, x_k) - Q(x_0) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [1, (n-1)/2]} (x_{2j-n}, (-1)^{n-j} (f_{2j-n-2}, x_{n-2j+2}) e_{n-2j} \\ &- (-1)^{n-j} (e_{2j-n-2}, x_{n-2j+2}) f_{n-2j}) \\ &+ \sum_{j \in [0, (n-1)/2]} ((-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) e_{2j-n} \\ &- (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) f_{2j-n}, x_{n-2j}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in [1, (n-1)/2]} (-1)^{n-j} (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) (f_{2j-n-2}, x_{n-2j+2}) \\ &- \sum_{j \in [1, (n-1)/2]} (-1)^{n-j} (f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) (e_{2j-n-2}, x_{n-2j+2}) \\ &- \sum_{j \in [0, (n-1)/2]} (-1)^j (f_{2j-n}, x_{n-2j}) (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) \\ &+ \sum_{j \in [0, (n-1)/2]} (-1)^j (e_{2j-n}, x_{n-2j}) (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j \in [1, (n-1)/2]} (-1)^{n-j} (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) (f_{2j-n-2}, x_{n-2j+2}) \\
&- \sum_{j \in [1, (n-1)/2]} (-1)^{n-j} (f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) (e_{2j-n-2}, x_{n-2j+2}) \\
&- \sum_{j \in [1, (n+1)/2]} (-1)^{j-1} (f_{2j-n-2}, x_{n+2-2j}) (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [1, (n+1)/2]} (-1)^{j-1} (e_{2j-n-2}, x_{n+2-2j}) (f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) \\
&= (-1)^{(n-1)/2} (e_{-1}, x_1) (f_{-1}, x_1) - (-1)^{(n-1)/2} (f_{-1}, x_1) (e_{-1}, x_1) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $B \in O(V)$. More precisely, $B \in G_{<0}^\delta$. We have $B \neq 1$ since $x_1 \mapsto \pm((f_{-1}, x_1)e_{-1} - (e_{-1}, x_1)f_{-1})$ is $\neq 0$. Hence $B \notin G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&BA\left(\sum_k x_k\right) - AB\left(\sum_k x_k\right) = \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} Ax_{k-2} \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (Ax_{n-2+2j} + (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} - \\
&(-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n}) f_{2j-n}) - \sum_{k \notin \{2h-n; h \in [0, n-1]\}} Ax_k \\
&- \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (Ax_{2j-n} + (-1)^j (f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) A e_{2j-n} \\
&- (-1)^j (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) A f_{2j-n}) \\
&= \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j ((f_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} - (e_{n-2j-2}, Ax_{2j-n}) f_{2j-n}) \\
&- \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j ((f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) A e_{2j-n} - (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) A f_{2j-n}) \\
&= - \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^{j-1} ((f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} + (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) f_{2j-n}) \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^j (-(f_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) e_{2j-n+2} + (e_{n-2j-2}, x_{2j-n+2}) f_{2j-n+2}) \\
&= \sum_{j \in [0, n-1]} (-1)^{j-1} ((f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} - (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) f_{2j-n}) \\
&+ \sum_{j \in [1, n]} (-1)^{j-1} (-(f_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) e_{2j-n} + (e_{n-2j}, x_{2j-n}) f_{2j-n}) \\
&= -(f_n, x_{-n}) e_{-n} + (e_n, x_{-n}) f_{-n} + (-1)^n (f_{-n}, x_n) e_n - (-1)^n (e_{-n}, x_n) f_n = 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $f_n = 0$, $e_n = 0$. Thus $AB = BA$. We see that $A \notin \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

We have shown that $\mathfrak{o}(V) - \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_2^\delta - \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$.

Conversely, let $A \in \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$. Let $B \in G$ be such that $AB = BA$. It is enough to show that $B \in G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. We argue by induction on $\dim V$. If $V = 0$ the result is clear. We now assume $V \neq 0$. Let m be the largest integer ≥ 0 such that $V_m \neq 0$. If $m = 0$ we have $G_{\geq 0}^\delta = G$ and the result is clear. Assume now that $m \geq 1$. If m is odd we have $A^m V = V_m$, $\ker(A^m : V \rightarrow V) = V_{\geq -m+1}$. Since $BA = AB$, the image and kernel of A^m are B -stable. Hence $B(V_m) = V_m$ and $B(V_{\geq -m+1}) = V_{\geq -m+1}$. Hence B induces an automorphism $B' \in SO(V')$ where $V' = V_{\geq -m+1}/V_m$, a vector spaces with a nondegenerate quadratic form induced by Q . We have canonically $V' = V_{-m+1} \oplus V_{-m+2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{m-1}$ and $\mathfrak{o}(V')_2, \mathfrak{o}(V')_2^0$ are defined in terms of this (\mathfrak{o} -good) grading. Now A induces an element $A' \in \mathfrak{o}(V')_2^0$ and we have $B'A' = A'B'$. By the induction hypothesis, for any $i \in [-m+1, m-1]$, the subspace $V_i + V_{i+1} + \dots + V_{m-1}$ of V' is B' -stable. Hence the subspace $V_{\geq i}$ of V is B -stable. We see that $B \in G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. Next we assume that m is even. We have $V_{\geq -m+1} = \{x \in V; A^m(x) = 0, Q(A^{m/2}x) = 0\}$ (we use that $A \in \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$). Since B commutes with A and preserves Q we see that B preserves the subspace $\{x \in V; A^m(x) = 0, Q(A^{m/2}x) = 0\}$ hence $B(V_{\geq -m+1}) = V_{\geq -m+1}$. We have $V_m = \{x \in V; (x, V_{\geq -m+1}) = 0, Q(x) = 0\}$. Since B preserves the subspace $V_{\geq -m+1}$ and B preserves Q and $(,)$ we see that $B(V_m) = V_m$. Hence B induces an automorphism $B' \in SO(V')$ where $V' = (V_{\geq -m+1})/V_m$, a vector space with a nondegenerate quadratic form induced by Q . We have canonically $V' = V_{-m+1} \oplus V_{-m+2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{m-1}$ and $\mathfrak{o}(V')_2, \mathfrak{o}(V')_2^0$ are defined in terms of this (\mathfrak{o} -good) grading. Now A induces an element $A' \in \mathfrak{o}(V')_2^0$ and we have $B'A' = A'B'$. By the induction hypothesis, for any $i \in [-m+1, m-1]$, the subspace $V_i + V_{i+1} + \dots + V_{m-1}$ of V' is B' -stable. Hence the subspace $V_{\geq i}$ of V is B -stable. We see that $B \in G_{\geq 0}^\delta$. This completes the proof.

1.9. Let $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_G$. We describe the set of G_0^δ -orbits of $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$ (see 1.2) in the cases considered in 1.3-1.5. If G, \mathfrak{g} are as in 1.3 or as in 1.4 (with $p \neq 2$) or 1.5 (with $p \neq 2$) then, using 1.3(a), 1.4(a), 1.5(a), we see that G_0^δ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$. If V, G, \mathfrak{g} are as in 1.4 (with $p = 2$) then the set of G_0^δ -orbits of $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \mathfrak{s}(V)_2^0$ is a set (with cardinal a power of 2) described in [L2, p.478]. In the rest of this subsection we assume that $V, Q, (,), G, \mathfrak{g}$ are as in 1.5 and $p = 2$. Let (V_i) be the \mathfrak{o} -good grading of V corresponding to δ . For any $n \geq 0$ let $d_n = \dim V_{-2n}$. Let $M = \{n \geq 0; d_n = \text{odd}\}$. If $M = \emptyset$ then G_0^δ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$. Now assume that $M \neq \emptyset$. We write the elements of M in increasing order $n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_t$. Let X be the set of all functions $f : \{1, 2, \dots, t\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that:

- (i) $f(i) = 1$ if $n_i - n_{i-1} \geq 2$;
- (ii) $f(i) = 0$ if $n_i - n_{i-1} = 1$ and $d_{n_{i-1}} = d_{n_i}$.

Note that $|X| = 2^\alpha$ where $\alpha = |\{i \in [1, t]; n_{i-1} = n_i - 1, d_{n_{i-1}} > d_{n_i}\}|$.

For any $A \in \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$ and any $n \in M$ let L_n^A be the radical of the restriction of $(,)$ to $K_n^A := A^n(V_{-2n})$ (a line).

Note that: if $0 \leq s < q < r$, $s \in M, q \notin M, r \in M$ then $L_s^A \neq L_r^A$. (Indeed, let $x \in L_s^A - 0$. Then $(x, K_q^A) = 0$ hence $x \notin K_q^A$ hence $x \notin K_r^A$ and $x \notin L_r^A$.) If $0 \leq s < q < r$, $s \in M, q \in M, r \in M$ and $L_s^A = L_r^A$ then $L_s^A = L_q^A = L_r^A$. (Indeed, let $x \in L_s^A - 0$. Then $(x, K_q^A) = 0$. But $x \in L_r^A \subset K_r^A \subset K_q^A$ hence $x \in L_q^A$. Thus $L_s^A = L_q^A$.) If $s \in M, q \in M, K_s^A = K_q^A$ then clearly $L_s^A = L_q^A$.

We define $f_A : \{1, 2, \dots, t\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by $f_A(i) = 0$ if $L_{n_i}^A = L_{n_{i-1}}^A$, $f_A(i) = 1$ if $L_{n_i}^A \neq L_{n_{i-1}}^A$. From the previous paragraph we see that $f_A \in X$ and that for any i, j in $[0, t]$, f_A determines whether $L_{n_i}^A, L_{n_j}^A$ are equal or not.

For any $f \in X$ we set ${}^f\mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0 = \{A \in \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0; f_A = f\}$. Then the subsets ${}^f\mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$ ($f \in X$) are exactly the orbits of G_0^δ on $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$.

2. THE PIECES IN THE UNIQUOTENT VARIETY OF G

2.1. Given δ, δ' in \mathfrak{D}_G we write $\delta \sim \delta'$ if for any $i \in \mathbf{N}$ we have $G_{\geq i}^\delta = G_{\geq i}^{\delta'}$ or equivalently $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\delta = \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^{\delta'}$. This is an equivalence relation on \mathfrak{D}_G . Let D_G be the set of equivalence classes. The conjugation G -action on \mathfrak{D}_G induces a G -action on D_G . If $\Delta \in D_G$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, we can write $G_{\geq i}^\Delta, \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\Delta$ instead of $G_{\geq i}^\delta, \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\delta$ where $\delta \in \Delta$. If $\Delta \in D_G$, we have an action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ on Δ given by $g : \delta \mapsto \delta'$ where $\delta'(a) = g\delta(a)g^{-1}$ for all $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. We show:

(a) *the conjugation action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ on the set of pairs (δ, T) where $\delta \in \Delta$ and T is a maximal torus of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ containing $\delta(\mathbf{k}^*)$ is transitive; hence the conjugation action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ on Δ is transitive.*

Let $(\delta, T), (\delta', T')$ be two pairs as above. Since T, T' are conjugate in $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ we can assume that $T' = T$. It is enough to show that in this case we have $\delta = \delta'$. For any root $\alpha : T \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^*$ of G with respect to T we set

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; \text{Ad}(t)x = \alpha(t)x \quad \forall t \in T\}.$$

For any root α define $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}, \langle \delta', \alpha \rangle \in \mathbf{Z}$ by $\alpha(\delta(a)) = a^{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle}, \alpha(\delta'(a)) = a^{\langle \delta', \alpha \rangle}$ for all $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. For $i > 0$ we have

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha; \langle \delta, \alpha \rangle = i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\delta = \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^{\delta'} = \bigoplus_{\alpha; \langle \delta', \alpha \rangle = i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Since each \mathfrak{g}_α is 1-dimensional and the sum $\sum_\alpha \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is direct it follows that

$$\{\alpha; \langle \delta, \alpha \rangle = i\} = \{\alpha; \langle \delta', \alpha \rangle = i\}$$

for any $i > 0$. But then we automatically have $\{\alpha; \langle \delta, \alpha \rangle = i\} = \{\alpha; \langle \delta', \alpha \rangle = i\}$ for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. It follows that $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle = \langle \delta', \alpha \rangle$ for any root α . Define $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, T)$ by $\mu(a) = \delta'(a)\delta(a)^{-1}$ for any $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Then $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = 0$ for any root α . Thus $\mu(\mathbf{k}^*)$ is contained in the centre of G . Since $\delta(\mathbf{k}^*), \delta'(\mathbf{k}^*)$ are contained in G^{der} , we have $\mu(\mathbf{k}^*) \subset G^{der}$. Thus $\mu(\mathbf{k}^*)$ is contained in the centre of G^{der} , a finite group. Since $\mu(\mathbf{k}^*)$ is connected we have $\mu(\mathbf{k}^*) = \{1\}$ hence $\delta' = \delta$. This proves (a).

From (a) we see that

(b) *the obvious map $\mathcal{D}_G \rightarrow D_G$ induces a bijection $G \backslash \mathcal{D}_G \rightarrow G \backslash D_G$ on the sets of G -orbits.*

In the remainder of this subsection we assume that

(c) *$p > 1$, \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of the field \mathbf{F}_p with p elements and we are given a split \mathbf{F}_p -rational structure on G with Frobenius map $F : G \rightarrow G$.*

This induces a split \mathbf{F}_p -rational structure on \mathfrak{g} . For any $\delta \in \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, G)$ we define ${}^F\delta : \mathbf{k}^* \rightarrow G$ by $({}^F\delta)(z) = F(\delta(z^{p^{-1}}))$ for $z \in \mathbf{k}^*$. We show that for some $g \in G$ we have ${}^F\delta(z) = g\delta(z)g^{-1}$ for all z . Let T be a maximal torus of G such that $F(t) = t^p$ for all $t \in T$. We can find $g_1 \in G$ such that $g_1\delta(\mathbf{k}^*)g_1^{-1} \subset T$. Then for $z \in \mathbf{k}^*$ we have $g_1\delta(z)g_1^{-1} \subset T$ hence $F(g_1\delta(z)g_1^{-1}) = (g_1\delta(z)g_1^{-1})^p = g_1\delta(z^p)g_1^{-1} = F(g_1)F\delta(z^p)F(g_1^{-1})$ hence ${}^F\delta(z) = g\delta(z)g^{-1}$ where $g = F(g_1)^{-1}g_1$, as claimed. In particular, if $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_G$ then ${}^F\delta \in \mathcal{D}_G$ and ${}^F\delta$ is in the same G -orbit as δ . From the definitions we see that if $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_G$ and $i \in \mathbf{N}$ then $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^{({}^F\delta)} = F(\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\delta)$ and $G_{\geq i}^{({}^F\delta)} = F(G_{\geq i}^\delta)$. In particular if δ, δ' in \mathcal{D}_G satisfy $\delta \sim \delta'$ then ${}^F\delta \sim {}^F\delta'$. Thus the permutation $\delta \mapsto {}^F\delta$ of \mathcal{D}_G induces a permutation $\Delta \mapsto {}^F\Delta$ of D_G . This permutation maps each G -orbit in D_G into itself.

2.2. Let $\Delta \in D_G$, $i > 0$. Let (δ, T) be such that $\delta \in \Delta$ and T is a maximal torus of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ containing $\delta(\mathbf{k}^*)$. We will define an isomorphism of algebraic groups

$$\Phi_{\delta, T} : \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\Delta / \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\geq i}^\Delta / G_{\geq i+1}^\Delta.$$

For any root $\alpha : T \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^*$ we define the root subspaces \mathfrak{g}_α as in the proof of 2.1(a). Let G_α be the root subgroup of G corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_α . For any α we can find an isomorphism of algebraic groups $h_\alpha : \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_\alpha$; it is unique up to composing with multiplication by a nonzero scalar on \mathbf{k} ; by passage to Lie algebras, h_α gives rise to an isomorphism of vector spaces $h'_\alpha : \mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. For any $j \geq 0$ let R_j be the set of roots α such that $\langle \alpha, \delta \rangle = j$ (notation as in the proof of 2.1(a)). Then

$$\phi : \mathbf{k}^{R_i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\Delta / \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta, \quad (c_\alpha) \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in R_i} h'_\alpha(c_\alpha) \pmod{\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta},$$

$$\psi : \mathbf{k}^{R_i} \rightarrow G_{\geq i}^\Delta / G_{\geq i+1}^\Delta, \quad (c_\alpha) \mapsto \prod_{\alpha \in R_i} h_\alpha(c_\alpha) \pmod{G_{\geq i+1}^\Delta}$$

are isomorphisms of algebraic groups. (The last product is independent of the order of the factors up to $\pmod{G_{\geq i+1}^\Delta}$, by the Chevalley commutator formula.) We set $\Phi_{\delta, T} = \psi\phi^{-1}$. Clearly $\Phi_{\delta, T}$ is independent of the choice of the h_α . Now $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ acts by conjugation on $G_{\geq i}^\Delta / G_{\geq i+1}^\Delta$ and this induces an Ad-action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\Delta / \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta$. From the definitions we see that for any $g \in G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ and any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\Delta / \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta$ we have $\Phi_{g\delta g^{-1}, gTg^{-1}}(\xi) = g\Phi_{\delta, T}(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\xi)g^{-1}$. Let $\alpha \in R_i, \beta \in R_j, j \geq 0$ and let $c, c' \in$

k. If $j > 0$ we have $h_\beta(c)h_\alpha(c')h_\beta(c)^{-1} = h_\alpha(c') \pmod{G_{\geq i+1}^\Delta}$, $\text{Ad}(h_\beta(c))h'_\alpha(c') = h'_\alpha(c') \pmod{\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta}$. If $j = 0$ we have (by the Chevalley commutator formula)

$$h_\beta(c)h_\alpha(c')h_\beta(c)^{-1} = h_\alpha(c') \prod_{i' > 0} h_{i'\beta+\alpha}(m_{i'}c^{i'}c') \pmod{G_{\geq i+1}^\Delta},$$

where $m_{i'} \in \mathbf{Z}$ are such that

$$\text{Ad}(h_\beta(c))h'_\alpha(c') = h'_\alpha(c') + \sum_{i' > 0} h'_{i'\beta+\alpha}(m_{i'}c^{i'}c').$$

From these formulas we see that for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta$ we have $\Phi_{\delta,T}(\text{Ad}(g)\xi) = g\Phi_{\delta,T}(\xi)g^{-1}$ whenever $g = h_\beta(c)$ with $\beta \in \cup_{j \geq 0} R_j$, $c \in \mathbf{k}$. The same holds when $g \in T$ and even for any g in $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ since this group is generated by T and by the $h_\beta(c)$ as above. We see that for any $g \in G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ and any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta$ we have $g\Phi_{\delta,T}(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})x)g^{-1} = \Phi_{\delta,T}(\xi)$, hence $\Phi_{g\delta g^{-1},gTg^{-1}}(\xi) = \Phi_{\delta,T}(\xi)$. Using this and 2.1(a) we see that $\Phi_{\delta,T}$ is independent of the choice of δ, T . Hence it can be denoted by Φ_Δ . We can summarize the results above as follows.

(a) For any $\Delta \in D_G$ and any $i > 0$ there is a canonical $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ -equivariant isomorphism of algebraic groups $\Phi_\Delta : \mathfrak{g}_{\geq i}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq i+1}^\Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\geq i}^\Delta/G_{\geq i+1}^\Delta$.

2.3. Let $\Delta \in D_G$. For any $\delta \in \Delta$ the subset $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$ of \mathfrak{g}_2^δ can be viewed as a subset Σ^δ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}^\Delta$ via the obvious isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_2^\delta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}^\Delta$. From the definitions, for any $g \in G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ we have $\Sigma^{g\delta g^{-1}} = \text{Ad}(g)\Sigma^\delta$. Here we use the Ad-action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}^\Delta$. This action factors through an action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta/G_{\geq 1}^\Delta = G_0^\delta$. Hence if we write $g = g_0g'$ where $g_0 \in G_0^\delta, g' \in G_{\geq 1}^\Delta$ we have $\text{Ad}(g)(\Sigma^\delta) = \text{Ad}(g_0)\Sigma^\delta = \Sigma^\delta$ (the last equality follows from $\text{Ad}(g_0)\mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!} = \mathfrak{g}_2^{\delta!}$). Thus we have $\Sigma^{g\delta g^{-1}} = \Sigma^\delta$. Using 2.1(a) we deduce that Σ^δ is independent of the choice of δ in Δ ; we will denote it by Σ^Δ . Note that Σ^Δ is a subset of $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}^\Delta$ stable under the action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}^\Delta \subset G_{\geq 2}^\Delta$ be the inverse image of Σ^Δ under the composition $G_{\geq 2}^\Delta \rightarrow G_{\geq 2}^\Delta/G_{\geq 3}^\Delta \xrightarrow{\Phi_\Delta^{-1}} \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}^\Delta$ where the first map is the obvious one. Now \mathfrak{S}^Δ is stable under the conjugation action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ on $G_{\geq 2}^\Delta$ and $u \mapsto u$ is a map

$$(a) \quad \Psi_G : \sqcup_{\Delta \in D_G} \mathfrak{S}^\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_G, u \mapsto u.$$

Theorem 2.4. Assume that \tilde{G}^{der} (see 1.1) is a product of almost simple groups of type A, B, C, D . Then Ψ_G is a bijection.

The general case reduces easily to the case where G is almost simple of type A, B, C or D . Moreover we can assume that G is one of the groups $GL(V), Sp(V), SO(V)$ in 1.3-1.5. The proof in these cases will be given in 2.5-2.10. We expect that the theorem holds without restriction on G .

We now discuss some applications of the theorem. Let \mathfrak{A}_G be the set of G -orbits on D_G . Using 2.1(b) and the definitions we see that $\mathfrak{A}_G = \mathfrak{A}_{G'}$ where G' is as in 1.1. In particular \mathfrak{A}_G is a finite set which depends only on the type of G , not on \mathbf{k} . For any $\mathcal{O} \in \mathfrak{A}_G$ we consider the set

$$Z_{\mathcal{O}} = \sqcup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{O}} \Sigma^\Delta.$$

Note that G acts naturally on $Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ (this action induces the conjugation action of

G on \mathcal{O}) and for any $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}$ the obvious map $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta \backslash \Sigma^\Delta \mapsto G \backslash Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a bijection denoted by $\omega_\Delta \leftrightarrow \omega$. (We use the fact the stabilizer in G of an element $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}$ is equal to $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$.) Since $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta \backslash \Sigma^\Delta$ is a finite set whose cardinal is a power of 2 (see 1.9) we see that $G \backslash Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a finite set whose cardinal is a power of 2. For any $\Delta \in D_G$ and $\omega \in G \backslash Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ let $\mathfrak{S}_\omega^\Delta$ be the inverse image of ω_Δ under the map $\mathfrak{S}^\Delta \rightarrow \Sigma^\Delta$ in 2.3; we have a partition $\mathfrak{S}^\Delta = \sqcup_{\omega \in G \backslash Z_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathfrak{S}_\omega^\Delta$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_G^\mathcal{O} &= \Psi_G(\sqcup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{S}^\Delta), \\ \mathcal{U}_G^{\mathcal{O}, \omega} &= \Psi_G(\sqcup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{S}_\omega^\Delta), (\omega \in G \backslash Z_{\mathcal{O}}). \end{aligned}$$

The subsets $\mathcal{U}_G^\mathcal{O}$ are called the *pieces* of \mathcal{U}_G . They form a partition of \mathcal{U}_G into subsets (which are unions of G -orbits) indexed by $\mathfrak{A}_G = \mathfrak{A}_{G'}$. The subsets $\mathcal{U}_G^{\mathcal{O}, \omega}$ are called the *subpieces* of \mathcal{U}_G . When ω varies in $G \backslash Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ the subpieces $\mathcal{U}_G^{\mathcal{O}, \omega}$ form a partition of a piece $\mathcal{U}_G^\mathcal{O}$ into subsets (which are unions of G -orbits); the number of these subsets is a power of 2.

If G is as in 1.3 then each piece of \mathcal{U}_G is a single G -orbit. If G is as in 1.4 ($p = 2$) then each subpiece of \mathcal{U}_G is a single G -orbit.. If G, V are as in 1.5 ($p = 2$, $\dim V = 9$) then there exist a subpiece of \mathcal{U}_G which is a union of two G -orbits.

In the remainder of this subsection we assume that 2.1(c) holds. Then for each $\mathcal{O} \in \mathfrak{A}_G$ and $n \geq 1$, the piece $\mathcal{U}_G^\mathcal{O}$ is F -stable and according to [L2], [L3], the number $|(\mathcal{U}_G^\mathcal{O})^{F^n}|$ is a polynomial in p^n with integer coefficients independent of p, n . From the definitions we have

$$(a) \quad |(\mathcal{U}_G^\mathcal{O})^{F^n}| = |\mathcal{O}^{F^n}| \cdot |(G_{\geq 3}^\Delta)^{F^n}| \cdot |(\Sigma^\Delta)^{F^n}|$$

where Δ is any point of \mathcal{O}^F (Note that \mathcal{O} is F -stable by 2.1.)

2.5. Let V, G, \mathfrak{g} be as in 1.3. A filtration of V is a collection of subspaces $V_* = (V_{\geq a})_{a \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of V such that $V_{\geq a+1} \subset V_{\geq a}$ for all a , $V_{\geq a} = 0$ for some a , $V_{\geq a} = V$ for some a). If a filtration as above is given, we set $\text{gr}_a(V_*) = V_{\geq a}/V_{\geq a+1}$ for any a and $\text{gr}(V_*) = \bigoplus_a \text{gr}_a(V_*)$. Let $\mathfrak{F}(V)$ be the set of filtrations $V_* = (V_{\geq a})_{a \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of V such that the grading $(\text{gr}_a(V_*))$ of $\text{gr}(V_*)$ is good (see 1.3) or equivalently such that there exists a good grading (V_i) of V with $V_{\geq a} = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i$ for all i .

If $\delta, \delta' \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ correspond to the good gradings $(V_i), (V'_i)$ of V then $\delta \sim \delta'$ and only if $\bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V'_i$ for all $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. Setting $V_{\geq a} = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i$ we see that $(V_{\geq a}) \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$ and that $(\sim \text{-equivalence class of } \delta) \mapsto (V_{\geq a})$ is a bijection $D_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}(V)$.

For any $V_* = (V_{\geq a}) \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$ let $\xi(V_*)$ be the set of all $A \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $A(V_{\geq a}) \subset V_{\geq a+2}$ for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and such that the map $\bar{A} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*))_2$ induced by A belongs to $\text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*))_2^0$. Note that $\xi(V_*) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Using 1.3(a) we see that in our case the following statement is equivalent to 2.4:

$$(a) \quad \text{the map } \sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}(V)} \xi(V_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}, A \mapsto A, \text{ is a bijection.}$$

Let $A \mapsto V_*^A$ be the map $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(V)$ defined in [L2, 2.3]. Then $A \mapsto (A, V_*^A)$ is a well defined map $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}(V)} \xi(V_*)$ which, by [L2, 2.4] is an inverse of the map (a).

2.6. Let $V, (\cdot), G, \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s}(V)$ be as in 1.4. A filtration $V_* = (V_{\geq a})_{a \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of V is said to be self-dual if $\{x \in V; (x, V_{\geq a}) = 0\} = V_{\geq 1-a}$ for any a . If this is so,

the associated vector space $\text{gr}(V_*)$ has a unique symplectic form $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ such that $(\text{gr}_a(V_*), \text{gr}_{a'}(V_*))_0 = 0$ if $a + a' \neq 0$ and, for any $x \in \text{gr}_a(V)$, $y \in \text{gr}_{-a}(V)$, we have $(x, y)_0 = (\dot{x}, \dot{y})$ where $\dot{x} \in V_{\geq a}$, $\dot{y} \in V_{\geq -a}$ are representatives of x, y . Note that $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ is nondegenerate. Let $\mathfrak{F}_s(V)$ be the set of self-dual filtrations $V_* = (V_{\geq a})_{a \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of V such that the grading $(\text{gr}_a(V_*))$ of $\text{gr}(V_*)$ is s -good (see 1.4) or equivalently such that there exists an s -good grading (V_i) of V with $V_{\geq a} = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i$ for all i .

If $\delta, \delta' \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ correspond to the s -good gradings $(V_i), (V'_i)$ of V then $\delta \sim \delta'$ if and only if $\bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V'_i$ for all $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. Setting $V_{\geq a} = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i$ we see that $(V_{\geq a}) \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)$ and that $(\sim \text{-equivalence class of } \delta) \mapsto (V_{\geq a})$ is a bijection $D_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_s(V)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(V)$ be the set of all nilpotent elements $A \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $(Ax, y) + (x, Ay) + (Ax, Ay) = 0$ for all x, y in V (or equivalently such that $1 + A \in Sp(V)$). For any $V_* = (V_{\geq a}) \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)$ let $\tilde{\xi}(V_*)$ be the set of all $A \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ such that $A(V_{\geq a}) \subset V_{\geq a+2}$ for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and such that the map $\bar{A} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*))_2$ induced by A belongs to $\text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*))_2^0$. Using 1.4(a) we see that in our case the following statement is equivalent to 2.4:

(a) *the map $\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)} \tilde{\xi}(V_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(V)$, $A \mapsto A$, is a bijection.*

If $A \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ then $V_*^A \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$ (see 2.5) is self-dual (see [L2, 3.2(c)]) and the map $\bar{A} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*))_2$ induced by A is in $\text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*))_2^0$ and is skew-adjoint with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ (see [L2, 3.2(d)]); this implies that $\dim \text{gr}_a(V_*)$ is even when a is even, hence $V_*^A \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)$. Then $A \mapsto (A, V_*^A)$ is a well defined map $\mathcal{M}(V) \rightarrow \sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)} \tilde{\xi}(V_*)$ which, by [L2, 2.4] is an inverse of the map (a).

2.7. Let $V, Q, (\cdot, \cdot), G = SO(V), \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(V)$ be as in 1.5. A filtration $V_* = (V_{\geq a})_{a \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of V is said to be a Q -filtration if for any $a \geq 1$ we have $Q|_{V_{\geq a}} = 0$ and $\{x \in V; (x, V_{\geq a}) = 0\} = V_{\geq 1-a}$. Then Q induces (as in [L3, 1.5]) a nondegenerate quadratic form $\bar{Q} : \text{gr}V_* \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ be the set of all Q -filtrations $V_* = (V_{\geq a})_{a \in \mathbf{Z}}$ of V such that the grading $(\text{gr}_a(V_*))$ of $\text{gr}(V_*)$ is o -good (see 1.5) or equivalently such that there exists an o -good grading (V_i) of V with $V_{\geq a} = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i$ for all i .

If $\delta, \delta' \in \mathfrak{D}_G$ correspond to the o -good gradings $(V_i), (V'_i)$ of V then $\delta \sim \delta'$ if and only if $\bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V'_i$ for all $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. Setting $V_{\geq a} = \bigoplus_{i; i \geq a} V_i$ we see that $(V_{\geq a}) \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ and that $(\sim \text{-equivalence class of } \delta) \mapsto (V_{\geq a})$ is a bijection $D_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{F}_o(V)$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}(V) = \{A \in \text{End}(V); A \text{ nilpotent, } 1 + A \in SO(V)\}.$$

For any $V_* = (V_{\geq a}) \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ let $\eta(V_*)$ be the set of all $A \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ such that $A(V_{\geq a}) \subset V_{\geq a+2}$ for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and such that the map $\bar{A} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*))_2$ induced by A belongs to $\mathfrak{o}(\text{gr}(V_*))_2^0$ (the last set is defined in terms of the grading $(\text{gr}_a(V_*))$ and the quadratic form on $\text{gr}(V_*)$ induced by Q). Using 1.3(a) we see that in our case the following statement is equivalent to 2.4:

(a) *the map $\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta(V_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(V)$, $A \mapsto A$, is a bijection.*

Assume first that $p \neq 2$. If $A \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ then $V_*^A \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$ (see 2.5) is in $\mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ (see

[L3, 3.3]). Then $A \mapsto (A, V_*^A)$ is a well defined map $\mathcal{M}(V) \rightarrow \sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta(V_*)$ which, by [L2, 2.4] is an inverse of the map (a).

Next we assume that $p = 2$. If $A \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ we define a filtration $V_A^* = (V_A^{\geq a})$ of V as in [L3, 2.5]. (Note that in general V_A^* is not equal to V_*^A of 2.5.) From [L3, 2.5(a)] we see that V_A^* is a Q -filtration and from [L3, 2.9] we see that $V_*^A \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ and $A \in \eta(V_*^A)$. Then $A \mapsto (A, V_A^*)$ is a well defined map $\mathcal{M}(V) \rightarrow \sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta(V_*)$ which, by [L3, 2.10] is an inverse of the map (a). (An alternative proof of (a) is given in 2.9-2.10.)

2.8. Let $V, Q, (\cdot), G = SO(V)$ be as in 1.5. In the remainder of this section we assume that $p = 2$.

For any nonzero nilpotent element $T \in \text{End}(V)$ let $e = e_T$ be the smallest integer ≥ 2 such that $T^e = 0$; let $f = f_T$ be the smallest integer ≥ 1 s.t. $QT^f = 0$. We associate to T a subset H_T of V as follows.

- (i) $H_T = \{x \in V; T^{e-1}x = 0\}$ if $e \geq 2f$,
- (ii) $H_T = \{x \in V; T^{e-1}x = 0, QT^{f-1}x = 0\}$ if $e = 2f - 1$,
- (iii) $H_T = \{x \in V; QT^{f-1}x = 0\}$ if $e < 2f - 1$.

We fix an o -good grading $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$ such that $V \neq V_0$. For $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ let $V_{\geq a} = V_a + V_{a+1} + \dots$. Let $m \geq 1$ be the largest integer such that $V_m \neq 0$. Let $A \in \mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$. Note that $A \neq 0$ and A is nilpotent hence $\bar{e} := e_A, \bar{f} := f_A, H_A$ are defined.

From the definition of $\mathfrak{o}(V)_2^0$ we see that:

(a) *If m is odd then $\bar{e} = 2\bar{f} = m + 1$. If m is even then either $\bar{e} = 2\bar{f} - 1 = m + 1$ or $\bar{e} < 2\bar{f} - 1 = m + 1$. In any case, $V_{\geq -m+1} = H_A$.*

Let $T \in \text{End}(V)$ be such that $C := T - A$ satisfies $C(V_i) \subset V_{\geq i+3}$ for any i . Then $T \neq 0, T(V_i) \subset V_{\geq i+2}$ for any i hence T is nilpotent so that $e = e_T, f = f_T, H_T$ are defined. We shall prove successively the statements (b)-(j).

(b) *If $2n > m$ then $QT^n = 0, QA^n = 0$. Hence if m is odd then $f \leq (m+1)/2, \bar{f} \leq (m+1)/2$; if m is even then $f \leq (m+2)/2, \text{bof} \leq (m+2)/2$.*

To show that $QT^n = 0$ it is enough to show that $Q(T^n x) = 0$ whenever $x \in V_i, i \geq -m$ and $(T^n x, T^n x') = 0$ whenever $x \in V_i, x' \in V_j, i, j \geq -m$. This follows from $T^n x \in V_{\geq 1}$ and $Q|_{V_{\geq 1}} = 0, (V_{\geq 1}, V_{\geq 1}) = 0$. The equality $QA^n = 0$ is proved in the same way.

The following result is immediate.

(c) *Let $P_n \in \text{End}(V)$ be a product of n factors of which at least one is C and the remaining ones are A . Then $P_n(V_i) \subset V_{\geq i+2n+1}$ for all i . Hence if $n \geq m$ then $P_n = 0$.*

(d) *If $n \geq m$ then $T^n = A^n$.*

Indeed, $T^n = A^n + \sum P_n$ where each term P_n is as in (c). Hence the result follows from (c).

(e) *If $\bar{e} \geq 2\bar{f} - 1$ then $T^{\bar{e}-1} = A^{\bar{e}-1} \neq 0, T^{\bar{e}} = A^{\bar{e}} = 0$; hence $e = \bar{e}$. Moreover if $\bar{e} = 2\bar{f}$ then $H_T = H_A$.*

In our case we have $\bar{e} = m + 1$ (see (a)) hence the first sentence follows from (d).

If $\bar{e} = 2\bar{f}$ then m is odd and $e = \bar{e} = 2\bar{f} = m + 1 \geq 2f$ (see (b)) hence $e \geq 2f$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H_T &= \{x \in V; T^{e-1}x = 0\} = \{x \in V; T^{\bar{e}-1}x = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in V; A^{\bar{e}-1}x = 0\} = H_A. \end{aligned}$$

(f) If $2n \geq m$, P_n is as in (c) and P'_n is like P_n then $QP_n(V) = 0$, $(P_n(V), P'_n(V)) = 0$, $(A^n(V), P_n(V)) = 0$. ■

By (c) we have $P_n V_i \subset V_{\geq 1}$ if $i \geq -m$ hence $P_n(V) \subset V_{\geq 1}$. Also, $A^n V \subset V_{\geq 0}$. It is then enough to use: $Q|_{V_{\geq 1}} = 0$, $(V_{\geq 1}, V_{\geq 0}) = 0$.

(g) If $2n \geq m$ then $QT^n = QA^n$.

We have $T^n = A^n + \sum P_n$ where each term P_n is as in (c). Hence for $x \in V$ we have $QT^n x = QA^n x + \sum QP_n x + \sum (A^n, P_n x) + \sum (P_n x, P'_n x)$ with P'_n like P_n and we use (f).

(h) If $\bar{e} < 2\bar{f}$ then $QT^{\bar{f}-1} = QA^{\bar{f}-1} \neq 0$, $QT^{\bar{f}} = QA^{\bar{f}} = 0$; hence $f = \bar{f}$.

In this case we have $2(\bar{f} - 1) = m$, $2\bar{f} > m$. Hence result follows from (g).

(i) If $\bar{e} < 2\bar{f} - 1$ then $e < 2f - 1$.

In this case we have $f = \bar{f} = (m + 2)/2$. We must show that $e < m + 1$. By (d) we have $T^m = A^m$. Since $\bar{e} \leq m$ (see (a)) we have $A^m = 0$ hence $T^m = 0$ and $e < m + 1$.

(j) If $2\bar{f} = \bar{e}$ then $e = \bar{e} = m + 1 \geq f/2$. If $2\bar{f} - 1 = \bar{e}$ then $e = \bar{e} = m + 1$, $f = \bar{f}$ hence $2f - 1 = e$. If $2\bar{f} - 1 > \bar{e}$ then $f = \bar{f} = (m + 2)/2$ and $2f - 1 > e$. In each case we have $H_T = H_A$ hence $H_T = V_{\geq -m+1}$ and $m = \max(e - 1, 2f - 2)$.

2.9. We give an alternative proof of the injectivity of the map 2.7(a). We argue by induction on $\dim V$. If $\dim V \leq 1$, the result is trivial. Assume now that $\dim V \geq 2$. Let $T \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ and let $V_* = (V_{\geq a})$, $\tilde{V}_* = (\tilde{V}_{\geq a})$ be two filtrations in $\mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ such that $T \in \eta(V_*)$ and $T \in \eta(\tilde{V}_*)$. We must show that $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$. Let $\bar{T} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}V_*)_2$, $\bar{T}_1 \in \text{End}(\text{gr}\tilde{V}_*)_2$ be the endomorphisms induced by T . If $\bar{T} = 0$ then, using the fact that $T \in \eta'(V_*)$ we see that $\text{gr}_a(V_*) = 0$ for $a \neq 0$ hence $V_{\geq 1} = 0, V_{\geq 0} = V$; since $TV = T(V_{\geq 0}) \subset V_{\geq 2} = 0$ we see that $T = 0$, hence $\bar{T}_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{V}_{\geq 1} = 0, \tilde{V}_{\geq 0} = V$; thus $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$ as desired. Similarly, if $\bar{T}_1 = 0$ then $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$ as desired. Thus we can assume that $\bar{T} \neq 0, \bar{T}_1 \neq 0$. Hence $\text{gr}_a(V_*) \neq 0$ for some $a \neq 0$ and $\text{gr}_a(\tilde{V}_*) \neq 0$ for some $a \neq 0$. Let $m \geq 1$ be the largest integer such that $\text{gr}_m(V_*) \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{m} \geq 1$ be the largest integer such that $\text{gr}_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{V}_*) \neq 0$. Using 2.8(j) we see that $V_{\geq -m+1} = H_T = \tilde{V}_{\geq -\tilde{m}+1}$, $m = \max(e_T - 1, 2f_T - 2) = \tilde{m}$. It follows that $m = \tilde{m}$ and $V_{\geq -m+1} = \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}$. Since V_* is a Q -filtration we have $V_{\geq m} = \{x \in V; (x, V_{\geq -m+1}) = 0; Q(x) = 0\}$, see [L3, 1.4(b)]. Similarly we have $\tilde{V}_{\geq m} = \{x \in V; (x, \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}) = 0; Q(x) = 0\}$. Hence $V_{\geq m} = \tilde{V}_{\geq m}$. Let $V' = V_{\geq -m+1}/V_{\geq m} = \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}/\tilde{V}_{\geq m}$. Note that V' has a natural nondegenerate quadratic form induced by Q . We set $V'_{\geq a} = \text{image of } V_{\geq a} \text{ under } V_{\geq -m+1} \rightarrow V' \text{ (if } a \geq -m+1), V'_{\geq a} = 0 \text{ (if } a < -m+1)$. We set $\tilde{V}'_{\geq a} = \text{image of } \tilde{V}_{\geq a} \text{ under } \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \rightarrow V' \text{ (if } a \geq -m+1), \tilde{V}'_{\geq a} = 0 \text{ (if } a < -m+1)$. Then $V'_* = (V'_{\geq a}), \tilde{V}'_* = (\tilde{V}'_{\geq a})$ are filtrations in $\mathfrak{F}_o(V')$. Also T

induces an element $T' \in \mathcal{M}(V')$ and we have $T' \in \eta(V'_*)$, $T' \in \eta(\tilde{V}'_*)$. Note also that $\dim V' < \dim V$. By the induction hypothesis we have $V'_* = \tilde{V}'_*$. It follows that $V_{\geq a} = \tilde{V}_{\geq a}$ for any $a \geq -m + 1$. If $a < -m + 1$ we have $V_{\geq a} = \tilde{V}_{\geq a} = V$. Hence $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$, as desired. Thus the map 2.7(a) is injective.

2.10. We give an alternative proof of the surjectivity of the map 2.7(a). By a standard argument we can assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of the field \mathbf{F}_2 with 2 elements. We can also assume that $\dim V \geq 2$. We choose an \mathbf{F}_2 -rational structure on V such that Q is defined and split over \mathbf{F}_2 . Then the Frobenius map relative to the \mathbf{F}_2 -structure acts naturally and compatibly on the source and target of the map 2.7(a). We denote each of these actions by F . It is enough to show that for any $n \geq 1$ the map $\alpha_n : (\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta(V_*))^{F^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(V)^{F^n}$, $A \mapsto A$ is a bijection. (Here $()^{F^n}$ is the fixed point set of F^n .) Since α_n is injective (see 2.9) it is enough to show that $|\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta(V_*)^{F^n}| = |\mathcal{M}(V)^{F^n}|$. By [St, 15.1] we have $|\mathcal{M}(V)^{F^n}| = 2^{nr}$ where r is the number of roots of G . It is enough to show that

$$(a) \sum_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)^{F^n}} |\eta(V_*)^{F^n}| = 2^{nr}.$$

Now the left hand side of (a) makes sense when \mathbf{k} is replaced by an algebraic closure of the prime field with p' elements where p' is any prime number; moreover this more general expression is a polynomial in p'^n with integer coefficients independent of p', n (see [L3, 1.5(e)]). When $p' \neq 2$, this more general expression is equal to p'^{nr} since 2.7(a) is already known to be a bijection in this case. But then (a) follows from this more general equality by specializing p'^n (viewed as indeterminate) to 2^n .

2.11. Assume that G is as in 2.4 and that $\Delta, \tilde{\Delta} \in D_G$ are conjugate under G . We show:

$$(a) \text{ if } u \in \mathfrak{S}^\Delta, u \in G_{\geq 2}^{\tilde{\Delta}} \text{ for some } u \text{ then } \Delta = \tilde{\Delta}.$$

We can assume that G is as in 1.3, 1.4 or 1.5.

Assume first that G, \mathfrak{g}, V are as in 1.3. We prove (a) by induction on $\dim V$. If $V = 0$ the result is trivial. We now assume that $V \neq 0$. Let $V_* = (V_{\geq a})$, $\tilde{V}_* = (\tilde{V}_{\geq a})$ be the objects of $\mathfrak{F}(V)$ corresponding to $\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}$. Let m be the largest integer ≥ 0 such that $\text{gr}_m V_* \neq 0$. If $m = 0$ then $V_a = V$ for $a \leq m$ and $V_a = 0$ for $a > m$. Since \tilde{V}_*, V_* are G -conjugate we have $\tilde{V}_* = V_*$. Thus we may assume that $m \geq 1$. We have $V_{\geq -m} = V$, $V_{\geq m+1} = 0$. Since \tilde{V}_*, V_* are G -conjugate we see that $\tilde{V}_{\geq -m} = V$, $\tilde{V}_{\geq m+1} = 0$. We set $x = u - 1$. From $x \in \xi(V_*)$ we deduce $V_{\geq -m+1} = \ker(x^m : V \rightarrow V)$, $V_{\geq m} = x^m V$. From $x(\tilde{V}_{\geq a}) \subset \tilde{V}_{\geq a+2}$ for all a we deduce $x^m(\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}) \subset \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1+2m} = 0$, $x^m(V) = x^m(\tilde{V}_{\geq -m}) \subset \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+2m} = \tilde{V}_{\geq m}$. Thus, $\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \subset \ker(x^m : V \rightarrow V)$ and $\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \subset V_{\geq -m+1}$; moreover, $V_{\geq m} \subset \tilde{V}_{\geq m}$. Since \tilde{V}_*, V_* are G -conjugate we have $\dim \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} = \dim V_{\geq -m+1}$, $\dim \tilde{V}_{\geq m} = \dim V_{\geq m}$ hence $\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} = V_{\geq -m+1}$, $\tilde{V}_{\geq m} = V_{\geq m}$. Let $V' = V_{\geq -m+1}/V_{\geq m} = \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}/\tilde{V}_{\geq m}$. Now V_*, \tilde{V}_* give rise in an obvious way to two elements V'_*, \tilde{V}'_* of $\mathfrak{F}(V')$ and u gives rise to a unipotent element $u' \in GL(V')$

such that $u' - 1 \in \xi(V'_*)$ and $(u' - 1)(\tilde{V}'_{\geq a}) \subset \tilde{V}'_{\geq a+2}$ for all a . Since $\dim V' < \dim V$, the induction hypothesis shows that $V'_* = \tilde{V}'_*$. It follows that $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$. This proves (a) in our case.

Assume next that $G, \mathfrak{g}, V, (\cdot)$ are as in 1.4. In this case the proof is essentially the same as in the case of 1.3. The same applies in the case where $G, \mathfrak{g}, V, Q, (\cdot)$ are as in 1.5 and $p \neq 2$.

In the remainder of this subsection we assume that $G, V, Q, (\cdot)$ are as in 1.5 and $p = 2$. We prove (a) by induction on $\dim V$. If $V = 0$ the result is trivial. We now assume that $V \neq 0$. Let $V_* = (V_{\geq a})$, $\tilde{V}_* = (\tilde{V}_{\geq a})$ be the objects of $\mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ corresponding to $\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}$. Let m be the largest integer ≥ 0 such that $\text{gr}_m V_* \neq 0$. If $m = 0$ then $V_a = V$ for $a \leq m$ and $V_a = 0$ for $a > m$. Since \tilde{V}_*, V_* are G -conjugate we have $\tilde{V}_* = V_*$. Thus we may assume that $m \geq 1$. We have $V_{\geq -m} = V$. Since \tilde{V}_*, V_* are G -conjugate we see that $\tilde{V}_{\geq -m} = V$. Let $x = u - 1$. From $x(\tilde{V}_{\geq a}) \subset \tilde{V}_{\geq a+2}$ for all a we deduce $x^m(\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}) \subset \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1+2m} = 0$; moreover if m is even we have $Qx^{m/2}(\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}) \subset Q\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1+m} = Q\tilde{V}_{\geq 1} = 0$.

Thus, $\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \subset \{v \in V; x^m v = 0\}$ and if m is even, $\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \subset \{v \in V; Qx^{m/2}v = 0\}$. Recall from 2.8(j) that $m = \max(e_x - 1, 2f_x - 2)$. If $e_x \geq 2f_x$ we have $m = e_x - 1$ and

$$\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \subset \{v \in V; x^{e_x-1}v = 0\} = H_x = V_{\geq -m+1}.$$

If $e_x < 2f_x - 1$ we have $m = 2f_x - 2$ and

$$\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \subset \{v \in V; Qx^{f_x-1}v = 0\} = H_x = V_{\geq -m+1}.$$

If $e_x = 2f_x - 1$ we have $m = 2f_x - 2 = e_x - 1$ and

$$\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \subset \{v \in V; x^{e_x-1}v = 0\} \cap \{v \in V; Qx^{f_x-1}v = 0\} = H_x = V_{\geq -m+1}.$$

Thus in any case we have $\tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \subset V_{\geq -m+1}$. It follows that $\tilde{V}_{\geq m} = V_{\geq m}$. Let $V' = V_{\geq -m+1}/V_{\geq m} = \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}/\tilde{V}_{\geq m}$. Note that Q induces naturally a nondegenerate quadratic form on V' . Also V_*, \tilde{V}_* give rise in an obvious way to two elements V'_*, \tilde{V}'_* of $\mathfrak{F}_o(V')$ and u gives rise to a unipotent element $u' \in SO(V')$ such that $(u' - 1) \in \eta'(V'_*)$ and $(u' - 1)(\tilde{V}'_{\geq a}) \subset \tilde{V}'_{\geq a+2}$ for all a . Since $\dim V' < \dim V$, the induction hypothesis shows that $V'_* = \tilde{V}'_*$. It follows that $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$. This proves (a) in our case.

APPENDIX: THE PIECES IN THE NILPOTENT VARIETY OF \mathfrak{g}

by *G. Lusztig and T. Xue*

A.1. For any $\Delta \in D_G$ let $\mathfrak{s}^\Delta \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta$ be the inverse image of Σ^Δ (see 2.3) under the obvious map $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta/\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}^\Delta$. Now \mathfrak{s}^Δ is stable under the Ad-action of $G_{\geq 0}^\Delta$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^\Delta$ and $x \mapsto x$ is a map

$$\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \sqcup_{\Delta \in D_G} \mathfrak{s}^\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Theorem A.2. *Assume that \tilde{G}^{der} (see 1.1) is a product of almost simple groups of type A, B, C, D. Then $\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a bijection.*

The general case reduces easily to the case where G is almost simple of type A, B, C or D . Moreover we can assume that G is one of the groups $GL(V), Sp(V), SO(V)$ in 1.3-1.5. The proof in these cases will be given in A.3-A.4. We expect that the theorem holds without restriction on G .

A.3. If V, G, \mathfrak{g} are as in 1.3, the proof of A.2 is exactly as in 2.5. Now assume that $V, (\cdot), G, \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s}(V)$ are as in 1.4. For any $V_* = (V_{\geq a}) \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)$ let $\tilde{\xi}'(V_*)$ be the set of all $A \in \mathfrak{s}(V)$ such that $A(V_{\geq a}) \subset V_{\geq a+2}$ for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and such that the map $\bar{A} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*)_2)$ induced by A belongs to $\text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*)_2^0)$. Using 1.4(a) we see that in our case the following statement is equivalent to A.2:

(a) *the map $\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)} \tilde{\xi}'(V_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}, A \mapsto A$, is a bijection;*

If $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ then $V_*^A \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$ (see 2.5) is self-dual and the map $\bar{A} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*)_2)$ induced by A is in $\text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*)_2^0)$ and is skew-adjoint with respect to $(\cdot)_0$ (the proofs are completely similar to those in [L2, 3.2(c)], [L2, 3.2(d)]; this implies that $\dim \text{gr}_a(V_*)$ is even when a is even, hence $V_*^A \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)$. Then $A \mapsto (A, V_*^A)$ is a well defined map $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_s(V)} \tilde{\xi}'(V_*)$ which, by [L2, 2.4] is an inverse of the map (a).

A.4. Let $V, Q, (\cdot), G = SO(V), \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(V)$ be as in 1.5. For any $V_* = (V_{\geq a}) \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ let $\eta'(V_*)$ be the set of all $A \in \mathfrak{o}(V)$ such that $A(V_{\geq a}) \subset V_{\geq a+2}$ for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and such that the map $\bar{A} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}(V_*)_2)$ induced by A belongs to $\mathfrak{o}(\text{gr}(V_*)_2^0)$ (the last set is defined in terms of the grading $(\text{gr}_a(V_*))$ and the quadratic form on $\text{gr}(V_*)$ induced by Q). Using 1.5(a) we see that in our case the following statements are equivalent to A.2:

(a) *the map $\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta'(V_*) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}, A \mapsto A$, is a bijection.*

Assume first that $p \neq 2$. If $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ then $V_*^A \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$ (see 2.5) is in $\mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ (by an argument entirely similar to that in [L3, 3.3]). Then $A \mapsto (A, V_*^A)$ is a well defined map $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta'(V_*)$ which, by [L2, 2.4] is an inverse of the map (a). It remains to prove (a) in the case where $p = 2$.

We show that the map (a) is injective. The proof is almost the same as that in 2.9. We argue by induction on $\dim V$. If $\dim V \leq 1$ the result is trivial. Assume now that $\dim V \geq 2$. Let $T \in \mathfrak{o}(V)$ and let $V_* = (V_{\geq a}), \tilde{V}_* = (\tilde{V}_{\geq a})$ be two filtrations in $\mathfrak{F}_o(V)$ such that $T \in \eta'(V_*)$ and $T \in \eta'(\tilde{V}_*)$. We must show that $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$. Let $\bar{T} \in \text{End}(\text{gr}V_*)_2, \bar{T}_1 \in \text{End}(\text{gr}\tilde{V}_*)_2$ be the endomorphisms induced by T . If $\bar{T} = 0$ or $\bar{T}_1 = 0$ then, as in the proof in 2.9 we see that $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$, as desired. Thus we can assume that $\bar{T} \neq 0, \bar{T}_1 \neq 0$. Hence $\text{gr}_a(V_*) \neq 0$ for some $a \neq 0$ and $\text{gr}_a(\tilde{V}_*) \neq 0$ for some $a \neq 0$. Let $m \geq 1$ be the largest integer such that $\text{gr}_m(V_*) \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{m} \geq 1$ be the largest integer such that $\text{gr}_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{V}_*) \neq 0$. Using 2.8(j) we see that $V_{\geq -m+1} = H_T = \tilde{V}_{\geq -\tilde{m}+1}, m = \max(e_T - 1, 2f_T - 2) = \tilde{m}$. It follows that $m = \tilde{m}$ and $V_{\geq -m+1} = \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}$. Since V_* is a Q -filtration we have $V_{\geq m} = \{x \in V; (x, V_{\geq -m+1}) = 0; Q(x) = 0\}$, see [L3, 1.4(b)]. Similarly we have $\tilde{V}_{\geq m} = \{x \in V; (x, \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}) = 0; Q(x) = 0\}$. Hence $V_{\geq m} = \tilde{V}_{\geq m}$. Let $V' = V_{\geq -m+1}/V_{\geq m} = \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1}/\tilde{V}_{\geq m}$. Note that V' has a natural nondegenerate quadratic form induced

by Q . We set $V'_{\geq a} = \text{image of } V_{\geq a} \text{ under } V_{\geq -m+1} \rightarrow V'$ (if $a \geq -m+1$), $V'_{\geq a} = 0$ (if $a < -m+1$). We set $\tilde{V}'_{\geq a} = \text{image of } \tilde{V}_{\geq a} \text{ under } \tilde{V}_{\geq -m+1} \rightarrow V'$ (if $a \geq -m+1$), $\tilde{V}'_{\geq a} = 0$ (if $a < -m+1$). Then $V'_* = (V'_{\geq a})$, $\tilde{V}'_* = (\tilde{V}'_{\geq a})$ are filtrations in $\mathfrak{F}_o(V')$. Also T induces an element $T' \in \mathfrak{o}(V')$ and we have $T' \in \eta'(V'_*)$, $T' \in \eta'(\tilde{V}'_*)$. Note also that $\dim V' < \dim V$. By the induction hypothesis we have $V'_* = \tilde{V}'_*$. It follows that $V_{\geq a} = \tilde{V}_{\geq a}$ for any $a \geq -m+1$. If $a < -m+1$ we have $V_{\geq a} = \tilde{V}_{\geq a} = V$. Hence $V_* = \tilde{V}_*$, as desired. Thus the map (a) is injective.

We show that the map (a) is surjective. The proof is somewhat similar to that in 2.10. By a standard argument we can assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of the field \mathbf{F}_2 with 2 elements. We can also assume that $\dim V \geq 2$. We choose an \mathbf{F}_2 -rational structure on V such that Q is defined and split over \mathbf{F}_2 . Then the Frobenius map relative to the \mathbf{F}_2 -structure acts naturally and compatibly on the source and target of the maps (a) and 2.7(a). We denote each of these actions by F . It is enough to show that for any $n \geq 1$ the map $(\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta'(V_*))^{F^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{F^n}$, $A \mapsto A$ is a bijection. (Here $()^{F^n}$ is the fixed point set of F^n .) Since the last map is injective (by the previous paragraph) it is enough to show that $|\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta'(V_*)^{F^n}| = |\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{F^n}|$. From 2.10 we see that $|\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta(V_*)^{F^n}| = 2^{nr}$ (r as in 2.10). According to [S] we have also $|\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{F^n}| = 2^{nr}$. It is then enough to show that

$$|\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta'(V_*)^{F^n}| = |\sqcup_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)} \eta(V_*)^{F^n}|$$

or equivalently that

$$\sum_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)^{F^n}} |\eta'(V_*)^{F^n}| = \sum_{V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)^{F^n}} |\eta(V_*)^{F^n}|.$$

It is enough to show that for any $V_* \in \mathfrak{F}_o(V)^{F^n}$ we have $|\eta'(V_*)^{F^n}| = |\eta(V_*)^{F^n}|$. From the definitions we have $|\eta(V_*)^{F^n}| = |\mathfrak{o}(\text{gr}(V_*)_2^0)| 2^{nd}$ where d is the dimension of the vector space $\{C \in \mathfrak{o}(V); C(V_{\geq a}) \subset V_{\geq a+3} \quad \forall a\}$. From [L3, 1.5(c),(d)] we see that $|\eta(V_*)^{F^n}| = |\mathfrak{o}(\text{gr}(V_*)_2^0)| 2^{nd}$ where d is as above. We see that $|\eta'(V_*)^{F^n}| = |\eta(V_*)^{F^n}|$. This proves the surjectivity of the map (a) and completes the proof of A.2.

A.5. Assume that G is as in 2.4 and that $\Delta, \tilde{\Delta} \in D_G$ are conjugate under G . The following statement is a strengthening of the statement that $\Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in A.2 is injective:

(a) *If $x \in \mathfrak{s}^{\Delta}$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}^{\tilde{\Delta}}$ for some x then $\Delta = \tilde{\Delta}$.*

The proof is essentially the same as that of 2.11(a).

A.6. Let G be as in A.2. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathfrak{A}_G$ (see 2.3). For any $\Delta \in D_G$ and $\omega \in G \setminus Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ (see 2.3) let $\mathfrak{s}_{\omega}^{\Delta}$ be the inverse image of ω_{Δ} (see 2.3) under the map $\mathfrak{s}^{\Delta} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\Delta}$ in A.1; we have a partition $\mathfrak{s}^{\Delta} = \sqcup_{\omega \in G \setminus Z_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathfrak{s}_{\omega}^{\Delta}$. We set

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathcal{O}} = \Psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(\sqcup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{s}^{\Delta}),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathcal{O}, \omega} = \Psi_G(\sqcup_{\Delta \in \mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{s}_{\omega}^{\Delta}), \quad (\omega \in G \setminus Z_{\mathcal{O}}).$$

The subsets $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ are called the *pieces* of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. They form a partition of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ into subsets (which are unions of G -orbits) indexed by $\mathfrak{A}_G = \mathfrak{A}_{G'}$. The subsets $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}, \omega}^{\mathcal{O}}$ are called the *subpieces* of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. They are in bijection with the subpieces of G .

When ω varies in $G \backslash Z_{\mathcal{O}}$ the subpieces $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g},\omega}^{\mathcal{O}}$ form a partition of a piece $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ into subsets (which are unions of G -orbits); the number of these subsets is a power of 2.

In the remainder of this subsection we assume that 2.1(c) holds. Then for each $\mathcal{O} \in \mathfrak{A}_G$ and $n \geq 1$, the piece $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ is F -stable and from the definitions we have $|\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathcal{O}}|^{F^n} = |\mathcal{O}^{F^n}| \cdot |(\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}^{\Delta})^{F^n}| \cdot |(\Sigma^{\Delta})^{F^n}|$ where Δ is any point of \mathcal{O}^F . (Note that \mathcal{O} is F -stable by 2.1.) We have $|(\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 3}^{\Delta})^{F^n}| = |G_{\geq 3}^{\Delta})^{F^n}|$. Using this and 2.4(a) we see that $|\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathcal{O}}|^{F^n} = |(\mathcal{U}_G^{\mathcal{O}})^{F^n}|$. In particular, $|\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathcal{O}}|^{F^n}$ is a polynomial in p^n with integer coefficients independent of p, n .

REFERENCES

- [K] B.Kostant, *The principal three dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple group*, Amer.J. Math. **81** (1959), 973-1032.
- [L1] G.Lusztig, *Notes on unipotent classes*, Asian J. Math. **1** (1997), 194-207.
- [L2] G.Lusztig, *Unipotent elements in small characteristic*, Transform.Groups. **10** (2005), 449-487.
- [L3] G.Lusztig, *Unipotent elements in small characteristic,II*, Transform.Groups. **13** (2008), 773-797.
- [S] T.A.Springer, *The Steinberg function of a finite Lie algebra*, Invent.Math. **58** (1980), 211-216.
- [St] R.Steinberg, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups*, Memoirs Amer.Math.Soc. **80** (1968). ■

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139