

Fast approximation of solutions of SDE's with oblique reflection on an orthant

Krzysztof T. Czarkowski (Toruń)*

November 6, 2018

Abstract

We consider the discrete "fast" penalization scheme for SDE's driven by general semimartingale on orthant \mathbb{R}_+^d with oblique reflection.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60 H 20; Secondary 60 H 99.

1 Introduction

Suppose we have a d -dimensional semimartingale $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^d)^T$, a Lipschitz continuous function $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, and a nonnegative $d \times d$ matrix Q with zeros on the diagonal and spectral radius $\rho(Q)$ strictly less than 1. Consider a d -dimension stochastic differential equation (SDE) on orthant \mathbb{R}_+^d with oblique reflection:

$$(1.1) \quad X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}) dZ_s + (1 - Q^T)K_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Equation of this type (1.1) was introduced by Harrison and Reiman [9]. Later it was discussed by Dupis and Ishi [5]. Czarkowski and Słomiński [3] in their paper introduced a numerical scheme for approximation of solution of SDE (1.1). In this paper we will define a new numerical scheme, see: Section 3 (3.1). For this scheme (or it's equivalent form (3.3)), in Section 5, we will prove, that:

$$E \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_s|^2 = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^p\right)$$

Appendix A includes a description of some properties of Π_Q projection on the orthant \mathbb{R}_+^d .

Throughout the paper we assume that $\rho_t^n = \max\{i/n; i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, i/n \leq t\}$ and $Z_t^{(n)}$ is a discretization of Z , ie. $Z_t^{(n)} = Z_{\rho_t^n}; \rightarrow_{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes convergence

*e-mai: kczark@mat.umk.pl

in probability; $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes space of "cadlag" function $y : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$; $\Delta y_t = y_t - y_{t-}$ and $\omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0, t])$ denotes modulus of continuity of y on $[0, t]$.

Let us define function: $[z]^+ = \max\{z, 0\}$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and by analogy function for $z = (z^1, \dots, z^d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $[z]^+ = \left([z^1]^+, \dots, [z^d]^+\right)^T$. We will use norm $\|Q\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \sum_{j=1}^d q_{ij}$.

2 The Skorokhod problem on an orthant

Let Q be a nonnegative matrix with zeros on the diagonal and spectral radius $\rho(Q) < 1$ and let $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$. Following Harrison and Reiman [9] a pair $(x, k) \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is called a solution to the Skorokhod problem

$$(2.1) \quad x_t = y_t + (I - Q^T)k_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

on \mathbb{R}_+^d associated with y , if (2.1) is satisfied and

$$x_t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$k^j \text{ is nondecreasing, } k_0^j = 0 \text{ and } \int_0^t x_s^j dk_s^j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Remark 1 1. For every $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ exist a unique solution (x_t, k_t) of the Skorokhod problem.

2. If additionally $\|Q\| < 1$ then k_t satisfy equation

$$(2.2) \quad k_t = F(k)_t,$$

where

$$F(u)_t = \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T u_s - y_s]^+.$$

In this paper, like in [9] and [3], we make a technical assumption that:

$$(2.3) \quad \|Q\| < 1.$$

3 Fast approximation scheme

Let (x, k) be a solution to the Skorokhod problem for $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, with $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the approximations (x^n, k^n) of (x, k) :

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} k_0^n &= 0, \quad x_0^n = y_0, \\ k_{(i+1)/n}^n &= [Q^T k_{i/n}^n - y_{(i+1)/n}]^+ \vee k_{i/n}^n, \\ x_{(i+1)/n}^n &= y_{(i+1)/n} + (I - Q^T)k_{(i+1)/n}^n, \\ k_t^n &= k_{i/n}^n, \quad x_t^n = x_{i/n}^n, \text{ for } t \in [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}). \end{cases}$$

Remark 2 We can write another, but equivalent form of k^n , x^n .

Note that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} k_{(i+1)/n}^n &= [(Q^T - I)k_i^n - y_{(i+1)/n}]^+ + k_{i/n}^n \\ &= [-(x_{i/n}^n + \Delta y_{(i+1)/n})]^+ + k_{i/n}^n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad x_{(i+1)/n}^n = x_{i/n}^n + \Delta y_{(i+1)/n} + (I - Q^T)[-x_{i/n}^n - \Delta y_{(i+1)/n}]^+,$$

where $\Delta y_{(i+1)/n} = y_{(i+1)/n} - y_{i/n}$.

Formulas (3.1) and (3.3) are equivalent, but (3.3) is simpler to calculate.

Remark 3 We can see that k_t^n satisfy equation:

$$(3.4) \quad k_t^n = F^n(k^{n,(n-)}),_t,$$

where $F^n(u)_t = \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T u_s - y_s^{(n)}]^+$ and $u_t^{(n-)} = u_{(i-1)/n}$, $t \in [i/n, (i+1)/n)$.

The next two theorems describe some properties of scheme (3.1).

Theorem 4 There exist a constant $\mathcal{C} > 0$ depending only on Q such that for every $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{s \leq t} |x_s^n - x_s| + \sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^n - k_s| \leq \mathcal{C} \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0, t]).$$

Proof. Since $\sup_{s \leq t} |x_s^n - x_s| < \|Q\| \sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^n - k_s| + \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0, t])$ we estimate only first term i.e. $\sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^n - k_s|$.

We assumed that (2.3) is satisfied, that means that $\|Q\| < 1$.

Firstly we proof (3.5). From Remarks 1 and 3:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^n - k_s| = \sup_{s \leq t} |F^n(k^{n,(n-)}),_s - F(k)_s| \\ & \leq \sup_{s \leq t} |F^n(k^{n,(n-)}),_s - F^n(k^n),_s| + \sup_{s \leq t} |F^n(k^n),_s - F(k^n),_s| \\ & \quad + \sup_{s \leq t} |F(k^n),_s - F(k)_s| \\ & = I_t^1 + I_t^2 + I_t^3 \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate every part separately:

$$\begin{aligned} I_t^1 &= \sup_{s \leq t} |F^n(k^{n,(n-)}),_s - F^n(k^n),_s| \leq \|Q\| \max_{\frac{i}{n} \leq t} |k_{(i-1)/n}^n - k_{i/n}^n| \\ &\leq \|Q\|^2 \max_{\frac{i}{n} \leq t} |k_{(i-2)/n}^n - k_{(i-1)/n}^n| + \|Q\| \max_{\frac{i}{n} \leq t} |y_{(i-1)/n} - y_{i/n}| \\ &\leq \frac{\|Q\|}{1 - \|Q\|} \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0, t]), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_t^2 &= \sup_{s \leq t} |F^n(k^n)_s - F(k^n)_s| \\
&\leq \sup_{s \leq t} |[Q^T k_s^n - y_s^{(n)}]^+ - [Q^T k_s^n - y_s]^+| \\
&\leq \sup_{s \leq t} |y_s^{(n)} - y_s| = \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0, t]), \\
I_t^3 &= \sup_{s \leq t} |F(k^n)_s - F(k)_s| \leq \|Q\| \sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^n - k_s|
\end{aligned}$$

And now we have:

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^n - k_s| \leq \frac{\|Q\|}{1 - \|Q\|} \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0, t]) + \omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(y, [0, t]) + \|Q\| \sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^n - k_s|$$

□

Corollary 5 For every $y \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ we have

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |x_s^n - x_s| + \sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^n - k_s| \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 6 There exist a constant $\mathcal{C} > 0$ depending only on Q such that for every $y^1, y^2 \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0^1, y_0^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$:

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^{1,n} - k_s^{2,n}| + \sup_{s \leq t} |x_s^{1,n} - x_s^{2,n}| \leq \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} |y_s^1 - y_s^2|.$$

Proof. Like in previous theorem we only need to proof first term of theorem. The second we obtain from (3.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^{1,n} - k_s^{2,n}| &= \sup_{s \leq t} |F^n(k^{1,n,(n-)}_s) - F^n(k^{2,n,(n-)}_s)| \\
&= \sup_{s \leq t} |[Q^T k_s^{1,n,(n-)} - y_s^{1,(n)}]^+ - [Q^T k_s^{2,n,(n-)} - y_s^{2,(n)}]^+| \\
&\leq \|Q^T\| \max_{\frac{i}{n} \leq t} |k_{(i-1)/n}^{1,n} - k_{(i-1)/n}^{2,n}| + \max_{\frac{i}{n} \leq t} |y_{i/n}^1 - y_{i/n}^2| \\
&\leq \|Q^T\| \sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^{1,n} - k_s^{2,n}| + \sup_{s \leq t} |y_s^1 - y_s^2|
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^{1,n} - k_s^{2,n}| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|Q^T\|} \sup_{s \leq t} |y_s^1 - y_s^2|.$$

□

Easy corollary:

Corollary 7 There exists a constant $\mathcal{C} > 0$ such that for every $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$:

$$k_t^n \leq \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} |y_s| < +\infty.$$

From previous Theorems we can obtain convergent for continuous functions. In the next lemma and theorem we formalize this observation.

Lemma 8 Let $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ has the form

$$(3.6) \quad y_t = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} y_{t_i} \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t),$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots$, then:

$$(3.7) \quad x_t^n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} x_t$$

for $t \neq t_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where (x_t, k_t) is a solution of the Skorokhod Problem for y_t .

Proof. We proof lemma "by induction". It's well known's that, if y is of the form (3.6) then:

$$x_t = \begin{cases} y_0; & t \in [0, t_1) \\ \Pi_Q(x_{t_{i-1}} + \Delta y_{t_i}); & t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}), i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

1. So for $t \in [0, t_1)$ thesis is satisfy by definition.
2. By scheme (3.3):

$$x_{(i+1)/n}^n = \begin{cases} x_{i/n}^n + \Delta y_{(i+1)/n} + (I - Q^T) [-x_{i/n}^n - \Delta y_{(i+1)/n}]^+, & \text{for } i \text{ such that } \frac{i}{n} \leq t_i < \frac{i+1}{n} \\ x_{i/n}^n + (I - Q^T)[-x_{i/n}^n]^+, & \text{for } i \text{ such that } t_i < \frac{i}{n} < t_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

Between jumps in points t_i sequence $x_i^n = x_{i/n}^n$ has form like z_i (see from Appendix A (5.2)) starting from $z_0 = (x_{t_i} + \Delta y_{t_i})$.

Then for $n \rightarrow +\infty$ from Corollary 23: $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_t^n = \Pi_Q(x_{t_i} + \Delta y_{t_i})$ for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$.

□

In the next example we show that (3.7) can't be streightend to the covergent in the Skorokhod topology J_1 .

Example 9 Let $d = 2$,

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ and } y_t = \begin{cases} (0, 0)^T; & t < 1 \\ (-1, -1)^T; & t \geq 1 \end{cases} .$$

The solution of Skorokhod problem are functions:

$$x_t = (0, 0)^T \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } k_t = \begin{cases} (0, 0)^T; & t < 1 \\ (2, 2)^T; & t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now, we use scheme (3.1) for this function and try to find the limit of (x^n, k^n) when n tends to infinity.

When we use scheme (3.1) we obtain:

$$k_t^n = \begin{cases} (0, 0)^T; & t < 1 \\ (1, 1)^T; & t \in [1, 1 + \frac{1}{n}) \\ (2 - \frac{1}{2^i}, 2 - \frac{1}{2^i})^T; & t \in [1 + \frac{i}{n}, 1 + \frac{i+1}{n}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

and

$$x_t^n = \begin{cases} (0, 0)^T; & t < 1 \\ (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})^T; & t \in [1, 1 + \frac{1}{n}) \\ (-\frac{1}{2^{i+1}}, -\frac{1}{2^{i+1}})^T; & t \in [1 + \frac{i}{n}, 1 + \frac{i+1}{n}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}.$$

Since $\sup_{t \leq 2} |x_t^n| = \frac{1}{2}$ the solution $x^n \not\rightarrow x$ in J_1 .

Corollary 10 *If y satisfy assumption of Lemma 8, then:*

$$(3.8) \quad (x^n, k^n) \longrightarrow (x, k) \text{ in } (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S)$$

Proof. From Jakubowski [11] Lemma 2.14. □

Theorem 11 *If $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, then*

$$(3.9) \quad (x^n, k^n) \longrightarrow (x, k) \text{ in } (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S)$$

Proof. For all $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $\epsilon > 0$ exist $y^\epsilon \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying assumption of Lemma 8 such that $\sup_{s \leq t} |y_s^\epsilon - y_s| \leq \epsilon$.

Let pair (x^ϵ, k^ϵ) be a solution of the Skorokhod problem for y^ϵ . Then from Lemma 8:

$$(x^{\epsilon, n}, k^{\epsilon, n}) \longrightarrow (x^\epsilon, k^\epsilon) \text{ in } (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S)$$

to show thesis we need that:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_n \sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^{\epsilon, n} - k_s^n| = 0$$

From Theorem 6 we have:

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |k_s^{\epsilon, n} - k_s^n| \leq C \sup_{s \leq t} |y_s^{\epsilon(n)} - y_s^{(n)}| \leq C\epsilon$$

□

Remark 12 *If $y \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, then $x^n \longrightarrow x$ for continuity point of y and $\{x^n\}$ is relatively S -compact.*

4 Fast approximation scheme for SDE

Let Z be a $((\mathcal{F}_t))$ -adapted semimartingale. Let us recall that pair (X, K) of $((\mathcal{F}_t))$ -adapted processes is called *strong solution* of (1.1) if (X, K) is a solution to the Skorokhod problem associated with the semimartingale:

$$(4.1) \quad Y_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}) dZ_s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Remark 13 *If σ is Lipschitz continuous, then there exist a unique strong solution to the SDE (1.1).*

Using formulas (3.1) we can define "fast" scheme for SDE:

$$\begin{cases} X_0^n = X_0, & K_0^n = 0, \\ K_{(i+1)/n}^n = [Q^T K_{i/n}^n - (X_{i/n}^n + \sigma(X_{i/n}^n)(Z_{(i+1)/n} - Z_{i/n}))^+ \vee K_{i/n}^n, \\ x_{(i+1)/n}^n = X_{i/n}^n + \sigma(X_{i/n}^n)(Z_{(i+1)/n} - Z_{i/n}) + (1 - Q^T)K_{(i+1)/n}^n, \\ (X_t^n, K_t^n) = (X_{i/n}^n, K_{i/n}^n) \quad t \in [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 14 *Assume there exist stopping times $\{\tau_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that: $0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \dots$ and $\{Z_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. If Z is semimartingale such*

$$Z_t = Z_i$$

for $t \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1})$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ then

$$(4.2) \quad X_t^n \longrightarrow X_t \quad \text{for } t \neq t_i.$$

Proof. We can write formula for X_t :

$$X_t = \begin{cases} X_0 : & t \in [0, \tau_1) \\ \Pi_Q(X_{\tau_{i-1}} + \sigma(X_{\tau_{i-1}})\Delta Z_{\tau_i}) : & t \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

The rest of proof is the same like in Lemma 8. We only need to change $\Delta y_{(i+1)/n}^{(n)}$ by $\sigma(X_{\tau_{i-1}})\Delta Z_{\tau_i}$. \square

Theorem 15 *Assume that σ is Lipschitz continuous, then*

$$(4.3) \quad (X^n, K^n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} (X, K) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S).$$

Proof.

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists Z^\epsilon \sup_{s \leq t} |Z_s - Z_s^\epsilon| \leq \epsilon$$

From Lemma 14

$$(X^{\epsilon n}, K^{\epsilon n}) \longrightarrow (X^\epsilon, K^\epsilon) \quad \text{in } (\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{2d}), S)$$

To proof we need:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_n P(\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^{\epsilon n} - X_s^n| > \eta) = 0 \quad \forall \eta > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^{\epsilon n} - X_s^n| &\leq \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} |Y_s^{\epsilon n} - Y_s^n| \\ &= \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^n) dZ_u^{(n)} - \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_u^{\epsilon, (n)} \right| \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^n) dZ_u^{(n)} - \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_u^{(n)} \right| \\ &\quad + \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_u^{(n)} - \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_u^{\epsilon, (n)} \right| \\ &= \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s (\sigma(X_{u-}^n) - \sigma(X_{u-}^{\epsilon n})) dZ_u^{(n)} \right| + \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} |H_s^{\epsilon, n}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_t^{\epsilon, n} &= \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_s^{(n)} - \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_s^{\epsilon, (n)} \\ &= \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}^{\epsilon n}) d(Z_s^{(n)} - Z_s^{\epsilon, (n)}) \\ &= \sigma(X_t^{\epsilon n}) (Z_t^{(n)} - Z_t^{\epsilon, (n)}) - \int_0^t (Z_{s-}^{(n)} - Z_{s-}^{\epsilon, (n)}) d\sigma(X_s^{\epsilon n}) \\ &\quad - [\sigma(X_t^{\epsilon n}), (Z_t^{(n)} - Z_t^{\epsilon, (n)})] \\ [\sigma(X_t^{\epsilon n}), (Z_t^{(n)} - Z_t^{\epsilon, (n)})] &\leq ([\sigma(X_t^{\epsilon n})])^{1/2} [(Z_t^{(n)} - Z_t^{\epsilon, (n)})]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$X_t^{\epsilon n} = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_s^{\epsilon, n} + (1 - Q^T) K_t^{\epsilon n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^{\epsilon n}| &\leq |X_0| + \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_u^{\epsilon, n} \right| + (1 - Q^T) \sup_{s \leq t} |K_s^{\epsilon n}| \\ &\leq |X_0| + 2\mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_u^{\epsilon, n} \right| \end{aligned}$$

From Gronwall lemma we obtain, that $\{\sup |X^{\epsilon n}|\}$ is bounded for σ satisfying Lipschitz condition. So, if $\{\sigma(|X^{\epsilon n}|\})$ is bounded in probability, then

$$\int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}^{\epsilon n}) dZ_s^{\epsilon, n}$$

satisfies *UT* condition.

Because $\{K^{\epsilon n}\}$ is bounded in probability, this means that it also satisfies *UT*. $\{X^{\epsilon n}\}$ satisfies *UT* as a sum of two processes that satisfy *UT*. So, $\sigma(X^{\epsilon n})$ satisfies *UT* for $\sigma \in C^2$. \square

5 Fast approximation scheme for diffusion

Consider SDE with reflection on \mathbb{R}_+^d of the form

$$(5.1) \quad X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s + (1 - Q^T) K_t,$$

where W is d -dimension Wiener process, and $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 16 *If b and σ are Lipschitz continuous then there exists a unique strong solution of the SDE (5.1).*

Let us define:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_0^n = X_0, \quad K_0^n = 0, \\ K_{(i+1)/n}^n = [Q^T K_{i/n}^n - (X_{i/n}^n + b(X_{i/n}^n) \frac{1}{n} + \sigma(X_{i/n}^n)(W_{(i+1)/n} - W_{i/n}))^+ \vee K_{i/n}^n, \\ x_{(i+1)/n}^n = X_{i/n}^n + b(X_{i/n}^n) \frac{1}{n} + \sigma(X_{i/n}^n)(W_{(i+1)/n} - W_{i/n}) + (1 - Q^T) K_{(i+1)/n}^n, \\ (X_t^n, K_t^n) = (X_{i/n}^n, K_{i/n}^n) \quad t \in [\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}). \end{array} \right.$$

We can see that X^n satisfies equation:

$$(5.2) \quad X_t^n = X_0^n + \int_0^t b(X_{s-}^n) d\rho_s^n + \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}^n) dW_s^{(n)} + (1 - Q^T) K_t^n.$$

Theorem 17

$$(5.3) \quad E \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_s|^{2p} = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^p\right)$$

Proof.

Lemma 18

$$(5.4) \quad \sup_n E \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n|^{2p} < +\infty$$

Proof.

$$(5.5) \quad \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_0^n| \leq \mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^n) dW_u^{(n)} + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}^n) d\rho_u^n \right|$$

From this we derive:

$$\sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_0^n|^{2p} \leq 2\mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^n) dW_u^{(n)} \right|^{2p} + 2\mathcal{C} \sup_{s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s b(X_{u-}^n) d\rho_u^n \right|^{2p}$$

Now because b and σ are Lipschitz we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_0^n|^{2p} \\
& \leq 2\mathcal{C}E \left(\int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}^n) dW_s^{(n)} \right)^{2p} + 2\mathcal{C}E \left(\int_0^t |b(X_s^n)| ds \right)^{2p} \\
& \leq 2\mathcal{C}E \int_0^t \sigma^{2p}(X_{s-}^n) d\rho_s^n + 2\mathcal{C}E \int_0^t b^{2p}(X_{s-}^n) d\rho_s^n \\
& \leq \mathcal{C}E \int_0^t ((X_{s-}^n)^{2p} + 1) d\rho_s^n \\
& \leq \mathcal{C} \left(1 + \int_0^t E \sup_{u \leq s} |X_u^n - X_0^n|^{2p} ds \right)
\end{aligned}$$

From Gronwall lemma we have the thesis. \square

$$X_t^n - X_t = \int_0^t (\sigma(X_{s-}^n) - \sigma(X_{s-})) dW_s^{(n)} + \int_0^t (b(X_{s-}^n) - b(X_{s-})) d\rho_s^n + (1 - Q^T)(K_t^n - K_t)$$

because b and σ are Lipschitz then:

$$E \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_s|^{2p} \leq 2\mathcal{C} \left(E \sup_{s \leq t} |K_s^n - K_s|^{2p} + \int_0^t E \sup_{u \leq s} |X_u^n - X_u|^{2p} ds \right)$$

From Gronwall Lemma:

$$E \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n - X_s|^{2p} \leq 2\mathcal{C} E \sup_{s \leq t} |K_s^n - K_s|^{2p}$$

In the same way we can proof that

$$E \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s^n|^2 \leq \mathcal{C} E \sup_{s \leq t} |K_s^n|^2$$

Because

$$K_t^n = \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T K_s^{n,(n-)} - (X_0^n + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}^n) d\rho_u^n + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^n) dW_u^{(n)})]^+$$

And

$$K_t = \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T K_s - (X_0^n + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}) d\rho_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}) dW_u)]^+$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_t^n - K_t = \\
& \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T K_s^{n,(n-)} - (X_0^n + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}^n) d\rho_u^n + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^n) dW_u^{(n)})]^+
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T K_s^n - (X_0^n + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}^n) d\rho_u^n + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^n) dW_u^{(n)})]^+ \\
& + \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T K_s^n - (X_0^n + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}^n) d\rho_u^n + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}^n) dW_u^{(n)})]^+ \\
& - \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T K_s^n - (X_0 + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}) d\rho_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}) dW_u)]^+ \\
& + \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T K_s^n - (X_0 + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}) d\rho_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}) dW_u)]^+ \\
& - \sup_{s \leq t} [Q^T K_s - (X_0 + \int_0^s b(X_{u-}) d\rho_u + \int_0^s \sigma(X_{u-}) dW_u)]^+ \\
& = I_t^1 + I_t^2 + I_t^3
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_t^1 & \leq \sup_{s \leq t} |K_s^{n,(n-)} - K_s^n| \\
& \leq \sup_{s \leq t} |\sigma(X_{s-}^n)(W_s - W_s^{(n)}) + b(X_{s-}^n)(s - \rho_s^n)| \\
I_t^2 & \leq \sup_{u \leq s} \left| \int_0^s (b(X_{u-}^n) - b(X_{u-})) d\rho_u^n + \int_0^s ((\sigma(X_{u-}^n) - (\sigma(X_{u-}))) dW_u^{(n)} \right| \\
I_t^3 & \leq \sup_{s \leq t} |K_s^n - K_s|
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{s \leq t} |K_s - K_s^n|^{2p} \\
& \leq C(E \sup_{s \leq t} |W_s - W_s^{(n)}|^{2p} + \int_0^s E \sup_{u \leq s} |K_u - K_u^n|^{2p} du) \\
& \leq CE(\omega_{\frac{1}{n}}(W, [0, t]))^{2p} \\
& = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^p\right)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix A: Π_Q projection

Finding the projection π on the domain D is the standard technique to obtain solution of the Skorokhod Problem. In [3] we define projection on the orthant \mathbb{R}_+^d :

Remark 19 $\Pi_Q : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^d$ is defined by formula:

$$\Pi_Q(z) = z + (I - Q^T)\bar{r},$$

where \bar{r} satisfy equation:

$$\bar{r} = [Q^T \bar{r} - z]^+.$$

In that definition, we have to find "the fixed point" \bar{r} . Typically, we use approximation sequence of \bar{r} and \bar{z} :

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{r}_0 = 0, \\ \bar{z}_0 = z, \\ \bar{r}_{n+1} = [Q^T \bar{r}_n - z]^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bar{z}_{n+1} = z + (I - Q^T) \bar{r}_{n+1} \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

It's easy to see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{r}_n = \bar{r}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{z}_n = \Pi_Q(z).$$

Using simple calculation, we can obtain equivalent formula for \bar{r}_{n+1} :

Remark 20

$$\bar{r}_{n+1} = [Q^T \bar{r}_n - z]^+ = [-(z + (I - Q^T) \bar{r}_n) + \bar{r}_n]^+ = [\bar{z}_n + \bar{r}_n]^+$$

Now we define another sequence starting from the same point:

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} z_0 = z, \\ z_{n+1} = z_n + (I - Q^T)[-z_n]^+ \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Once again simple calculation lead to obtain an equivalent formula:

Remark 21

$$z_{n+1} = z_n + (I - Q^T)[-z_n]^+ = z + (I - Q^T) \sum_{i=0}^n [-z_i]^+$$

Sequences z_n and \bar{z}_n look different, but in fact they are only different representation of the same sequence.

Lemma 22

$$(5.3) \quad \forall_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \forall_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \quad z_n = \bar{z}_n$$

Proof. The proof will be done using induction. For $n = 0$ we have:

$$z_0 = z = \bar{z}_0.$$

Now assume that $z_i = \bar{z}_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Then from (5.1) and Remark 20 we have:

$$(5.4) \quad \bar{r}_i = \bar{r}_{i-1} + [-\bar{z}_{i-1}]^+$$

for $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Now we check:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{z}_{n+1} - z_{n+1} &= z + (I - Q^T)\bar{r}_{n+1} - z_n + (I - Q^T)[-z_n]^+ \\
&= \bar{z}_n + (I - Q^T)([-\bar{z}_n + \bar{r}_n]^+ - \bar{r}_n) - z_n + (I - Q^T)[-z_n]^+ \\
&= (\bar{z}_n - z_n) + (I - Q^T)([-\bar{z}_n + \bar{r}_n]^+ - \bar{r}_n - [-z_n]^+) \\
&= (I - Q^T)([-\bar{z}_n + \bar{r}_n]^+ - \bar{r}_n - [-\bar{z}_n]^+)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define:

$$(5.5) \quad R_n^j = [-\bar{z}_n^j + \bar{r}_n^j]^+ - \bar{r}_n^j - [-\bar{z}_n^j]^+, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

It is easy to check that if $\bar{z}_n^j \leq 0$ then $R_n^j = 0$. To finish the proof we need to check whether: $R_n^j = 0$ when $\bar{z}_n^j > 0$.

Without the loss of generality, we can assume that $j = 1$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{z}_n^1 &= z_n^1 \\
&= z_{n-1}^1 + [-z_{n-1}^1]^+ - q_{21}[-z_{n-1}^2]^+ + \dots - q_{d1}[-z_{n-1}^d]^+ \\
&\leq z_{n-1}^1 + [-z_{n-1}^1]^+ \\
&= \bar{z}_{n-1}^1 + [-\bar{z}_{n-1}^1]^+
\end{aligned}$$

So, if $\bar{z}_n^1 > 0$ then $\bar{z}_{n-1}^1 > 0$. In the same way we can proof that $\bar{z}_i^1 > 0$ for $i = n-1, \dots, 0$. If $\bar{z}_0^1 > 0$ then $\bar{r}_1^1 = 0$ and by (5.4) we have that $\bar{r}_n^1 = 0$. So $R_n^1 = 0$. \square

Corollary 23

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} z_n = \Pi_Q(z).$$

References

- [1] R.J. Chitashvili and N.L. Lazrieva, *Strong solutions of stochastic differential equations with boundary conditions*, Stochastics 5, (1981), 225–309.
- [2] H. Chen and A. Mandelbaum, *Stochastic discrete flow networks: diffusion approximations and bottlenecks*, Ann. Probab. **19**, (1991), 1463–1519.
- [3] K. Czarkowski and L. Słomiński, *Approximation of solutions of SDE's with oblique reflection on an orthant*, Probability and Mathematical Statistic, Vol 22, Fasc. 1 (2002), 29–49
- [4] C. Dellacherie and P.A. Meyer, *Probabilités et Potentiel*, Hermann, Paris 1980.

- [5] P. Dupuis and H. Ishii, *On Lipschitz continuity of the solution mapping to the Skorokhod problem, with applications*, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. **35** (1991), 31–62.
- [6] P. Dupuis and H. Ishi, *SDEs with oblique reflection on nonsmooth domains*, Ann. Probab., **21**, (1993), 554–580.
- [7] P. Dupuis and K. Ramanan, *Convex duality and the Skorokhod problem. I*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **115**, (1999), 153–197.
- [8] P. Dupuis and K. Ramanan, *Convex duality and the Skorokhod problem. II*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **115**, (1999), 197–237.
- [9] J. M. Harrison and M. I. Reiman, *Reflected Brownian motion on an orthant*, Ann. Probab., **9**, (1981), 302–308.
- [10] J.M. Harrison and R.J. Williams, *A multiclass closed queueing network with unconventional heavy traffic behavior*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **6**, (1996), 1–47.
- [11] A. Jakubowski, *A non-Skorohod topology on the Skorohod space*, EJP **2**, (1997), 1-21.
- [12] A. Jakubowski, J. Mémin and G. Pages, *Convergence en loi des suites d'intégrales stochastiques sur l'espace D^1 de Skorokhod*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **81** (1989), 111–137.
- [13] O. Kella, *Stability and nonproduct form of stochastic fluid networks with Lévy inputs*, Ann. Appl. Probab., **6**, (1996), 186–199.
- [14] T.G. Kurtz and P. Protter, *Weak limit theorems for stochastic integrals and stochastic differential equations*, Ann. Probab., **19**, (1991), 1035–1070.
- [15] T.G. Kurtz and P. Protter, *Wong-Zakai Corrections, Random Evolutions, and Simulation Schemes for SDE's*, Proc. Conference in Honor Moshe Zakai 65th Birthday, Haifa, Stochastic Analysis (1991), 331–346.
- [16] D. Lépingle, *Un schéma d'Euler pour équations différentielles stochastiques réfléchies*, C. R. A. Sc. Paris **316** (1993), 601–605.
- [17] Y. Liu, *Numerical approaches to stochastic differential equations with boundary conditions*, Thesis, Purdue University, 1993.
- [18] J. Mémin and L. Ślomiński, *Condition UT et stabilité en loi des solutions d'équations différentielles stochastiques*, Sémin. de Probab. XXV, Lect. Notes in Math. 1485 Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1991, 162–177.

- [19] M. Métivier, J. Pellaumail, *Une formule de majoration pour martingales*, C. R. A. Sc. Paris, Sér. A, **285**, (1977), 685–688.
- [20] R. Pettersson, *Approximations for stochastic differential equation with reflecting convex boundaries*, Stochastic Process. Appl., **59**, (1995), 295–308.
- [21] R. Pettersson, *Penalization schemes for reflecting stochastic differential equations*, Bernoulli, **3(4)**, (1997), 403–414.
- [22] P. Protter, *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin 1990.
- [23] M.A. Shashiashvili, *On the variation of the difference of singular components in the Skorokhod problem and on stochastic differential systems in a half-space*, Stochastics 24 (1988), 151–169.
- [24] L. Słomiński, *Stability of strong solutions of stochastic differential equations* Stochastics Process. Appl., **31**, (1989), 173–202.
- [25] L. Słomiński, *On approximation of solutions of multidimensional SDE's with reflecting boundary conditions*, Stochastics Process. Appl., **50**, (1994), 197–219.
- [26] L. Słomiński, *Stability of stochastic differential equations driven by general semimartingales*, Diss. Math., **CCCXLIX**, (1996), 1–113.
- [27] L. Słomiński, *Euler's approximations of solutions of SDE's with reflecting boundary*, Stochastics Process. Appl., **94**, (2001), 317–337.
- [28] C. Stricker, *Loi de semimartingales et criteres de compacité*, Sémin. de Probab. XIX, Lect. Notes in Math. 1123 Springer–Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1985.
- [29] R.J. Williams and S.R.S Varadhan, *Brownian motion in a wedge with oblique reflection*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12**, (1985), 147–225.
- [30] R.J. Williams, *Semimartingale reflecting Brownian motions in the orthant*, In Stochastic Networks (F.P. Kelly and R.J. Williams, eds), Springer, New York, (1995).
- [31] K. Yamada, *Diffusion approximation for open state-dependent queueing networks in the heavy traffic situation*, Ann. Appl. Probab., **5**, (1995), 958–982.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Nicholas Copernicus University
ul. Chopina 12/18,
87-100 Toruń,
Poland