

# On the $\partial$ - and $\bar{\partial}$ -Operators of a Generalized Complex Structure \*

ZHUO CHEN

Peking University, Department of Mathematics  
Beijing 100871, China.  
chenzhuott@gmail.com

## Abstract

In this note, we prove that the  $\partial$ - and  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators introduced by Gualtieri for a generalized complex structure coincide with the  $\check{d}_*$ - and  $\check{\partial}$ -operators introduced by Alekseev-Xu for Evens-Lu-Weinstein modules of a Lie bialgebroid.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Courant algebroids and Lie bialgebroids</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Clifford modules and Dirac generating operators</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Generalized complex geometry</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>The main theorem</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Modular cocycles of Lie algebroids</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Proof of the main theorem</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Some corollaries</b>	<b>13</b>

## 1 Introduction

Generalized complex structures [4, 8, 10] have been extensively studied recently due to their close connection with mirror symmetry. They include both symplectic and complex structures as extreme cases. Gualtieri defined the  $\partial$ - and  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators for any twisted generalized complex structure in the same way the  $\partial$ - and  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators are defined in complex geometry [8, 9].

---

\*Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A66, 17B63, 17B66, 53C15, 53D17, 57R15, 58A10

A Lie bialgebroid, as introduced by Mackenzie & Xu, is a pair of Lie algebroids  $(A, A^*)$  satisfying some compatibility condition [11, 17]. They appear naturally in many places in Poisson geometry. In [1], two differential operators  $\check{d}_*$ ,  $\check{\partial}$  were introduced for Evens-Lu-Weinstein modules of a Lie bialgebroid as follows.

Consider a pair of (real or complex) Lie algebroid structures on a vector bundle  $A$  and its dual  $A^*$ . Assume that the line bundle (real or complex)  $\mathcal{L} = (\wedge^{\text{top}} A^* \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} T^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  exists, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a module over  $A^*$ , as discovered by Evens, Lu and Weinstein [7]. The Lie algebroid structures of  $A^*$  and  $A$  induce two natural differential operators  $\check{d}_* : \Gamma(\wedge^k A \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} A \otimes \mathcal{L})$  and  $\check{\partial} : \Gamma(\wedge^k A \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1} A \otimes \mathcal{L})$  (see Equations (10) to (16)).

Since a generalized complex structure  $\mathbb{J}$  induces a (complex) Lie bialgebroid  $(L, \bar{L})$ , where  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  are, respectively, the  $(+i)$  and  $(-i)$ -eigenspaces of  $\mathbb{J}$ , it is tempting to investigate the relations between the operators  $\partial$ ,  $\bar{\partial}$ ,  $\check{d}_*$ ,  $\check{\partial}$ . In this note, we show that  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  essentially coincide with  $\check{d}_*$  and  $\check{\partial}$  respectively, under some natural isomorphisms.

**Acknowledgments** The author thanks Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Yi Lin and Ping Xu for useful discussions and comments. This research was partially supported by NSFC, grant 10871007.

## 2 Courant algebroids and Lie bialgebroids

In this article, all vector bundles are complex vector bundles. Likewise, Lie algebroids are always complex Lie algebroids.

A (complex) Courant algebroid consists of a vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ , a nondegenerate pseudo-metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the fibers of  $\pi$ , a bundle map  $\rho : E \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ , called anchor, and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear operation  $\circ$  on  $\Gamma(E)$  called Dorfman bracket, which, for all  $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$  and  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \Gamma(E)$  satisfy the relations

$$z_1 \circ (z_2 \circ z_3) = (z_1 \circ z_2) \circ z_3 + z_2 \circ (z_1 \circ z_3); \quad (1)$$

$$\rho(z_1 \circ z_2) = [\rho(z_1), \rho(z_2)]; \quad (2)$$

$$z_1 \circ f z_2 = (\rho(x)f) z_2 + f(z_1 \circ z_2); \quad (3)$$

$$z_1 \circ z_2 + z_2 \circ z_1 = 2\mathcal{D}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle; \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{D}f \circ z_1 = 0; \quad (5)$$

$$\rho(z_1)\langle z_2, z_3 \rangle = \langle z_1 \circ z_2, z_3 \rangle + \langle z_2, z_1 \circ z_3 \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map defined by  $\langle \mathcal{D}f, z_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\rho(z_1)f$ .

The symmetric part of the Dorfman bracket is given by (4). The Courant bracket is defined as the skew-symmetric part  $\llbracket z_1, z_2 \rrbracket = \frac{1}{2}(z_1 \circ z_2 - z_2 \circ z_1)$  of the Dorfman bracket. Thus we have the relation  $z_1 \circ z_2 = \llbracket z_1, z_2 \rrbracket + \mathcal{D}\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$ .

The definition of a Courant algebroid can be rephrased using the Courant bracket instead of the Dorfman bracket [19].

A Dirac structure is a smooth subbundle  $A \rightarrow M$  of the Courant algebroid  $E$ , which is maximal isotropic with respect to the pseudo-metric and whose space of sections is

closed under (necessarily both) brackets. Thus a Dirac structure inherits a canonical Lie algebroid structure [15].

Let  $A \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle. Assume that  $A$  and its dual  $A^*$  both carry a Lie algebroid structure with anchor maps  $a : A \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}M$  and  $a_* : A^* \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}M$ , brackets on sections  $\Gamma(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A) : X \otimes Y \mapsto [X, Y]$  and  $\Gamma(A^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*) : \theta \otimes \xi \mapsto [\theta, \xi]_*$ , and differentials  $d : \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A^*)$  and  $d_* : \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A)$ .

This pair of Lie algebroids  $(A, A^*)$  is a Lie bialgebroid (or Manin triple) [11, 16, 17] if  $d_*$  is a derivation of the Gerstenhaber algebra  $(\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} A), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot])$  or, equivalently, if  $d$  is a derivation of the Gerstenhaber algebra  $(\Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet} A^*), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_*)$ . The link between Courant and Lie bialgebroids is given by the following

**Theorem 2.1** ([15]). *There is a 1-1 correspondence between Lie bialgebroids and pairs of transversal Dirac structures in a Courant algebroid.*

More precisely, if the pair  $(A, A^*)$  is a Lie bialgebroid, then the vector bundle  $A \oplus A^* \rightarrow M$  together with the pseudo-metric

$$\langle X_1 + \xi_1, X_2 + \xi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1(X_2) + \xi_2(X_1)), \quad (7)$$

the anchor map  $\rho = a + a_*$  (whose dual is given by  $\mathcal{D}f = df + d_*f$  for  $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ ) and the Dorfman bracket

$$(X_1 + \xi_1) \circ (X_2 + \xi_2) = ([X_1, X_2] + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_1} X_2 - \iota_{\xi_2}(d_* X_1)) + ([\xi_1, \xi_2]_* + \mathcal{L}_{X_1} \xi_2 - \iota_{X_2}(d\xi_1)) \quad (8)$$

is a Courant algebroid of which  $A$  and  $A^*$  are transverse Dirac structures. It is called the double of the Lie bialgebroid  $(A, A^*)$ . Here  $X_1, X_2$  denote arbitrary sections of  $A$  and  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  arbitrary sections of  $A^*$ .

An important example is that when  $A = T_{\mathbb{C}}M$  is the tangent bundle of a manifold  $M$  and  $A^* = T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$  takes the trivial Lie algebroid structure. Then  $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$  has the standard Courant algebroid structure. As observed by Severa and Weinstein in [20], the Dorfman bracket on  $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$  can be twisted by a closed 3-form  $H \in Z^3(M)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 + \eta_1) \circ_H (x_2 + \eta_2) \\ &= (x_1 + \eta_1) \circ (x_2 + \eta_2) + \iota_{x_2} \iota_{x_1} H \\ &= [x_1, x_2] + \mathcal{L}_{x_1} \eta_2 - \mathcal{L}_{x_2} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2}d\langle \eta_1 | x_2 \rangle + \iota_{x_2} \iota_{x_1} H. \end{aligned}$$

And  $\circ_H$  defines a Courant algebroid structure on  $T_{\mathbb{C}}M \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$ , using the same inner product and anchor. The corresponding Courant bracket is also twisted:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket x_1 + \eta_1, x_2 + \eta_2 \rrbracket_H \\ &= \llbracket x_1 + \eta_1, x_2 + \eta_2 \rrbracket + \iota_{x_2} \iota_{x_1} H \\ &= [x_1, x_2] + \mathcal{L}_{x_1} \eta_2 - \mathcal{L}_{x_2} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2}d(\langle \eta_1 | x_2 \rangle - \langle \eta_2 | x_1 \rangle) + \iota_{x_2} \iota_{x_1} H. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

### 3 Clifford modules and Dirac generating operators

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $r$  endowed with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Its Clifford algebra  $\mathcal{C}(V)$  is defined as the quotient of the tensor

algebra  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^r V^{\otimes k}$  by the relations  $x \otimes y + y \otimes x = 2\langle x, y \rangle$  ( $x, y \in V$ ). It is naturally an associative  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra. Up to isomorphisms, there exists a unique irreducible module  $S$  of  $\mathcal{C}(V)$ , called spin representation [6]. The vectors of  $S$  are called spinors.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $W$  be a vector space of dimension  $r$ . We can endow  $V = W \oplus W^*$  with the nondegenerate pairing defined in the same fashion as in Eq. (7). The representation of  $\mathcal{C}(V)$  on  $S = \bigoplus_{k=0}^r \wedge^k W$  defined by  $u \cdot w = u \wedge w$  and  $\xi \cdot w = \iota_\xi w$ , where  $u \in W$ ,  $\xi \in W^*$  and  $w \in S$ , is the spin representation. Note that  $S$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ - and thus also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded.

Now let  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle endowed with a nondegenerate pseudo-metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on its fibers and let  $\mathcal{C}(E) \rightarrow M$  be the associated bundle of Clifford algebras. Assume there exists a smooth vector bundle  $S \rightarrow M$  whose fiber  $S_m$  over a point  $m \in M$  is the spin module of the Clifford algebra  $\mathcal{C}(E)_m$ . Assume furthermore that  $S$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded:  $S = S^0 \oplus S^1$ .

An operator  $O$  on  $\Gamma(S)$  is called even (or of degree 0) if  $O(S^i) \subset S^i$  and odd (or of degree 1) if  $O(S^i) \subset S^{i+1}$ . Here  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**Example 3.2.** If the vector bundle  $E$  decomposes as the direct sum  $A \oplus A^*$  of two transverse Lagrangian subbundles as in Example 3.1, then  $S = \wedge A$ . The multiplication by a function  $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$  is an even operator on  $\Gamma(S)$  while the Clifford action of a section  $e \in \Gamma(E)$  is an odd operator on  $\Gamma(S)$ .

If  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  are operators of degree  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  respectively, then their commutator is the operator  $[O_1, O_2] = O_1 \circ O_2 - (-1)^{d_1 d_2} O_2 \circ O_1$ .

**Definition 3.3** ([1]). A Dirac generating operator for  $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an odd operator  $D$  on  $\Gamma(S)$  satisfying the following properties:

- (a) For all  $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $[D, f] \in \Gamma(E)$ . This means that the operator  $[D, f]$  is the Clifford action of some section of  $E$ .
- (b) For all  $z_1, z_2 \in \Gamma(E)$ ,  $[[D, z_1], z_2] \in \Gamma(E)$ .
- (c) The square of  $D$  is the multiplication by some function on  $M$ : that is  $D^2 \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ .

Note that “deriving operators” of [13] do not require the assumption (c).

**Theorem 3.4** ([1]). Let  $D$  be a Dirac generating operator for a vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ . Then there is a canonical Courant algebroid structure on  $E$ . The anchor  $\rho : E \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}M$  is defined by  $\rho(z)f = 2\langle [D, f], z \rangle = [[D, f], z]$ , while the Dorfman bracket reads  $z_1 \circ z_2 = [[D, z_1], z_2]$ .

We follow the same setup as in [1] and [5].

Let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], a)$  and  $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_*, a_*)$  be a pair of Lie algebroids, where  $A$  is of rank- $r$  and the base manifold  $M$  is of dimension- $m$ . The line bundle  $\wedge^r A^* \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M$  is a module over the Lie algebroid  $A^*$  [7]: a section  $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$  acts on  $\Gamma(\wedge^r A^* \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^*M)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \cdot (\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_r \otimes \mu) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r (\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge [\alpha, \alpha_i]_* \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_r \otimes \mu) + \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_r \otimes \mathcal{L}_{a_*(\alpha)} \mu. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If it exists, the square root  $\mathcal{L} = (\wedge^r A^* \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of this line bundle is also a module over  $A^*$ . One can thus define a differential operator

$$\check{d}_* : \Gamma(\wedge^k A \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} A \otimes \mathcal{L}). \quad (11)$$

Similarly,  $(\wedge^r A \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is — provided it exists — a module over  $A$ . Hence we obtain a differential operator

$$\Gamma(\wedge^k A^* \otimes (\wedge^r A \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} A^* \otimes (\wedge^r A \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (12)$$

But the isomorphisms of vector bundles

$$\wedge^k A^* \cong \wedge^k A^* \otimes \wedge^{r-k} A^* \otimes \wedge^{r-k} A \cong \wedge^{r-k} A \otimes \wedge^r A^* \quad (13)$$

and

$$\wedge^r A^* \otimes (\wedge^r A \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cong (\wedge^r A^* \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (14)$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^k A^* \otimes (\wedge^r A \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\cong \wedge^{r-k} A \otimes \wedge^r A^* \otimes (\wedge^r A \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\cong \wedge^{r-k} A \otimes (\wedge^r A^* \otimes \wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Therefore, one ends up with a differential operator

$$\check{\partial} : \Gamma(\wedge^k A \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1} A \otimes \mathcal{L}). \quad (16)$$

The following theorem is proved in [5].

**Theorem 3.5.** *The pair of Lie algebroids  $(A, A^*)$  is a Lie bialgebroid if, and only if,  $\check{D}^2 \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ , i.e. the square of the operator  $\check{D} = \check{d}_* + \check{\partial} : \Gamma(\wedge A \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge A \otimes \mathcal{L})$  is the multiplication by some function  $\check{f} \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ . Moreover  $\check{D}_*^2 = \check{f}$ , where  $\check{D}_* = \check{d} + \check{\partial}_*$  is defined analogously to  $\check{D}$  by exchanging the roles of  $A$  and  $A^*$ .*

## 4 Generalized complex geometry

In this section, we fix a real  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  and denote the tangent and cotangent bundle of  $M$  by  $T$  and  $T^*$ , respectively. Let  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  be, respectively, the complexification of  $T$  and  $T^*$ . The first vital ingredient in  $T_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  is the natural pairing:

$$\langle x_1 + \eta_1, x_2 + \eta_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\langle x_1 | \eta_2 \rangle + \langle x_2 | \eta_1 \rangle), \quad \forall x_i \in T_{\mathbb{C}}, \eta_i \in T_{\mathbb{C}}^*. \quad (17)$$

Thus we have the Clifford algebra  $\mathcal{C}(T_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$ , which acts on the spinor bundle  $\mathcal{M} \triangleq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} \wedge^i T_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  via

$$(x + \eta) \cdot \rho = \iota_x \rho + \eta \wedge \rho, \quad \forall \rho \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Introduce a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\cdot^T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by

$$(\eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_j)^T = \eta_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_1.$$

The Mukai pairing  $(\ , \ ) : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \wedge^{2n}(T_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$  is defined by

$$(\chi, \omega) = [\chi^T \wedge \omega]^{2n},$$

where  $[\ ]^{2n}$  indicates the top degree component of the product. Explicitly, if  $\chi = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \chi_i$ ,  $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \omega_i$ , where  $\chi_i, \omega_i \in \wedge^i T_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ , then

$$(\chi, \omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} \chi_i \wedge \omega_{2n-i}.$$

The following properties are standard [8]:

$$(\chi, \omega) = (-1)^n (\omega, \chi), \quad (18)$$

$$(\phi \wedge \chi, \omega) + (\chi, \phi \wedge \omega) = 0, \quad (19)$$

for all  $\chi, \omega \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\phi \in \wedge^2 T_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ .

Consider a real, closed 3-form  $H \in Z^3(M)$  which induces a twisted differential operator

$$d^H = d + H \wedge (\cdot).$$

**Definition 4.1.** [3, 8] *A twisted generalized complex structure with respect to  $H$  is determined by any of the following three equivalent objects:*

- (i) *A real automorphism  $\mathbb{J}$  of  $T \oplus T^*$ , which squares to  $-1$ , is orthogonal with respect to the natural pairing (17), and has vanishing Nijenhuis tensor, i.e., for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \Gamma(T \oplus T^*)$ ,*

$$N(z_1, z_2) \triangleq -\llbracket \mathbb{J}z_1, \mathbb{J}z_2 \rrbracket_H + \mathbb{J}\llbracket \mathbb{J}z_1, z_2 \rrbracket_H + \mathbb{J}\llbracket z_1, \mathbb{J}z_2 \rrbracket_H + \llbracket z_1, z_2 \rrbracket_H = 0.$$

Here  $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_H$  is the twisted Courant bracket defined in Eq. (9).

- (ii) *A twisted Dirac structure  $L \subset T_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  with respect to  $H$ , which satisfies  $L \cap \bar{L} = \{0\}$ .*

- (iii) *A line subbundle  $N$  of  $\mathcal{M} = \wedge^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$  generated at each point by a form  $u$ , such that*

$$L = \{X \in T_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mid X \cdot u = 0\}$$

*is maximally isotropic,  $(u, \bar{u}) \neq 0$ , and*

$$d^H u = e \cdot u,$$

*for some  $e \in \Gamma(T \oplus T^*)$ .*

The line bundle  $N$  in (iii) is called the pure spinor line bundle corresponding to  $L$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Also see [1] for the relation between Dirac structures and Dirac generating operators.

As a generalization of the usual  $\partial$ - and  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators in complex geometry, Gualtieri introduced the  $\partial$ - and  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators for any twisted generalized complex structure [8]. We recall its construction briefly below.

Let  $\mathbb{J}$  be a twisted generalized complex structure and  $L \subset T_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  be the  $+i$ -eigenspace of  $\mathbb{J}$ .  $L$  is a twisted Dirac structure and satisfies  $L \cap \bar{L} = \{0\}$ . We will regard  $\bar{L} = L^*$  by defining the canonical pairing between  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  by

$$\langle X|\theta \rangle = 2\langle X, \theta \rangle, \quad \forall X \in L, \theta \in \bar{L}. \quad (20)$$

Set  $N_0 = N$ ,  $N_k = \wedge^k \bar{L} \cdot N$  ( $k = 0, \dots, 2n$ ). Then  $\bar{N}_k = N_{2n-k}$  and especially,  $N_{2n} = \bar{N}$  is the pure spinor of  $\bar{L}$ , and we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{M} = N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_{2n}.$$

It is proved that one can decompose [8]

$$d^H = \partial + \bar{\partial}.$$

Here  $\partial : \Gamma(N_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \Gamma(N_{\bullet-1})$  and  $\bar{\partial} : \Gamma(N_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \Gamma(N_{\bullet+1})$  (or  $\Gamma(\bar{N}_{\bullet}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\bar{N}_{\bullet-1})$ ) are defined by:

$$\partial(n_k) \triangleq pr_{N_{k-1}}(d^H n_k), \quad \bar{\partial}(n_k) \triangleq pr_{N_{k+1}}(d^H n_k), \quad \forall n_k \in \Gamma(N_k).$$

## 5 The main theorem

Given a generalized complex structure  $\mathbb{J}$  as above, it is clear that  $(L, \bar{L})$  is a Lie bialgebroid (regarding  $L^* = \bar{L}$  by Eq. (20)). We prove that the operators  $\check{d}_*$  and  $\check{\partial}$  for this particular situation are essentially  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  (Theorem 5.2).

We continue the notations in Section 4 and let  $u$  be a nowhere vanishing local section of  $N$ . Assume that  $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^{2n} L)$  satisfies  $V \cdot \bar{u} = u$ . Hence  $\bar{V} \cdot u = \bar{u}$  and

$$\langle V|\bar{V} \rangle u = (-1)^n V \cdot \bar{V} \cdot u = (-1)^n u, \quad \langle V|\bar{V} \rangle = (-1)^n.$$

So the dual section of  $V$  is given by  $\Omega = (-1)^n \bar{V} \in \Gamma(\wedge^{2n} \bar{L})$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** ([8, Prop. 2.22], see also [6, III.3.2]) *The line bundle  $\mathcal{L} = (\wedge^{2n} \bar{L} \otimes \wedge^{2n} T_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $N_{2n} = \bar{N}$  are canonically isomorphic. The isomorphism can be explicitly described by the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N} \otimes \bar{N} &\rightarrow \mathcal{L} \\ \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 &\mapsto \Omega \otimes (V \cdot \omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \bar{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

*The isomorphism (21) does not depend on the choice of  $u$  and  $V$ .*

From now on we will identify  $\bar{N}$  with  $(\wedge^{2n} \bar{L} \otimes \wedge^{2n} T_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . As a consequence of the  $\bar{L}$ -module structure on  $(\wedge^{2n} \bar{L} \otimes \wedge^{2n} T_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we have two differential operators (see Eq. (11), (16)):

$$\begin{aligned} \check{d}_* &: (\wedge^{\bullet} L) \otimes \bar{N} \rightarrow (\wedge^{\bullet+1} L) \otimes \bar{N}, \\ \check{\partial} &: (\wedge^{\bullet} L) \otimes \bar{N} \rightarrow (\wedge^{\bullet-1} L) \otimes \bar{N}. \end{aligned}$$

It is also shown in [8] that  $(\wedge^k \bar{L}) \otimes N \cong N_k$  and  $(\wedge^k L) \otimes \bar{N} \cong \bar{N}_k$  respectively by the following two isomorphisms:

$$I : (\wedge^k \bar{L}) \otimes N \rightarrow N_k, \quad W \otimes p \rightarrow W \cdot p, \quad \forall W \in \wedge^k \bar{L}, p \in N,$$

$$\bar{I} : (\wedge^k L) \otimes \bar{N} \rightarrow \bar{N}_k, \quad X \otimes \bar{p} \rightarrow X \cdot \bar{p}, \quad \forall X \in \wedge^k L, \bar{p} \in \bar{N}.$$

Our main theorem is

**Theorem 5.2.** *The following two diagrams are commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\wedge^k L) \otimes \bar{N} & \xrightarrow{\check{d}_*} & (\wedge^{k+1} L) \otimes \bar{N} \\ I \downarrow & & \downarrow I \\ \bar{N}_k & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bar{N}_{k+1}, \end{array} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\wedge^k L) \otimes \bar{N} & \xrightarrow{\check{\partial}} & (\wedge^{k-1} L) \otimes \bar{N} \\ \bar{I} \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{I} \\ \bar{N}_k & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \bar{N}_{k-1}, \end{array} \quad (23)$$

The proof will be deferred to Section 7.

In [9], Gualtieri constructed an  $L$ -module structure on  $N$  and an  $\bar{L}$ -module structure on  $\bar{N}$ , respectively by

$$\nabla_X p \triangleq X \cdot d^H p = X \cdot \bar{\partial} p, \quad (24)$$

$$\nabla_W \bar{p} \triangleq W \cdot d^H \bar{p} = W \cdot \partial \bar{p}, \quad (25)$$

$\forall p \in \Gamma(N), X \in \Gamma(L), W \in \Gamma(\bar{L})$ .

As a special situation of  $k = 0$  in Diagram (22), we have

**Proposition 5.3.** *The above  $\bar{L}$ -module structure defined by Eq. (25) coincides with the  $\bar{L}$ -module structure defined by Eq. (10), under the isomorphism (21).*

## 6 Modular cocycles of Lie algebroids

In this section we establish a list of important identities valid in any Lie bialgebroid  $(A, A^*)$  and generalized complex structure, which are subsequently used in Section 7 to prove the statements of Section 5.

We continue the assumptions in Section 3: let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], a)$  and  $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_*, a_*)$  be a pair of rank- $r$  Lie algebroids over dimension- $m$  base manifold  $M$ .

Assume there exists a volume form  $s \in \Gamma(\wedge^m T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M)$  and a nowhere vanishing section  $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^r A^*)$  so that  $\mathcal{L}$  is the trivial line bundle over  $M$ . And let  $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^r A)$  be the section dual to  $\Omega$ :  $\langle \Omega | V \rangle = 1$ . These induce two bundle isomorphisms:

$$\Omega^\sharp : \wedge^k A \rightarrow \wedge^{r-k} A^* : X \mapsto \iota_X \Omega, \quad (26)$$

$$V^\sharp : \wedge^k A^* \rightarrow \wedge^{r-k} A : \xi \mapsto \iota_\xi V, \quad (27)$$

which are essentially inverse to each other:

$$(V^\# \circ \Omega^\#)(X) = (-1)^{k(r-1)} X, \quad \forall X \in \wedge^k A; \quad (28)$$

$$(\Omega^\# \circ V^\#)(\varphi) = (-1)^{k(r-1)} \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in \wedge^k A^*. \quad (29)$$

Consider the operator  $\mathfrak{d}$  dual to  $d$  with respect to  $V^\#$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*) & \xrightarrow{V^\#} & \Gamma(\wedge^{r-k} A) \\ \downarrow (-1)^k d & & \downarrow \mathfrak{d} \\ \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} A^*) & \xrightarrow{V^\#} & \Gamma(\wedge^{r-k-1} A), \end{array} \quad (30)$$

or

$$-V^\# d\alpha = (-1)^k \mathfrak{d} V^\# \alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*), \quad (31)$$

which, due to (28) and (29), can be rewritten as

$$\Omega^\# \mathfrak{d} \beta = (-1)^l d \Omega^\# \beta, \quad \forall \beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^l A). \quad (32)$$

The operator  $\mathfrak{d}$  is a Batalin-Vilkovisky operator for the Lie algebroid  $A$  [12, 14, 18, 22]. Similarly, we have the operator  $\mathfrak{d}_*$  dual to  $d_*$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\wedge^{r-k} A) & \xleftarrow{V^\#} & \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*) \\ \downarrow (-1)^k d_* & & \downarrow \mathfrak{d}_* \\ \Gamma(\wedge^{r-k+1} A) & \xleftarrow{V^\#} & \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1} A^*), \end{array}$$

or

$$d_* V^\# \alpha = (-1)^k V^\# \mathfrak{d}_* \alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*).$$

According to [7], there exists a unique  $X_0 \in \Gamma(A)$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\theta(\Omega \otimes s) = (\mathcal{L}_\theta \Omega) \otimes s + \Omega \otimes (\mathcal{L}_{a_*(\theta)} s) = \langle X_0 | \theta \rangle \Omega \otimes s, \quad \forall \theta \in \Gamma(A^*). \quad (33)$$

Similarly, there exists a unique  $\xi_0 \in \Gamma(A^*)$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X(s \otimes V) = (\mathcal{L}_{a(X)} s) \otimes V + s \otimes (\mathcal{L}_X V) = \langle \xi_0 | X \rangle s \otimes V, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(A). \quad (34)$$

These sections  $X_0$  and  $\xi_0$  are called *modular cocycles* and their cohomology classes are called modular classes [7].

A simple computation yields the following formulas, which are given in [1].

**Proposition 6.1.** *With the above notations, the differential operators defined by Eq. (11) and (16) are given respectively:*

$$\check{d}_*(X \otimes l) = (d_* X + \frac{1}{2} X_0 \wedge X) \otimes l \quad (35)$$

and

$$\check{\partial}(X \otimes l) = (-\mathfrak{d} X + \frac{1}{2} \iota_{\xi_0} X) \otimes l, \quad (36)$$

for all  $X \in \Gamma(\wedge A)$  and  $l \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ .

Hence the operator  $\check{D}$  in Theorem 3.5 reads

$$\check{D} = \check{d}_* + \check{\partial} = d_* - \mathfrak{d} + \frac{1}{2}(X_0 \wedge \cdot + \iota_{\xi_0}).$$

This construction of Dirac generating operators using modular cocycles appeared in [1, 5].

Now we consider a twisted generalized complex structure  $\mathbb{J}$  on a  $2n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  and let  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  be respectively the  $+i$  and  $-i$ -eigenspace of  $\mathbb{J}$ . Again we assume that  $u$  is a nowhere vanishing local section of  $N$ , the pure spinor bundle of  $L$ .

We need the following basic fact:

**Lemma 6.2.** [9] *There exists some  $e = x + \eta \in \Gamma(\bar{L})$  such that*

$$d^H u = \bar{\partial} u = du + H \wedge u = e \cdot u = \iota_x u + \eta \wedge u, \quad (37)$$

$$d^H \bar{u} = \partial \bar{u} = d\bar{u} + H \wedge \bar{u} = \bar{e} \cdot \bar{u} = \iota_{\bar{x}} \bar{u} + \bar{\eta} \wedge \bar{u}. \quad (38)$$

The main result in this section is the following.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^{2n} L)$  such that  $V \cdot \bar{u} = u$ . Then the modular cocycle of  $L$  with respect to the top form  $V$  and the volume form  $s = (u, \bar{u})$  is  $2e$ , where  $e \in \Gamma(\bar{L})$  is given by Lemma 6.2.*

*Similarly, the modular cocycle of  $\bar{L}$  with respect to  $\Omega = (-1)^n \bar{V} \in \Gamma(\wedge^{2n} \bar{L})$  and  $s$  is  $2\bar{e}$ .*

Before the proof, we need a couple of lemmas. The first one can be easily verified.

**Lemma 6.4.** *For all  $W \in \wedge^j \bar{L}$ ,  $X \in \wedge^i L$ , and  $i \leq j \leq 2n$ , one has*

$$X \cdot W \cdot u = (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} (\iota_X W) \cdot u. \quad (39)$$

Since  $L$  is a Lie algebroid and  $L^* = \bar{L}$ , we have the differential

$$d_L : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet \bar{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} \bar{L}).$$

Moreover, we have the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}(W \cdot u) &= (d_L W) \cdot u + (-1)^k W \cdot \bar{\partial} u \\ &= (d_L W) \cdot u + (-1)^k (W \wedge e) \cdot u \\ &= (d_L W + e \wedge W) \cdot u, \quad \forall W \in \Gamma(\wedge^k \bar{L}), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

which encodes the  $L$ -module structure on  $N$  defined by Eq. (24).

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(X \cdot \bar{u}) &= (d_{\bar{L}} X) \cdot \bar{u} + (-1)^i X \cdot \partial \bar{u} \\ &= (d_{\bar{L}} X + \bar{e} \wedge X) \cdot \bar{u}, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(\wedge^i L). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

**Lemma 6.5.** *For any  $X = a + \zeta \in \Gamma(L)$ , we have*

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \bar{V}) \cdot u = \bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{u}) - \langle e|X \rangle \bar{u}, \quad (42)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_a u = \langle e|X \rangle u - (d\zeta + \iota_a H) \wedge u, \quad (43)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_a \bar{u} = \bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{u}) + (d_{\bar{L}} X) \cdot \bar{u} - (d\zeta + \iota_a H) \wedge \bar{u}. \quad (44)$$

*Proof.* A basic fact is that

$$0 = X \cdot u = \iota_a u + \zeta \wedge u, \quad (45)$$

for any  $X = a + \zeta \in \Gamma(L)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{u}) &= \bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{V} \cdot u) = \bar{\partial}((\iota_X \bar{V}) \cdot u) \\ &= (d_L \iota_X \bar{V}) \cdot u - (\iota_X \bar{V} \wedge e) \cdot u \quad (\text{by (40)}) \\ &= (d_L \iota_X \bar{V} + \iota_X d_L \bar{V}) \cdot u + (\langle e|X \rangle \bar{V}) \cdot u \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_X \bar{V}) \cdot u + \langle e|X \rangle \bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Eq. (42). For Eq. (43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a u &= \iota_a du + d\iota_a u \\ &= \iota_a(\iota_x u + \eta \wedge u - H \wedge u) - d(\zeta \wedge u) \quad (\text{by (37) and (45)}) \\ &= -\iota_x \iota_a u + \langle a|\eta \rangle u - \eta \wedge \iota_a u - \iota_a H \wedge u + H \wedge \iota_a u - d\zeta \wedge u + \zeta \wedge du \\ &= \iota_x(\zeta \wedge u) + \langle a|\eta \rangle u + (\eta - H) \wedge (\zeta \wedge u) \\ &\quad - \iota_a H \wedge u - d\zeta \wedge u + \zeta \wedge (\iota_x u + \eta \wedge u - H \wedge u) \quad (\text{by (37) and (40)}) \\ &= (\langle x|\zeta \rangle + \langle a|\eta \rangle)u - \iota_a H \wedge u - d\zeta \wedge u. \end{aligned}$$

To prove Eq. (44), we observe that, on one hand

$$\begin{aligned} d^H(X \cdot \bar{u}) &= \bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{u}) + \partial(X \cdot \bar{u}) \\ &= \bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{u}) + (d_{\bar{L}} X) \cdot \bar{u} - (X \wedge \bar{e}) \cdot \bar{u} \quad (\text{by (41)}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^H(X \cdot \bar{u}) &= d(\iota_a \bar{u} + \zeta \wedge \bar{u}) + H \wedge (X \cdot \bar{u}) \\ &= d\iota_a \bar{u} + d\zeta \wedge \bar{u} - \zeta \wedge d\bar{u} + H \wedge (X \cdot \bar{u}) \\ &= (d\iota_a \bar{u} + \iota_a d\bar{u}) + d\zeta \wedge \bar{u} - (\iota_a + \zeta \wedge) d\bar{u} + H \wedge (X \cdot \bar{u}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_a \bar{u} + d\zeta \wedge \bar{u} - X \cdot (\bar{e} \cdot \bar{u} - H \wedge \bar{u}) + H \wedge (X \cdot \bar{u}) \quad (\text{by (38)}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_a \bar{u} + d\zeta \wedge \bar{u} - (X \wedge \bar{e}) \cdot \bar{u} + X \cdot (H \wedge \bar{u}) + H \wedge (X \cdot \bar{u}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_a \bar{u} + d\zeta \wedge \bar{u} - (X \wedge \bar{e}) \cdot \bar{u} + (\iota_a + \zeta \wedge)(H \wedge \bar{u}) + H \wedge (\iota_a \bar{u} + \zeta \wedge \bar{u}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_a \bar{u} + d\zeta \wedge \bar{u} - (X \wedge \bar{e}) \cdot \bar{u} + (\iota_a H) \wedge \bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the last equation.  $\square$

Another lemma needed is

**Lemma 6.6.** [3] *The Mukai pairing vanishes in  $N_i \times N_k$ , unless  $i + k = 2n$ , in which case it is nondegenerate.*

*Proof of Proposition 6.3.* For an  $X = a + \zeta \in \Gamma(L)$ , we assume that  $\bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{u}) = f\bar{u}$ , for some function  $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ . Then Eq. (42) implies that  $\mathcal{L}_X \bar{V} = (f - \langle e|X \rangle) \bar{V}$  and hence

$$\mathcal{L}_X V = (\langle e|X \rangle - f)V. \quad (46)$$

We also have, according to Eq. (43) and (44)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\rho_L(X)} s &= \mathcal{L}_a(u, \bar{u}) = (\mathcal{L}_a u, \bar{u}) + (u, \mathcal{L}_a \bar{u}) \\ &= (\langle e|X \rangle u - (d\zeta + \iota_a H) \wedge u, \bar{u}) + (u, f\bar{u} + (d_{\bar{L}} X) \cdot \bar{u} - (d\zeta + \iota_a H) \wedge \bar{u}) \\ &= (\langle e|X \rangle + f)(u, \bar{u}) = (\langle e|X \rangle + f)s. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we have applied Eq. (19) and Lemma 6.6 . In turn, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_X V \otimes s + V \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho_L(X)} s = 2\langle e|X \rangle V \otimes s.$$

This proves the first claim. By symmetry, we also have

$$\mathcal{L}_W \bar{V} \otimes \bar{s} + \bar{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho_{\bar{L}}(W)} \bar{s} = 2\langle \bar{e}|W \rangle \bar{V} \otimes \bar{s},$$

for all  $W \in \Gamma(\bar{L})$ . By Eq. (18), we know

$$\bar{s} = (\bar{u}, u) = (-1)^n (u, \bar{u}) = (-1)^n s.$$

Thus there holds

$$\mathcal{L}_W \Omega \otimes s + \Omega \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho_{\bar{L}}(W)} s = 2\langle \bar{e}|W \rangle \Omega \otimes s,$$

which implies that  $2\bar{e}$  is the modular cocycle of  $\bar{L}$  with respect to  $\Omega$  and  $s$ .  $\square$

## 7 Proof of the main theorem

We first finish the proof of Proposition 5.3.

*Proof.*  $\bar{N}$  has an induced  $\bar{L}$ -module structure arising from the  $\bar{L}$ -module  $\mathcal{L}$  (see Eq. (10)). According to the second statement of Proposition 6.3, we know that this module structure is determined by the following equation:

$$\mathcal{L}_W \bar{u} = \langle \bar{e}|W \rangle \bar{u}, \quad \forall W \in \Gamma(\bar{L}).$$

This just coincides with the standard  $\bar{L}$ -module structure defined by Eq. (25) because

$$W \cdot \partial \bar{u} = W \cdot \bar{e} \cdot \bar{u} = \langle \bar{e}|W \rangle \bar{u},$$

by Lemma 6.4.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem in this paper.

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* By Proposition 6.3 and Eq. (35), (36), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \check{d}_*(X \otimes \bar{u}) &= (d_{\bar{L}} X + \bar{e} \wedge X) \otimes \bar{u}, \\ \check{\partial}(X \otimes \bar{u}) &= (-\mathfrak{d}X + \iota_e X) \otimes \bar{u}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X \in \Gamma(\wedge^k L)$ .

Compare with the expression of  $\partial$  in Eq. (41), we immediately know that Diagram (22) is commutative. To prove the commutativity of Diagram (23), it suffices to prove:

$$\bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{u}) = (-\mathfrak{d}X + \iota_e X) \cdot \bar{u}, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(\wedge^i L). \quad (47)$$

In fact, by Eq. (40),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of Eq. (47)} &= \bar{\partial}(X \cdot \bar{V} \cdot u) = (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} \bar{\partial}((\iota_X \bar{V}) \cdot u) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} (d_L \iota_X \bar{V} + e \wedge \iota_X \bar{V}) \cdot u. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(\iota_e X) \cdot \bar{u} = e \cdot X \cdot \bar{V} \cdot u = (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} e \cdot (\iota_X \bar{V}) \cdot u = (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} (e \wedge \iota_X \bar{V}) \cdot u.$$

And by Eq. (28), (32),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}X &= (-1)^{(i-1)(2n-1)} V^\# \Omega^\# \mathfrak{d}X \\ &= (-1)^{(i-1)(2n-1)+i} V^\# d_L \Omega^\# X = -V^\# d_L \Omega^\# X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathfrak{d}X) \cdot \bar{u} &= (V^\# d_L \Omega^\# X) \cdot \bar{u} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(2n-i+1)(2n-i)}{2}} (d_L \iota_X \Omega) \cdot V \cdot \bar{u} = (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} (d_L \iota_X \bar{V}) \cdot u. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Eq. (47), and the proof of Theorem 5.2 is thus completed.  $\square$

## 8 Some corollaries

The first obvious result is that, by the isomorphisms

$$\wedge^k L \otimes \mathcal{L} \cong (\wedge^k L) \cdot \bar{N} = \bar{N}_k = N_{2n-k},$$

the Dirac generating operator constructed by Theorem 3.5 for  $E = L \oplus \bar{L}$  is exactly

$$\check{D} = \check{d}_* + \check{d} = \partial + \bar{\partial} = d^H,$$

and especially  $\check{f} = \check{D}^2 = 0$ .

In Section 6, we defined a pair of operators  $d_*$  and  $\mathfrak{d}$  on  $\Gamma(\wedge A)$ , and similarly  $d$  and  $\mathfrak{d}_*$  on  $\Gamma(\wedge A^*)$ , for any Lie bialgebroid  $(A, A^*)$ . Let  $D = d_* + \mathfrak{d}$ ,  $D_* = d + \mathfrak{d}_*$ . Their squares yield the pair of **Laplacian operators**[1]

$$\Delta = D^2 = d_* \mathfrak{d} + \mathfrak{d} d_* : \Gamma(\wedge^k A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^k A), \quad (48)$$

$$\Delta_* = D_*^2 = d \mathfrak{d}_* + \mathfrak{d}_* d : \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*). \quad (49)$$

As an immediate corollary of the following result

**Theorem 8.1.** [5, Theorem 3.4] *If  $(A, A^*)$  is a Lie bialgebroid, then*

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_{X_0} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_0}) : \Gamma(\wedge A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge A),$$

$$\Delta_* = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_{X_0} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_0}) : \Gamma(\wedge A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge A^*).$$

we have

**Corollary 8.2.** *Let  $(L, \bar{L})$  be the Lie bialgebroid coming from a twisted generalized complex structure  $\mathbb{J}$ . The Laplacian operators  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_*$  defined by Eq. (48) and (49) are given respectively by*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f &= \Delta_* f = \frac{1}{2} pr_T(e + \bar{e})(f), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}), \\ \Delta X &= (\bar{e} + \frac{1}{2}e) \circ_H X - \frac{i}{2} \mathbb{J}(e \circ_H X), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(L),\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

$$\Delta_* W = (e + \frac{1}{2}\bar{e}) \circ_H W + \frac{i}{2} \mathbb{J}(\bar{e} \circ_H W), \quad \forall W \in \Gamma(\bar{L}).\tag{51}$$

*Proof.* For  $e \in \Gamma(\bar{L})$ ,  $\bar{e} \in \Gamma(L)$ , the Lie derivations  $\mathcal{L}_e$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}}$  on  $\Gamma(L)$  are given respectively by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_e X &= pr_L(e \circ_H X), \\ \mathcal{L}_{\bar{e}} X &= \bar{e} \circ_H X, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(L).\end{aligned}$$

The projections of  $T_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  to  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  are given respectively by:

$$pr_L(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z - i\mathbb{J}z), \quad pr_{\bar{L}}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + i\mathbb{J}z), \quad \forall z \in T_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}^*.$$

Thus Eq. (50) and (51) follow directly from Theorem 8.1.  $\square$

It is well known [17] that, if  $a$  and  $a_*$  denote the anchor maps of a Lie bialgebroid  $(A, A^*)$ , the bundle map

$$\pi^\sharp = a \circ (a_*)^* : T_{\mathbb{C}}^* M \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}} M\tag{52}$$

defines a (complex) Poisson structure on  $M$ .

In particular, for the Lie bialgebroid  $(L, \bar{L})$  coming from the twisted generalized complex structure  $\mathbb{J}$ ,  $-i\pi$  is a real Poisson structure. In fact, up to a factor of 2, it is given by [2, 9]

$$P(\xi, \eta) = \langle \mathbb{J}\xi, \eta \rangle.\tag{53}$$

Let us briefly recall the definition of the modular vector field of a Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$  [21]. Let  $\omega \in \Omega^{\text{top}}(M)$  be a volume form, the modular vector field with respect to  $\omega$  is the derivation  $X_\omega$  of the algebra of functions  $C^\infty(M)$  characterized by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(df)}\omega = X_\omega(f)\omega.\tag{54}$$

For the Poisson structure induced from a Lie bialgebroid, the relation between modular cocycles and modular vector fields is established in the following:

**Lemma 8.3.** [5, Corollary 3.8] *Let  $M$  be an orientable manifold with volume form  $s \in \Omega^{\text{top}}(M)$  and let  $(A, A^*)$  be a real Lie bialgebroid over  $M$  with associated Poisson bivector  $\pi$  defined by Eq. (52). Then the modular vector field of the Poisson manifold  $(M, \pi)$  with respect to  $s$  is*

$$X_s = \frac{1}{2}(a_*(\xi_0) - a(X_0)),\tag{55}$$

where  $\xi_0$  and  $X_0$  are modular cocycles defined by Eq. (33) and (34) (choosing arbitrary  $V$  and  $\Omega$ ).

Hence as an immediate consequence, we obtain the following result of Gualtieri [9, Proposition 3.27].

**Corollary 8.4.** *The modular vector field of the Poisson structure  $P$  defined in Eq. (53) is given by*

$$\frac{i}{2}pr_{T_C}(e - \bar{e}),$$

with respect to the volume form  $s = (u, \bar{u})$ .

## References

- [1] Anton Alekseev and Ping Xu, *Derived brackets and Courant algebroids* (2001). unpublished manuscript.
- [2] James Barton and Mathieu Stiénon, *Generalized complex submanifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **236** (2008), no. 1, 23–44. MR2398985
- [3] Gil R. Cavalcanti, *The decomposition of forms and cohomology of generalized complex manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2006), no. 1, 121–132. MR2265463
- [4] Gil Cavalcanti, *Introduction to generalized complex geometry*, Publicações Matemáticas do IMPA. [IMPA Mathematical Publications], Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 2007. 26<sup>o</sup> Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática. [26th Brazilian Mathematics Colloquium]. MR2375780 (2008k:53180)
- [5] Zhuo Chen and Mathieu Stiénon, *Dirac generating operators and Manin triples*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society (to appear), [arXiv:0803.2376](https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.2376).
- [6] Claude Chevalley, *The algebraic theory of spinors and Clifford algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Collected works. Vol. 2; Edited and with a foreword by Pierre Cartier and Catherine Chevalley; With a postface by J.-P. Bourguignon. MR1636473 (99f:01028)
- [7] Sam Evens, Jiang-Hua Lu, and Alan Weinstein, *Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **50** (1999), no. 200, 417–436. MR1726784 (2000i:53114)
- [8] Marco Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, Ph.D. Thesis, Oxford University, 2003, [arXiv:math/0401221](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0401221).
- [9] ———, *Generalized complex geometry*, [arXiv:math/0703298](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0703298).
- [10] Nigel Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Q. J. Math. **54** (2003), no. 3, 281–308. MR2013140 (2004h:32024)
- [11] Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids*, Acta Appl. Math. **41** (1995), no. 1-3, 153–165. Geometric and algebraic structures in differential equations. MR1362125 (97i:17021)
- [12] ———, *Modular vector fields and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, Poisson geometry (Warsaw, 1998), Banach Center Publ., vol. 51, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2000, pp. 109–129. MR1764439 (2001d:17026)
- [13] ———, *Quasi, twisted, and all that ... in Poisson geometry and Lie algebroid theory*, The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 232, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 363–389. MR2103012 (2005g:53157)
- [14] Jean-Louis Koszul, *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie*, Astérisque **Numéro Hors Série** (1985), 257–271 (French). The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984). MR837203 (88m:17013)
- [15] Zhang-Ju Liu, Alan Weinstein, and Ping Xu, *Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids*, J. Differential Geom. **45** (1997), no. 3, 547–574. MR1472888 (98f:58203)
- [16] Kirill C. H. Mackenzie and Ping Xu, *Integration of Lie bialgebroids*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 3, 445–467. MR1746902 (2001b:53104)
- [17] ———, *Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 2, 415–452. MR1262213 (95b:58171)

- [18] Sébastien Michéa and Gleb Novitchkov, *BV-generators and Lie algebroids*, *Internat. J. Math.* **16** (2005), no. 10, 1175–1191. MR2182214 (2007c:17029)
- [19] Dmitry Roytenberg, *Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis, U.C. Berkeley, 1999, [arXiv:math/9910078](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9910078).
- [20] Pavol Ševera and Alan Weinstein, *Poisson geometry with a 3-form background*, *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl.* **144** (2001), 145–154. Noncommutative geometry and string theory (Yokohama, 2001). MR2023853 (2005e:53132)
- [21] Alan Weinstein, *The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **23** (1997), no. 3-4, 379–394. MR1484598 (98k:58095)
- [22] Ping Xu, *Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **200** (1999), no. 3, 545–560. MR1675117 (2000b:17025)