

915 L STREET B SACRAMENTO CA B 95814-3706 B WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

October 24, 2014

Mr. Donald L. Parker, Finance Director City of Montclair 5111 Benito Street Montclair. CA 91763

Dear Mr. Parker:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Montclair Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 11, 2014 for the period of January 1 through June 30, 2015. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15B, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 51 Montclair Housing Authority Administrative Cost Allowance in the amount of \$750,000 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. Pursuant to HSC section 34171 (p), the housing successor administrative cost allowance is applicable only in cases where the city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of the redevelopment agency (RDA) elected to not assume the housing functions. Because the housing successor to the former redevelopment Agency of the City of Montclair (City) is the City-formed Housing Authority (Authority) and the Authority operates under the control of the City, the Authority is considered the City under Dissolution Law pursuant to HSC section 34167.10. Therefore, \$750,000 of housing successor administrative allowance is not allowed.
- Item No. 52 Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP) preparation costs in the amount of \$71,319 are not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. This amount represents salary and benefit costs associated with 90 percent of the Deputy City Manager's staff time incurred from July 1, 2013 through November 30, 2013 for the preparation of the Agency's LRPMP. While the Agency provided support for salary payments made to the Deputy City Manager during this period, the Agency did not provide support for the time specifically allocated towards the preparation of the LRPMP. In addition, the duty statement provided by the Agency for the Deputy City Manager identifying LRPMP responsibilities was not signed until April 28, 2014. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation and is not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding.

Mr. Donald L. Parker October 24, 2014 Page 2

• Item No. 53 – Successor Agency Reserves applied on ROPS 13-14B for other obligations in the amount of \$129,196 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. Finance applied this amount to non-administrative obligations in lieu of RPTTF on ROPS 13-14B. The Agency contends this amount should be used to fund administrative costs and Finance's determination was improper. However, the Agency received the full administrative allowance and was subject to \$0 administrative cost allowance in the ROPS 13-14B period. Therefore, it should use the excess funds on enforceable obligations prior to requesting RPTTF pursuant to HSC section 34117. (I).

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on ROPS may be made by the Agency from the funds specified on the ROPS. However, these items were determined to be enforceable obligations for the ROPS 14-15B period. Therefore, Finance is increasing the Agency's authorization for the ROPS 14-15B period to ensure that authorization is consistent with expenditures for the approved enforceable obligations. As these Reserve Balances were previously expended, the increase in authorization should not result in increased expenditures for the current ROPS period, but should merely allow the Agency to reconcile actual expenditures to the authorization.

Reserves in the amount of \$5,000 for Item No. 15, Contract for Bond Services.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2014 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter; therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only reflects the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

Except for items denied in whole or in part, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15B. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15B, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

## http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet and confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$4,008,775 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution Table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of January through June 2015	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	4,234,290
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS	\$ 4,359,290
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations Denied Items	4,234,290
Item No. 51	(150,000)
Item No. 52	(71,319)
Item No. 53	(129,196)
	(350,515)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 3,883,775
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 125,000
Total DDTTE and animal for ability than	\$ 4.008.775
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 4,008,775
ROPS 13-14B prior period adjustment	0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 4,008,775

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

## http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2015. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to the enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the agency in the RPTTF.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on an approved ROPS may be made by the successor agency from the funds specified in the ROPS. However, if for whatever reason the Agency needs to make payments for approved obligations from another funding source, HSC section 34177 (a) (4) requires the Agency to first obtain oversight board approval.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d),

Mr. Donald L. Parker October 24, 2014 Page 4

HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

JUSTYN HOWARD

Acting Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Marilyn Staats, Deputy City Manager/Executive Director, Economic Development,

City of Montclair

Ms. Linda Santillano, Property Tax Manager, San Bernardino County

California State Controller's Office