

NIST SPECIAL PUBLICATION 1800-40A

Automation of the NIST Cryptographic Module Validation Program

Volume A:
Executive Summary

Apostol Vassilev
Murugiah Souppaya
Computer Security Division
Information Technology Laboratory

William Barker
Dakota Consulting
Silver Spring, MD

June 2023

PRELIMINARY DRAFT

This publication is available free of charge from
<https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/automation-nist-cryptographic-module-validation-program>



1 Executive Summary

2 NIST established the Cryptographic Module Validation Program ([CMVP](#)) to ensure that hardware and
3 software cryptographic implementations conform to specified standard security requirements. This is a
4 joint program with the Government of Canada. Since its start, the volume, complexity, and speed-to-
5 market of modules to be tested and validated has steadily increased. The rapid pace of industry
6 innovation, release cycle of cloud services, and cryptographic module fixes and patch releases now
7 outstrips available human resources for product vendors, labs, and validators.

8 We also live in times of unprecedented levels of threats and exploits that require deploying the latest
9 technology and frequent product updates to fix defects and remove security vulnerabilities--that doesn't
10 fit in the current CMVP workflows and processes.

11 This limits product options for many organizations required to use validated cryptography, especially
12 federal agencies. NIST has started a broad effort to modernize and automate all aspects of the CMVP.

13 This guide summarizes how the National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE) and its
14 collaborators are developing a schema, protocols, and technology to create standardized tests and
15 mechanisms for test evidence submission as part of automation of CMVP. As the project progresses, this
16 preliminary draft will be updated, and additional volumes will also be released for comment.

17 The primary goal of the completed guide will be to integrate the developed application, process, and
18 protocols into the NIST CMVP to help the test labs, technology producers, and validation authorities
19 leverage this modern approach to shorten the validation cycle while maintaining and improving the level
20 of assurance. The guide will help the CMVP community to stay informed and understand the approaches
21 and changes that will be made to the program.

22 CHALLENGE

23 The CMVP validates first and third party test laboratory assertions that cryptographic module
24 implementations satisfy the requirements of Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS)
25 Publication [140-3](#), *Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules*. Module testing and reporting is
26 conducted in accordance with International Organization for Standardization (ISO)/International
27 Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) [24759](#) as specified and constrained by the NIST Special Publication
28 800-140 series, and combines reporting of both functional and nonfunctional security requirements.
29 Current industry cryptographic product development, production, and maintenance processes place
30 significant emphasis on time-to-market efficiency while also striving to deliver quality and security. A
31 number of elements of the test and validation process are manual in nature and use nonstandard tests
32 and test evidence, and the period required for laboratory testing and government validation of
33 cryptographic modules is often incompatible with industry and customer requirements. This process can
34 take up to two years; industry may have several innovative releases in that time frame that are
35 backlogged for validation due to the current process.

36 OUTCOME

37 The outcome of this project is to develop a proof of concept to include a CMVP test and validation
38 service; a set of structured tests, schema, and protocols for evidence submission; a repeatable approach

39 for testing, including cloud-based testing; and corresponding computing infrastructure to automate the
40 validation of FIPS 140-3 security requirements for cryptographic modules. The developed code, schema
41 and protocol specifications, supporting documentation, and findings will be published in this practice
42 guide, a NIST Special Publication (SP) 1800 that is composed of multiple volumes.

This preliminary practice guide can help your enterprise organization, e.g., Federal Agencies:

- understand the value and practicality of automation to improve the efficiency and timeliness of CMVP operation and processes
- understand the considerations associated with decisions regarding a potential future ability to perform first-party testing, such as with product/service providers

This preliminary practice guide can help CMVP test labs and vendors:

- understand the value and practicality of automation to improve the efficiency and timeliness of CMVP operation and processes
- learn about the automation of the test report format and protocols for exchanging test evidence
- leverage sample code to develop their own client to interface with the developed test server
- replicate and host their own test environment using the architecture and supporting content

43 SOLUTION

44 NCCoE is currently collaborating with technology providers on finalizing the demonstration architecture
45 to show the value and practicality of automation for improving the efficiency and timeliness of CMVP
46 operation and processes. This effort is the complement to the automated Cryptographic Algorithm
47 Validation Program ([CAVP](#)). The ultimate goal of this initiative is to provide mechanisms for testing by
48 National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) accredited parties, to include first parties
49 such as product/service providers and third parties such as independent testing laboratories. Ideally, the
50 project would lead to standardized tests and a schema for test evidence submission where feasible for
51 each of the test requirements found in ISO/IEC 24759 at all four security levels.

52 Because of the large range of the technologies and the corresponding security requirements the CMVP
53 covers, this project will be executed in phases. The initial project phase is for software module validation
54 at security level 1, which is foundational and will inform future phases. This activity demonstrates an
55 evidence catalog that maps test evidence (TE) references to specific TEs and a schema for standardized
56 evidence submission for the validation testing of cryptographic software modules. The demonstration
57 includes a suite of tools for modernizing and automating manual review processes in support of existing
58 policy and efforts, including technical acceptance testing. The demonstration also includes a cloud-
59 based approach to automate the manual review processes.

60 These automated tools integrate in common vendor/manufacturer testing processes that permit
61 organizations to test their cryptographic products according to the requirements of FIPS 140-3, then
62 directly report the results to the NIST validation server using appropriate protocols and obtain
63 certificates of compliance. Participating organizations will identify personnel and organizational
64 structures needed to perform this testing and report results to the CMVP to comply with the laboratory
65 requirements for testing programs established by NVLAP under NIST Handbook (HB) [150-17](#). The
66 accreditation requirements in HB 150-17 are hierarchical and compositional in nature so that
67 organizations can tailor the scope of accreditation according to their specific product/service portfolio.

Collaborators

[Acumen Security](#)

[atsec](#)

[Microsoft Corporation](#)

[AEGISOLVE](#)

[Cisco](#)

[NXP Semiconductors](#)

[Amazon Web Services \(AWS\)](#)

[Cloudflare](#)

[SUSE](#)

[Apple](#)

[Lightship Security](#)

68 While the NCCoE will use a suite of commercial and open source products to address this challenge, this
69 guide does not endorse particular products, nor does it guarantee compliance with any regulatory
70 initiatives. Your organization's information security experts should identify the products that will best
71 integrate with your existing tools and IT system infrastructure. Your organization can adopt this solution
72 or one that adheres to these guidelines in whole, or you can use this guide as a starting point for
73 tailoring and implementing parts of a solution.

74 HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

75 Depending on your role in your organization, you might use this guide in different ways:

76 **Business decision makers, including chief information security officers, product managers, test**
77 **laboratory managers, and technology officers** can use this part of the guide, *NIST SP 1800-40A:*
78 *Executive Summary*, to understand the drivers for the guide, the cybersecurity challenge we address, our
79 approach to solving this challenge, and how the solution could benefit your organization.

80 Other roles including technology, security, and privacy program managers and architects and software
81 developers, engineers, and IT professionals may find value in this Executive Summary, as well as future
82 releases of this publication which will include greater details about the approach and technical
83 implementation information.

84 SHARE YOUR FEEDBACK

85 You can view or download the preliminary draft guide at the [Automation of the NIST Cryptographic](#)
86 [Module Validation Program project page](#). NIST follows an agile process to publish this content. Each
87 volume is made available as soon as possible rather than delaying release until all volumes are
88 completed. Work continues on designing and implementing the example solution and developing other
89 parts of the content. As a preliminary draft, this volume will have at least one additional draft released
90 for public comment before it is finalized.

91 Help the NCCoE make this guide better by sharing your thoughts with us as you read the guide. Once the
92 example implementation is developed, you can adopt this solution for your own organization. If you do,
93 please share your experience and advice with us. We recognize that technical solutions alone will not
94 fully enable the benefits of our solution, so we encourage organizations to share lessons learned and
95 recommended practices for transforming the processes associated with implementing this guide.

96 To provide comments or join the CMVP Automation community of interest, contact the NCCoE at
97 applied-crypto-testing@nist.gov.

98 **COLLABORATORS**

99 Collaborators participating in this project submitted their capabilities in response to an open call in the
100 Federal Register for all sources of relevant security capabilities from academia and industry (vendors
101 and integrators). Those respondents with relevant capabilities or product components signed a
102 Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) to collaborate with NIST in a consortium to
103 build this example solution.

104 Certain commercial entities, equipment, products, or materials may be identified by name or company
105 logo or other insignia in order to acknowledge their participation in this collaboration or to describe an
106 experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply special
107 status or relationship with NIST or recommendation or endorsement by NIST or NCCoE; neither is it
108 intended to imply that the entities, equipment, products, or materials are necessarily the best available
109 for the purpose.