

# On an extreme two-point distribution

Chebotarev V.I., Kondrik A.S., Mikhaylov K.V.<sup>1</sup>

Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be the class of all distribution function  $F$  of random variables with zero mean and unit variance. Denote

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}, \quad \Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi(t) dt, \quad \Delta(F) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F(x) - \Phi(x)|.$$

There was obtained in [1, Lemma 12.3, p. 115] (see also [2, Problem 3.269, p. 70]) that  $\sup_{F \in \mathbb{V}} \Delta(F) \leq 0.5416$ .

We show in the present notice that in fact the proof in [1] leads to a bound, which is less than 0.541, and, moreover, this new bound is reached.

To formulate the main statement we need the function

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} - \Phi(-|x|).$$

**Lemma.** *The function  $\Psi(x)$  is positive for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and attains its maximum  $C_\Phi = 0.5409365\dots$  in two points:  $x_\Phi = 0.213105\dots$  and  $-x_\Phi$ . In addition,  $x_\Phi$  is the root of the equation:  $xe^{x^2/2}(1+x^2)^{-2} = (8\pi)^{-1/2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \geq 0$ . We have

$$\Psi'(x) = \frac{-2x}{(1+x^2)^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = -2e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \left( u(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $u(x) = \frac{xe^{x^2/2}}{(1+x^2)^2}$ . Since


$$(\ln u(x))' = \frac{1}{x} + x - \frac{4x}{1+x^2} = \frac{(1-x^2)^2}{x(1+x^2)} \quad \begin{cases} \leq 0, & \text{if } x < 0, \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

then  $u(x)$  increases, when  $x > 0$  (there are similar computations in [3] too). With the help of computer we find that the equation  $u(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}} = 0$  has the root  $x_\Phi = 0.21310518\dots$ , when  $x > 0$ , and, moreover,


$$C_\Phi := \Psi(x_\Phi) = 0.5409365\dots$$

Then it follows from (1) that  $\Psi' \geq 0$  for  $0 < x \leq x_\Phi$ , and  $\Psi' < 0$  for  $x > x_\Phi$ . Hence,  $\max_{x>0} \Psi(x) = C_\Phi$ . Since  $\Psi$  is an even function, the lemma is proved. For obviousness, see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

<sup>1</sup>Computing Center of the Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences



**Fig. 1.** The functions  $\frac{1}{1+x^2}$  and  $\Phi(-|x|)$



**Fig. 2.** The behavior of the function  $\Psi(x)$

□

**Remark 1.** It should be noted that in [1, Lemma 12.3, p. 115] the less precise values for  $x_\Phi$  and  $C_\Phi$  (in our notations) are indicated:  $x_\Phi = 0.2135$ ,  $C_\Phi = 0.5416$ . □

Define r.v.  $X_\Phi$ , taking two values,  $x_1 = -\frac{1}{x_\Phi} = -4.692518\dots$  and  $x_2 = x_\Phi = 0.213105\dots$ , with the probabilities  $p_1 = \frac{x_\Phi^2}{1+x_\Phi^2} = 0.043441\dots$  and  $p_2 = \frac{1}{1+x_\Phi^2} = 0.956559\dots$  respectively. It is not hard to see that the distribution functions of  $X_\Phi$  and  $-X_\Phi$ , denote them by  $F_\Phi$  and  $\tilde{F}_\Phi$  respectively, belong to the class  $\mathbb{V}$ .

**Theorem.** For every  $F \in \mathbb{V}$ ,

$$\Delta(F) \leq C_\Phi. \quad (2)$$

The inequality (2) becomes the equality for  $F = F_\Phi$  and  $F = \tilde{F}_\Phi$ .

**Remark 2.** The following variant of the Berry – Esseen inequality, when the number of summands is equal to one, is proved in [3]: for every  $\beta \geq 1$

$$\sup_{F \in \mathbb{V}_\beta} \Delta(F) \leq C_1 \beta, \quad (3)$$

where  $C_1 = 0.37035\dots$ ,  $\mathbb{V}_\beta$  is the subclass of all such distribution functions from  $\mathbb{V}$ , that the third absolute moment is equal to  $\beta$ . The bound (3) is unimprovable, provided that the right-hand side is the product of an absolute constant and  $\beta$ .

Notice that if  $\beta > C_\Phi/C_1 \approx 1.46$ , the bound (2) is more precise with respect to (3). But in the case  $1 \leq \beta < C_\Phi/C_1$  the inequality (3) gives more precise bounds for  $\sup_{F \in \mathbb{V}_\beta} \Delta(F)$ , than Theorem. □

*Proof of Theorem.* It is well-known, that if the distribution function  $F$  of r.v.  $X$  belongs to  $\mathbb{V}$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}(X \leq -x) \leq \frac{1}{1+x^2} \quad \text{и} \quad \mathbf{P}(X \geq x) \leq \frac{1}{1+x^2}, \quad x > 0. \quad (4)$$

See, for instance, [1, Lemma 12.3, p. 115] and [2, Problem 3.237, pp. 67, 239].

Let  $x > 0$  be fixed. If  $F(x) > \Phi(x)$ , then, evidently,  $F(x) - \Phi(x) < 0.5$ . Consider the case  $F(x) \leq \Phi(x)$ . It is easily seen that

$$|F(x) - \Phi(x)| = \Phi(x) - F(x) = \Phi(x) - 1 + 1 - F(x) = - \int_x^\infty \varphi(t) dt + \mathbf{P}(X \geq x).$$

Using (4), we obtain the inequality  $|F(x) - \Phi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{1+x^2} - \int_x^\infty \varphi(t) dt = \Psi(x)$ . It now follows from Lemma that


$$|F(x) - \Phi(x)| \leq C_\Phi. \quad (5)$$

The inequality (5) for  $x < 0$  is deduced similarly but simpler. The bound (2) is proved.

Let now  $F = F_\Phi$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_2) - F_\Phi(x_2) &= \Phi(x_2) - p_1 = \Phi(x_2) - (1 - p_2) \\ &= p_2 - (1 - \Phi(x_2)) = \frac{1}{1+x_2^2} - \int_{x_2}^\infty \varphi(t) dx = \Psi(x_2) = \Psi(x_\Phi) = C_\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of obviousness see Fig. 3. Thus, the equality is attained in (2), when  $F = F_\Phi$ .



**Fig. 3.** The distribution functions  $\Phi(x)$  and  $F_\Phi$

Symmetric considerations lead to the conclusion that for  $F = \tilde{F}_\Phi$  the equality in (2) is attained as well.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** The proof of (2) differs from the proof of Lemma 12.3 [1] in fact only by the deduction of the extreme two-point distribution  $F_\Phi$ , showing that the bound (2) is unimprovable.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The work is partially supported by the grant RFBR 07-01-00054, and grant of the Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences 06-III-A-01-003.

## References

- [1] *Bhattacharya R. N., Ranga Rao R.* Approximation by the normal distribution and asymptotic expansions. – Moscow: Nauka, 1982. (In Russian)
- [2] *Prokhorov A.V., Ushakov V.G., Ushakov N.G.* Problems in probability theory: Main notions. Limit theorems. Stochastic processes: Textbook. – Moscow: Nauka, 1986. (In Russian)
- [3] *Bentkus V.Yu., Kirsha K.P.* Estimates of the closeness of a distribution function to normal law. // Litovskii matemat. sbornik. – 1989. – V. 29, 4. – P. 657–672. (In Russian)