Solutions to the problems in this section can be sent to the editor — preferably by e-mail. The most elegant solutions will be published in a later issue. Readers are invited to submit general mathematical problems. Unless the problem is still open, a valid solution should be included.

#### Editor:

R.J. Fokkink Technische Universiteit Delft Faculteit Wiskunde P.O. Box 5031 2600 GA Delft The Netherlands r.j.fokkink@its.tudelft.nl Problem 18 (Alex Heinis)

Let *a*, *k* be positive numbers. Count the number of maps  $f: \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}$  such that  $f^k(n) = n + a$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

## Problem 19 (R. Ceulemans, open problem)

A billiard table T has the shape of a regular pentagon, with sides of length of 1 meter. You can put a billiard ball anywhere on the table and shoot it in any direction over a distance of 10 meters. Give the maximal number of times the ball can hit a side.

## Problem 20 (A.F. Tiggelaar)

Suppose that *ABC* and *DEF* are two triangles in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and that *V* is the plane that contains *ABC*. Suppose that *AD*, *BE* and *CF* are perpendicular to *V* and that *AD* = *BC*, *BE* = *AC*, *CF* = *AB*. Construct the point in *V* that is equidistant to *D*, *E* and *F*.

# Solutions to volume 1, number 4 (December 2000)

# Problem 10

Let *a*, *b*, *c* be integers such that the symmetric matrix

| (0  | а | b \ |
|-----|---|-----|
| a   | 0 | c   |
| \ b | С | 0/  |

has three integer eigenvalues. Prove, or give a counterexample to, the following statement: either abc = 0 or  $(a^2 - b^2)(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - c^2) = 0$ .

This open problem has been solved by Ronald van Luijk and by Raimundas Vidunas. The solution will appear in one of the next issues of Nieuw Archief.

### Problem 11

An *abc*-triple is a triple of pairwise coprime positive integers *a*, *b*, *c* with a + b = c for which the product *r* of the distinct prime numbers dividing *abc* satisfies r < c. Prove that there are infinitely many *abc*-triples.

**Solution** by Raimundas Vidunas. For each positive integer *k*, we have that  $9^k = 8N + 1$  for some integer *N*. This gives an *abc*-triple 1, 8N,  $9^k$  since  $r \le 6N < 9^k$ .

### Problem 12

Prove that there are infinitely many *abc*-triples for which *a* is equal to a given positive integer.

**Solution** by Hendrik Lenstra. Fix any *a*, and let *p* be an odd prime number not dividing *a*. By the Chinese remainder theorem we can choose a primitive root *g* modulo  $p^2$  with gcd(g, a) = 1. Let *m* be such that  $p^{m-1} > ag$ . Then *g* is a primitive root modulo  $p^m$ , so there exist infinitely many *n* with  $g^n \equiv a \mod p^m$  and  $g^n > a$ . For any such *n*, we can take  $b = g^n - a$ ,  $c = g^n$ . These numbers are pairwise coprime, and since *b* is divisible by  $p^m$  we have  $r \le a \cdot (b/p^{m-1}) \cdot g < b < c$ .

## Problem 13

Let *m* be a positive integer. Prove that there is an *abc*-triple with the property that any odd prime number dividing *abc* exceeds *m*.

**Solution** by Hendrik Lenstra. We may assume  $m \ge 2$ . Let *k* be the product of all prime numbers that are at most *m*. Denote by  $\varphi$  the Euler- $\varphi$ -function. All primes dividing  $\varphi(k/2)$  are at most *m*, so they divide *k*. Hence  $gcd((k+1)^2, \varphi(k/2)) = 1$ , so there exists *n* with  $n \cdot (k+1)^2 \equiv 1 \mod \varphi(k/2)$ . Put  $c = (k+2)^{n \cdot (k+1)^2}$ , a = 1, b = c-1. Modulo the odd number k/2, we have  $c \equiv 2^{n \cdot (k+1)^2} = 2^{1 \mod \varphi(k/2)} \equiv 2^1 = 2$ , so  $b \equiv 1$ and  $abc \equiv 2$ . Hence *abc* is not divisible by any odd prime that is at most *m*. The residue class  $k + 2 \mod (k+1)^3$  belongs to the set of units modulo  $(k+1)^3$  that are  $1 \mod k+1$ . That set is a group of order  $(k+1)^2$ , so  $(k+2)^{(k+1)^2} \equiv 1 \mod (k+1)^3$  and therefore  $c \equiv 1 \mod (k+1)^3$  and  $b \equiv 0 \mod (k+1)^3$ . Therefore  $r \leq (b/(k+1)^2) \cdot (k+2) < b < c$ .

# Problem 14

Let n be a positive integer. Prove that there exist n different *abc*-triples with the same value of c.

**Solution** by Hendrik Lenstra. Choose any h > 1 (for example, h = 2), and let *P* be any set of *n* prime numbers not dividing *h*. Choose  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$  and all  $p \in P$ one has  $p^{2n-1} > h \cdot (1 + hp^n)$ . Choose *m* such that  $h^m \equiv 1 \mod \prod_{p \in P} p^{n_0}$ . For each  $p \in P$ , let  $n_p$  be the number of factors p in  $h^m - 1$ ; then we have  $n_p \ge n_0$ , and we can write  $h^m = 1 + k_p \cdot p^{n_p}$  where p does not divide  $k_p$ . Choose l such that for all  $p \in P$ one has  $h^{ml} \equiv 1 + h \cdot p^{n_p} \mod p^{2n_p}$ ; this can be done, since by  $h^{ml} = (1 + k_p \cdot p^{n_p})^l \equiv$  $1 + l \cdot k_p \cdot p^{n_p} \mod p^{2n_p}$  it suffices to solve  $l_p \cdot k_p \equiv h \mod p^{n_p}$  and to choose l such that for each  $p \in P$  one has  $l \equiv l_p \mod p^{n_p}$ . Now put  $c = h^{ml}$  and  $a_p = 1 + h \cdot p^{n_p}$ , for  $p \in P$ , so that  $c \equiv a_p \mod p^{2n_p}$ . We have  $a_p \equiv 1 \mod h$ , so  $gcd(a_p, c) = 1$  and  $a_p \neq c$ . From

$$a_p = 1 + h \cdot p^{n_p} < h \cdot (1 + h \cdot p^{n_p}) < p^{2n_p - 1} < p^{2n_p}$$

it follows that  $a_p$  is the least number in its residue class mod  $p^{2n_p}$ . Since *c* lies in the same residue class, one has  $c > a_p$ , so we can write  $a_p + b_p = c$ . The number  $b_p$  is divisible by  $p^{2n_p}$ , so we have  $r \leq a_p \cdot (b_p/p^{2n_p-1}) \cdot h = b_p \cdot h \cdot (1+hp^{n_p})/p^{2n_p-1} < b_p < c$ . Therefore  $a_p$ ,  $b_p$ , c constitute an *abc*-triple, for each  $p \in P$ . Because p is the unique prime number dividing  $(a_p - 1)/h$ , no two of these triples coincide.

## Solutions to some problems of yore

Below are the solutions to the problems 972–979, which belong to the Problem Section of the fourth series of Nieuw Archief.

### Problem 972 (H. Alzer)

For any pair *x*, *y* of distinct positive real numbers, we define

$$A(x,y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$$
,  $G(x,y) = \sqrt{xy}$ ,  $H(x,y) = \frac{2}{1/x + 1/y}$ 

Prove that if  $x, y \ge e$  then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x,y)^{H(x,y)} &< \left(\frac{G(x,y) + A(x,y)}{2}\right)^{H(\sqrt{x},\sqrt{y})^2} \\ &< G(x,y)^{G(x,y)} \\ &< H(\sqrt{x},\sqrt{y})^{G(x,y) + A(x,y)} \\ &< H(x,y)^{A(x,y)} \end{aligned}$$

and if *x*,  $y \le e$  then we have the reverse inequalities.

Solutions by G.W. Veltkamp and H.J. Seiffert. Below is the solution by H.J. Seiffert which is remarkably short compared to the problem. Abbreviate G = G(x, y) and A = A(x, y). Since G < A we have

$$\frac{G^2}{A} < \frac{2G^2}{G+A} < G < \frac{G+A}{2} < A.$$

The function  $F(t) = t^{1/t}$  is strictly increasing on (0, e] and strictly decreasing on  $[e, \infty)$ . Apply *f* to the inequality and raise to the power  $G^2$ , and observe that  $H = G^2/A$  and  $H(\sqrt{x}, \sqrt{y})^2 = 2G^2/(G + A)$ .

# Problem 973 (W. Bencze)

Prove for n = 2, 3, ... the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{2}} < \sqrt{n + \sqrt{n - 1 + \sqrt{n - 2 + \ldots + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{1}}}}} < \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{n + \frac{1}{4}}.$$

**Solutions** by J.H. van Geldrop, W. van der Meiden, G.W. Veltkamp, C. Jonkers, A.A. Jagers, H.J. Seiffert, R.H. Jeurissen. There was a misprint in the original problem where the final quotient  $\frac{1}{4}$  was omitted. Some readers assumed the quotient to be  $\frac{1}{2}$  which enables a crisp solution. For  $n + \frac{1}{4}$  the problem is only slightly harder and essentially all solutions are the same. Denote the inequality by  $\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{2}} < w_n < \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{n + \frac{1}{4}}$ . Apply induction. Clearly the inequality holds for n = 2. Assume it holds for n - 1. Squaring the inequality gives the equivalent form

$$\frac{1}{4} + \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{2}} < a_{n-1} < \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{n + \frac{1}{4}},$$

which follows from the induction hypothesis and the inequality

$$-\frac{1}{4} + \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{n - \frac{3}{2}}.$$

## Problem 974 (M.L.J. Hautus)

Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  be a  $C^1$ -function satisfying  $|f'(x)/f(x)| \to \infty$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Show that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every *n*-tuple of distinct pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$  with  $a_i > 0$  and  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , the functions  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  defined by  $g_i(x) = f(a_i x + b_i)$  are linearly independent.

**Solution** by A.A. Jagers. By the condition on *f* the zeroes of *f*' are bounded away from  $\infty$  and hence so are all zeroes of *f* by a mean value theorem. Four possible cases arise, for *x* large enough. We only consider the case *f*, *f*' > 0, the other cases being similar, and use induction on *n*. Suppose that  $\lambda_1 g_1 + \ldots \lambda_n g_n = 0$ . Then for *x* large enough

$$\lambda_n = -\lambda_1 g_1(x) / g_n(x) - \ldots - \lambda_{n-1} g_{n-1}(x) / g_n(x)$$

and for i < n we have that  $g_i(x)/g_n(x) \to 0$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Indeed, for x large enough there exists an intermediate  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a_i x + b_i < \xi < a_n x + b_n$  such that

$$\log\{f(a_nx + b_n)/f(a_i + b_ix)\} = \log f(a_nx + b_n) - \log f(a_i + b_ix) = f'(\xi)/f(\xi)$$

for  $x \to \infty$  by the condition on *f*. It follows that  $\lambda_n = 0$ .

Problem 975

No response.

## Problem 976 (C. Notari)

Find all pairs (n, m) of positive integers such that  $n^2 + n + 1 = m^3$ .

**Solutions** by A.A. Jagers and H.J. Seiffert. Both remark that the solution is classical, (n, m) = (18, 7), and refer to (L.J. Mordell, Diophantine Equations, (1969), p. 208-209). Jagers even includes a relatively recent paper on this problem (N. Tzanakis, *The Diophantine equation*  $x^3 - 3xy^2 - y^3 = 1$  and related equations, J. Number Theory **18**, 192-205 (1984))

and he notes that a solution depends on the unique factorization in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$  where  $\rho = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{3}$ .

**Problem 977** (J. Ponstein) For a given  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  we define the sequence a(m) by a(1) = k and

$$a(m) = k^m - \sum_{1 \le i \le m, \ i \mid m} a(i).$$

Show that *m* divides a(m) for all  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**Solutions** by R.H. Jeurissen, A.A. Jagers, H.J. Seiffert. All solutions depend on Möbius inversion, which gives that  $a(m) = \sum_{i|m} k^i \mu(m/i)$  where  $\mu$  denotes the Möbius function. Seiffert now concludes by invoking Gauss' generalization of the Fermat Little Theorem:  $\sum_{i|m} k^i \mu(m/i) \equiv 0 \mod m$  and the desired result follows.

#### Problem 978 (F. Rothe)

On a square lattice we consider *lattice triangles*, i.e, triangles with all three vertices lattice points. There exist lattice triangles with i = 1, 2, 3, 4 or 9 lattice points in their interior. For i = 1, 2, 4, 9 the centre of gravity can be a lattice point. Show that for i = 3 the centre of gravity cannot be a lattice point.

**Solution** by C.B.J. Jonkers, which is surprisingly short compared to the original four page proof by Rothe. Denote the integer lattice by *R* and denote the middle of lattice points *P* and *Q* by m(PQ). We start with two observations. First that for every set of five points  $P_i \in R$  there is at least one pair such that  $m(P_iP_j) \in R$ . Second that if *ABC* is a lattice triangle with m(AB) and m(AC) in *R*, then so is m(BC).

Consider a triangle *ABC* with three interior points in *R*. Suppose that  $Z \in R$  is the center of gravity of *ABC*. Observe that if  $m(AB) \in R$  then  $m(CZ) \in R$ . There are two cases.

CASE 1. Suppose that m(AZ), m(BZ), m(CZ) are not in R. Then m(AB) cannot be a lattice point, since this would imply that  $m(CZ) \in R$ . Let P be a second interior point of ABC. By our first observation, one of the middles of A, B, C, Z, P is in R. There are four possibilities m(AP), m(BP), m(CP) or m(PZ), which are all interior points. The first three possibilities are equivalent and to fix ideas assume that  $Q = m(PA) \in R$ . Apply the first observation to B, C, P, Q, Z to find a fourth interior point, contradicting that the triangle only has three interior points. If Q = m(PZ) then apply the argument to A, B, C, P, Q.

CASE 2. Suppose that  $P = m(AZ) \in R$ . This is equivalent to  $m(BC) \in R$  and our second observation implies that m(AB), m(AC) are not in R. Equivalently, m(BZ) and m(CZ) are not in R. There has to be a third interior point Q. One of the middles of A, B, C, P, Q is in R. Verify that this has to be an interior point, which contradicts that there are only three interior points.

# Problem 979 (F.W. Steutel)

Prove that the function  $g(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2} \cos(tx + ct^2) dt$  is nonnegative for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if c = 0.

**Solutions** by K.W. Lau, A.A. Jagers, G.W. Veltkamp. Solution by A.A. Jagers. Let  $\varphi = \arg(1 + ic)$  or, equivalently,  $c = \tan \varphi$  with  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . If c = 0 then  $\varphi = 0$  and  $g(x) = g(-x) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi} > 0$ . If  $c \neq 0$  then  $\varphi \neq 0$  and

$$(g(x) + g(-x))/2 = Re\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-x^2/(4-ic)}\cos(tx)dt\right)$$
  
=  $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} Re\left(\frac{e^{-x^2/(4-4ic)}}{\sqrt{1-ic}}\right)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi\cos\varphi}e^{-(x\cos\varphi)^2/4}\cos\left(\frac{\varphi}{2} - \frac{\sin 2\varphi}{8}x^2\right),$ 

which is not nonnegative for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , but changes sign infinitely often.