

An algorithm for finding the Independence Number of a graph

O.Kettani

email :o_ket1@yahoo.fr

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that for every connected graph G , there exists a split graph G' with the same independence number and the same order. Then we propose a first algorithm for finding this graph, given the degree sequence of the input graph G . Further, we propose a second algorithm for finding the independence number of G , given the adjacency matrix of G .

Introduction

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a connected graph on $n=|V|$ vertices and $m=|E|$ edges.

A subset X of V is called independent if its vertices are mutually non-adjacent. The independence number $\alpha(G)$ is the largest cardinality among all independent sets of G .

A split graph is a graph in which the vertices set can be partitioned into a clique and an independent set.

The problem of finding the independence number α of a graph G is known to be NP-hard[1].

In this paper, we prove that for every connected graph G , there exists a split graph G' with the same independence number and the same order. Then we propose an algorithm for finding this graph, given the degree sequence of the input graph G . Further, we propose a second algorithm for finding the independence number of G , given the adjacency matrix of G .

First we will prove the following proposition :

Proposition 1 :

For every connected graph G , there exists a split graph G' with the same independence number α and the same order n . The degree sequence of G' contains $(n-\alpha)$ times the degree $(n-1)$ and α times the degree $(n-\alpha)$.

Proof :

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a connected graph on $n=|V|$ vertices and independence number α .

Then $\exists I \subset V$, I independent set, with $\alpha = |I|$ and $\exists VC \subset V$ (VC a vertex cover) such $V=I \cup VC$ and $I \cap VC = \emptyset$.

Consider the graph G' constructed from G by the following rules:

- 1) For every vertex v in I , and for every vertex w in VC non-adjacent to v create an edge between v and w .
- 2) If $|VC| \geq 2$ then for every non-adjacent vertices v and w in VC , create an edge between v and w .

Then clearly the resulting graph G' is such that $V=V'$ and $I=I'$ and $VC=VC'$ and $I' \cap VC' = \emptyset$.

Since the subgraph induced by VC' is a clique then G' is a split graph and ,

$$|VC| = n - \alpha \text{ and } v \in VC \Rightarrow d(v) = \alpha + (n - \alpha) - 1 = n - 1$$

$$|I| = \alpha \text{ and } v \in I \Rightarrow d(v) = n - \alpha$$

Thus, the degree sequence of G' contains $(n - \alpha)$ times the degree $(n - 1)$ and α times the degree $(n - \alpha)$.

Let $G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}$ denote the split graph G'

Example : $n=6$ and G is the following graph :



the resulting graph G' is $G_{3,3}$:




For $n=3$, $G_{2,1}$ is the the following graph :



And is $G_{1,2}$ is the triangle K_3


For $n=4$, $G_{3,1}$ is the the following graph :




$G_{2,2}$




$G_{1,4}$



For $n=5$, $G_{4,1}$ is the the following graph :



$G_{3,2}$




$G_{2,3}$




$G_{1,4}$ is K_5


For $n=6$, $G_{5,1}$ is




$G_{4,2}$ is



$G_{3,3}$ is



$G_{2,4}$ is



And $G_{1,5}$ is K_6

and so on...

Definition 1 :

Given two degree sequence $S = (d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n)$ and $S' = (d'_1 \geq d'_2 \geq \dots \geq d'_n)$

We denote $S' \geq S$ iff $d'_i \geq d_i$ for all i .

And if $S' \geq S$, we define $S' - S = (d'_1 - d_1, \dots, d'_n - d_n)$

Definition 2 :

A degree sequence S is said realizable (or graphic) iff it represents a simple graph.

Definition 3 :

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a connected graph and $G'=(V,E')$ be an other connected graph such $E \subset E'$.

Then we define the difference graph G'' , by $G''=(V,E' - E)$.

Then $S(G'')= S(G') - S(G)$.

Before establishing the main result of the present paper, we will use the following deterministic procedure HH (S) due to Havel [3] and Hakimi [4], which can be used to decide whether a degree sequence is or is not realizable (i.e., represents a simple graph or not). It is based on the Erdős-Gallai theorem [2] which states that given a degree sequence $S = (d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n)$, S is realizable if and only if the following equation holds :

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \min(k, d_i)$$

The Havel-Hakimi procedure tests the degree sequence S as follows.

procedure HH(S)

Input S = $(d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n)$,

- 1 If there exists an integer d in S such that $d > n-1$ then halt and output false. That is, we cannot have a vertex that is adjacent to more than $n - 1$ other vertices.
 - 2 If there are an odd number of odd numbers in S halt and and output false. That is, there must be an even number of vertices of odd degree.
 - 3 If the sequence contains a negative number then halt and and output false.
 - 4 If the sequence is all zeros then halt and output True
 - 5 Reorder S such that it is nonincreasing.
 - 6 Delete the first term d_1 from S and subtract one from the next d_1 terms to form a new sequence.
- Go to step 3 .

Proposition 2 :

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a connected graph, then there exists a unique split graph $G_{\alpha,n-\alpha}$ such that $S(G_{\alpha,n-\alpha}) \geq S(G)$ and the degree sequence $S(G_{\alpha,n-\alpha}) - S(G)$ is realizable.

Proof :

The existence of the split graph $G_{\alpha,n-\alpha}$ is proved by proposition 1.
 Since $E(G) \subset E(G_{\alpha,n-\alpha})$ then $S(G_{\alpha,n-\alpha}) \geq S(G)$ and $S(G_{\alpha,n-\alpha}) - S(G)$ is realizable because it represents the difference graph $G''=(V, E(G_{\alpha,n-\alpha}) - E(G))$.
 Suppose on the contrary that there exists another split graph $G_{\alpha',n-\alpha'}$ such that $S(G_{\alpha',n-\alpha'}) \geq S(G)$ and the degree sequence $S(G_{\alpha',n-\alpha'}) - S(G)$ is realizable.

Then by lemma 1, $\alpha = \alpha(G) = \alpha(G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}) = \alpha'$: a contradiction. Consequently, there exists a unique split graph $G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}$ such that $S(G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}) \geq S(G)$ and the degree sequence $S(G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}) - S(G)$ is realizable.

Now, we will prove the first main result of this paper :

Proposition 3 :

Given a connected graph $G=(V,E)$ on $n=|V|$ vertices and m edges with degree sequence $S(G) = (d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n)$, then its independence number α could be computed by the following algorithm :

Input : $S(G) = (d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n)$.

1 $\alpha \leftarrow 1$

2 Repeat

if $S((G_{\alpha, n-\alpha})) \geq S(G)$ and $HH(S(G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}) - S(G))$ then output(α) halt

Else $\alpha \leftarrow \alpha + 1$ goto step 2

End.

Proof :

By using proposition 1 and 2, the algorithm finds the unique « closest » split graph $G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}$ and then its independence number α which is also the independence number of G .

Example : $n=6$ and G is the following graph :



$$S(G) = (2,2,2,2,1,1).$$

$$S((G_{1,5})) = (5,5,5,5,5,5)$$

$$S((G_{1,5})) \geq S(G) \text{ but } HH(S(G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}) - S(G)) = HH((3,3,3,3,4,4)) \text{ is false.}$$

$$S((G_{2,4})) = (5,5,5,5,4,4) \text{ but } HH(S(G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}) - S(G)) = HH((3,3,3,3,3,3)) \text{ is false.}$$

$$S((G_{3,3})) = (5,5,5,3,3,3) \text{ and } HH(S(G_{\alpha, n-\alpha}) - S(G)) = HH((3,3,3,1,2,2)) \text{ is true.}$$

Then the algorithm outputs $\alpha = 3$

Let n be an integer ≥ 3 . Let θ be the $n \times n$ null matrix.

For k such $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we define the triangular matrices T_k by :

$(T_{k+1})_{i,j} = 1$ if $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $2 \leq j \leq k+1$ and $i < j$

$(T_{k+1})_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.

$$T_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow k+1$$

$$T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

...

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 4 :

For k such $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $(T_{k+1})^{k+1} = \theta$

And $(T_{k+1})^h \neq \theta$ if h is such $1 \leq h \leq k$.

Proof :

For k such $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, let C_{k+1} denote the matrix defined by:

$(C_{k+1})_{i,j} = 1$ if $j = k+1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$

$(C_{k+1})_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.

$$C_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & 1 & 0 & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & 0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & & \vdots & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow k+1$$

For k such $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we define the set of $n \times n$ matrices $M(k)$ by :

$M \in M(k)$ iff:

$(M)_{i,j}$ integer > 0 for $j = k+1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$

$(M)_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise..

Then :

For k such $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have:

$$T_{k+1} = T_k + C_{k+1}$$

$$(T_{k+1})^2 = (T_k)^2 + T_k C_{k+1} + C_{k+1} T_k + (C_{k+1})^2$$

since $(C_{k+1})^2 = \theta$ and $C_{k+1}T_k = \theta$ and $T_k C_{k+1} \in M(k-1)$

$$T_k C_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow k-1$$

then

$$(T_{k+1})^2 = (T_k)^2 + T_k C_{k+1} \text{ and } T_k C_{k+1} \in M(k-1)$$

$$(T_{k+1})^3 = (T_k)^3 + T_k(T_k C_{k+1}) \text{ since } T_k C_{k+1} \in M(k-1) \Rightarrow T_k(T_k C_{k+1}) \in M(k-2)$$

then :

$$(T_{k+1})^3 = (T_k)^3 + (T_k)^2 C_{k+1} \text{ and } (T_k)^2 C_{k+1} \in M(k-2)$$

Suppose by induction on $p < k-1$ that:

$$(T_{k+1})^p = (T_k)^p + (T_k)^{p-1} C_{k+1} \text{ and } (T_k)^{p-1} C_{k+1} \in M(k-p+1)$$

then

$$(T_{k+1})^{p+1} = (T_k)^{p+1} + T_k (T_k)^{p-1} C_{k+1} \text{ and } T_k (T_k)^{p-1} C_{k+1} \in M(k-p)$$

Since the induction is true for $p=1$

Then for p such $k > p \geq 1$ we have :

$$(T_{k+1})^{p+1} = (T_k)^{p+1} + (T_k)^p C_{k+1} \text{ and } (T_k)^p C_{k+1} \in M(k-p)$$

Then for $p=k-1$ we have :

$$(T_{k+1})^k = (T_k)^k + (T_k)^{k-1} C_{k+1} \text{ and } (T_k)^{k-1} C_{k+1} \in M(1)$$

$$\text{since } (T_k)^{k-1} C_{k+1} \in M(1) \Rightarrow T_k (T_k)^{k-1} C_{k+1} = \theta$$

Then

$$(T_{k+1})^{k+1} = (T_k)^{k+1} + (T_k)^k C_{k+1}$$

Then

$$(T_{k+1})^{k+1} = (T_k)^{k+1} \tag{1}$$

$$(T_2)^2 = \theta$$

Suppose by induction on k that: $(T_k)^k = \theta$ then by equation (1) we have:

$$(T_{k+1})^{k+1} = \theta$$

On the other hand, for p such $1 \leq p \leq k$:

$$(T_{k+1})^p = (T_k)^p + (T_k)^{p-1} C_{k+1} \text{ and } (T_k)^{p-1} C_{k+1} \in M(k-p+1)$$

since $1 \leq p \leq k \Rightarrow k-p+1 \geq 1 \Rightarrow (T_k)^{p-1} C_{k+1} \neq \theta$ and $(T_{k+1})^p \neq \theta$ for p such $1 \leq p \leq k$.

Then the induction is proved.

For k such $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, we define the matrices B'_{k+1} by :

$$(B'_{k+1})_{i,j} = 1 \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } k+2 \leq j \leq n$$

$$(B'_{k+1})_{i,j} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$B_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & 0 & & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow k$$

Let S_{k+1} be the matrix :

$$S_{k+1} = T_{k+1} + B_{k+1}$$

Proposition 5 :

For k such $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have $(S_{k+1})^{k+1} = \theta$

And $(S_{k+1})^h \neq \theta$ if h is such $1 \leq h \leq k$.

Proof :

$$(S_{k+1})^2 = (T_{k+1})^2 + (B_{k+1})^2 + B_{k+1} T_{k+1} + T_{k+1} B_{k+1}$$

since $(B_{k+1})^2 = \theta$ and $B_{k+1} T_{k+1} = \theta$ and $T_{k+1} B_{k+1} = \theta$ then $(S_{k+1})^2 = (T_{k+1})^2$

Suppose by induction on p that :

$$(S_{k+1})^p = (T_{k+1})^p$$

$$\text{then } (S_{k+1})^{p+1} = (T_{k+1})^p (T_{k+1} + B_{k+1}) = (T_{k+1})^{p+1} + (T_{k+1})^p B_{k+1}$$

$$T_{k+1} B_{k+1} = \theta \Rightarrow (T_{k+1})^p B_{k+1} = \theta$$

then

$$(S_{k+1})^{p+1} = (T_{k+1})^{p+1}$$

By proposition 4, it implies for k such $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, that :

$$(S_{k+1})^{k+1} = \theta \text{ and}$$

$$(S_{k+1})^h \neq \theta \text{ if } h \text{ is such } 1 \leq h \leq k.$$

Similarly, for k such $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, we define the matrices B'_{k+1} by :

$$(B'_{k+1})_{i,j} \in \{0,1\} \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } k+2 \leq j \leq n$$

$$(B'_{k+1})_{i,j} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

And we define the matrices S'_{k+1} by:

Definition 4 :

$$S'_{k+1} = T'_{k+1} + B'_{k+1}$$

Proposition 6:

$$\text{For } k \text{ such } 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \text{ we have } (S'_{k+1})^{k+1} = \theta$$

$$\text{and } (S'_{k+1})^h \neq \theta \text{ if } h \text{ is such } 1 \leq h \leq k.$$

Proof :

Analogue to proposition 5' proof.

Proposition 7:

Let G be a graph of order n , and U_G denote the strictly upper triangular matrix extracted from the adjacency matrix of G, then

$$\alpha(G) = n - \min\{h \text{ such } (U_G)^{h+1} = \theta\}$$

$$0 \leq h \leq n$$

Proof :

By proposition 1, there exists a split graph S such $V(G)=V(S)$ and $E(G)\subset E(S)$ and $\alpha(G)=\alpha(S)=n-k$.

Then U_G is congruent to a matrix S'_{k+1} as defined in definition 4.

Then there exists a permutation matrix P and P^T its transpose matrix such :

$$U_G = P^T S'_{k+1} P$$

then

$$(U_G)^{k+1} = P^T (S'_{k+1})^{k+1} P$$

Then :

$$(U_G)^{k+1} = P^T \theta P$$

And

$$(U_G)^h = P^T (S'_{k+1})^h P \neq \theta \text{ for } h \text{ such } 1 \leq h \leq k \text{ by proposition 6.}$$

$$\text{Then } k = \min\{h \text{ such } (U_G)^{h+1} = \theta\}$$

$$0 \leq h \leq n$$

And

$$\alpha(G) = \alpha(S) = n - k = n - \min\{h \text{ such } (U_G)^{h+1} = \theta\}$$

$$0 \leq h \leq n$$

Proposition 8 :

Given a graph $G=(V,E)$ on $n=|V|$ vertices and let U_G denote the strictly upper triangular matrix extracted from the adjacency matrix of G and I the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then the independence number $\alpha(G)$ could be computed by the following algorithm :

Input : U_G

1 $k \leftarrow 0$ and $T \leftarrow I$

2 $T \leftarrow T U_G$

3 if $T = \theta$ then output $(n-k)$ else $k \leftarrow k+1$ goto step 2.

Example 1 :


$n=5$ and G is the complete graph K_5

$$U_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$(U_G)^5 = \theta$ then $\alpha(G) = 5 - 4 = 1$.

Example 2 :

$n=5$ and G is the following graph :



Then

$$U_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$(U_G)^3 = \theta$ then then $\alpha(G) = 5 - 2 = 3$.

Example 3 :

$n=5$ and G is the graph $5 K_1$ (five isolated vertices)

$U_G = \theta$ Then then $\alpha(G) = 5 - 0 = 5$.

References :

- [1]. M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, "Computers and intractability. A guide to the theory of NP-completeness".1979
- [2] P. Erdos and T. Gallai. Graphs with Prescribed Degrees of Vertices. Mat. Lapok, 11:264–274, 1960.
- [3] S. L. Hakimi. On the realizability of a set of integers as degrees of the vertices of a linear graph.
SIAM Journal, 10(3):496–506, 1962.
- [4] V. Havel. A remark on the existence of finite graphs. Causis Pest. Mat., 80:496–50