

**Zeitschrift:** L'Enseignement Mathématique  
**Band:** 38 (1992)  
**Heft:** 3-4: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

**Artikel:** RATIONALITY OF PIECEWISE LINEAR FOLIATIONS AND  
HOMOLOGY OF THE GROUP OF PIECEWISE LINEAR  
HOMEOMORPHISMS

**Kapitel:** §4. Construction of cocycles of the group  $PL_c(\mathbb{R})$

**Autor:** Tsuboi, Takashi

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-59495>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

**Download PDF:** 01.10.2024

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

This is equivalent to that the differentials induce an isomorphism

$$\sum_{p+q=m, p \geq 0} H_{p+1}(B\bar{\Gamma}_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} H_q(\Omega B\bar{\Gamma}_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(\Omega B\bar{\Gamma}_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z}).$$

To show this we define the cohomology classes of  $BPL_c(\mathbf{R})^\delta$  which detect the images of generators of  $H_{p+1}(B\bar{\Gamma}_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} H_q(\Omega B\bar{\Gamma}_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z})$ .

#### §4. CONSTRUCTION OF COCYCLES OF THE GROUP $PL_c(\mathbf{R})$

*Tensor determinants.* We define a determinant of an  $(n \times n)$  real matrix which takes values in the tensor product over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of  $n$  copies of  $\mathbf{R}$ . For  $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ , we put

$$\det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}}(a_{ij}) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} a_{\sigma(n)n}.$$

For example,

$$\det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}}\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = a_{11} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} a_{22} - a_{21} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} a_{12}.$$

We have the usual multilinearity but we do not have the usual alternativity. For example,

$$\det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}}\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}}\begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ b & b \end{pmatrix} = a \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} b - b \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} a = a \wedge_{\mathbf{Q}} b.$$

The latter is not necessarily zero. In general, if we change the rows then this determinant changes sign, however, there are no simple laws for changing columns. It is worth noticing that we have the usual formula of developing with respect to the first or the last column.

$$\begin{aligned} \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}}(a_{ij}) &= \sum_{i+1}^n (-1)^{i+1} a_{i1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}}(A_{i1}) \\ &= \sum_{i+1}^n (-1)^{i+n} \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}}(A_{in}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} a_{in}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{ij}$  is the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  with the  $i$ -th row and the  $j$ -th column deleted.

*Cocycles of Lipschitz homeomorphism groups.* We review the construction of cocycles of certain Lipschitz homeomorphism groups of the real line or the circle (see [13]). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the space of functions with compact support

which are locally constant outside of finitely many points. (For other Lipschitz homeomorphism groups,  $\mathcal{S}$  is replaced by other spaces of functions which contains the logarithm of derivatives of the homeomorphisms.) Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space. Let

$$A: \overbrace{\mathcal{S} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}}^n \rightarrow V$$

be a multilinear form which is invariant under the parameter change in the following sense. If  $h$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}$  with compact support, then

$$A(\varphi_1 \circ h, \dots, \varphi_n \circ h) = A(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n).$$

Then the  $V$  valued function

$$C: \overbrace{PL_c(\mathbf{R}) \times \dots \times PL_c(\mathbf{R})}^n \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$C(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) = A(\log g'_1 \circ g_2 \circ \dots \circ g_n, \log g'_2 \circ g_3 \circ \dots \circ g_n, \dots, \log g'_n)$$

is an  $n$ -cocycle of  $PL_c(\mathbf{R})$ . The verification is straightforward.

*Cocycles of PL homeomorphism groups.* For a  $(2s)$ -tuple of positive integers  $(k_1^-, k_1^+, \dots, k_s^-, k_s^+)$  such that  $k_1^- + k_1^+ + \dots + k_s^- + k_s^+ = m$ , we define a multilinear form

$$A_{(k_1^-, k_1^+, \dots, k_s^-, k_s^+)}^m: \overbrace{\mathcal{S} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\otimes m}$$

whose values are contained in  $V^{k_1^-, k_1^+} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} V^{k_s^-, k_s^+}$ . This is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(k_1^-, k_1^+, \dots, k_s^-, k_s^+)}^m(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) &= \sum_{x_1 < \dots < x_s} \frac{1}{m!} \det^{\otimes \mathbf{Q}} \\ &\underbrace{(\varphi(x_1 - 0) \dots \varphi(x_1 - 0))}_{k_1^-} \underbrace{\Delta\varphi(x_1) \dots \Delta\varphi(x_1)}_{k_1^+} \\ &\dots \underbrace{\varphi(x_s - 0) \dots \varphi(x_s - 0)}_{k_s^-} \underbrace{\Delta\varphi(x_s) \dots \Delta\varphi(x_s)}_{k_s^+}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi$  denotes the vertical vector  ${}^t(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$  and  $\Delta\varphi(x) = \varphi(x + 0) - \varphi(x - 0)$ . Note that, since  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  are elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ , the sum is in fact a finite sum. It is clear that  $A_{(k_1^-, k_1^+, \dots, k_s^-, k_s^+)}^m$  is invariant under the parameter change.

For example, the functional  $A^2_{(1,1)}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$  is defined as follows.

$$A^2_{(1,1)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2} \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x-0) & \Delta\varphi_1(x) \\ \varphi_2(x-0) & \Delta\varphi_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_1(x-0) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \Delta\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x-0) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \Delta\varphi_2(x)) \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} .$$

Then  $A^2_{(1,1)}$  is bilinear and invariant under the parameter change. This functional  $A^2_{(1,1)}$  composed with the evaluation map  $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  gives the area of the polygon whose vertices are the image of  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  and whose edges join the subsequent vertices with respect to the order of  $\mathbf{R}$ . The functional  $A^2_{(1,1)}$  gives rise to the following 2-cocycle  $C^2_{(1,1)}$ .

$$C^2_{(1,1)}(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2} \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}} \begin{pmatrix} \log g'_1 \circ g_2(x-0) & \Delta \log g'_1 \circ g_2(x) \\ \log g'_2(x-0) & \Delta \log g'_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} .$$

This 2-cocycle  $C^2_{(1,1)}$  composed with the evaluation map  $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is the discrete Godbillon-Vey invariant ([5], [3], [13], [8]).

The nontriviality of this class is shown easily. Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be piecewise linear homeomorphisms of  $\mathbf{R}$  with support in  $[-1, 0]$  and  $[0, 1]$ , respectively, such that  $\log g'_1(0-0) = a$  and  $\log g'_2(0+0) = b$ . Then  $(g_1, g_2) - (g_2, g_1)$  is a 2-cycle and

$$C^2_{(1,1)}((g_1, g_2) - (g_2, g_1)) = \frac{1}{2} \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}} \begin{pmatrix} a & -a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ a & -a \end{pmatrix} \\ = a \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} b .$$

Another interesting example is  $A^4_{(1,1,1,1)}$  defined by

$$A^4_{(1,1,1,1)}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4) = \sum_{x < y} \frac{1}{4!} \det^{\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}}(\varphi(x-0) \Delta\varphi(x) \varphi(y-0) \Delta\varphi(y)) \\ \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$$

where  $\varphi$  denotes the vertical vector  ${}^t(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4)$ . This gives rise to the cocycle  $C^4_{(1,1,1,1)}$  which measures the noncommutativity of  $*$ -product. The nontriviality of  $C^4_{(1,1,1,1)}$  is easily shown by evaluating on the  $*$ -product of two examples described above.

*Independence of the cohomology classes.* The bijectivity of the homomorphism

$$\sum_{p+q=m} H_{p+1}(B\bar{\Gamma}_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} H_q(\Omega B\bar{\Gamma}_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(\Omega B\bar{\Gamma}_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z})$$

is equivalent to the independence of the cohomology classes of these cocycles  $C_{(k_1^-, k_1^+, \dots, k_s^-, k_s^+)}^m$ . To show the independence we use the following theorem.

**THEOREM (4.1).** *Let  $j_+ : PL_c([0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  denote the homomorphism defined by*

$$j_+(f) = \log f'(0) .$$

*The homomorphism  $j_+$  induces a surjection in integer homology.*

Using this theorem, we can show the independence. Let  $u_i^- \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} u_i^+$  be an element of  $V^{k_i^-, k_i^+}$  ( $u_i^- \in \mathbf{R}^{\wedge k_i^-}$ ,  $u_i^+ \in \mathbf{R}^{\wedge k_i^+}$ ). Then we have a  $k_i^-$ -dimensional cycle  $\sigma_i^-$  of  $BPL_c((-\infty, 0])^\delta$  such that the image under  $(j_-)_*$  coincides with  $u_i^- \in \mathbf{R}^{\wedge k_i^-} \cong H_{k_i^-}(BR^\delta; \mathbf{Z})$ , where  $j_- : PL_c((-\infty, 0]) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  denotes the homomorphism defined by  $j_-(f) = \log f'(0)$ . We also have a  $k_i^+$ -dimensional cycle  $\sigma_i^+$  of  $BPL_c([0, \infty))^\delta$  such that the image under  $(j_+)_*$  coincides with  $u_i^+ \in \mathbf{R}^{\wedge k_i^+} \cong H_{k_i^+}(BR^\delta; \mathbf{Z})$ . Then  $\sigma_i^- \times \sigma_i^+$  is a  $(k_i^- + k_i^+)$ -dimensional cycle of  $B(PL_c((-\infty, 0]) \times PL_c([0, \infty)))^\delta$  such that the image under  $(j_- \times j_+)_*$  coincides with  $u_i^- \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} u_i^+ \in V^{k_i^-, k_i^+}$ . Now let  $T_1, \dots, T_s$  be translations of  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $T_1(0) < \dots < T_s(0)$  and the supports of  $\sigma_i = T_i(\sigma_i^- \times \sigma_i^+)T_i^{-1}$  are contained in disjoint open intervals, where the support of a cycle of  $BPL_c(\mathbf{R})^\delta$  is the union of the supports of the homeomorphisms which appear in the expression of the cycle. Then  $\sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_s$  is an  $m$ -cycle and the value of the cocycle  $C_{(k_1^-, k_1^+, \dots, k_s^-, k_s^+)}^m$  on it is  $(u_1^- \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} u_1^+) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} (u_s^- \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} u_s^+)$ . It is easy to see that the values of the other  $m$ -cocycles on this cycle are 0.

The fact that  $*$ -product coincides with the tensor product follows from Lemma (1.2). Note that the map  $s$  in Lemma (1.2) is an isomorphism from the subgroup of  $H_*(BPL_c(\mathbf{R})^\delta; \mathbf{Z})$  generated by the  $\sigma^- \times \sigma^+$  to  $H_{*+1}(B\Gamma_1^{PL}; \mathbf{Z})$ . Thus Theorem (3.1) is proved.

### §5. SURJECTIVITY OF $(j_+)_*$

We prove Theorem (4.1). We consider  $j_+$  as a homomorphism from  $PL_c([0, \infty))$  to the group of germs at 0. We use the fact that the  $n$ -dimensional homology group of  $BR^\delta$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^{\wedge n}$  and whose generators are represented by the images of the fundamental classes of tori  $T^n$  of dimension  $n$  under the mappings which are defined by  $n$  (commuting) elements. We will construct an  $n$ -complex  $Y_n$  with the fundamental class and a degree one