915 L STREET B SACRAMENTO CA B 95814-3706 B WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

April 15, 2014

Ms. Lisa Strong, Management Services Director City of Fontana 8353 Sierra Avenue Fontana, CA 92335

Dear Ms. Strong:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Fontana Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 3, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations for the reasons specified:

- Item Nos. 25 and 40 Debt service reserves totaling \$2,521,920 for payments due January through June 2015. HSC section 34171 (d) (1) (A) allows successor agencies to hold a reserve for debt service payments when the next property tax allocation will be insufficient to pay all obligations due under the provisions of the bond for the next payment due in the following half of the *calendar* year. Therefore, the request to fund payments due for the first half of the calendar year is not allowed.
- Item No. 85 Housing Entity Administrative Cost Allowance in the amount of \$750,000. Pursuant to HSC section 34171 (p), the housing entity administrative cost allowance is applicable only in cases where the city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of the redevelopment agency elected to not assume the housing functions. Because the housing entity to the former redevelopment agency of the City of Fontana (City) is the City-formed Housing Authority (Authority) and the Authority operates under the control of the City, the Authority is considered the City under Dissolution Law pursuant to HSC section 34167.10. Therefore, \$750,000 of housing entity administrative allowance is not allowed.
- Claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$75,658. HSC section 34171 (b) limits the fiscal year 2014-15 administrative expenses to three percent of property tax allocated to the Agency or \$250,000, whichever is greater. Although \$644,497 is claimed for administrative cost, only \$493,839 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, \$75,658 of excess administrative cost is not allowed.

Ms. Lisa Strong April 15, 2014 Page 2

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF). Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. Provide explanation of The Agency provided financial records displayed available Other Funds totaling \$100,001.

Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified to Other Funds and in the amount specified below:

• Item No. 51 – Owner Participation Agreement with Ten Ninety Ltd. In the amount of \$1,000,000. The Agency requests \$1,000,000 of RPTTF; however, Finance is reclassifying \$100,001 to Other Funds. This item is an enforceable obligation for the ROPS 14-15A period. However, the obligation does not require payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has \$100,001 in available Other Funds. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$899,999 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$100,001, totaling \$1,000,000 for this item.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligation or for the item that have been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

## http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet and confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$16,849,400 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	18,983,232
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	644,497
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 19,627,729
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	18,983,232
Denied Item	
Item No. 25	(2,140,657)
Item No. 40	(381,263)
	 (2,521,920)
Total RPTTF for non-administrative obligations	16,461,312
Cash Balances - Item reclassified to Other Funds	
Item No. 51	(100,001)
	(100,001)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 16,361,311
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	644,497
Denied Item	
Item No. 85	(75,000)
	 (75,000)
Administrative costs in excess of the cap (see Admin Cost Cap table below)	(75,658)
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 493,839
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 16,855,150
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	(5,750)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 16,849,400

Administrative Cost Cap Calculation	
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	16,461,312
Percent allowed pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b)	3%
Total RPTTF allowable for administrative obligations	493,839
Total RPTTF administrative obligations after Finance adjustments	569,497
Administrative costs in excess of the cap	\$ (75,658)

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

## http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was

Ms. Lisa Strong April 15, 2014 Page 4

an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely.

JUSTYN HOWARD

Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Dawn Brooks, Accounting Manager, City of Fontana

Ms. Linda Santillano, Property Tax Manager, San Bernardino County

California State Controller's Office