

GENERALIZED HAPPY NUMBERS

H. G. Grundman

Department of Mathematics, Bryn Mawr College, 101 N. Merion Ave., Bryn Mawr, PA 19010-2899

E. A. Teeple

Qualidigm, 100 Roscommon Drive, Middletown, CT 06457

(Submitted October 1999)

1. HAPPY NUMBERS

Let $S_2 : \mathbf{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+$ denote the function that takes a positive integer to the sum of the squares of its digits. More generally, for $e \geq 2$ and $0 \leq a_i \leq 9$, define S_e by

$$S_e \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i 10^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^e.$$

A positive integer a is a *happy number* if, when S_2 is applied to a iteratively, the resulting sequence of integers (which we will call the S_2 -sequence of a) eventually reaches 1. Thus a is a happy number if and only if there exists some $m \geq 0$ such that $S_2^m(a) = 1$. For example, 13 is a happy number since $S_2^2(13) = 1$.

Notice that 4 is not a happy number. Its S_2 -sequence is periodic with $S_2^8(4) = 4$. It is simple to verify that every positive integer less than 100 either is a happy number or has an S_2 -sequence that enters the cyclic S_2 -sequence of 4. It can further be shown that, for each positive integer $a \geq 100$, $S_2(a) < a$. This leads to the following well-known theorem. (See [2] for a complete proof.)

Theorem 1: Given $a \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $S_2^n(a) = 1$ or 4.

Generalizing the concept of a happy number, we say that a positive integer a is a *cubic happy number* if its S_3 -sequence eventually reaches 1. We note that a positive integer can be a cubic happy number only if it is congruent to 1 modulo 3. This follows immediately from the following lemma.

Lemma 2: Given $a \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, for all m , $S_3^m(a) \equiv a \pmod{3}$.

Proof: Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i 10^i$, $0 \leq a_i \leq 9$. Using the fact that, for each i , $a_i^3 \equiv a_i \pmod{3}$ and $10^i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we get

$$S_3(a) = S_3 \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i 10^i \right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^3 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n a_i 10^i = a \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, by a simple induction argument, we get that, for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $S_3^m(a) \equiv a \pmod{3}$. \square

The fixed points and cycles of S_3 are characterized in Theorem 3, which can be found without proof in [1].

Theorem 3: The fixed points of S_3 are 1, 153, 370, 371, and 407; the cycles are $136 \rightarrow 244 \rightarrow 136$, $919 \rightarrow 1459 \rightarrow 919$, $55 \rightarrow 250 \rightarrow 133 \rightarrow 55$, and $160 \rightarrow 217 \rightarrow 352 \rightarrow 160$. Further, for any positive integer a :

- If $a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then there exists an m such that $S_3^m(a) = 153$.
- If $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then there exists an m such that $S_3^m(a) = 1, 55, 136, 160, 370$, or 919 .
- If $a \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then there exists an m such that $S_3^m(a) = 371$ or 407 .

Note that the second part of the theorem follows from the first half and Lemma 2. Rather than prove the first part here, we state and prove a generalization of Theorems 1 and 3 in the following section.

2. VARIATIONS OF BASE

By expressing numbers in different bases, we can generalize happy numbers even further. Fix $b \geq 2$. Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i$ with $0 \leq a_i \leq b-1$. Let $e \geq 2$. We then define the function $S_{e,b} : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ by

$$S_{e,b}(a) = S_{e,b}\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^e.$$

If an $S_{e,b}$ sequence reaches 1, we call a an e -power b -happy number.

Theorem 4: For all $e \geq 2$, every positive integer is an e -power 2-happy number.

Proof: Fix e . Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i 2^i$, $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$, $a_n > 0$. Then

$$a - S_{e,2}(a) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i 2^i - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^e = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i 2^i - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (2^i - 1).$$

Note that none of the terms can be negative. Thus, if $n \geq 1$, $a - S_{e,2}(a) > 0$. So, for $a \neq 1$, $S_{e,2}(a) < a$. With this fact, it is easy to prove by induction that every positive integer is an e -power 2-happy number. \square

Again, we ask: What are the fixed points and cycles generated when these functions are iterated? We give the answers for $S_{2,b}$, $2 \leq b \leq 10$, in Table 1 and for $S_{3,b}$, $2 \leq b \leq 10$, in Table 2.

TABLE 1. Fixed points and cycles of $S_{2,b}$, $2 \leq b \leq 10$

Base	Fixed Points and Cycles
2	1
3	1, 12, 22 2 → 11 → 2
4	1
5	1, 23, 33 4 → 31 → 20 → 4
6	1 32 → 21 → 5 → 41 → 25 → 45 → 105 → 42 → 32
7	1, 13, 34, 44, 63 2 → 4 → 22 → 11 → 2 16 → 52 → 41 → 23 → 16
8	1, 24, 64 4 → 20 → 4 5 → 31 → 12 → 5 15 → 32 → 15
9	1, 45, 55 58 → 108 → 72 → 58 82 → 75 → 82
10	1 4 → 16 → 37 → 58 → 89 → 145 → 42 → 20 → 4

TABLE 2. Fixed points and cycles of $S_{3,b}$, $2 \leq b \leq 10$

Base	Fixed Points and Cycles
2	1
3	1, 122 2 → 22 → 121 → 101 → 2
4	1, 20, 21, 203, 313, 130, 131, 223, 332
5	1, 103, 433 14 → 230 → 120 → 14
6	1, 243, 514, 1055 13 → 44 → 332 → 142 → 201 → 13
7	1, 12, 22, 250, 251, 305, 505 2 → 11 → 2 13 → 40 → 121 → 13 23 → 50 → 236 → 506 → 665 → 1424 → 254 → 401 → 122 → 23 51 → 240 → 132 → 51 160 → 430 → 160 161 → 431 → 161 466 → 1306 → 466 516 → 666 → 1614 → 552 → 516
8	1, 134, 205, 463, 660, 661 662 → 670 → 1057 → 725 → 734 → 662
9	1, 30, 31, 150, 151, 570, 571, 1388 38 → 658 → 1147 → 504 → 230 → 38 152 → 158 → 778 → 1571 → 572 → 578 → 1308 → 660 → 530 → 178 → 1151 → 152 638 → 1028 → 638 818 → 1358 → 818
10	1, 153, 371, 407, 370 55 → 250 → 133 → 55 136 → 244 → 136 160 → 217 → 352 → 160 919 → 1459 → 919

It is easy to verify that each entry in the tables above is, indeed, a fixed point or cycle. Theorem 5 asserts that the tables are, in fact, complete.

Theorem 5: Tables 1 and 2 give all of the fixed points and cycles of $S_{2,b}$ and $S_{3,b}$, respectively, for $2 \leq b \leq 10$.

The proof of Theorem 5 uses the same techniques as the proof of Theorem 1 given in [2]. First, we find a value N for which $S_{e,b}(a) < a$ for all $a \geq N$. This implies that, for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $S_{e,b}^m(a) < N$. Then a direct calculation for each $a < N$ completes the process and Theorem 5 is proven. Lemma 6 provides an N for $e = 2$ and all bases $b \geq 2$ while Lemma 8 does the same for $e = 3$.

Lemma 6: If $b \geq 2$ and $a \geq b^2$, then $S_{2,b}(a) < a$.

Proof: Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i$. We have

$$a - S_{2,b}(a) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (b^i - a_i).$$

Every term in the final sum is positive with the possible exception of the $i = 0$ term which is at least $(b - 1)(1 - (b - 1))$. It is not difficult to show that the $i = n$ term is minimal if $a_n = 1$. From

$a \geq b^2 = 100_{(b)}$, it follows that $n \geq 2$. So the $i = n$ term is at least $1(b^2 - 1)$. Thus, $a - S_{2,b}(a) > b^2 - 1 + (b - 1)(1 - (b - 1)) = 3b - 3 > 0$, since $b \geq 2$. Hence, for all $a \geq b^2$, $S_{2,b}(a) < a$. \square

Using induction, Corollary 7 is immediate.

Corollary 7: For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there is an $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $S_{2,b}^m(a) < b^2$.

This completes the argument for $e = 2$. Now we consider $e = 3$.

Lemma 8: If $b \geq 2$ and $a \geq 2b^3$, then $S_{3,b}(a) < a$.

Proof: The proof of Theorem 4 gives an even stronger result for $b = 2$, so we will assume $b > 2$. Using the notation from above, we have

$$a - S_{3,b}(a) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^3 = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (b^i - a_i^2).$$

The $i = 0$ term is at least $(b - 1)(1 - (b - 1)^2)$ and the $i = 1$ term is at least $(b - 1)(b - (b - 1)^2)$. The remaining terms are all nonnegative. Since $a \geq 2b^3 = 2000_{(b)}$, $n \geq 3$ and if $n = 3$, then $a_3 \geq 2$. So, if $n = 3$, the a_n term is at least $2(b^3 - 4)$. If $n > 3$, then the a_n term is at least $b^4 - 1 > 2(b^3 - 4)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} a - S_{3,b}(a) &\geq a_n(b^3 - a_n^2) + a_1(b - a_1^2) + a_0(1 - a_0^2) \\ &\geq 2(b^3 - 4) + (b - 1)(b - (b - 1)^2) + (b - 1)(1 - (b - 1)^2) \\ &= 7b^2 - 6b - 7 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $b > 2$. Hence, for all $a \geq 2b^3$, $S_{3,b}(a) < a$. \square

Corollary 9: For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there is an $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $S_{3,b}^m(a) < 2b^3$.

Theorem 5 now follows from a direct calculation of the $S_{2,b}$ -sequences for all $a < b^2$ and the $S_{3,b}$ -sequences for all $a < 2b^3$. These calculations are easily completed with a computer.

We conclude with two general theorems concerning congruences. If, for given e , b , and d , $S_{e,b}^m(a) \equiv a \pmod{d}$ for all a and m , then, as in Lemma 2, all e -power b -happy numbers must be congruent to 1 modulo d . Thus, the following theorems yield a great deal of information concerning generalized happy numbers. In particular, bounds on the densities of the numbers are immediate.

Theorem 10: Let p be prime and let $b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $S_{p,b}^m(a) \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Proof: Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i$. By Fermat, $a_i^p \equiv a_i \pmod{p}$ for all i . Thus,

$$S_{p,b}(a) = S_{p,b}\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^p \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i = a \pmod{p}.$$

Using induction, we see that, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $S_{p,b}^m(a) \equiv a \pmod{p}$. \square

Corollary 11: If a is a (2-power) b -happy number with b odd, then a must be odd. In general, if a is a p -power b -happy number with $b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for some prime p , then $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Theorem 12: Let $b \equiv 1 \pmod{\gcd(6, b-1)}$. Then, for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $S_{3,b}^m(a) \equiv a \pmod{\gcd(6, b-1)}$.

Proof: Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i$ and $d = \gcd(6, b-1)$. If $d = 1$, then the theorem is vacuous. For $d = 2$, note that $a^3 \equiv a \pmod{2}$. Since $b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, we have

$$S_{3,b}(a) = S_{3,b}\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^3 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b^i = a \pmod{2},$$

and induction completes the argument. The case $d = 3$ is immediate from Theorem 10. Finally, $d = 6$ follows from the cases $d = 2$ and $d = 3$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H. G. Grundman wishes to acknowledge the support of the Science Scholars Fellowship Program at the Bunting Institute of Radcliffe College.

E. A. Teeple wishes to acknowledge the support of the Dorothy Nepper Marshall Fellows Program of Bryn Mawr College.

REFERENCES

1. R. Guy. *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994.
2. R. Honsberger. *Ingenuity in Mathematics*. Washington, D.C.: The Mathematical Association of America, 1970.

AMS Classification Number: 11A63

